

19847

THE
INDIAN ANTIQUARY,

A JOURNAL OF ORIENTAL RESEARCH

IN

ARCHÆOLOGY, HISTORY, LITERATURE, LANGUAGES, PHILOSOPHY, RELIGION, FOLKLORE,
&c., &c., &c.

EDITED BY

JAS. BURGESS, M.R.A.S., F.R.G.S.,

MEMBRE DE LA SOCIÉTÉ ASIATIQUE, FELLOW OF THE UNIVERSITY OF BOMBAY,
ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEYOR AND REPORTER TO GOVERNMENT, WESTERN INDIA.

VOL. IX.—1880.

BOMBAY :

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED AT THE EDUCATION SOCIETY'S PRESS, BYCULLA.

LONDON : TRÜBNER & Co. PARIS : E. LEROUX. BERLIN : A. ASHER & Co.

NEW YORK : B. WESTERMANN & Co.

1880.

R.M.I.C. LIBRARY	
Acc. No.	19847
Class	
Subj.	
Author	
Editor	
Contributor	
Book	
Checked	

CONTENTS

Authors' names arranged alphabetically.

PAGE

REV. S. BEAL, B.A., Professor of Chinese, University College, London:—

The SWASTIKA	67
The BRANCHIDE	69
Avalambana	85
The Tooth Seal of Asoka	86
REMARKS on the word SHAMANA	122
The SŪTRA called NGAN-SHIH-NIU, i.e. 'Silver White Woman'	145

SUCCESSION of BUDDHIST PATRIARCHS	143
The BUDDHIST INSCRIPTION at KEC-YUNG-KWAN	195
Cinderella—Hephaestus—Kuvera	203
Story of the Merchant who struck his mother	224
The EIGHTEEN SCHOOLS of BUDDHISM	299

BHAGVĀNLĀL INDRAJI PAṆḌIT, Bombay:—

The SAIVA PĀRĪKRMĀ	119
INSCRIPTIONS FROM NEPĀL (v. Dr. Bühler)	163
COL. B. R. BRANFILL, R.E., Survey of India:—	
The GANGAI-KONDAPPURAM SAIVA TEMPLE	117
Proper Names	229

DR. G. BÜHLER, C.I.E.:—

INSCRIPTIONS FROM NEPĀL (v. Bhagvānlāl Indrajī),	163
VALABHI GRANT NO. XV.	237

DR. A. C. BURNELL, Ph.D., M.C.S., Tanjore:—

Book Notice: The Literary Remains of Dr. Th. Goldstücker	204
" " Max Müller's Sanskrit Texts from Japan	233
" " Hilbrandt's Neu- und Voll-mond-	

G. D. BYSACK, Birlahat:—

✓ FOLKLORE SERIES FROM BIRLAHAT	79
--	----

REV. J. CAIN, Dammuzudem:—

The YERAKALA LANGUAGE	210
------------------------------	-----

ARCH. CONSTABLE, C.E., Lakhman:—

James Wales the printer	107
Proper Names	141

PROF. G. B. COWELL, M.A., Cambridge:—

The HASTĀMALAKA	25
------------------------	----

G. H. DAMANT, Esq., M.A., B.C.S., late Deputy Commissioner of the Naga Hills:—

BENGALI FOLKLORE LEGENDS FROM DINAKPUR:—	
1. The Brahman and the Merchant	1
2. Adi's Wife	2
3. The Prince and his two Wives	3

ED. B. EASTWICK, C.B.:—

CHAMPANER and PAWAGADH	221
-------------------------------	-----

THE EDITOR:—

THEN-CHU—INDIA, Extract from Ma-twan-lin, Book 333	11
Buddha's Hair	
A Village Legend	80
Nāga Figures	230
On Light and Dark Fortnights	251
Western Chalukya Grant of Ambara	304
Solar Eclipse of Feb. 10, 780 A.D.	308
Native Histories of Indian States	308

PRINCIPAL A. M. FAIRBAIRN, LL.D., Airedale Coll., Bradford:—

Book Notice: Max Müller's Introduction to the Science of Religion; and Lectures on the origin and growth of Religion	29
---	----

J. F. FLEET, B.A., C.S., M.R.A.S.:—

AN IDENTIFICATION of a WESTERN CHĀLUKYA CAPITAL	50
--	----

SANSKRIT AND OLD CANARESE INSCRIPTIONS:—

No. LXI.—In temple at Aihole	74
-------------------------------------	----

LXII.—At the temple of Galiganthra, Aihole	74
---	----

* LXIII.—On another temple at Aihole	74
---	----

LXIV.—In the temple of Nārāyaṇa	75
--	----

LXV.—Inscription of Trailōkyaṃalla, S. 980	96
---	----

LXVI. " at Aihole, dated S. 980	96
--	----

LXVII. " of 20th Chāl. V. K. (S. 1017)	96
---	----

LXVIII. " at Aihole, S. 1019	96
-------------------------------------	----

LXIX. " dated 26th Chāl. V. K. (S. 1023)	96
---	----

LXX. " at Aihole, S. 1058	96
----------------------------------	----

LXXI.—Sinda inscription of Chalmunda II.	96
---	----

LXXII.—Inscription on a rock at Aihole	99
---	----

LXXIII. " on a boulder at Bādāmi	99
---	----

LXXIV.—Grant of Vijayabuddhavarman	100
---	-----

LXXV.—Pallava Grant of Ativarman	102
---	-----

LXXVI.—W. Chalukya Grant of Nāgavaradhana	123
--	-----

LXXVII.—W. Chalukya Grant of Vijayāditya, dated S. 622	125
---	-----

LXXVIII.—W. Chalukya Grant of Vijayāditya, S. 627	130
--	-----

LXXIX.—W. Chalukya Grant of Vijayāditya	132
--	-----

LXXX.—Spurious W. Chalukya Grant of Pulikēśi II, Śaka 310	203
--	-----

REV. T. FOULKES, F.R.S., M.R.A.S., Bengalur:—

GRANT OF VIRA CHĒGA	47
----------------------------	----

REV. A. P. RUDOLPH HOERNLE, Ph.D., Calcutta:—

NOTES on a ROCK-CUT INSCRIPTION from Riwā	120
--	-----

H. H. HOWORTH, F. S. A., Eccles:—

CHINGHIZ KHĀN and his ANCESTORS ... 89, 213, 240, 274	
---	--

PROF. HERMANN JACOBI, Ph. D., Münster:—

On Sabara	28
------------------	----

On MAHĀVĪRA and his PREDECESSORS	158
---	-----

KASHINATH TRIMBAK TELANG, Esq., LL.B., Bombay:—

A NEW ŚĪLĀRA COPPERPLATE GRANT	
---------------------------------------	--

Book Notice of Kāvyetihasasamgraha	
---	--

K. RAGHUNĀTHJI, Bombay:—

BOMBAY BEGGARS and CRIERS	247, 278
----------------------------------	----------

CAPT. J. S. F. MACKENZIE:

STRAY NOTES	
-------------	--

	PAGE		PAGE
J. MUIR, Esq., D.C.L., LL.D., Ph.D., Edinburgh :—		MRS. F. A. STEEL :—	
METRICAL VERSIONS from the <i>Mahābhārata</i> :—		FOLKLORE in the PUNJAB, with annotations by Lieut.	
Evils of Indecision	29	R. C. Temple, B.S.C., F.R.G.S., &c :—	
Harsh Speech	29	1. The story of Bôpô Lûchî	205
Claims and Duties of Friendship	29	2. The Sparrow and the Crow	207
Fate of those who believe not in virtue, &c.	52	3. The Lord of Death	209
The Indian Rationalist in Ancient Times	87	4. The King of the Crocodiles	280
Kings should not be too good-natured	141	5. Baingun Bādshāzādî	302
A Model Man	142	PROF. C. H. TAWNEY, M.A., Calcutta :—	
Book Notice of Zimmer's <i>Altindische Leben</i>	53	Folklore Parallels	51, 290
DR. E. MÜLLER, Ph.D., Archaeological Surveyor,		Book Notice of Indian Fairy Tales, by Maive Stokes.	57
Ceylon :—		LIEUT. R. C. TEMPLE, B.S.C., F.R.G.S., &c. :—	
REPORT on the ANCIENT INSCRIPTIONS in the North-		FOLKLORE in the PANJAB—Notes	205, 280, 302
Western Province and in the Districts of Matale		Brahmanî Duck (<i>Query</i>)	230
and Trinkomali... ..	8, 268	E. THOMAS, F.R.S., Corres. de l'Inst. de France :—	
REV. G. U. POPE, D.D., M.R.A.S., Bengalur :—		ANDHRA COINS	61
NOTES ON THE <i>Kural</i> of TIRUVALLUVAR (continued		The SWASTIKA	65
from vol. VIII, p. 309)	196	BUDDHIST SYMBOLS :	135
REV. W. J. RICHARDS, Ch. Miss. Society :—		" " The Wheel... ..	135
NOTES ON THE TANDU PELAYANS of Travancore	120	" " Vishnu Padas	138
DR. R. ROST, LL.D., Librarian, India Office :—		" " The Horse	139
Prof. Schiefner	111	M. J. WALHOUSE, late M.C.S. :—	
Book Notice of Goldschmidt's <i>Rāvanavāḥa</i>	116	Buddha's Hair	52
" " Hoenle's Comparative Grammar of		ARCHÆOLOGICAL NOTES: No. 24. Ethical Parallels.	71
the Gaudian Languages	232	" " 25. Rag-bushes	150
" " Oldenberg's <i>Vinayapitakam</i>	233	" " 26. Irdhî-Pāda	296
W. SANDFORD, Sikandarābād :—		PROF. A. R. WEBER, Ph.D., Berlin :—	
ACCOUNT OF EXCAVATIONS made near MANIKĀLA	153	Strictures on Rājendralāla Mitrā's <i>Buddha Gayā</i>	226
M. ÉM. SENART, Paris :—		DR. E. W. WEST, Munich :—	
The INSCRIPTIONS of Piyadasi	282	Bandahish MSS.	28
First and Second Edicts... ..	286	PAHLAVI INSCRIPTIONS at Kanheri	265
Third Edict	287	Book Notice: The <i>Vendidad</i> translated by Jas.	
		Darmesteter	290

SELECTIONS AND MISCELLANEA.

James Wales the Painter	52, 107	Professor A. Weber and Babu Rājendralāl Mitrā	226
The Perumals	77	The Remnant of the original Aryan Race	229
The Grammar of Chandra by W. Goonetilleke	80	Ancient Arabian Poetry	229
The Nirayāvaliyu Suttam	84	The Inscriptions of Piyadasi by M. Senart	282
The Gāthā Ahunavairi of the Pārsis	84	Division of the Buddhist Scriptures by Dr. R. Morris.	283
The Garos by Rev. W. Ayerst, M.A.	103	" " " " Prof. F. Max Müller.	289
A Hindu Shrine on the Caspian	109	Hindu Idol found at Orenburg	290
Prof. Schiefner by Dr. R. Rost	111	The Kāśikā, by Prof. F. Max Müller	305
Discovery of Sāyana's Commentary on the Atharva		Solar Eclipse of Feb. 10, 780 A.D., by J. B.	308
Veda, by Shankar Pandurang Pandit, M.A.	199	Native Histories of Indian States	308
Remarks on the preceding by Prof. F. Max Müller	203	An Apparition seen by the Supreme Council of India.	309

NOTES AND QUERIES.

1. Buddha's Hair, by H. Rivett-Carnac; M. J. W.;		4. Indian Arms	230
Editor	52	5. Naga Figures	230
2. Proper Names, by Nārāyaṇ Aiyangār; B. R. B.;		6. Light and Dark Fortnights, by C. E. G. C. and J. B.	250
M. R. Tivāri	141, 229, 309	7. Chowkā, by M. R. Tivāri	309
3. Brahmanî Duck, by Lieut. R. C. Temple	230		

ASIATIC SOCIETIES.

Royal Asiatic Society	230, 251, 311	Asiatic Society of Bengal	252, 310
Société Asiatique	232, 311	American Oriental Society	310
German Oriental Society	252		

BOOK NOTICES.

	PAGE		PAGE
1. Prof. Max Müller's Introduction to the Science of Religion; and Lectures on the Origin and Growth of Religion;—by Principal A. M. Fairbairn, LL.D.	29	15. Selections from the Kur-ân by E. W. Lane, revised and enlarged by S. Lane Poole. Extracts from the Coran, compiled by Sir W. Muir, K.C.S.I.	235
2. A Classical Dictionary of Hindu Mythology and Religion, Geography, History and Literature ...	31	16. Metrical Translations from Sanskrit Writers by J. Muir, C.I.E., D.C.L., LL.D.	235
3. Altindisches Leben: die Cultur der Vedischen Arier nach den Samhitâ dargestellt, von Heinrich Zimmer;—by Dr. John Muir, D.C.L., &c. ...	53	17. Cunningham's Archaeological Survey Reports, vol. IX.	253
4. Indian Fairy Tales, collected and translated by Maive Stokes—by C. H. T.	57	18. A. von Sallet's Nachfolger Alexanders des Grossen in Baktrien und Indien	255
5. Kavyetilâsasamgraha: a collection of Poetical and Historical Pieces in Marâṭhi	59	19. Howorth's History of the Mongols, Part II. ...	263
6. British Burmah and its People, by Capt. C. J. F. S. Forbes, F.R.G.S. —by W. F. S.	87	20. A. Bergaigne's Nâgârânda	263
7. Buddha. Gayâ the Hermitage of Sâkyâ Muni, by Râjendralâla Mitrâ, LL.D., C.I.E.	113, 112	21. Jogesh Chunder Dutt's Kings of Kâśmîra	264
8. Die Râvânâvâṇa, von S. Goldschmidt—by R. R. ...	116	22. Monier Williams' Modern India, 3rd ed.	264
9. Literary Remains of Dr. Theodore Goldstûcker—by A. B.	204	23. The Zend-Avesta, Part I. The Vendidad translated by James Darmosteter,—by E. W. West, Ph. D. ...	290
10. Hoernle's Comparative Grammar of the Gaudian Languages;—by R. R.	232	24. Das altindische-Neu und Voll-mondsopfer in seiner einfachsten form, von A. Hillebrandt, Ph. D., by A. B.	292
11. Oldenberg's Vinayapitakam;—by R. R.	233	25. Die Kirche der Thomascristen, v. Dr. W. Germann; and Medieval Missions by Dr. T. Smith. ...	312
12. Max Müller's Sanskrit Texts discovered in Japan;—by A. B.	233	26. Chinese Buddhism, by Rev. J. Edkins, D.D.	315
13. Miscellaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects by B. H. Hodgson, Esq., F.R.S.	234	27. R. Cust: Les Religions et les Langues de l'Inde Anglaise; and A Sketch of the Modern Languages of the East	317
14. Vie ou Légende de Gaudama le Bouddha des Birmanes, &c., par Monsi ^r . P. Bigandet, Trad. en Français, par V. Gervais. The Life or Legend of Gaudama, the Buddha of the Burmese, &c. by the Rt. Rev. P. Bigandet, Bishop of Ramathia	234	28. The Commentaries of the Great Afonso Dalboquerque, vols. I-III. (Hakluyt Society)	318
		29. Kaṇṇudi-Mahotsâha, by Râmachandra Bhikâji Gunjîkar and Kâśinâth Pandurang Parab, by Prof. Eggeling	318

ILLUSTRATIONS.

1. Śîlâra copper-plate grant dated Ś. 1016 sides I. and IIa.	33	15. vi. Inscription of Ansuvarman, dated Sam. 34	169
2. " " " sides IIb. and III.	34	16. vii. Rubbing and tracing of inscription of Ansuvarman, Sam. 39	170
3. Grant of Vira-Chôla, IIa. IIb. and Va. Vb.	46, 47	17. viii. Inscription of Ansuvarman, Sam. 45	171
4. Three Inscriptions at Aihole	71, 75	18. ix. Rubbing and tracing of inscription of Jishnugupta, Sam. 48	172
5. Inscription on a boulder at Bâṭâni, &c.	100	19. x. Inscription of Jishnugupta	173
6. Pallava Grant of Vijayabuddhavarmanâ	101	20. xi. Inscription of Jishnugupta	174
6. Pallava Grant of Attivarnâ	102, 103	21. xii. Rubbing and tracing of inscription of Śivadeva, Sam. 119	175
7. Western Chalukya Grant of Nagavardhana	124, 125	22. xiii. Inscription of Śivadeva, Sam. 143	176
8. Plan of the Khâzgar mound	154	23. xiv. Nepal Inscription, dated Sam. 145	177
8. Manikyala Excavations, &c.	155	24. xv. Inscription of Jayadeva, Sam. 153	178
9. i. Inscriptions of Mamadeva, parts i. and ii.	161	25. Copper-plate grant of Śîlâditya I. of Sam. 290 Pl. I. ...	238
10. " " " part iii.	165	26. " " " " " Plate II.	239
11. ii. Inscription of Jayavarman, Sam. 413	167	27. Kanheri Pahlavi Inscriptions, Nos. i. and ii.	265
12. iii. Inscription of Vasantasena, Sam. 435	167	28. " " " " " Nos. iii., iv., v.	266, 267
13. iv. Inscription dated Sam. 535	168	29. Western Chalukya Grant of Ambara	304
14. v. Inscription of Śivadeva	169		

ERRATA IN VOL. IX.

- p. 73a, note ⁵, last line, for ! read |
- p. 81a, l. 38, for *Sivasûtras* read *Sivasûtras*.
- p. 81b, last line, for *ti* read *ti*.
- „ note, „ „ „ „
- p. 87a, l. 8, for on authoritative read unauthoritative.
- p. 97, transcription l. 4, for *nûtna*, read *nûtna*.
- p. 100a, l. 22, insert a comma after P a l l a v a.
- p. 101, transcription l. 7, for *dêva-ku lassâ*, read *dêva-kulassa*.
- p. 118b, l. 30, for *pûranakambam* read *pârṇakambha*.
- p. 119a, note ⁴, l. 2, for Bhadrakâti read Bhadrakâti.
- „ note ⁴, l. 6, for Bakshira read Dakshina.
- p. 131a, note ⁴⁰, for *da(ḍha)kkâ*, read *da(ḍha)kkâ*.
- p. 145, l. 2, the Chinese letter is printed sideways : it should be thus 𑖀
- p. 145a, l. 13, for (*phalam*) read (*phalam*).
- p. 147a, last line, for (*kshantj*) read (*kshanti*).
- p. 147b, l. 2, for (*prajna*) read (*prajña*).
- „ l. 8, for (*sitavana*) read (*sitavana* or *sitavana*).
- p. 148b, l. 30, for *Silavana* read *Silavana*.
- „ last l., for *Śrāvasti* read *Śrāvasti*.
- p. 149a, l. 19, for Maholoma read Mahâloma.
- „ l. 24, for Mahatyaga read Mahâtyâga.
- p. 149b, l. 14, for Mahirakula read Mihirakula.
- p. 150a, l. 15, for *Chandan* read *Chandan*.
- „ ll. 30 and 43 for Lambâdies read Lambâdis.
- „ l. 33, for Kampalamma read Kāmpalamma.
- „ l. 36, for Kavêripuram read Kâveripuram.
- „ 145, for whether of the same read whether they are of the same.
- p. 150b, l. 34, for Dindigul read Dindigal.
- p. 151a, l. 34, for recent work *Turkestan*, read recent work on *Turkestan*.
- „ l. 37, for ramshorns read ram's horns.
- p. 151b, note ², for Taiyuanfu, read Tai-yuan-fu.
- p. 153b, l. 28, for Kouli and Tchikislar read Kâli and Chikislar.
- p. 192a, l. 29, for Matszendranâtha read Matsyêndranâtha.
- p. 194a, l. 14, from bottom, for Prithvinaragana, read Prithvinârâgana.
- „ l. 6, from bot. for Gîrvânâyuddhavik-rana read Gîrvânâyuddhavikrama.
- p. 231a, l. 2, for Persian Gulf accumulated read Persian Gulf, accumulated.
- „ l. 32, for Guptas he regards as dated from read Guptas, he regards as dating from.
- „ l. 34, for Huns whom read Huns, whom.
- „ l. 38, for follows and read follows, and.
- „ l. 44, for Houtem read Houtum.
- p. 231b, l. 47, for Rubistan read Kuhistan.
- p. 232a, ll. 42 and 43, for trained philologist by the scientific method and—read philologist trained by the scientific method, and
- p. 232b, l. 3, from bottom insert, after Gujârati
- p. 233a, l. 8, for Marâthi read Marâṭhi.
- „ l. 12, for its read their.
- p. 236a, l. 2, for known that read known, that.
- p. 254a, note ², for 18° 28' N. long. 55° 26' E. read 24° 55' N. long. 49° 11' E. (see p. 308).
- p. 286b, note ²⁴, l. 1, for from read form.
- „ „ l. 4, for Jaar tell read Jaartell.
- „ 287b, note ²⁵, l. 4, for i.e. read i.e.
- p. 288a, l. 7, for *pitaka* read *piṭaka*.
- „ l. 11, for *atthakathâ*, it read *atthakathâ*. It
- p. 289a, l. 10, for *Angas* read *Aṅgas*.
- „ l. 13, for Brâhmanys read Brâhmanas.
- „ l. 23, add commas after *Brâhmanya* and after *Itihâsa*.
- „ l. 36, for applies, as read applies. As
- „ 1. 49, for *anguttara-nikâya* read *aṅguttara-nikâya*.
- p. 289b, l. 6, for Gâtaka read Jâtaka.
- p. 290a, l. 12, for Viśravana read Vaiśravana.
- „ l. 14, for *Mahotsiha* read *mahotsiha*.
- p. 296b, note ², l. 5, for Arahus read Arahats.

THE INDIAN ANTIQUARY,

• • A JOURNAL OF ORIENTAL RESEARCH.

BENGALI FOLKLORE LEGENDS FROM DINAGEPORE.

BY THE LATE G. H. DAMANT, M.A.

1. *The Brâhman and the Merchant.*

IN a certain village lived a poor Brâhman who by begging all day collected half a seer of rice; whether he begged at ten houses or at one, or whether he remained at home, he still had half a seer of rice and nothing more, and he and his wife used to eat it. At the extremity of the village was a temple of Gaṇeśa where he used to worship Śiva and Durgâ. One day Śiva and Durgâ came down from heaven, and after visiting many places went to the temple of Gaṇeśa.

Durgâ said to Śiva, "This poor Brâhman has been worshipping you for a long time, show him a little favour to-day and free him from his poverty." Śiva hearing this said, "Gaṇeśa, the day after to-morrow before sunset give the poor Brâhman two lākhs of rupees." Gaṇeśa said he would do so, but the poor Brâhman heard nothing about it. In the village lived a very wealthy man who had that day lost one of his cows, and gone to look for it; a storm of rain happened to come on, and he went into the temple of Gaṇeśa, and overheard everything that Śiva and Durgâ said from the beginning to the end. When he came out he thought that he might as well buy the Brâhman's chance of receiving anything on the next day but one, so he went to the Brâhman's house and said, "Sir, will you sell me the chance of what you may receive the day after to-morrow?" The Brâhman considered that he only received half

a seer of rice daily and nothing more. So he agreed to sell his chance, and asked the merchant what he would give for it; the merchant said he could afford to give Rs. 15,000. The Brâhman then went to consult his wife, and she told him not to take less than a lākḥ. He then informed the merchant, who being under the impression that he would still gain a lākḥ agreed to give it him. Early the next morning the merchant weighed out the rupees, and sent men with them to the Brâhman's house, and he then went to the temple of Gaṇeśa. The whole day passed, but he received no money, and thought, "Do Śiva and Durgâ speak falsely, or is Gaṇeśa acting treacherously?" Thinking this he became very angry, and gave a kick at the temple door, and his foot went through, so that he could not extricate it. Some time after the merchant was caught in this way, Śiva and Durgâ came, and asked Gaṇeśa, "Has the Brâhman we spoke of received the two lākhs of rupees; have you paid him or not?" Gaṇeśa answered, "One lākḥ has been paid him this morning, the remaining lākḥ has not been paid, but the defaulter's foot is held fast in the door." The merchant hearing this thought that he had already paid the Brâhman one lākḥ, and would be obliged to give him another, or his foot would not be released, so he ordered some of the people who lived with him to take another lākḥ to the Brâhman's house. His foot was then released. The Brâhman took his two lākhs of rupees, and lived in wealth and

happiness. If God wishes to give a man anything, no one can tell in what way he will give it.

2. *Adi's Wife.*

In a certain country there lived a gentleman's son named Adi; when his father died he left him a large sum of money, but Adi spent the whole of the money and sold his house. He then said to his wife, "There is nothing more left, what are we to do? I have not a single two-anna piece, I must go and take service in some country far away; as it will be mean service my relations will not see me there, and so I shall not be ashamed. I am now going to look for work, but must first tell you how you are to manage matters while I am away. In the first place you will have to buy back all the gardens, houses, and other things which belonged to my father; and in the next place a son must be born to me." With these words Adi departed.

Now Adi's father had borrowed some money from a man for the expenses of his marriage, so the man came to Adi's wife, and said, "Where is Adi? His father, who is dead, borrowed some money of me for his marriage; produce Adi that he may pay me." Adi's wife answered that he had gone to another country, and she knew nothing about the money. Now she was extremely beautiful, and the man thought if he could get her he would want no more money, so he determined to lay a complaint that very day before the Kotwal, saying that Adi's father had borrowed money of him to marry his son, and that Adi had gone away, so there was no one left but his wife, and as Adi's father had spent the money on the marriage of his son, he was entitled to the son's wife. The Kotwal replied, he was entitled to her, and she should be summoned to appear before him, so he sent a pyada with orders to bring Adi's wife. The pyada went to her, and said, "A complaint has been made against you, and the Kotwal has summoned you." So Adi's wife dressed her hair, and put on her clothes, and went in a palki to the Kotwal's Court, but she ordered the palki to be put down at a little distance from it, and sent word to the Kotwal, that she did not appear in public, and could not come into Court, so she would be obliged by his coming to her, and talking over the case. When the Kotwal received her message, he went to the palki and said, "Open the screen of the palki, and tell me

what you have to say." Then she opened the screen, and when the Kotwal saw Adi's wife, he determined he would drive away the man, and keep her as his own wife. So he said to the man: "Go to the person to whom you lent the money, and get it from him; who ever heard of getting a woman instead of money? go, you scoundrel, you will have nothing here."

So he drove the man away, and came and invited Adi's wife to go home with him. She said, "I have no other friend but you; give me 200 rupees, and when I have paid all my debts, I will come to your house. When I send for you, or you receive any letter from me, you must come to my house." She then took the two hundred rupees from the Kotwal, and went home, and gave orders that her father's ruined house should be rebuilt.

In the meantime the man laid another complaint before the Nazir, and the Nazir summoned Adi's wife. She put on finer clothes than before, and went in a palki to the Nazir's Court, and when the Nazir saw her beauty, he was astonished. She said to him, "Sir, I belong to you since my husband has gone away; you are my only friend." When the Nazir heard this, he went and drove away the man, and then invited Adi's wife to come to his house, but she answered, "My husband has incurred some debts, lend me 500 rupees, and when I have paid everything, I will come; but whenever I send you word, you must come to my house." Then she took the money and went home. After this, the man, thinking it was useless trying again in that place, laid a complaint before the Wazir of the country. The Wazir summoned Adi's wife, and the moment he saw her face he became senseless with wonder. Then he determined to make her his wife, so he first drove away the man, and then told her he wished to marry her. She replied, "My husband has gone to another country leaving some debts unpaid; if you will give me 1000 rupees, I will pay them, and then I can come to your house." So she took the money, and as she was going away, she said, "Come to my house whenever I send you word."

The man finding there was no hope of redress from the Wazir, laid a complaint before the King, who also summoned Adi's wife. She put on her very best clothes, and went to the King, and when he saw her astonishing beauty, he

determined to make her his queen. He then ordered the man to be driven from the country, and went to Adi's wife, and said, "I wish to marry you, do you agree?" She replied, "I am very much honoured by your wishing to marry me, and make me your queen, but I am acting as agent for my husband, and if you will give me 2000 rupees, I will pay off my debts, and then come to your house." So the King gave the money, and she went home, and had a wardrobe made by a carpenter with four compartments, which she put in her house. She then invited the Kotwal, the Nazir, the Wazir and the King, and told the Kotwal to come at midnight, the Nazir at one o'clock, the Wazir at two o'clock, and the King at three o'clock. So the Kotwal came at midnight, and Adi's wife treated him very politely, and they were talking together, when the Nazir sent word to say he was coming; the Kotwal was alarmed at that, and said "What am I to do? where can I go?" She replied, "I have no place to hide you unless you can get into this wardrobe." The Kotwal said: "Very well, that is the best place, fasten me up quick." So Adi's wife fastened him in, and the Nazir arrived and sat down till two o'clock, when the Wazir came and knocked at the door. The Nazir recognized his voice, and exclaimed: "Find some place to save me from this misfortune." She said she would hide him, but there was no place except the wardrobe, so the Nazir agreed, and she fastened him up in the second compartment. She then invited the Wazir to enter, and made him sit down.

Nearly an hour passed while she was preparing something to eat, when the King came, and as the Wazir was very much alarmed, she concealed him in the third compartment of the wardrobe, and then received the King with great respect. While she was preparing some food for him, she went out of the room, and told one of her servants to put on her husband's clothes, and after a short time to come and knock at the door. The servant did as he was told, and the King hearing the knock enquired who it was, when the woman replied it was her husband. The King said: "I must conceal myself." Adi's wife answered—"I will hide you, but there is no place except this wardrobe; whatever is done must be done quickly." So the King was put into the wardrobe, and Adi's wife placed a purdah over it, and put out the candle, and went to sleep.

The next day everybody was much surprised that the King, Wazir, Nazir, and Kotwal did not come to court at the usual time. In the meanwhile Adi's wife sent her servants with the wardrobe into the bazaar to offer it for sale for four lākhs of rupees. Now the sons of the King, Wazir, Nazir, and Kotwal were wandering about the city, each in search of his father, and when they reached the bazaar, they heard a man crying—"A wardrobe to be sold for four lākhs of rupees." The King's son said—"What is the meaning of this? Nobody can buy it but ourselves, so send for the money and take it." They did so, and one of them took the key, and opened one compartment, and found his father, and the three others did the same, so they all felt very much ashamed, and went home.

Adi's wife then took a band of singers, and wandered from country to country, till she came to a place where her husband was a servant in the King's palace. The singers were invited to the palace, but Adi's wife remained at home to take care of her property while all the others went away to perform a *nāch*. As she was alone, they requested the King to send a trustworthy servant to guard the property. Now, the King had no other trustworthy servant but Adi, so he sent him, and he went and kept guard in the usual way. His wife recognized him, and asked, "Where do you live, and whose son are you?" So he told her, and she was sure he was her husband, but he did not recognize her. Then she called him in, and the next morning gave him two hundred rupees, and told him she had no further need of his services. After this, she left that country, and went home, and some time after a son was born. Now when Adi was with her, he had put a ring on her finger, and about a year afterwards he returned home, and found all his father's property had increased fourfold, and a son had been born, at which he was very angry. His wife was much distressed, and said, "Sir, why are you angry? I have done what should please you." Adi replied: "It is a very wonderful thing: I have been out of the country, and a son has been born!" Then she told him—"He is your son," and showed him the ring.

3. *The Prince and his two Wives.*

There was once a king named Dharmasīla, who was nearly forty years old, but he had neither son nor daughter. One night he said

to his wife, queen Sukhāvati, "Up to the present time, God has given us no son who might take care of our kingdom and perform our funeral rites when we are dead." So thinking all their kingdom and wealth were worthless to them, they invited all the Brāhmins and beggars from every country, and gave them handsome presents, and sent them away. From that time forth the king and queen began to worship Mahādēv. After a while the queen had a son, and the king was so rejoiced that he gave almost all his remaining wealth to the Brāhmins. When the ceremony of giving his son the first rice had been performed, the king began to bring him up with the greatest care, and when he was eight years old, he married him to the daughter of another king. Then, in order that he might not be deficient in learning, the king sent his son to a school, which was distant about ten or twelve days' journey. While the son was studying at the school, it happened one day that the king and queen both died. The son's wife performed their funeral ceremonies, and ordered the diwan to let the king's son know the ill tidings, in order that he might come and rule his kingdom. The diwan said, "Yes, I will send him a letter immediately"; but he merely spoke with his lips, and did not write the letter. After three or four years, when he had ruined the kingdom and wasted the treasure, the diwan said to the prince's wife, "I am continually writing letters to your husband telling him to come and rule his kingdom, but he does not mind me, and by this time the kingdom is ruined, and the treasure spent; so now I ask you to let me go to some other king, and support myself." Then the diwan and the other amlah left the capital, and went each to their own home. The princess continued to live there for some time, and supported herself by selling her ornaments and house, and when they were all gone, she built a thatched hut, and began to live by begging. At last she could no longer endure such hardships, and having given up all hope of her husband's return, she left the place, and begging as she went along, in two or three months' time reached her father's house. Then she told him that her father-in-law and mother-in-law and husband were all dead; and when her father and mother heard it, they wept exceedingly in sorrow for their son-in-law. The princess put

on a widow's dress, and continued to live in her father's house.

After some time the prince having finished his education, returned to his own country, and found that the king's palace had utterly disappeared, and there was nothing to be seen but a thatched hut. Then he enquired of the people of the town, and when he learnt what had happened, he began to cry. After a little while he stopped crying, and determined to go to his father-in-law's house, and see how his wife was, and whether she would remember him or not. So he cut a stick, and supported himself by begging on the journey, and, after two or three months, arrived at his father-in-law's kingdom. Then he sold his stick, and gave up begging, and gained his living by collecting fruits and other things in the forest, and selling them. The prince had undergone so much hardship that no one could recognize him. It happened one day that he had brought some plums from the forest, and was selling them in the bazaar, when one of the princess' servants bought, and took them to the princess. The princess having eaten them, thought them so good that she ordered her servant to bring some more of the same sort on the following day. The prince went early the next morning to the plum tree, and having taken a branch from it, was on his way to sell it in the bazaar, when the servant of the princess called to him, and said, "The princess praised your plums very much yesterday, so come now to the side door, and bring your plums, and you will be well paid for them." So the prince took up his plums, and followed the servant to the side door, and the servant agreed she would give four annas for them; so she took them, and went away.

Now the princess was in love with the kôtwal of the town, and he used to come to her house and return home, and no one knew anything about it; so the princess having eaten some of the plums herself, put some aside for her friend the kôtwal. When the kôtwal came in the evening as usual, he ate the plums, and then said to the princess, "I am very thirsty, give me some water to drink." The princess replied, "Where can I find water at this time? There is however a cocoanut tree near the house door, if you could get a young cocoanut from it, you could quench your thirst,

but I cannot find any one to fetch it now." After some time she remembered that she had never paid the plum-seller, and he was still standing at the side-door; then she sent her servant to call him and tell him that he would receive four annas for his plums, and four annas more if he would get a young cocoanut from the tree, and that he was to come for the eight annas early the next morning. So the prince agreed and fetched the young cocoanut from the tree, and the city kôtwal drank its water and quenched his thirst. After this, the princess was disturbed in her sleep by a leg of the bed breaking, so she thought, "If the plum-seller will come and sit under the bed and support it like a leg, I will give him another four annas; so that he will receive twelve annas in the morning." She sent a message to him by her servant, and he agreed and came into the princess' house, and sat under the bed like a leg. Then he began to reproach himself, saying, "Good God! it is written in my ill fate that I am to sit under this bed and support it like a leg while my wife sleeps on it." So he was much troubled in his mind. When the morning came the plum-seller thought, "If I stop here for my money, the princess will recognize me, so I will conceal myself and see what she will do;" then he went away.

That day the king's diwân met him, and when he saw his appearance he thought that he must be the son of some great person, and being much pleased with his conversation, he said to him, "Come and live in my house and I will support you," so the prince went to the diwân's house and lived there. Now the diwân had neither son nor daughter, so he treated the plum-seller as if he were his own son. After sometime the diwân said to him, "You can read and write very well, you must come with me every day to the king's court and write in my office." From that day forward he went to the office, and wrote; but one day, after the office was closed, the prince was going home with the diwân, when the princess saw him from the top of the house and knew that he was her husband, so she was very much disturbed and began to reflect on what she should do; but being in love with the kôtwal, she had no mercy on her husband, and determined to have him killed and his body thrown away, that she might live with the kôtwal without annoyance. When she had

determined on this, she called her servant and said to her, "The boy who was walking behind the diwân came in front of the house, and looked and winked his eye at me. Now, go and tell my father all about it, and say that he must cut the boy in pieces and send his blood to me, and if he will not do so, I will kill myself." So the servant went and told the king, and when the king heard of it, he sent a messenger to seize the diwân and the boy. The messenger went quickly as he was ordered by the king, and seized the diwân and the boy, and the king told them what he had heard from the princess' servant. Then the prince began to cry, and the king seeing this, felt pity for him, and he remembered that the princess had only wished to see his blood and did not ask for his head, so she would be satisfied if the blood of some animal were shown her, and the boy might be banished to another country. He determined on doing this, and calling a messenger, gave him his instructions privately. Then the messenger took the boy down to the ghât where bodies were burnt and said to him, "The princess' life will be saved if you are cut in pieces and your blood given to her, and she has given orders that this is to be done, but I will save you; you must fly from this kingdom, and I will kill a dog and give its blood to the princess." So saying, the messenger let the boy go, and killed a dog and put its blood in a pot and gave it to the princess. When she saw it, she was very much pleased and said laughing, "I was always sending you letters to come to me and you never came, and now you have paid the penalty for all the trouble you caused me. How do you feel now? I will have your blood given to a crow." She then ordered a servant to give it to a crow, and when the crow had drunk it, her anger was appeased, and she lived at ease with the kôtwal. In the meantime the king's son left the kingdom in tears, and gained his livelihood by begging. At last he went back to his own country, and lived in the thatched hut, and when he laid down and fell asleep, his father appeared to him in a dream, and said, "My son, why do you suffer such hardships? Whilst I was alive, I lent your father-in-law seven crores of rupees, and he gave me a bond engraved on a copper plate. I put this bond into a tin box and buried it under a champak tree; go and dig it up and obtain the money." When he had said this, he departed.

In a little while the prince woke up, and believing in what had been told him in the dream, he borrowed a spade from a neighbour and began to dig under the champak tree. After digging for some time he found the tin box, and inside was the bond for seven crores of rupees; he read it through, and saw that it was all correct. He then took the bond to the house of his diwān and showed it to him, telling him to provide 500 sepoy and 500 carts, that they might take the bond to his father-in-law's house and bring back seven crores of rupees, and if he would not give the money, the sepoys were to take him prisoner. When the diwān saw the bond he was very much pleased, and provided sepoys and carts and sent them to the king's palace with a letter and the bond; and after they had shown it him, they said, "If you do not give us the money, we will seize you and take you away." When the king saw the letter and the bond, he thought a little, and then went to the queen and showed them to her, and calling his daughter he said to her, "Child, why did you tell me your husband was dead? He has just written to me, and sent for seven crores of rupees. Why did you give this false account of his death?" Both the king and queen began to abuse her, and when the princess learnt her husband was alive and heard all the abuse which was bestowed on her, she was very much troubled, and began to think the man who had been cut in pieces and whose blood she had given to the crow, could not have been her husband but some one else. So the princess kept thinking over the best thing to be done. At last the king with a great deal of trouble collected four crores of rupees and gave them to the sepoys, and promised to give the other three crores in a month's time. After some persuasion the sepoys took the four crores and an answer to the letter, and gave them to the prince. The prince then restored the old diwān to his office, and ordered him to buy a kingdom and palace like the former ones. According to the orders he received the diwān, in a month's time, bought a palace and estate, and they began to rule the kingdom happily. In the course of a month the sepoys brought the remaining three crores of rupees, and the prince bought a still larger estate than before.

Some time after this, the prince thought he would like to be married, so he told the diwān

of his intention, and ordered him to take care of the kingdom while he was away, and he further ordered him to kill a jackal and give it to him. The diwān ordered the sepoys to bring a jackal, and they went into the wood and brought one and gave it to the prince, who sent for a skinner, who took out the inside and dried the skin in the sun. Then the prince put inside the jackal's skin many kinds of gold, pearls, and jewelled ornaments, and a beautifully embroidered dress, and sewed it up. He then gave the diwān authority to manage his kingdom, and taking the jackal's skin, some elephants, and horses, and many soldiers with much treasure, he started to find a wife. He went from the court of one king to the court of another, till at last he reached the country of a king who had a very beautiful daughter. She was twelve years old and unmarried; this he learnt from the talk of the people, and he determined on staying there. At the same time he thought if he appeared at the king's court in such state, the princess could not refuse to marry him, so, in order that he might discover whether she were virtuous or good for nothing, he determined to put her to the test before marrying her. He then concealed his soldiers, elephants, and horses, and rubbed ashes on his body, putting on only a small piece of cloth and the jackal skin on his shoulders, and wore the dress of a madman. He ordered his soldiers to come and protect him whenever he should call out and say "Forward," and having made these arrangements, he went away, and began to wander about like a madman. After wandering in this state for two or three days, the people of the city began to say, "Whence has this madman come?" and the rumour reached the ears of the king. Now the people of that country had never seen a madman before, so the king wished to look at him, and ordered a messenger to go and bring him into his presence. When he was come, the king heard what he had to say, and the king and all his court began to laugh at him. Then the madman did still more mad things, and began to joke with the king; and the servants saw him and told the princess about him, so that she became very anxious to see him. At noon when the king came into the palace to eat his breakfast, she began to cry, and said she wanted to see the madman. The

king thought, "How can I bring the madman inside the palace, and yet I must, for the child is crying for him." So he ordered a servant to promise the madman some good things to eat, and to bring him inside. The servant went to the madman and said, "Come in with me and I will give you good things to eat," and enticed him in several ways, so that at last he came; and when he saw the princess he did more mad things, so that she could not stop laughing. Then the princess called the madman into her own part of the house, and told him to stop there and she would give him some sweetmeats; but he said, "What are sweetmeats, how shall I eat them?" and did more mad things. At night he lay down at the door of the princess' room, and slept there till the next morning. When the princess had bathed, she took husked rice, plantains, sugar, and flowers in her hand, and was going to worship Śiva, but the madman was lying at the door, so she could not pass out. She told him to go away from the door, but he told her to step over his body; the princess tried to persuade him to go away from the door, but he only did mad things, and in the meanwhile the time for worshipping Śiva was passing by. The princess began to consider what she should do, when the madman said, "If you will promise to give me whatever I ask, I will go away from the door." The princess without thinking promised three times to give him whatever he asked, so he went away. Then the princess went into the temple to worship Śiva, and when she had finished, she came back and called for the madman and said to him, "Now, tell me what you want." He replied, "Will you really give me what I ask?" and she promised to do so. Then he did more mad things, and laughing, said, "Princess, you must marry me." When she heard that she beat her forehead with her hand and began to cry, and crying a great deal she said, "God has written a mad husband on my forehead, and although I have worshipped Śiva constantly till now, yet he has given me a mad husband," so saying, she fell on the bed insensible and went to sleep. Then the image of Śiva appeared to her in a dream and said, "Princess, I have given you the best of husbands, he is no madman, but has put on a madman's dress to prove you, he is a king's

son, you must not dislike him, and you will presently know his real condition." When the princess had heard this, she woke up and told the madman that she would take him for her husband. As soon as the king and queen knew it, they were very much troubled, and the queen, weeping and beating her head with her hands, said, "It was always my greatest wish that our daughter should marry a king's son, and have a prince for a son, and be the mother of a king, but God has made my hope of no avail." Then the princess came and said, "I have obtained what was written in my fate, now bid me farewell for I must go with the madman." She saluted her father and mother, tied up her ornaments in a bundle, and put them under her arm, and started with the madman. He put the dried jackal's skin on his shoulder, and went in front, and the princess followed behind. After they had gone some distance, the madman asked her what was in the bundle under her arm, and she said it was her jewellery, so the madman said, "If you wish to go with me, you must throw away all your clothes and ornaments and come naked, otherwise go back to your father." The princess would not take off her clothes and ornaments, but continued to follow the madman; presently he turned round, and saw that she had not thrown away her dress and ornaments, so he began to beat her with the jackal's skin. A labourer saw him and went and told the king, so the king ordered a messenger to take some soldiers, and drive the madman away and bring his daughter home. The soldiers went as quick as they could, but the madman saw them from afar, and called out, "Where are my soldiers and elephants and horses? Come forward." When they heard this, all his army and elephants and horses came, and beat the king's soldiers, and drove them away; then the madman tore open the jackal's skin, and made the princess wear his ornaments and dress. He also took off the madman's dress, and put on a king's robe, and went with his army to his own kingdom, where he lived with the princess.

One day the king laughed, and said to the princess, "I have another wife, who is the daughter of a certain king, and still lives in her father's house." Then the princess said to her husband, "I am alone in the house and have no one to talk and walk with, send off a palki

and bearers to-morrow morning, and bring her here, then we shall be able to talk together and live happily." So the king wrote a letter to his father-in-law, and sent off the palki and bearers. When they arrived at the king's palace, they gave the letter to the king; he read it and went in and told the queen, and they agreed that their daughter must be sent, so they took leave of her. While in the palki the princess began to think that if the prince had heard of her bad conduct he would kill her as soon as she arrived; but afterwards she grew more bold, and thought he could not possibly know anything about it. So she came to her journey's end, and the two wives were introduced to each other. The eldest wife lived in one house and the youngest in another; but the king always used to sit with the youngest, and not only would not sit with the eldest, but would not even look at her. One day the second wife said to the king, "You have been to sit with me every day for nearly a month, and you have never been once to see your first wife; what is the cause of this?" The king replied, "I have a reason for it which I will tell you afterwards." Some time passed when one night the second wife said to the king, "To-morrow is the day for bathing in the Ganges; I and the other wife wish to go and bathe, so you must give us 100 rupees each." The king promised to do so, and put up in two bags 100 rupees for the second wife, and 100 rupees less twelve annas for the first wife, and giving the bags to a servant, he told her to take the first bag to the second wife and the other to the first wife. When the second wife opened her bag she counted the money and found there was 100 rupees, but the other wife found there was twelve annas short of 100 rupees in her bag. The

second wife said "He has given me 100 rupees, he must have given you twelve annas short by mistake; the king will give you the other twelve annas when he comes in at noon to breakfast." After the two queens had bathed, the second went to cook for the king, and the other went on the roof of the house to dry her hair in the sun. When the cooking was finished, the king came and had his breakfast, and whilst the second wife was giving him his betelnut, she asked him why he had given the other wife twelve annas short of 100 rupees. The king said, "She owes me twelve annas, so I have deducted it." The queen enquired how that could be, and the king answered, "When the queen was in her father's house I was a plum seller, and she bought four annas worth of plums from me but did not pay for them, and in the evening, because the city kôwal was thirsty, I climbed a cocoanut tree and brought down a young cocoanut, and for that she promised me another four annas; and again, when she was sleeping in the night, it happened that one of the legs of the bed broke, so I sat underneath and supported it with my head, and for this I was promised another four annas, twelve annas altogether; this sum I have deducted from what I gave her." When the first queen heard what was said, she jumped from off the top of the house, and was killed.

The second queen was running to pick her up, but the king forbid her, saying, "It is not your business to pick up that wretch." Nevertheless the queen went and found she was dead. Then the king called her relations, and had the first queen burnt, and her funeral ceremonies performed, and began to rule happily over the kingdom with the second queen.¹

REPORT ON THE ANCIENT INSCRIPTIONS IN THE NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCE OF CEYLON.

BY DR. E. MÜLLER, ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEYOR.

The inscriptions in the North-Western Province belong, with a few exceptions, to the first four centuries of the Christian era; they are nearly all engraved on rocks and written in the square or so-called Nāgarī character, which is

only a slight modification of the Aśoka alphabet. They are dispersed all over the province, sometimes in places very little known, and I am therefore very doubtful about the completeness of my collection. •

¹ Mr. Gaybois Henry Damant, M.A., B.C.S., late Deputy Commissioner of the Nāga Hills, came out to India in 1869. He has been a valuable contributor to this Journal ever

since its commencement. Immediately after sending us the above three legends, to our great regret, he was killed by the rebel Mozama Nāgas, in Mozama, 14th October 1879.

According to tradition, the first settlement of the Gangetic tribes was at *Tambapanni Nuwara* or *Tammana Nuwara*, seven miles from Puttalam. *Wijaya* is said to have landed there, and to have taken his way from there to *Anurādhapura*. The ruins, however, that are to be found at *Tammana Nuwara* are undoubtedly of a much later date, and the whole story of *Wijaya*, as given in the *Mahāwamsa*, being more mythological than historical, I do not believe that the mere coincidence of name proves anything.

Tambapanni, or *Tāmrāparṇi* in Sanskrit, was originally the name of a river in Tinnevely, Southern India (conf. Lassen *de Taprobane insula veteribus cognita*, p. 6; Caldwell, *Comp. Grammar of the Dravidian Languages*, Introd. p. 120), and was most probably also applied to a river by the immigrants when they first came over from the continent to Ceylon. Which river that was, is difficult to say, but I do not think that it can have been the *Mi-oya* nor any other river that is to the south of *Anurādhapura*. According to the *Mahāwamsa* p. 50, the first settlements of the followers of *Wijaya* were *Anurādhapura*, *Upatissa Nuwara* (the modern *Tantrimale*), *Wijitapura* near the *Kalawewa* tank, and *Urnwela*, of which the position cannot be made out with certainty. Now, *Anurādhapura* and *Upatissa Nuwara* are both on the *Kadaamba* river (*Malwatta-oya*), and *Upatissa Nuwara* was the seat of Government before *Anurādhapura*, so that it seems most likely that the immigrants came up this river from the sea coast. I therefore believe that if the ruins of *Tammana Nuwara* are ever to be found, they will be found a considerable distance to the north of Puttalam.

I now proceed to the inscriptions. The oldest that I found here, as elsewhere, were the cave inscriptions. In a historical sense they offer no peculiar interest; the names that occur are nearly always the same, mostly *Abhaya* and *Tisa*, which I have found more than a hundred times in inscriptions of this province, they do not contain any other name that, with our present knowledge of old Sinhalese history, we can identify. For philological purposes, however, these names are of great interest, and therefore I give here a few specimens of the cave inscriptions:—

(1.) *Wirandagoda*, five miles to the

north of the road from Puttalam to *Anurādhapura*, turning off at the 17th mile-post. There are four inscriptions close to a temple which, according to tradition, was built by prince *Sāli Kumāra*, the son of *Dutthagāmiṇi*, about 137 B. C. To judge from the inscriptions, I do not think that this can be correct, as they contain blunders which generally do not occur in inscriptions older than the 2nd century A.D.

I put in brackets and italics the words or letters that are missing:—

I. *Jotiteraha [putaha] mahāsūdasano upasaka Tisaya teraha nagalene*—

‘The large and beautiful rock cave of the *thera* *Tisa*, son of *thera* *Joti*.’

Upasaka, ‘lay-devotee,’ which I have omitted in the translation, is the contrary of *thera*, and therefore cannot be applied to the same person; one or the other must stand by mistake.

II. *Parunaka Sumanā putāḥa Chudā Sumanā cha bata Tisagutaha cha [tulisa] lene sagasa*—

‘The cave of *Tisaguta*, son of the Brahman *Sumanā*, brother of *Chudāsumanā* [*is given*] to the priesthood of the four quarters.’

Chudāsumanā is a name similar to *Chudānāga* (*Mah.* 225) given to distinguish him from his grandfather, *Mahallaka Nāga*.

The two remaining inscriptions are of no peculiar interest.

(2.) *Gallena wihāra*, four miles to the west from *Mahāgalkaḍawala* on the road from *Padeniya* to *Anurādhapura*. There I found five inscriptions very much alike as to their contents, but of which one is at least two centuries older than the others. It runs as follows:

Dewānapiya maharāja Gāmaṇi Abhayasa putā Tisayasa mahālāne agata [a] nagata chatudisa sagasa—

‘The great cave of *Tisa*, the son of the great king, beloved of the gods, *Gāmiṇi Abhaya*, [*is given*] to the priesthood of the four quarters, present and absent.’

These names seem to be easy enough to identify, but unfortunately neither *Dutthagāmiṇi* nor *Amandagāmiṇi* nor *Gajabāhu* had a son of the name of *Tisa*. To judge from the shape of the letters the inscription must belong to the first century B. C. The other four shew a remarkable difference in the language, as they write throughout *a* instead of *ā* and *b* instead of *bh*; that is to say, they must have been engraved at a time when the long vowels and aspirated

consonants had entirely disappeared from the language.

(3.) *Paramakanda*, one mile from Tonigala on the road from Puttalam to Kurunægala (cf. *Journal Ceylon Asiatic Society*, 1855, p. 181 ff.) :—

Parumaka Abaya puta parumaka Tisaha Dutaka [*lenc*].

'The cave of the brahmin Tisa Dutaka. . . . son of the Brahman Abhaya.'

This most probably refers to Dutthagāmiṇi, and is, as far as I know, the only inscription in which he is called by his full name. It might be objected that the relationship is not given correctly, as, according to the *Mahāwanṣo*, Dutthagāmiṇi was the son of [Kākawanna] Tisa and grandson of [Golu] Abhaya, but these inaccuracies occur frequently in inscriptions, as I have shewn in my last report, *Ind. Ant.* vol. VIII. p. 226.

Inscriptions similar to these are to be found at Mailāwa and Giribāwa, five miles from Gallena wihāra; at Galāwa wihāra, two miles from Wariyapola; at Mulagama and Labugala, six miles from Anamadua (cf. *Journal Ceylon Asiatic Society*, 1853, p. 82); at Pichchhandiyāwa, two miles from Mulagama; at Gallāwa wihāra near Mediyāwā; at Ganekande wihāra near Mahānikawawa; at Nagolla wihāra, Petiyagala, Kabbalena, all three near Rambawāwa on the road from Kurunægala to Anurādhapura; and at Patahamulla near Hiripitiya on the same road.

Besides these short cave inscriptions, there is one of some length engraved flat on the rock, which doubtless belongs to the same period, as it bears the name of Dutthagāmiṇi. It is that at Tonigala, about fourteen miles from Puttalam on the road to Kurunægala (cf. *Journal Ceylon Asiatic Society*, 1853, p. 81). As far as I know, this is the only inscription in the Island which can be proved with certainty to be previous to the Christian era; for that at Rukam (Battikaloa) which was published in the proceedings of the *Ceylon Asiatic Society*, 1870-1, p. xxviii., although it bears the name of Dutthagāmiṇi's grandfather [Golu] Abhaya, the son of Yattthalaka Tisa, shews by the shape of its letters that it must be of later date.

The inscription at Tonigala has two different versions, of which one is engraved near

the tank Kuḍawāwa, the other in the jungle a quarter of a mile off :—

(a) Parumaka Abaya puta parumaka Tisaha wapi Achagirika Tisa pawatahi agata anagata chatudisa sagasa dino—Dewanapi maharaja Gamiṇi Abaya niyate Achanagara cha [Tawī]-rikiya nagaraka cha parumaka Abaya puta parumaka Tisa niyata pite rajaha agata anagata chatudisa sagasa.

'The tank of [Kākawanna] Tisa, the son of Abhaya, at the mountain of Achagirika Tisa, is given to the priesthood of the four quarters present and absent. The great king, beloved of the gods, Gāmiṇi Abhaya, ordered: Achanagara and Tawirikiyanagara, which have been established by my father king Tisa, son of king Abhaya, [*are given*] to the priesthood of the four quarters, present and absent.'

(b) Parumaka Abaya puta parumaka Tisa niyate Ima wapi Achagirika Tisa pawatahi agata anagata chatudisasagasa—Dewanapi maharaje Gamiṇi Abaya niyate Achanagara cha Tawirikiyanagara cha Achagirika Tisa pawatahi agata anagata chatudisa sagasa—Parumaka Abaya puta parumaka Tisaha wisara niyate pite.

'King Tisa, son of king Abhaya, ordered: This tank at the Achagirika Tisa mountain is given to the priesthood of the four quarters present and absent. The great king, beloved of the gods, Gāmiṇi Abhaya, ordered: Achanagara and Tawirikiyanagara [*are given*] to the priesthood in the four quarters present and absent. The tank of king Tisa, son of king Abhaya, is established by my father.'

The contents of the inscription are not quite clear, although the words cannot easily be mistaken. As far as we learn from the *Mahāwanṣo*, Kākawanna Tisa was only king of Māgama, and never entered the northern kingdom, which was then governed by a Tamil sovereign. We therefore cannot but assume that this inscription was engraved by his son Dutthagāmiṇi at the time when he conquered the north, instead of a monument for his dead father, and that the names in the inscription do not at all refer to the locality where it is. The tank mentioned is most probably the Dūratissa tank in Rohana mentioned several times in the *Mahāwanṣo*, but not yet identified. About the other names I cannot yet venture to express an opinion.

The alphabet in which this inscription is

written is the oldest form of the Aśoka character, only for *s* we always find the Greek digamma, as described in Goldschmidt's report, *Ind. Ant.* vol. VI. p. 318. I however believe that this is quite accidental, and that there is no difference of sound between the two *s*'s, as in other inscriptions equally old—for instance, that at Gallena—we find the round form throughout.

Regarding the language of this inscription, we find in (b) several instances of the ancient Māgadhi nominative in *e* as *raje*, *pīle*, *niyate*; this termination is also used for the feminine gender in *wapi*.....*dine*. *Niyate* I have translated first by 'ordered,' afterwards by 'established,' according to the context. It has the same meaning in Pāli; for instance, "*porānurājanīyatana pañna-kammāna*," 'meritorious practices established by former kings.' (*Mah.* 213.) *Wisara* in the last line of (b) stands probably for *wapisara* or *warisara*, which occurs frequently in later inscriptions.

We now proceed to the inscriptions of the first centuries of the Christian era. They are much more numerous than the oldest, but as the letters are not cut very deep, some of them are much damaged by rain, and besides they are full of mistakes and clerical errors of all kinds.

The alphabet in which they are written is that of the Western caves, as given in Prinsep's *Essays*, but in addition to this I found several letters which apparently do not occur in India. It is to this period that the inscriptions belong of *Habara* and *Tissamahārāma* (now in the Colombo Museum), which were published by Dr Goldschmidt in his last report, but none of the inscriptions in the North-Western Province are so well preserved as these. Also the large inscription at *Mihintale* is of the same date—not that which is alluded to in Alwis's *Introduction to the Sidatsangarāma*, p. xxxvi., and translated by Armour in the *Ceylon Almanac* for 1834, and which is much later, but that which was published by Captain Chapman in 1850. Goldschmidt ascribed this inscription to *Gajabāhu Gāmiṇi* (cf. his *Report*, *Ind. Ant.* vol. VI. p. 319), but I think it belongs to *Meghawaṇṇa Abhaya* (A.D. 248), not only because the king mentioned is said to be the grandson of king *Tisa*, which alone would not be conclusive, but because it is stated that he repaired the abode of *Mahidatara* and *Badusalatara* at

Mihintale, just as we find it related concerning *Meghawaṇṇa Abhaya* in *Mahāvamsa*, p. 232.

The finest specimen of these inscriptions is that from the *Ruwanweli dāgoba*, Anurādhapura, now in the Colombo Museum, of which I annex a copy and translation, as it has never been published:—

(1) *Sidha Wahaba rajaha manumaraka T[i]sa maharajaha puti maharaja*

(2) *Gayabāhu Gāmiṇi Abayo Dakiṇi Abaya araba wihera karaya wa rakawiya*

(3) *bajika patisawanak tiri koṭa papa(?) takarahiya Jina patisatara*

(4) *Koṭa dine dakapati bikusagaha aṭaya chatari paceni paribujanak koṭa dine.*

'Hail! The great king *Gajabāhu Gāmiṇi Abhaya*, grandson of king *Wahaba*, son of king *Tisa*, having built (or restored) the *Dakṣiṇa Abhaya* and other *wihāras*, and having protected them, made them inhabited (?), having strengthened the faith, having made obeisance to the faultless Jina, after having given [*the wihāras*], he gave to the priesthood the enjoyment of the four *pratyayas*.'

According to *Mch.* p. 206, the *Dakṣiṇa* and *Abhaya*[*giri*] *wihāras* were already built under the reign of *Waṭṭagāmiṇi*, B.C. 89, so that we must either assume that *Gajabāhu* was also one of the names of *Waṭṭagāmiṇi*, or that *karaya* is to be translated 'restored,' not 'built.' The latter seems more probable, as the names of *Gajabāhu*'s father and grandfather are given, and from the whole appearance of the inscription in general.

Among the inscriptions in the North-Western Province, one of the oldest is at *Pūjāgala* three miles from *Hiiripitiya* (on the road from *Kurunagala* to *Anurādhapura*) on the top of a rock. It bears the name of a son of the minister *Mahānāga*, but there are too many words and letters effaced to allow of a translation. One letter in this inscription is of peculiar interest, as I have found it nowhere else; it is very much like the modern *Tamil*s, but I could not yet make out the meaning of it.

Nearly as old as this is the inscription at *Ridiwihāra* (*Ambaṭṭhakola lena*) between *Kurunagala* and *Matale*. This *wihāra* was built by king *Amandagāmiṇi* (21–30 A.D.), but I do not think that the inscription is quite as old. It begins: *Siddhisaddhamake siri*. . . . After this comes most probably the name of the

king, which is not quite legible on the stone, and in the second line I believe I have deciphered a part of the ancient name of the place—Abatṭha-[kōla].

There are several inscriptions of the same age, which all refer to the construction and dedication of tanks, a favourite subject also in the North-Central Province and in the district of Hambantōṭa. Unfortunately we know so little about the ancient geography of Ceylon that we only seldom can identify the names given in these inscriptions. Celebrated tanks like the Padiwilkulun and Kantalai tank are not at all mentioned in the earlier part of the *Mahāwamsa*, which should give us an account of their construction, and so there is little hope to learn from thence anything about minor tanks as they exist in the North-Western Province. Still more difficulty of course prevails about the names of the paddy fields which are served by these tanks, and so there remains but very little matter to discuss in inscriptions like the following from Alutgalwihāra:

Siddha mahārājaha bikawawiya chetakarīhi sagawiyo chetakarīhi talatarawiketahi chetakarīhi

(2) uliwawiya chetakarīhi punagamakawawiya chetakarīhi wihirakaketahi chetakarīhi

(3) pariwatakaketahi chetakarīhi talawiyaketahi chetakarīhi.

(4) Tisa teraha kalahi likitaka.

We have here four tanks and four corresponding paddy-fields:

bikawawiya	talatarawiketa
saga[wa]wiya	wihirakaketa
uliwawiya	pariwatakaketa
punagamakawawiya	talawiyaketa

The only word to explain is *chetakarīhi*, of which the second part *karihi* is equal to the Pāli *karīsha*; *cheta* is most probably a numeral, which indicates the extent of paddy-land that was served by each tank, but I cannot trace the etymology of the word.

The concluding sentence—"This is written at the time of the thora Tisa"—helps us just as little in finding out the date of the inscription as the mere title "mahārāja" ('great king') in the first line.

One of the oldest and best preserved inscriptions is on a rock near Galgamuwa tank on the road from Padeniya to Anurādhapura. It runs as follows:

Raja Abayisa puti Waḍamana gama mahama bariha sagasa wawi cha.

[Under this is a line of smaller characters, which are not so clear.]

'Waḍḍhamāna, the son of king Abaya, gave the village . . . and the tank to the priesthood.'

The words left blank may be names, but I am not sure about it. Galgamuwa tank was built by king Mahāsena, the son of Meghawanna Abhaya, according to the *Rājatarādhikari* (Upham, *Sacred and Historical Books*, II. 69, III. 237), and to him I think the inscription must be ascribed. Whether Waḍḍhamāna was really a name or only a title, must remain undecided at present. (Cf. *Journal Ceylon Asiatic Society*, 1879, p. 7.)

Another equally well preserved inscription is at Dewagiriya wihāra, three miles from Galgamuwa:—

Sisitawanaka wiharahi nawahagamaketahi chetahi karihi kubare—

'In the Sisitawanaka wihāra on the Nawahagama field the paddy land [extends] over. . . karishas.'

Similar inscriptions concerning tanks are to be found at Yapahu (Subharpawata), six miles from Balalli, and at Galwawa near Wāriyapola.

All the inscriptions mentioned until now are not later than the beginning of the 4th century A.D. At this time we notice a change in the alphabet; the square character begins to go over gradually into the round form, and sometimes we find the same letter in two or three different forms in the same inscription.

I only came across one cave inscription written in this mixed character at Ganekandowihāra near Mahānikawawa, on the road from Kurunegala to Anurādhapura. I give it here as it is of some interest:—

Sidawiya pukaṇalene chatudisika sagasa Chuḍa(?)tisa jetaka Baranako anajiwi.

'The tank cave of Siddhawīya (?) [is given] to the priesthood in the four quarters. Chuḍatisa the chief, and Bharana his bondsman.'

Pukaṇa is the old form for the modern *pokuna*, Sanskrit *pushkarinī*.

There are two short inscriptions of this kind at Kadigala near the Kalā-oya river, and two long ones at Mediyawa (Ratgallegama wihāra), of which one is hopelessly destroyed by burn-

ing. The other one, as well as a very fine inscription at Rajāṅgane, three miles from Mahāgalkadewala, treat both about the building of dining halls for the priest (*danasala*) and the offering of water-strainers (*palisavana*) to them, a practice that is known from *Mahāvamsa*, p. 220. I reserve a full transcript and translation of these for a later occasion. The alphabet in which they are written is very difficult to decipher, and some characters can only be found out by conjecture, as we have nothing like them in any of the Indian alphabets that are given in Prinsep's *Essays* and Burnell's *South-Indian Palaeography*.

As in the North-Central Province, there are here also no inscriptions between the 5th and 9th centuries, and so we go on at once to the reign of Kassapa V. (937—954.) There is a fine pillar of this king at Ingirimitiya, eight miles from Anamadua, discovered by Mr. Parker, the irrigation officer of the district. The king styles himself, as usual, Siri Sanga Bo, and it would be difficult to say which king of this name it is, if not for the name of a minister (*Mahale*=*mahālekha*) Sena, which must be the same mentioned in *Mahāvamsa* ch. 52, 33, as the founder of Mahālekhabattawihāra. It contains a grant to a temple, the name of which is not clear on the stone. I give the first lines and a part from the middle of the inscription:—

A.—(1) Swasti

(2) Siri Sanga Bo

(3) ma purmukā

(4) sawanaga pu-

(5) ridase hima

(6) ta puradisa

(7) wak dawas

(8) ma

(9) hāpaṇan

(10) wahanse

(11) wadāleji—

‘Hail! Siri Sanga Bo, the king in the . . . th year of his reign, on the 10th day in the bright half of the month Himata. . . . the great sage declared.’ . . .

Sawanaga must serve to indicate the year of the king's reign in which this order was given; generally we find a numeral instead, as *pasalos-wanne* in the inscription of Mahākalattawa.

C.—(1) su,

(2) sama me gp-

(3) m no wad-

(4) nā koṭ i-

(5) sã gæl mi-

(6) wun wæriya-

(7) n gam gen-

(8) no gauna

(9) koṭ isā

(10) ataṇin nepanua

(11) koṭ isā.

‘Having ordered that noblemen shall not enter the place, that enemies shall not take the cart buffaloes from the village, and having made them independent.’

Ataṇin nepanna I think stands for Pāli *at-taṇā nipphanna*—‘dependent upon themselves.’

Inscriptions of this king and of his successor, Kassapa VI., are to be found at Makulāna wihāra, seven miles from Kurunegala, on a large rock close to the dagoba, and on pillars at Madaḡama and Segelena wihāra, nine miles from Kurunegala on the Kandy road, and at Yakdessa Gala, two miles to the east of the road to Puttalam.

Of king Parākramabāhu I., I only found two inscriptions: one on a pillar which stands now before the Assistant Government Agent's house in Puttalam; the other one on a stone tablet at Gaḡasne Malāsane, eight miles from Kurunegala, near the road to Anurādhapura. The pillar was formerly at Puliankulam, ten miles from Chilaw, where it was discovered by Mr. Brodie, who gave a very rough and imperfect transcript of it in the *Journal of the Ceylon Asiatic Society*, 1855, p. 181 ff. The contents seem not to differ greatly from those in his other numerous inscriptions all over the Island.

Last of all, I mention three Tamil inscriptions on two pillars and one large slab at Buddumuttā wihāra near Nikaweratiya. The character is very much the same as the present Tamil, but there are two or three letters which have disappeared now from the alphabet. Unfortunately I am not well enough acquainted with Tamil to be able to give a translation of these inscriptions; they bear the name of the son of a Kalinga king (‘Kalinka makan’), and date, without doubt, from the time of the great Tamil invasion of Ceylon, 1013—1153 A.D.

These are the inscriptions I found, partly by inquiring from the natives, partly with the help of the Government archæological returns; but I am convinced that if a systematic search

were made by Government in all the temples of this province, a great many more would be found, which perhaps might give us some valuable in-

formation in addition to what we know from books on the ancient history of Ceylon.

Colombo, 3rd June 1879.

THIEN-CHU—INDIA.

EXTRACT FROM MA-TWAN-LIN, BOOK CCCXXXVIII, FOL. 14.

Translated from the French of M. Stanislas Julien.¹

The following notice of India by Ma-twan-lin, being made up of fragments from the great Chinese historians, not one of whom probably was acquainted with the languages of India, we may expect to find in it a great many words disfigured, first by the original writers, and afterwards by the various editors of the *Wen-hien-thong-khao*. Thus we find *Ki-li-chi* and *Tsu-li* for 'Kshattrya' (a man of the warlike caste), *So-tu* for 'Stûpa' (a tomb), *Shi-lo-y-to* for Śilāditya (name of a king), *Kia-mo-lo* for Kapila (a town). I have removed these alterations in the case of words the correct form of which I have learned from other sources, but what could I do, in the case of Indian words of which I could find no trace in my Buddhist accounts, and also in the case of names of foreign countries, which perhaps appear only once in the solitary fragment from which Ma-twan-lin has taken them? I believe I have taken the only plan which I could prudently have done. If, in a particular case I have attempted a transcription I have placed it between parentheses, followed by a note of interrogation, or else I have limited myself to simply transcribing the Chinese sounds, hoping that others, more fortunate or better acquainted with Sanskrit than I am, may succeed in re-establishing the original spelling.

As for the Chinese proper names, which appear to me evidently drawn from the Sanskrit, I have taken the liberty of giving the translation of them, not according to any dictionary, but by

making use of Indian words already to my knowledge employed by Buddhist writers in translating the same words into Chinese; and, as the Sanskrit language often offers several translations of a given word, I have always placed a note of interrogation (?) after the word proposed, even in cases in which I am almost certain of having found the correct one.

I cannot conclude these remarks without adding that the fragment from Ma-twan-lin here given, has presented to me, as much on account of the names of foreign products and Indian names as of passages altered by the editors, difficulties which one is not accustomed to meet with in Chinese historians. I have been obliged in order to correct the text to search patiently for the original notices extracted by the author, and although in more than one place this species of investigation has succeeded, I have not in every instance recovered the passage at which he must have been looking, or else I have found even it spoiled by mistakes.

It was under the later Han dynasty that China came into relations with Thien-chu.² This name is the same as Shin-tu employed in the time of the Han dynasty.

First, Ma-twan-lin remarks in a note³ that the General Chang-kiên being sent⁴ on a mission to Ta-hia (Baktria) saw canes of the *Khiong*

sound of *shin-tu* has been changed into *thien-tu*, because the word *tu* (*du*) has been abridged into *tu* (Khang-hi mentions this abbreviation under the word *tu*); finally the abbreviation *tu* has received the sound of *chu*. According to the historian Sse-ma-thsien (the *Ching-tseu-thong* adds), the character *shin* should be pronounced *yen* (a word which in Khang-hi is also sounded *yen*, a sound very close to *yn* or *in*).

From this we understand how the word for India, which according to Hiuen-Tsang, should be written *in-tu* (*in-du*), the phonetic transcription of the Sanskrit *indu* (moon), has come to be written (Conf. *Fan-i-ming-i-tsi*, bk. vii, fol. 8 and *Khang-hi*) by Chinese travellers ignorant of its derivation, as *Thien-tu*, *Shin-tu* and *Shin-tu*, *Yun-tu*, *Hien-tu* (*ten* representing the sound of 天) and lastly *Thien-chu*, in consequence of the alteration of the two syllables of this Sanskrit word (इन्दु) *indu*, the moon.

¹ Pauthier's *Examen*, p. 4.

² According to the historian Sse-ma-thsien, the country of Ta-hia is situated about 200 leagues to the south-west of Ta-wan (now Tashkent, in Arabic, Tashkend; according to the universal geography *Thai-thsing-tsong-chi*; Khokand, according to the *Thai-kue-thu-chi*), is south of the river Wei (in Sanskrit Vach), the Oxus.

³ *Journal Asiatique*, IVme Sér. tome X. (1847) pp. 81—121. The following extract first appeared in the *Asiatic Journal* for July and August 1836 without any translator's name. It was reprinted with additional notes by Prinsep in the *Jour. As. Soc. Beng.* vol. VI. (Jan. 1837) pp. 61-75. The same extract is included in M. Pauthier's *Examen Méthodique des faits qui concernent le Thien-Tcheu ou l'Inde* published in the *Journal Asiatique*, IIIe Sér. 1839, pp. 257—100, and also separately, Paris: 1840. This work also includes 48 pages of "Considérations Générales" drawn from the *Ta-tsuang Si-ye-chi* of Hiuen Tsang. M. Julien alludes to neither of the versions that preceded his own, but M. Pauthier mentions (p. 273) that the English version was due to himself. Some notes from Prinsep's reprint, together with others, are added here.

⁴ According to the Buddhist work *Ling-yen-tsi-chu* (bk. I. fol. 2) the word Thien-chu signifies moon (in Sanskrit ॐ). From this etymology we discover that both syllables of this word have been altered, and one wonders how this corrupted spelling should be preserved to the present time by Chinese writers. Let us see the origin of this change.

In the dictionary *Ching-tseu-thong* under the word *chu* we find that, in *Shin-tu*, the same word as *Thien-chu*, the word *tu* ought to be pronounced as *tu* (*du*). Now the

reed and cloth of *Shu*. 'Whence have you got these things?' asked he of the people of *Ta-hia*. 'Our merchants,' they said, 'are sent to buy them in *Shin-tu*, which is the same country as *Thien-chu* (India). Some call it *Mo-kie-tho* (Magadha) and others *Po-lo-men* (*kue*) *Brâhmanaparâshtra*, 'the kingdom of the Brahmans.' It is to the south of the *Tsong-ling* mountains and is many thousand *li* to the south-east of the *Yuei-chi*. This country extends 30,000 *li* (3,000 leagues); it is divided into five *Thien-chu* (Indies), viz.: middle *Thien-chu*, eastern *Thien-chu*, southern *Thien-chu*, western *Thien-chu*, and northern *Thien-chu*, each division measures many thousand *lis*, and contains many cities large and small.

Southern India is bounded by a great sea, the northern extends to the snowy mountains (*Siu-si-han*,⁵ the *Himâlayas*). On all sides are mountains which form a sort of wall. Towards the south is a valley by which one might enter, and which is reckoned as the *gate* of that part of India.

'Eastern India is bounded on the east by a great sea, it is near *Fu-nan*⁶ (*Siam*) and *Lin-i* (*Tsiampa*), it is only separated by a narrow sea.

'Western India touches *Kipin* (*Kâbul*) and *Po-sse* (*Pars'a*, *Persia*).

'Central India is situated in the middle of the other four divisions of India.

'All the countries of India are governed by kings. In the times of the *Hans*, there still existed the kingdom of *Yuen-tu*⁷ which was 800 *li* from the city of *Chang-an*, and 2800 *li*

from the residence of *Tu-hu* (the Chinese generalissimo of the *Si-yu*). On the south it touches the *Tsong-ling* mountains, on the north it is bounded by the country of the *U-sun*.⁸ The inhabitants dressed like the *U-sun*; like them, also, they were a pastoral people. They belonged to the ancient race of the *Sâi*. We are informed by *Yen-sse-ku* that the word *Yuen-tu* is the same as *Shin-tu*. The expression *Sai-chong* is the same as *Shi-chong*, the race of *Śākya*s, or children of *Śākya* (the tribe to which belonged the founder of the Buddhist religion, surnamed *Śākya muni* or the monk of the *Śākya* family). We have here, the commentator adds, a slight alteration of sound, namely, *Shi* instead of *Sâi*.⁹

All the countries, continues *Ma-twan-lin*, which extend from the south-west of the *Yuei-chi* and the kingdom of *Kao-fu*¹⁰ (*Kabul*) to the western sea (*si-hai*), and on the east to *Pan-khi*, (or as it is sometimes written *Pan-yuei*), belong to *Shin-tu* (India). Many towns are subject to powerful chiefs; there are also many separate kingdoms which are governed by kings. Although these kingdoms differ a little from one another, they are all comprehended under the name *Shin-tu* (or India).

The capital is near the river *Heng-ho* (*Gaṅgâ*), which is also called *Kia-pi-li-ho*, or the river of *Kapila*.

The *Vulture* mountain *Ling-tsiö-shan*, is called in the language of the barbarians *Ki-tu-kue-shan* (*Gridhrakûta*). It is formed of blue stones, and its summit resembles the

⁵ *Sîn-shan*, an exact translation of the Sanskrit *Himâlaya*, 'abode of snow,' or rather *Himâlayagiri*, 'mountain whereon the snow rests.'—*J. A. S. B.*, vol. VI. p. 61.

⁶ We read in the history of the kingdom of *Fu-nan* (*Siam*) the kingdom of *She-wei* (*Srāvastī*) is a dependency of India, the kingdom of *Kia-shi* (*Kāśī*), is also called *Po-lo-nai* (*Varanasi*, *Benares*), and *Shi-po-lo-nai* (*Srīvaranasi*, the glorious kingdom of *Benares*). It is said in the work entitled *Chu-fa-wei-Po-kue-li*, or *Memoirs of the Buddhist kingdoms*, by the *Saun* *Chu-fa-wei* (in Sanskrit *Dharmapāla*?) The kingdom of *Po-lo-nai*, (*Varanasi*, *Benares*) is situated 1480 *li* south of the kingdom of *Kia-wei-lo-yuei* (*Kapilavastu*, *Kapila*). The law of *Śākyamuni* flourishes there (Author's note).

Ma-twan-lin then relates a fact, taken from the work

Ma-twan-lin make extracts, has not observed that the kingdom called by mistake *Yuen-tu* is identical with the one which he has before spoken of under the name of *Shin-tu*; he is also wrong in comparing the Indians to the *U-sun*, a nomadic race, who, in their continual migrations, look for water and pasture. The first error is rectified further on by *Yen-sse-ku*, commentator on the *Han* annals.

⁷ This division of India must include the modern *Kāmir*, the description of which, by *Masudi*, the Arabian historian, coincides in a striking manner with that of the Chinese author: "The kingdom of *Kāmir*," he says, "which forms part of India, is surrounded with very high mountains; it contains a prodigious number of towns and villages; it can be entered only by a single pass, which is closed by a gate."—*J. A. S. B.* *ut sup.*

⁸ The following account of this kingdom is given by *Ma-twan-lin* elsewhere (b. cccxxxviii, f. 27): "The kingdom of *Kao-fu* was known in the time of the *Hans*. It is situated to the south-east of the great *Yüeh*. It is likewise a considerable state. Their manners resemble those of the inhabitants of India, and they are gentle and humane. They carry on much commerce with India. *India*, *Kophene*, and the country of *Ass*, are three kingdoms which are conquered by force and lost by weakness," the latter expressions are borrowed from the *Taou-ku-king* of *Laou-tse*.—*J. A. S. B.* *ut sup.* p. 62.

dom, are now very advanced age. This species of ox is as long as the men. The present king (says the author of the *Li-kué-ch'uen*) is a hundred years of age; his kingdom forms part of *Thien-chu*, that is to say India.

⁹ The author of the *Han* annals, from which work

Tsieu bird (*gridhra*, vulture).¹⁰ At this period all these kingdoms belonged to the *Yuei-chi*.¹¹

The *Yuei-chi* slew the kings of these kingdoms, and filled their places with generals, to whom they gave the governorship. The people practise Buddhism (*Fœi-t'u-tuo*), which forbids the taking of the life of any living creature and the drinking of wine. This prohibition soon passed into the customs of the people. The soil is low and damp, and the climate is extremely warm.

This kingdom is near (that is to say watered by) a large river. The soldiers fight mounted on elephants. The inhabitants of the country are not so strong as the *Yuei-chi*.

The emperor *Wu-ti*, of the *Han* dynasty, (which reigned from 140 to 85 B. C.) sent officers twelve times out of China by the south-west, to try to effect an entrance into *Shin-tu* (India), but they were stopped by the *Kuen-min*g, and none of them succeeded in penetrating into the country.¹²

India several times paid tribute to the emperor *Ho-ti*, (who belonged to the later *Han* dynasty, and reigned from 89 to 105 A. D.) but soon after, this homage¹³ was interrupted by the revolt of the *Si-yu* people.

In the second year of the *Yen-hi* period during the reign of the emperor *Hwa-n-ti* (158—159 A. D.), Indian ambassadors passed the boundaries of *Ji-nan* (the present *Tonquin*), and paid tribute to the emperor of China.

Tradition relates that the emperor *Min-g-ti* (of the later *Han* dynasty, who reigned from

58 to 76 A. D.) saw in a dream a tall man of the colour of gold, from whose head a flame of fire issued. He questioned his officers for the subject, and one of them said to him—"In the West there is a god called *Fo* (Buddha), he is six *chi* high, and yellow like gold¹⁴."

Upon hearing this the emperor sent messengers to *Thien-chu* to learn the doctrine of *Fo* (Buddha), and soon after images and statues of *Fo* were scattered throughout China. *Ying*, king of *T'hsu*, was the first who put faith in Buddhism. His conversion led to a great number of people in China embracing the new religion.

In course of time, the emperor *Hwa-n-ti*,¹⁵ who was strongly addicted to the worship of spirits, began often to offer sacrifices to *Fœu-thu* (Buddha) and *Lao-tsun*. By degrees people embraced the Buddhist religion, and soon after it began to flourish. Under the *Wei* and *Tsin* dynasties (220 to 419 A. D.) the relations between China and India were broken up, and were not renewed for a long time.¹⁶ Only under the *U*¹⁷ dynasty (222—227 A. D.) *Fan-chen*, king of *Fu-nan* (Siam), sent a relation of his called *Su-we* on an embassy to India. Once out of *Fu-nan*, he set sail from the mouth of the river *Tau-keu-li*, and coasted along till he came to a large gulf on the north-west. He travelled through several kingdoms situated on the coast of the gulf, and at the end of a year, arrived at the mouth of the river of *Thien-chu* (of India). He followed the course of the river for about 7,000 *li* (700 leagues), and arrived at his destination.

¹⁰ It is situated south of *Mo-kie-ti* (मोक्षि), a kingdom which also forms a part of *Thien-chu*. (*Chu-fa-wei-fu-kue-ki*.)

¹¹ This important epoch in the history may be fixed with precision by means of Chinese historians; and it is not one of the least advantages derivable from the study of the writers of this nation, *Ma-twan-lin*, in his account of the great *Yuei-chi*, or Indo-Skythians (book cccxxxviii, fol. 2), states that the Chinese General *Chang-keen* was sent as an ambassador to the *Yuei-chi* by the Emperor *Wu-ti* (A. C. 126), and that, about 100 years after, a prince of this nation, who possessed one of the five governments of the country of *Dalae*, subdued the *Gotes* in *Kophenes*, and that *Thien-shu*, or India, was again subjugated by the *Yuei-chi*. This other conquest of India by the Skythians must be placed, therefore, about the year B. C. 26. *Ma-twan-lin* adds, that these *Yuei-chi* having become rich and powerful (by these conquests), remained in this state till the time of the latter *Hans*, who began to reign A. D. 222. It results from hence that the Skythians (or *Yuei-chi*) must have been masters of Western India from about B. C. 26 till A. D. 222, that is, for a space of 248 years. The first invasion of India by the *Yuei-chi*, or Skythians, must have taken place before the reign of *Vikramāditya*, whose celebrated era, which begins fifty-six years before ours, originated from the complete defeat of the Skythian armies by this Indian prince; an event which deserved to be thus immortalized: see *Colebrooke's Indian Algebra*, (preface p. 43) and *Lassen's De Pentapotamia*

Indica Commentatio, p. 56. The first of these cites an ancient scholiast on *Varāha Mihira*, who thus explains the word *Saka* employed by this astronomer to denote the *Sauvāt* era: "epoch when the barbarian kings named *Saka* (the *Sacas*) were defeated by *Vikramāditya*."—*J. A. S. B. u. s. p. 63*.

¹² This same emperor gained some trifling particulars respecting *Shin-tu*, or India, by his General *Chang-keen*, whom he had sent to the *Yuei-chi*, which are preserved by the historian *Sze-nua-tien*, in his *Sze-ke* (book exxiii, fols. 6 and 7), where it is stated that *Shin-tu* is situated to the east of *Ta-hia*, the capital of which was the city of *Lan-shu*.—*J. A. S. B. u. s. p. 63*.

¹³ At this period, China was still considered as the paramount state of all the half-civilized nations inhabiting Central Asia. It is not, therefore, surprising that the chiefs of India, subject to the *Yuei-chi*, or Skythians, should have thought of sending ambassadors to China, in search of means of delivering their country from barbarians, by the aid of the Chinese armies, which could oblige their revolted subjects to return to their duty. Thus we may easily explain facts apparently so improbable.—*J. A. S. B. u. s.*

¹⁴ *Pauthier's Examen*, p. 11.

¹⁵ A. D. 147-167.—*Pauthier's Examen*, p. 12.

¹⁶ *Pauthier's Examen*, p. 27.

¹⁷ Or *Wu*, one of the three dynasties which reigned simultaneously over three divisions of the Chinese empire: it subsisted from A. D. 222 to 280.—*J. A. S. B. u. s. p. 64*.

The king of India was filled with astonishment, and cried out—What! there still exist such men on the shores of the most distant seas? Thereupon he invited them to visit the interior of his kingdom.

In the suite of this ambassador, he sent two officers, Chin-song and another, to present Fu-n-chen and Su-we with four horses from the Yuei-chi country, as a token of recognition. They arrived only at the end of four years. At this time, the emperor of the U dynasty had sent Khang-thai, with the title of Chong-lang, on a mission to the kingdom of Fu-n-an. Upon meeting with Chin-song and his companion, he questioned them about the customs of India. It is, they replied, a country where the Buddhist law flourishes. The people are upright and honest, and the soil is very fertile. The king's name is Mei-lun¹⁸; and the capital in which he resides is surrounded by walls. The rivers and streams are divided into a great number of smaller streams which run in canals and ditches and fall into a large river. The palaces are decorated with beautiful carved work¹⁹; in the streets and the public places, the houses, the pavilions, and raised galleries is heard the sound of little bells or of the drum and melodious songs, one sees rich clothing, and breathes the perfume of flowers.

Merchants arrive there by land and sea, and gather in great numbers offering, according to the public taste, skilfully wrought vessels and curiosities of very great value.

Right and left are sixteen large kingdoms,

namely Kia-weï (Kapila), She-weï (Śrāvasti) Ye-po,²⁰ etc.

Several kingdoms, although two or three thousand *lis* from Thien-chu, yield obedience to it, because they consider that this kingdom is situated in the middle of the universe.

In the fifth year of the Yuen-kia period in the reign of Wen-ti, of the Song dynasty (in the year 428 A. D.), Yuei-nai (that is to say 'loved by the moon,' in Sanskrit—Chandrapriya), king of Kia-pi-li (Kapila) in Thien-chu,²¹ sent an ambassador to present a letter to the emperor, and to offer him a ring set with diamonds, a bracelet of pure gold, along with other valuable articles, and two parrots, one red and the other white.

In the second year of the Thien-shi period, in the reign of Ming-ti (466 A. D.), he sent again an ambassador to pay tribute. The emperor conferred on him the title of Kien-weï-tsiang-kiun (literally 'the general who establishes authority').²²

At the beginning of the Thien-kien period, in the reign of Wen-ti of the Liang dynasty (502 A. D.), Kiotō, (Gupta,) king of India, sent Chu-lo-ta, with the title of Chang-shi, to present the emperor with a letter,²³ a spittoon of *lieü-li* (*vaidūryya*, lapis lazuli), different kinds of perfumes, stuffs of *kie-pai* (*karpāsa*, cotton), etc. His kingdom was near a large river called Siu-thao²⁴ (Sindh), which rises in the Kwan-lun²⁵ (Ananta) mountains, and divides into five rivers, of which the collective name is Heng-shuï (the river Heng or Ganges). At the bot-

¹⁸ This title must be the Chinese transcription of *Mahārāja*, there can be no doubt in respect to the first syllable *mthā* (in composition) 'great'; but the Sanskrit word represented by *lun* (or *run*, *ran*) is less certain. At all events this must be a king of India whose reign corresponded with this date, between A. D. 222 and 280.—*J. A. S. B. n. s. p. 65.*

¹⁹ This is the case at Banāras, where many of the houses have seven or eight storeys; and the numerous temples and public edifices are covered with sculptures and bas-reliefs.—*J. A. S. B. n. s.*

²⁰ The name of this kingdom is not found in the excellent history of Hiuen-tsiang, and I have not met with it elsewhere; it appears to me to have been altered.

²¹ Conf. Pauthier's *Erwanen*, p. 17.

²² In the eighteenth year of the Yuen-kia period (441 A. D.), the king of Su-mo-li sent an ambassador to offer some of the products of his country. In the second year of the Hiao-kien period, in the reign of Hiao-wu (455 A. D.), the king of Kin-tho-li sent an officer with the title of *Chang-shi* to offer precious vases of gold and silver. Still later, in the first year of the Yuen-hoei period in the reign of Fo-yi-ti (read Tsang-u-wang, the year 473 A. D.), the kingdom of Po-li paid tribute. These kingdoms believed in the doctrine of Buddha. (Note of Ma-twan-lin.)

Are the Kin-tho-li the *Gandari* of Herodotus and Strabo? In Sanskrit *Gandhari* or *Gandhara*.—*J. A. S. B. n. s.*

²³ For the letter see Pauthier, *n. s.* pp. 30-33.

²⁴ These curious details, the exactitude of which may excite surprise, prove that the Chinese historians were better informed than might have been expected of facts and circumstances concerning Central and Western Asia. We are indebted to Colebrooke for the means of ascertaining the accuracy of the Chinese writers. In fact the Chinese words *Siu-thao* are but the transcription of the Sanskrit word *Sitā*, the name of one of the sources of the Ganges. In a memoir on the sources of this river, this scholar cites the following passage from the astronomer Bhāskara Achārya:—"The holy stream which escapes from the foot of Vishnu descends from the abode of Vishnu on mount Meru (the Kwan-lun), whence it divides into four currents, and passing through the air, it reaches the lakes on the summit of the mountains which sustain them. Under the name of *Sitā*, this river joins the Bhadrāsava; as the Alakanandā, it enters Bhāratavarsha (Hindustan); as the Chakshu, it proceeds to Ketumala, and as the Bhadrā, it goes to Kuru of the north."—*Siddhāntasirōmani*; *Bhāratīya-kāsha*, 37 and 38.—*J. A. S. B. n. s. p. 60.*

²⁵ Mount Meru. "The Hindus say that the Ganges falls from heaven upon its summit, and thence descends in four currents: the southern branch is the Ganges, the eastern branch is the Sita, and the western is the Chakshu or Oxus."—Wilson, *Sanskrit Dict.*, 2nd edit. Art. *meru*—the name *Meru* is the Menne of the Greeks.—*J. A. S. B. n. s.*

tom of this river, pure salt is found as white as rock crystal.²⁶

In the reign of Si en-wu of the later Wei dynasty (500—504 A. D.), the king of India²⁷ sent an ambassador to present the emperor with a well trained horse. He related that this country produced lions, sables, leopards, rats,²⁸ camels, rhinoceros, and elephants.

There is a mineral product called *ho-tsi* which resembles *yun-mu* (laminar mica), but it is of a violet colour. It can be split²⁹ into leaves as thin as a grasshopper's wing, and which laid on the top of one another resemble gauze several times folded.

A kind of diamond is found similar to *ts'e-chi-ing* (crystalline quartz), which the strongest fire cannot dissolve, and which can cut jade. One may obtain also articles made of tortoise-shell (*tae mei*), gold (*kin*), copper (*tung*), iron (*t'ee*), lead (*yuen*), and tin (*seih*), textures of thread³⁰ of gold,³¹ carpets ornamented with gold, and carpets of *pé-thié* (cotton); perfumes extracted from the *chen-tan* tree (*chandani*, sandal), and the *yo-kim* plant; sugarcane and other products; crystallized sugar,³² pepper (*hu-tsiao*—*piper nigrum*), ginger and black salt.

On the west, this kingdom maintains commercial relations by sea with the people of T a-t h s i n³³ and the 'A s i'³⁴ (Parthians). Sometimes its inhabitants travel as far as the kingdoms of F'u-nan (Siam) and K'ia-o-ch'i (Tonquin) for the purpose of trading. They have a

great deal of coral, also pearls and *lang-kan* (a kind of coral). They are not in the habit of keeping ledgers, but pay for goods with *chi-peï* or dentated shells (cowries); they particularly excel in magical sciences (that is, in working transformations). The greatest mark of respect which one can show to a man is to kiss his feet and lightly touch his heels before addressing him. In the houses of the rich there are young female singers³⁵ and jugglers who keep the inmates in amusement. The king and his chief officers are dressed in brocaded silk; the sovereign retains on the crown of his head a small lock of hair,³⁶ which is dressed spirally, he wears the rest of his hair very short. The men cut their hair and hang pendants in their ears; they are accustomed to walk barefooted, and dress themselves in white. They are timid, and little given to war and combats. They use bows and arrows, shields and spears³⁷; they also know how to use scaling ladders, wooden oxen (*muh-mean*) and floating horses (*lew-ma* for crossing rivers), they also make subterraneous passages. They have a written language, and are excellent astronomers and calculators of the calendar. The Indians all study an elementary book called *Si-tu-chang*³⁸ (the *Siddha*, a kind of primer), and write memoranda upon leaves called *pei-to-ye*.³⁹

Y a n g-t i I, an emperor of the Su i dynasty (605 A. D.), having formed the project of entering into relations with the S i-yu (the countries

²⁶ Pauthier's *Excamen*, p. 18.

²⁷ Pauthier has 'Northern India.'—*u. s. p. 34.*

²⁸ Ma-twan-lin writes *hoen* (agitare, movere), a word corrupted for *hoen*, rat, whose fur is much prized. I borrow this correction from the original passage inserted in the *Pien-i-tien*, book lviii.

²⁹ The word *lie* in the text means 'to set in order'; it is a mistake; I believe it should be 'split.' This correction is confirmed by a passage in the *Pien-i-tien* (book lviii.) identical with this one, in which the same idea is expressed by "divided."

³⁰ In the text it is "to engrave," I think it should read "thread."

³¹ These are, no doubt, the fine brocade, embroidered with gold and silver, for which Banāras is still so celebrated, which continue to constitute an extensive article of commerce throughout India.—*J. A. S. B. u. s.*

³² *Shāh-meth*, 'stone-honey.'

³³ The Roman empire; and the An-si are most probably the subjects of the Arsacides.—*Ed.*

³⁴ Perhaps the Yasy of the Russian annals, 'Alani sive Assi' of Carpini (p. 709) and 'Alani sive Aas' of Iobruquis,—the Alani of classical authors; see Bretschneider's *Medieval Geog. and Hist. of C. & W. Asia*, pp. 184 ff.—*Ed.*

³⁵ These are, no doubt, the natch-girls.

³⁶ To form the *jāḍ*. See the *laws of Manu*, book II., v. 219.

³⁷ *Fete*, this is a scaling-ladder, of which a representation may be seen in the *Art Militaire Chinoise*, figs. 48 and 49.—*J. A. S. B. u. s. p. 67.*

³⁸ The two Chinese characters *seih-than* are a transcription of the Sanskrit word *siddhānta*, which signifies 'established truth,' 'demonstrable conclusion,' and which forms the titles of many scientific books, as the *Sūrya Siddhānta*, the *Brahma Siddhānta*, the *Siddhānta Kaumārī*, &c. The leaves of the trees, *pei-to*, are the *olas*, on which most of the Sanskrit MSS. are written, especially those in Telugu characters, which come from Southern India.—*J. A. S. B. u. s.*

³⁹ In the section on trees of the imperial book of botany *Kwang-kiun-fung-pu*, book xiv. under *Pei-to-shu*, we read that "this tree is a native of Magadha; it grows to the height of sixty or seventy feet, and does not lose its leaves in winter. There are three species of it, the first of which is called *To-lo-po-li-cha* (in Sanskrit *Tālavriksha*). Its leaves are called *To-lo-po-li-cha-pei-to* (in Sanskrit *Tālavrikshapatra*). The word *pei-to* is an Indian word (*patra*), which is translated 'leaf' in Chinese. Chinese authors sometimes write *ye-shu* (in Sanskrit *pei-to-po-li-cha*; read *patravriksha*, literally 'tree with leaves'), sometimes *pei-to-shu*, a hybrid word made up of *patra*, leaves, and *shu* tree."

"In India, the bark and leaves are used to write books on." This tree is the palm named *Borassus jabeliformis*. The Imperial book of botany quoted above explains the expression *pei-to-shu* more clearly than any Chinese book has before done. (Cf. *Fan-i-ming-i-tsi*, book vii., fol. 20 v.)

to the west of China), sent Fei-tu to induce⁴⁰ the Si-fa-n⁴¹ (Tibetans) and other people to pay homage to him. Many princes responded to his appeal; those of India being the only ones who refused to enter into relations with him. Their refusal irritated him very much.

The Kings of India are of the Ki-li-chi family, called also Tsa-li (Kshattriyās). For centuries they have held the throne without once acquiring it either by usurpation or murder.

There are four crops of rice in the year; the greatest of cereals is called *mo-to-tho*.⁴²

The women wear necklaces of gold, silver and pearls. The bones of the dead are burned and their ashes placed in a *so-lu* (Stūpa);⁴³ sometimes however they leave the dead in the middle of a desert, or throw them into a river; they serve then for food for birds and beasts of prey, fishes and turtles. There is no law to determine the duration of mourning. Those who have been guilty of treason or revolt are put to death in secret, those who have committed light offences may buy themselves off with money, and those who have failed in the duties of filial piety either suffer amputation of the hands or feet, nose or ears, or are banished to the frontiers.

The Indians have a written language, and they excel in astronomical calculations⁴⁴ and the science of the calendar. They use characters invented by the god Fan,⁴⁵ and write memorable things on leaves called *pei-to-ye*.⁴⁶ In all parts of India ancient traces of F'o (Buddha Śākya-muni)⁴⁷ are found. The people have faith in solemn oaths, and transmit magical formulas which they say can bring dragons and call down rain.

In the Wu-le period (in the reign of Kao-

tsu) of the T'hang dynasty (618-627) serious disturbances broke out in India. King Shi-lo-y-to (Śīlāditya)⁴⁸ raised a great army, and fought with irresistible valour. The men neither took off their own armour nor the elephants their housings. He punished the kings of four parts of India, so that they all with their faces turned towards the north acknowledged his superiority.

At this time, a Buddhist monk called Hi wen-thsang came to the kingdom of Śīlāditya; the king invited him to come and see him, and said to him,—“In your country a very pious monarch appeared, and a war-song was composed to celebrate the conquests of the emperor of China;⁴⁹ try, I pray you, to teach it to me.”

Hi wen-thsang told him briefly how Tha-tsong had, by his divine valour, quieted the misfortunes and troubles of the empire, and brought the foreign peoples⁵⁰ into subjection. Ch'oang-wang (in Sanskrit Śīlāditya) was delighted at these words, and cried out—“It becomes me to turn towards the east and to do homage to him.” In the fifteenth year of the Ching-kwan period (641), Śīlāditya assumed the title of king of Mo-kie-t'ho (Magadha), and sent an ambassador with a letter to the emperor. This monarch ordered Liang-ho-king, under the title of Yun-ki-wēi, to go to him furnished with an imperial brevet, and to invite him to submission.

Śīlāditya full of astonishment asked his officers whether an ambassador had ever come from Mo-ho-shin-tan before? “Never,” they simultaneously replied. In the language of the Barbarians (that is of these people) the author adds, the middle kingdom (China) is called Mo-ho-shin-tan, (in Sanskrit Malāchīnasthāna).

⁴⁰ In Chinese, ‘to go before.’

⁴¹ ‘Western Barbarians,’ see Bretschneider, u. s. p. 112.—Ed.

⁴² This word does not appear to be purely Indian, for the two last syllables mean in Chinese—camel. The character *mo* (ma) seems to be an abbreviation of a Sanskrit word. The word ‘camel’ is doubtless used in allusion to the extraordinary height of this cereal.

⁴³ Read *So-lu-po*. (Cf. *Fan-i-ming-i-tsi* book xx. fol. 52). From this word *stūpa*, the word ‘tomb’ has been formed. The text literally means “and a tomb was built for him.”

⁴⁴ Ma-twan-lin has omitted the word before (cf. *Pei-wen-yun-fu*, book lxxv. fol. 191 r.).

⁴⁵ This word *Fan* is an abbreviation of *Fan-lan-mo*, Brahmā (Cf. *San-thsang-fu*, book xlv. fol. 3). It is usually written *Fan-mo* • Brahmā (Cf. *Fan-i-ming-i-tsi*, book xi. fol. 11, line 4).

⁴⁶ This passage is already found in a foregoing extract, taken from the collection of annals. We preserve it, in order to give the text of Ma-twan-lin in full.

⁴⁷ I think that he means here vestiges of ancient monu-

ments erected in places visited by Buddha. In Chinese the word *ku-tsi* often means remains of ancient monuments (see the *Thai-tsing-i-tong-chi*, in the section entitled *Ku-tsi*).

⁴⁸ Harshavarddhana—see ante vol. VII. pp. 196 ff.—Ed.

⁴⁹ Tsin is the name of the dynasty which reigned over China from a.c. 219 to 202, during which the Chinese power caused itself to be known for the first time in Central and Western Asia, its conquests being extended to the Caspian sea and Bengal, in the reign of Tsin-she-hwang-ti the celebrated Burner of the Books. The name of this dynasty has formed that of Chinn, in Sanskrit China, which occurs in the *Laws of Manu* (book x. śl. 44), and therefore to a date anterior to the third century before our era, which may be easily explained in referring the name of China to the period of the foundation of the kingdom of Tsin in the western province of Shen-se, about a.c. 100.—*J. A. S. B. u. s. p. 68*.

⁵⁰ It is in Chinese *Ssei*, the “four strangers,” which means strangers from the four cardinal points—North, South, East, and West.

The king then went out, and bending on his knees thus received the imperial decree, and placed it on his head (in token of respect).

The Chinese envoy immediately after his return went to the palace, and Li-i, under the title of Wei-wei-ching, was ordered to carry to the king of Magadha the reply of the emperor.

Great officers went before him, outside the town, and the inhabitants of the capital and the neighbouring towns flocked out to see him, and to burn perfumes on his path. Śīlāditya came himself at the head of his ministers, and received the imperial decree with his face turned to the east. He again presented laminar mica (*ho-tsi*), a perfume called *'yo-kin*, and a tree called *pu-ti-shu*⁵¹ (in Sanskrit *bohiḍruma*,—the tree of knowledge, *ficus religiosa*).

In the twentieth year of the *Ching-kwan* period (646), the emperor sent Wang-hiwen-tse, under the title of Yen-wei-so-fu-chang-shi,⁵² on an embassy to the kingdom of Magadha, he associated with him Tsiang-shi-jin.⁵³ Before he arrived King Śīlāditya died, and his kingdom fell into a state of anarchy. One of his ministers named Na-fot-ti-a-la-na-shun (*Nava . . . ?*) usurped the supreme power, and sent soldiers to oppose Wang-hiwen-tse. At this time his suite consisted only of a few dozens of cavaliers, who struggled without success, and were all taken prisoners. Soon after the usurper used violence to make other kingdoms pay him tribute.

Hiwen-tse resolved upon action, and retired to a town on the western frontier of Tu-fan, from which he called the neighbouring kingdoms to arms.⁵⁴ The king of Tu-fan came

with a thousand soldiers, and the king of Nê-pal⁵⁵ with seven thousand cavaliers. Hiwen-tse divided them into several bodies, and marched against the town of Ta-p-o-h-o-lo,⁵⁶ which he took by storm at the end of three days. He beheaded three thousand people, and ten thousand more were drowned. A-l-a-na-shun abandoned his kingdom and fled; then he collected his scattered troops, and attempted a fresh fight, but the general Jin (or Tsiang-shi-jin) took him alive, he also captured and beheaded a thousand men. The remains of the hostile army obeying the orders of the queen, tried to stop the way upon the banks of the river Khien-to-wei (Gandhara)⁵⁷; but Tsiang-shi-jin gave them battle and defeated them. He took the queen and the king's son prisoners, captured twelve thousand men and women, and twenty thousand head of cattle, and subdued five hundred and eighty towns, large and small.

Shi-ki-eu-ma (Śrīkumāra?) king of eastern India, sent him thirty thousand oxen and horses, and provisions for all his army: to which he added bows, scimitars, and collars of great value. The king of Kia-m-o-lo⁵⁸ gave him some rare articles, a map of his states⁵⁹ and several statuettes of Lao-tsu.

Hiwen-tse took A-l-a-na-shun, and presented him at the gate of the palace. The magistrates proclaimed the victory in the ancestral temple, and the emperor raised him to the rank of Ch'ao-san-ta-fu (a sort of anlie councillor).

He had met a magician named Na-lo-mi-po-somei (Nāradevasvāmin?), who said he was two hundred years old, and pretended that he possessed the art of procuring immortality. The

⁵¹ The words *pu-ti* are probably the transcription of the name of a tree in Sanskrit, perhaps the *vetā*, a sacred tree employed in religious ceremonies, and of which mention is often made in Sanskrit poetry. What confirms this conjecture is the following passage in Kang-ho's dictionary, under the character *pu*: "*pu-ti* is the name of a tree which grows in the kingdom of Mo-kea-to (Magadha)."—*J. A. S. B. u. s. p. 69.*

⁵² The *Encyclopædia Fa-yuen-chu-lin* (book cxx.) gives him the title of Ch'ao-san-ta-fu, a sort of anlie councillor; a title which we shall see farther on was conferred on him after he had completed his travels. Unfortunately the history which he composed in twelve books has not come down to us.

⁵³ Pauthier, *u. s. p. 53.*

⁵⁴ This authoritative demand, if it be not introduced here, as the facts, indeed, show, to gratify Chinese vanity, would denote that, at this period, Tibet was already dependent on the Chinese empire as well as several other neighbouring kingdoms.—*J. A. S. B. u. s.*

⁵⁵ Nopāla: see the account given by Ma-twan-lin (book cccxxv., fol. 14) in the translation by M. Rémusat. *Notw. Mstl. Asiat. t. 1. p. 193.*

⁵⁶ The name of this town, which should be pronounced Davahara (?), is not found in any other Chinese work.

Pauthier reads Tu-pu-ho-lo. *Tu* (the first character) may be read *cha* or *tsa*. If it be read *cha*, the pronunciation of the epoch in question Cha-pu-ho-lo would be an exact transcription of Champaran, a city placed by Abu'l Fazl in Behâr, the ancient kingdom of Magadha—and probably the same as Chaprâ, on the Ganges higher up than Pâtnâ; for Chaprâ is but a variation of Champâram, as the latter is likewise of Champaranagara.—*J. A. S. B. u. s.*

⁵⁷ The Godâvarî is suggested in the *J. A. S. B. u. s. p. 69.*

⁵⁸ Another author writes in the same place Kia-pi-li (Kapila). Cf. *Pien-i-tien* book lviii.

⁵⁹ This kingdom must be that of Kāma-rūpa, mentioned in the Sanskrit inscription on the column of Allahabad, and which formed the western part of the kingdom of Assam, on the frontiers of Tibet. The syllable *kā* is well represented by *ket*, as *ma* is by *mo*, and *rū* by *lu*; the last syllable *pa* is not transcribed. It is worthy of remark that it is a general law of transcription from Sanskrit into Chinese, that the short *a* should be represented in the latter by *o*.—*J. A. S. B. u. s. p. 70.*

emperor⁶⁰ being attacked by a mortal malady ordered him to prepare his marvellous remedy.

- Thsui-tun-li, chief minister of war, was ordered to protect and watch over his emissaries, who
- would be obliged to travel through all the empire to collect medicinal plants of extraordinary virtue, and very rare minerals, some of them even went into all the kingdoms of the Po-lo-mon (Brahmans). According to him, the river called Pa-n-da-fa⁶¹ flows out of a stone trough, and is guarded by men hewn out of stone. It has seven kinds of waters: some are hot and others cold: the former dissolve rapidly plants, herbs and metals. If any one plunges his hand into it, he finds it cooked and dissolved the same moment. This water is drawn with the skull of a camel, and poured into a calabash.

"There is a tree called *ta-lai-la*, the leaves of which resemble those of the *li* (a kind of ebony): it grows on the rugged sides of a perpendicularly shaped mountain, the approach to which is guarded by a serpent hidden in a cave. Those who wish to obtain the leaves, knock them down by shooting arrows with four cornered points against the branches, but they are soon carried off by the birds, they shoot more arrows, and at last obtain the leaves." Such were the fables which this quack narrated. But at last, his magical science having remained ineffectual, the emperor permitted him to return; but before he had time to set out he died at Chang'an.⁶²

While the Emperor Kao-tsung, (who mounted the throne in 650 A.D.) was reigning, Lu-

⁶⁰ Tae-tsung, who reigned from A.D. 626 to 649.—*J. A. S. B.* u. s.

⁶¹ This is a very exact transcription of the Persian word پنجاب Panjāb, the 'five waters,' or 'five rivers' (in Sanskrit *Panchanada*). The last syllable *fa* in the Chinese transcription represents the more faithfully the syllable *āb*, inasmuch as the consonants composing it are two labials very often taken one for the other.—*J. A. S. B.* u. s.

⁶² The Capital of China at that time.—Pauthier, u. s. p. 58.

⁶³ That is, *Lokāyatika*, or follower of the atheistical system of philosophy founded by Chārṣvāka, entitled *Lokāyata*. (see Mr. Colebrooke's *Essays on the Philosophy of the Hindus*). The suffix *ka*, which forms collective names in Sanskrit, is represented in Chinese by the character *che*, which serves in like manner to form adjectives and collective names in Chinese.—*J. A. S. B.* u. s. p. 71.

⁶⁴ *Niao-ta* is the word in the text; it is a mistake for *Uda* (Odra, now Orissa). Pauthier, however, says it was also called *Kiwan-yu-mo*, where were many stūpas, &c.—*Examen*, p. 59 u.

⁶⁵ The other account has the second year (667 A. D.)—Pauthier, u. s. p. 59.

⁶⁶ See D'Ohsson, *Hist. des Mongols*, tom. I. p. 217; Bretschneider's *Medieval Geog. and Hist.* pp. 42 ff.—Ed.

⁶⁷ *Ta-shi* 'great eaters,' (rather *tāzi*, Arabians) is the name by which the Chinese designate the Arabs. This

*kia-y-to*⁶⁸ (*Lokāditya*), a native of U-ta,⁶⁶ obtained an interview with him by aid of his (pretended) knowledge of magic. He received the title of Hoai-hoa-ta-tsiang-kiun.

In the third year⁶⁵ of the *Khien-fong* period (668 A. D.), the kings of the five Indias all came to do homage to the emperor.

In the *Khai-yuen* period (713—714) ambassadors came three times from Central India, and once an envoy came from Southern India. They brought a bird which could speak, and whose plumage was of five colours. They asked troops to punish the *Ta-shi*⁶⁶ (the *Tāzi*—Arabs)⁶⁷ and the *Tu-fan* (Thibetans), and desired the emperor to give their army an honorary name. Hiwen-tsung passed a decree, in which he gave it the name Hoai-te-kiun (the army which cherishes virtue).

"Foreigners," the ambassador said, "think themselves honoured only when they receive a cloak and a girdle; upon which the emperor made him a present of a brocaded cloak, a leathern girdle enriched with gold, a satchel ornamented with fishes,⁶⁸ and seven other valuable articles.

The king of Northern India came once to do homage to the emperor.

Towards the end of the *Khien-yuen* period (668—670), China having lost the country of Holo-long, the kings of India ceased from that time to come to court. In the third year of the *Kwang-shun* period (953 A.D.) sixteen Samanians from Western India, Sa-man-to (*Samanta*) and others, brought some very fine horses as tribute.

curious passage throws great light on this obscure period of Indian history, and confirms a fact hitherto scarcely noticed, but which has been asserted by two Arabian authors Almakini and Abul Feda, namely the invasion of India by the Arabs at the beginning of the eighth century. "Muhammad ben Kāsim," says the former, in his *History of the Sarrazins*, "took India; he obtained possession of the countries adjoining the Sind (Indus), gave battle to Dahar, who was king of them, vanquished him, made him prisoner, and put him to death." The other, in his *Musalmān Annals*, translated by Reiske, says "Muhammed ben Kāsim overran India as conqueror." But the following is a passage, curious in another respect, concerning the same fact; it is taken from the *History of the Empire of the Khalifs*, translated from Tabari (Turkish edition), for a knowledge of which we are indebted to M. Reinaud:—"This same year 87 (A.D. 709) was gloriously terminated by the defeat of 200,000 barbarians, who had entered the country of the Musalmāns, commanded by Beghaban, nephew of the emperor of China. The Musalmāns confessed that they owed this important victory to the protection of God."—*J. A. S. B.* vol. VI. p. 71.

On the *Ta-shi*, see Breitschneider, *On the knowledge possessed by the Ancient Chinese of the Arabs, &c.* (Trübner, London 1871).—Ed.

⁶⁸ We see in the *Thang annals*, that those fishes were sometimes made of jade, and sometimes carved in gold or silver.

In the third year of the *Khien-te* period of the Songs (965 A.D.) T'a-o-yue n, a Buddhist monk of Tsang-ch'ü, returned from the Si-yu (Western countries). Having obtained a portion of the *sho-li* (Śāstrā) or relics of Buddha, namely, a vase of rock crystal and forty bundles of Indian books written on palm leaves, he came to offer them in homage to the emperor.

T'a-o-yue n had gone to visit the Si-yu in the *Thien-fu* period (947 A.D.), and had travelled for twelve years. He had resided for six years in the five Indias. By the five Indias *Thien-chu* is meant. On his way back he passed through Yü-thien⁶⁹ (Khotan), and arrived at the same time as the ambassadors (of the emperor). Th'ai-t'su called him to the palace and questioned him on the customs, mountains, rivers and itinerary of the countries through which he had travelled. To all of these questions he gave answers.

In the fourth year of the *Khien-te* period (966 A.D.) the monk Hing-kin, followed by fifty-six companions, came to the gate of the palace, and told the emperor that he wished to go to the Si-yu (the Western countries) to obtain some Buddhist books. His request was granted.

They travelled through the districts of Khan-chen, Sha-chen, I-chen, Su-chen, etc. the kingdoms of Yen-ki (now Kharashar), Kie-u-tse (Kuche),⁷⁰ Yü-thien (Khotan), Ko-lo (Kolom), etc. They passed also through the kingdoms of Pu-lu-sha (Purushapura, now Peshawar), Kia-shi-mi-lo (Kashmir), etc. and all the Princes passed decrees and issued orders to the inhabitants to be careful to guide and conduct them.

After the *Khai-pao* period (963—975) several⁷¹ Indian monks arrived without molestation, bringing with them Buddhist manuscripts to give to the emperor.

In the winter of the eighth year (976), Yang-kie-küan-g-lo (others read Yang-kieshue-lo, Aṅgkasvara?), son of the king of Eastern India, came to pay tribute and do homage to the emperor.

According to the laws of India, when a king dies, he is succeeded by his eldest son (Kumâra-râja); the other sons leave the family and embrace a religious life, and they are no longer allowed to reside in their native kingdom.

The king of Eastern India had a son called Man-chu-shi-li (Mañjuśrī), who arrived at the capital in the train of some Chinese monks. Th'ai-t'song (who mounted the throne in 976) ordered him to be lodged in the Siang-küê-sso convent. He observed rigidly the Buddhist precepts, and won the esteem and admiration of the inhabitants of the capital. The emperor having loaded him with riches and favours, all the monks envied and hated him, and as he did not understand the Chinese language, they fabricated a false petition, in which they represented him as asking permission to go back to his own country. The emperor granted him permission.

When the decree was passed, Man-chu-shi-li (Mañjuśrī) was at first filled with stupor and indignation. The monks told him that the decree was irrevocable. After some months he went away, announcing that he was going to the coasts of the Southern Sea on board a merchant vessel. It was never known to what country he went.

In the seventh year of the *Thai-p'ing-hing-küê* period (986 A.D.), Küang-yüen, a monk of I-chen, went to India, and on his return brought the emperor a letter from the king of that country whose name was Mo-si-nang. The emperor ordered Shi-hu (Dānapāla?), an Indian monk, to translate it into Chinese. The following is the letter:—"Lately I have learned that there is in the kingdom of China a king as great as he is enlightened, perfectly holy, perfectly intelligent, and who by his majesty and power reigns supreme. Every day I think with confusion of my bad fortune, and lament that I cannot go in person to pay homage to you. From afar I look affectionately towards the capital of China and wish your holy person ten thousand felicities.

"On the arrival of Küang-yüen, I had the honour to receive a holy statuette enriched with diamonds, representing Śākyamuni seated in the attitude of felicity and divine calm. I clothed myself in *kia-sha*, and made offerings to him.

"I humbly desire that the august emperor of China may obtain perfect felicity and understanding, that he may enjoy long life in order to guide every creature to happiness, and that

⁶⁹ Hu'an, Brotschneider, *ut. sup.* p. 151.—Ed.

⁷⁰ Brotschneider, *ut. sup.* p. 149.

⁷¹ Pauthier says 'one',—*u.s.* p. 70.

he may enable all those who are exposed to shipwreck, to sail over the immense sea of life and death. Now I have entrusted Kūang-yüen with some relics of Śākyamuni, that he may present to your majesty on my behalf."

Shi-hu (Dānāpāla ?) also translated a letter from the monks of India as a body which in its style and thoughts resembled that of king Mo-si-nang.

According to the Samanean Shi-hu (Dānāpāla ?) "the kingdom of U-ti-e-n-nang (Udyāna)⁷² belongs to Northern India. After twelve days' travelling in a westerly direction, one arrives at the kingdom of K'hi-e-n-th-o-lo (Gandhāra); at the end of other twelve days, journeying in the same direction one comes to the kingdom of N-a-n-g-o-l-o-k-i-a-l-o (Nagurahrā); after journeying ten days more, still in the same direction, the kingdom of L-a-n-p-o (Lampa, Lamghān)⁷³ is reached, at the end of other ten days, still travelling towards the west, one arrives at the kingdom of G-o-j-e-n-a-n-g⁷⁴ (Gajana); continuing the journey towards the west the kingdom of P-o-s-s-e (Parsa, Persia) is at last reached; thence one can set sail on the western sea.

"The journey from Northern to Central India occupies a hundred and twenty days.

"From Central India travelling towards the west, after three halts A-la-we-i (?) is reached; and if the journey is continued in the same direction for twelve days more, one comes⁷⁵ to K-a-r-a-n-a-k-i-ü-j-e (the second syllable is not required—Kanyakubja, Kanauj); at the end of other twelve days' travelling one arrives at the kingdom of M-a-l-a-we-i; after a journey of twelve days more, still in a westerly direction, one reaches the kingdom of U-j-e-n-n-i⁷⁶ (Ujjayani); if one continues journeying in the same direction for twenty-five days more the kingdom of L-o-l-o (Lara ?) is arrived at, and after other forty days one comes to the kingdom of S-u-l-a-t-a (Surāshtra).

"From Surāshtra to the Western Sea is a journey of eleven days.

"It generally takes three months to travel from Central to Southern India; thence after journeying eighty-six days towards the west one arrives at the kingdom of K-o-n-g-k-i-a-n-a (Konkanapura),⁷⁷ from which another day's travelling in the same direction brings one to the sea.

"If one sets out from Southern India, and travels in a southerly direction, he will reach the southern sea at the end of six months."

Such were the itineraries which the monk Shi-hu (Dānāpāla ?) made known.⁷⁸

In the eighth year (983 A.D.) a monk called Fa-yu, who had gone to India to search for Buddhist books, arrived on his way back to China at the kingdom of S-a-n-f-o-t-si (part of Sumatra), where he met an Indian monk called M-e-i-m-o-l-o-s-h-i-l-i (Mimaraśri ?),⁷⁹ who after a short interview asked him to take charge of a letter in which he expressed a desire to go to the kingdom of China to explain the sacred books.

The emperor passed a friendly decree calling him to the capital.

Fa-yu set himself to beg, and caused a dais to be made ornamented with dragons and a *kia-shu* (a kind of religious dress, from the Sanskrit word *kashāya*, brown).

Being desirous of returning to India he asked imperial letters of recommendation to the foreign princes whose dominions he would have to travel through. He presented them to the king of S-a-n-f-o-t-si (Sumatra); whence he came after a long voyage to the Prince of A-k-u-l-a and K-i-e-m-a-n-g (Kāma ?), steward of cavalry, chief of the kingdoms of K-o-l-a-n and T's-a-n-t-a-l-o (Chandra) and king of Western India, whose son M-u-tho-sien (Mudhāsina ?) gave him on his departure letters of recommendation.

In the *Yong-hi* period (984—988 A.D.) Thse-han, a monk from Wei-cheü, returning from the Si-yu (the western countries), with a foreign monk named Mi-tan-lo (Mitra), brought letters from the king of Northern India and N-a-l-a-n-th-o (Nalanda), who sat on a throne ornamented with diamonds (*Vajrasanam*).

⁷² Conf. Stan. Julien *Vie de Hiouen Tsang*, p. 85; *Mém. sur les Cont. Occid.* t. I. pp. 131, 149; t. II. pp. 313 seqq.; Beal's *Po-hian* (c. 8), v. 26.—Ed.

⁷³ *Vie de H. T.* pp. 73, 96, 264; *Mém. t. I.* p. 95; t. II. p. 300; Lampara in Ptol. *Geog. lib.* VII. c. i. § 42.—Ed.

⁷⁴ Ghazna, Bretschneider, *u. s. p.* 171.

⁷⁵ In this passage, which is an extract from the Song annals, Ma-twan-lin has omitted twenty-three words, of which the following is the meaning:—"We arrive at the

kingdom of Wei-nang-lo or Mo-nang-lo (wei is often written for mo; the latter spelling would give the sound Manara ?). After travelling twelve days towards the west one arrives (at Kanyakubja)."

⁷⁶ There is a mistake in the text, where we read *niao* instead of *ü*.

⁷⁷ *Vie de H. T.* p. 201; *Mém. t. II.* pp. 146, 400.—Ed.

⁷⁸ Pauthier, *u. s. p.* 77.

⁷⁹ Pandit Bhagvanīl suggests Vimalasri.—Ed.

A Brahman called Yong-hi and a heretical sectarian from Persia called A-li-i-n also arrived at the capital. Yong-shi announced that his native kingdom was called Li-te, and that the king's name was Ya-l-o-ü-tê; and his surname A-je-n-i-f-o; he was clothed in yellow, and wore a golden headdress ornamented with the seven precious things.⁸⁰ When he went out he mounted an elephant, or was borne in a palanquin. His retinue was preceded by musicians who sang melodious songs accompanied by the sound of conch shells and cymbals. He often visited the Buddhist convents, and distributed abundant alms to the poor. The queen's name was Mo-h-o-ni (Mahāni ?); she wore a robe of red taffeta, enriched with thin plates of chased gold. She only went out once a year, when she distributed generous gifts for the relief of the unfortunate. If any one had an act of injustice or tyranny to complain of, he waited till the king or queen went out to walk; he then followed them, and laid open his grievances. There were four ministers of justice who directed the administration of the kingdom, and decided all affairs.

The different kinds of grain, the domestic animals and the different kinds of fruit were the same as in China. In the markets and for all commercial transactions they made use of copper coins.

The face and the back of these coins were distinguished as in China by different inscriptions or ornaments; they were round and of the same diameter as those of China; but the centre was full and not pierced by a hole for the purpose of stringing them on a cord.

After six months' travelling in an easterly direction from this kingdom one comes to the kingdom of the Ta-shi (Tāzi, Arabs); two months after to Si-che ü (Turfan, country of the Uigurs); and three months after that to Hia-che ü.

A-li-in added that the king of his native country had taken the title of He-i (which means 'clothed in black'⁸¹); his family name was Chang, and his surname Li-li-mo. He wore

clothing of brocaded silk of different colours. Every time that he went out to walk or hunt he was absent two or three days; he took a whole day to return. The administration of public affairs was entrusted to nine persons of the highest rank.

The inhabitants do not make use of coins in their commercial transactions; there are many different articles which they exchange for goods.

Six months' travelling in an Easterly direction from this country, brings one to the kingdom of the Brahmans (India).⁸²

In the second year of the *Chi-tao* period (996 A. D.) an Indian monk came to China on board a merchant vessel. He brought a bell to the emperor, a clapper (of a bell) ornamented with little bells, a little copper bell, a statuette of Buddha, and a sacred book written on palm leaves. He did not understand the Chinese language.

In the third and fourth years of the *Thien-shing* period (1025—1026 A. D.) some Indian monks of Western India, 'A-i-h-i-o-n-chi (which means loving the knowledge which wise men possess, Prabhajñānapriya ?), Si-n-h-u (i. e. protector of the faith, Prajñāpāla ?), and others came to present Buddhist books written on palm leaves. The emperor gave each of them a violet cloak of a square shape, and a rich girdle.

In the second month of the fifth year (1027), five monks, namely: Fa-ki-et-siang (which means happiness of the law, Dharmaśri ?), and others came, bringing to the emperor Buddhist books; he gave each of them a violet mantle of a square shape.

In the first month of the third year of the *King-yeü* period⁸³ (1034 A. D.), nine monks, namely: Shen-ching (i. e. he who has a good reputation, Sūyāśa ?), etc., came to give the emperor some Buddhist books and relics of Buddha, and also a little statue of Tong ya-pu-sa (i. e. Bodhisattva with copper teeth, Tāmradantabodhisattva ?). The emperor gave them pieces of silk.⁸⁴

⁸⁰ Namely; 1st, Su-fa-lo (*Suvarna*), gold; 2nd, A-lu-pa (*Rāpya*), silver; 3rd, Lieü-li (*Vaidūrya*), lapis lazuli; 4th, Pho-li (*Sphatika*) rock crystal; 5th, Mei-so-lo-kie-lapo (*Masāragarbha*), emerald; 6th, Mo-lo-kia-li, the agate; 7th, Po-ma-lo-kia (*Padmavāga*), the ruby: (Cf. *San-tsang-fa-su*, book xxx. fol. 14).

⁸¹ This expression refers to the Abbasside Khalifs. The

Khalif who reigned in Persia about this time (974—991 A. D.) was Thay Lillah; (see *L'art de vérifier les dates*, page 478).

⁸² Pauthier, u. s. pp. 70-82.

⁸³ Pauthier gives 1030 A. D.—*Ess.* p. 83.

⁸⁴ Pauthier's account comes down to A. D. 1489 (*Examen*, pp. 84—96).

THE HASTĀMALAKA.

BY PROF. E. B. COWELL, M.A., CAMBRIDGE.

The poem, of which I give the text and translation below, is one which is very well known in India, but has never been printed in Europe. Most educated natives know it by heart, and it is universally considered as one of the best summaries of the Vedānta doctrines. Its authorship is uncertain; but there are two commentaries upon it, each of which curiously enough is ascribed to Śaṅkara Āchārya, the celebrated Vedantist teacher of the eighth or ninth century. Dr. Hall in his *Bibliographical Index* ascribes the poem to Hastāmālaka. The twelfth stanza is quoted in the *Vedānta-sūtra* (the only quotation which I have noticed from the work), and Hastāmālaka is mentioned as the author in the *Vidwan-manoranjinī* Commentary on the *Vedānta-sūtra* by Rāmātārtha-yati. Hastāmālaka is celebrated as one of Śaṅkara's earliest disciples; and he is afterwards said to have founded a modified form of Vedāntism recognizing Viṣṇu as the supreme Brahma. It is probable, however, that the title of the poem has no reference to any author, as *hastāmālaka* may simply mean 'a myrobalan in the hand,' and thus be used metaphorically to signify something very plain and obvious, as the round fruit on the open palm. The phrase is thus used in the *Vajrasūchi Upanishad* (Weber's ed. p. 213, 10), where the true Brāhman is described as the 'contented man, free from desires and passions, who sees everything as visibly before him as a myrobalan on the palm of his hand' (*karatālāmālakam iva*)¹; and this is the interpretation which one of my Paṇḍits in Calcutta gave to the title.

The ultimate identity of the individual and the supreme soul is the great tenet of the Vedānta. 'That art thou' (*tat tvam asi*) is the first lesson of the neophyte, and the last vision of the perfected mystic. The one supreme soul alone exists; all the separate consciousnesses of individuals are but the reflection of the one soul on the multitudinous 'internal organs' which are the creation of 'ignorance' or illusion. To reach reality we must strip off the successive

veils—the waking world first (where the soul is disguised by the gross effects) and the world of dreams next (where it is disguised by the subtle effects), till we reach that of sound sleep. Here for the time the individual soul does attain its real nature, but its inherent delusion remains latent, and is still capable of being called out into actuality. Only the knowledge of the highest truth, as taught in the Vedānta, can abolish ignorance, and so destroy personality in its germ.²

The soul's real nature, as identical with Brahma, is always described as 'essentially existent, intelligence, and joy'; but though defined as essentially intelligence, this intelligence is not exercised on any object, as all objects, as well as the internal organ or 'mind' which cognizes transient perceptions, are produced by 'ignorance' and therefore unreal. There is a striking verse of the *Yoga-vāsishtā*³ :—

"As would be the pure nature of light if all that is illumined by it, as space, earth, and ether, were annihilated, such is the loneliness of the pure-essenced spectator (soul), when all objects, as I, thou, and the three worlds, have passed into non-existence."

"Kastvaṁ śīso kasya kuto 'si gantā
kim nāma te tvaṁ kuta āgato 'si |
etadvada tvaṁ mama suprasiddhaṁ
matprīṭhaye prītivivardhana 'si" || 1 ||
Nāhaṁ manushyo na cha devayaksho
na brāhmaṇakshatriyavaiśyāsūdraḥ |
na brahmachāri na grihī vanastho
bhikṣur na chāhaṁ nijabodharūpaḥ || 2 ||
Nimittaṁ manaśchakṣurādīpravṛttau
nirastākṣalopādhir ākāśarūpaḥ |
ravir lokacheshṭānimittaṁ yathā yaḥ
sa nityopalabdhisvarūpo 'hamātmā || 3 ||
Yam agnyushṇavannityabodhasvarūpaṁ
manaśchakṣurādīny abodhātmakāni |
pravartanta āśṛitya nishkampam ekam
sa nityopalabdhisvarūpo 'hamātmā || 4 ||
Mukhābhāsako darpaṇe dṛiṣyamāno
mukhatvāt prīthaktvena naivāsti vastu |
chidābhāsako dhīshu jīvo 'pi tadvat
sa nityopalabdhisvarūpo 'hamātmā || 5 ||

¹ Cf. also Vijnāna-bhikṣu, *Comm. on Sāṅkhya Sūtra*, p. 96, 2 infr.

² There is a remarkable passage in Hippolytus' *Philosophumena* I. p. 29.—τοῦτο δὲ τὸ φῶς ὃ φασὶ λόγον τὸν θεόν, αὐτοὺς μόνους εἶδεναι βραχμᾶνας λέγουσι, διὰ

τὸ ἀπορρίψαι μόνους τὴν κενοδοξίαν, ὃ ἐστὶ χιτῶν τῆς ψυχῆς ἐνχατος.

³ Quoted in Vijnāna-bhikṣu's *Comm. on Sāṅkhya Sūtra*, p. 97.

Yathâ darpanâbhâva âbhâsahânau
 mukham vidyate kalpanâhinam ekam |
 tathâ dhîviyoge nirâbhâsako yah
 sa nityopalabdhisvarûpo 'hamâtmâ || 6 ||
 Manaśchakshurâder vimuktah svayam yo
 manaśchakshurâder manaśchakshurâdih |
 manaśchakshurâder agamiyasvarûpah
 sa nityopalabdhisvarûpo 'hamâtmâ || 7 ||
 Ya oko vibhâti svatah śuddhachetâh*
 prakâśasvarûpo 'pi nāneva dhîshu |
 śarāvodakastho yathâ bhānur ekah
 sa nityopalabdhisvarûpo 'hamâtmâ || 8 ||
 Yathānekachakshuhprakâśo ravir na
 kramēṇa prakâśikaroti prakāśyam |
 anekā dhiyo yas tathāikaprabodhah
 sa nityopalabdhisvarûpo 'hamâtmâ || 9 ||
 Vivasvatprabhâtam yathâ rūpam aksham
 pragrihṇāti nâbhâtam evam vivasvân |
 tathâ bhâta âbhâsayatyaksham ekah
 sa nityopalabdhisvarûpo 'hamâtmâ || 10 ||
 Yathâ sūrya ekō 'psv anekaschalâsu
 sthirâsv apyananvavgvibhâvyasvarûpuh⁵ |
 chalâsu prabhinnâsu dhîshveka evam
 sa nityopalabdhisvarûpo 'hamâtmâ || 11 ||
 Ghanachchhadnadṛishṭir ghanachchhannam ar-
 kam
 yathâ nishprabham manyâte châtimuḍhah |
 tathâ baddhavad bhâti yo muḍhadṛishṭeh
 sa nityopalabdhisvarûpo 'hamâtmâ || 12 ||
 samasteshu vastushv annsyûtamekam
 samastâni vastûni yau na spriśanti |
 viyadvat sadâ śuddham achchhasvarûpam
 sa nityopalabdhisvarûpo 'hamâtmâ || 13 ||
 Upâdhau yathâ bhedaṭā sanmaṇṇam
 tathâ bhedaṭā buddhibhedeshu te 'pi |
 yathâ chandrakānam jale chañchalatvam
 tathâ chañchalatvam tavâpîha Viśṇo || 14 ||

1. 'Who art thou, my child, and whose, and whither goest thou? What is thy name, and whence art thou come? Tell me all this clearly to gladden me,—thou fillest my heart with gladness.'

2. 'I am not a man nor a god nor a demi-god, no Brâhman, Kshatriya, Vaiśya, nor Śûdra; no student, nor householder, nor anchorite, nor religious mendicant; innate Knowledge am I.'

3. 'That which is the cause of the action of mind, eye, and the rest, as the sun is the cause

of the movements of living beings, but which itself is void of all conditioning disguises, like the infinite ether,—that Soul, essentially eternal perception, am I.

4. 'That which being itself one, unchangeable, and essentially eternal knowledge (as fire is essentially heat), is the substratum which bears, as they act, the mind, eye, and the rest, which are mere Ignorance⁶,—that Soul, essentially eternal perception, am I.

5. 'The reflection of the face seen in the mirror is nothing in itself as separated from the face, so is the personal soul in itself nothing, the reflection of Intelligence on the internal organ,—that Soul, essentially eternal perception, am I.

6. 'As the reflection vanishes when the mirror is not, and the face remains alone, apart from all delusion, so that Soul which remains without a reflection when the understanding is not,—that Soul, essentially eternal perception, am I.

7. 'That which abiding aloof from mind, eye, and the rest, is it-elf mind, eye, and the rest to mind, eye, and the rest, and whose nature mind, eye, and the rest cannot reach,—that Soul, essentially eternal perception, am I.

8. 'That which, being one, shines forth self-manifested, possessing pure intelligence, and itself essential light, and which yet appears as though variously modified in various internal organs, as the one sun shines reflected in the water of different vessels,—that Soul, essentially eternal perception, am I.

9. 'As the sun, illumining countless eyes, illumines at the same moment the object to each, so that Soul, the one intelligence, which illumines countless internal organs,—that Soul, essentially eternal perception, am I.

10. 'As the bodily sense illumined by the sun grasps the form of the object, but when unillumined grasps it not, so that by which the one sun must be itself illumined to illumine the sense,—that Soul, essentially eternal perception, am I.

11. 'As the one sun seems many in the agitated waters, and even when reflected in still waters must be yet recognized as really separate, so that which, though really one,

* I. O. Lib. MS. reads śuddhachetâh.

⁶ *ananyak-prithak*. Comm.

⁵ The MS. Comm. takes it differently:—"that which being itself one, unchangeable, and essentially eternal know-

ledge, is the substratum which bears as they act, the mind, eye and the rest, which are mere ignorance,—as the fire is the substratum that bears the heat,—that Soul, essentially, &c."

seems many in the restless internal organs,—that Soul, essentially eternal perception, am I.

12. 'As he whose eye is covered with a cloud thinks in his delusion that the sun is clouded and has lost its light, so that soul which seems bound to him whose mind's eye is blind,—that Soul, essentially eternal perception, am I.

13. 'That which being in itself one, is strung through all things and with which nothing ever yet comes in contact, and which, like the ether, is always pure and uncontaminated' in its nature,—that Soul, essentially eternal perception, am I.

14. 'As the pure crystals appear different by the presence of a disguiser,* so thou too appearest different by the diversity of individual minds; as the moonbeams appear to be tremulous in the water, so thou too, O Viṣṇu, appearest to flicker in our world!'

Of the two different commentaries on the *Hastāmālaka*, ascribed to Śankara Āchārya, one was printed at the end of the Calcutta edition of the *Vedānta-sūtra*, in 1853; there is a MS. of the other in the India Office Library, belonging to the Gaikwād collection, and copied Samvat 1563 (A.D. 1506). Both profess to claim Śankara Āchārya as their author, but both, especially the latter, are far too diffuse to vindicate their claim to have been written by the greatest philosophical author that India has produced. As a specimen of each I subjoin the introductory passage, in which each professes to explain the origin and object of the poem. Neither gloss comments on the first two stanzas, as found in our present text; both begin their explanations with the third.

The commentary in the E. I. Library (MS. 2532) thus opens: "a certain student, who had attained supreme knowledge, and who had assumed the last body before absolute emancipation, having been ejected from home by his relations because he seemed obstinately dumb, was pointed out by his father, and accordingly asked by the author of the commentary (on the *Vedānta-Sūtras*, i.e. Śankara), 'who art thou?' Desiring that others also might have a dignity like his own, he proceeded accordingly to describe his own pre-eminence, and to declare

himself in the following stanzas (i.e. beginning with the third.)"'

The other Commentary opens with the following introduction:

"All beings here have an instinctive desire to obtain happiness and to escape pain; now a certain person, possessed of a pre-eminent amount of merit, and considering worldly happiness as only so much pain from its inseparable connection with pain and from its transitoriness, becomes thoroughly disgusted with all mundane existence, and in his disgust he strives to escape from its bonds; and his teacher, telling him that the ignorance of the soul's nature is the cause of all mundane existence, and the knowledge thereof the cause of its abolition, instructs him accordingly in the knowledge of the individual soul."

Neither of these opening paragraphs gives any hints as to the author or the real circumstances of the composition of the poem. A Bengali translation inserts a curious legend, that Śankara, in the course of his wanderings as a religious reformer, met one day in the road a certain beautiful youth, whom he addressed in the words of the first verse, and who repeated the remainder of the poem as his reply; but there seems no authority for this story.

There is a curious parallel to the *Hastāmālaka* in an ode of the great Persian mystic Shamsi Tabriz, quoted by Erskine in the first volume (p. 108) of the *Bombay Literary Society's Transactions*:—

"What advice, O Musalmāns, as I do not know myself;

I am neither Christian nor Jew, nor am I a fire-worshipper nor Musalmān.

I am not from the East nor the West, nor am I of land or fire,

I am not from the country of 'Irāk, nor am I from the land of Khurāsān.

I am neither of water nor air, nor am I of fire or earth;

I am not of Adam or Eve, nor am I of the inhabitants of paradise.

My place is no place, my sign is without sign:

I have neither body nor soul,—what is there then? I am the soul of my beloved."¹⁰

* The printed Comm. explains *achechha* as *amūrta*, the MS. as *sunarsya*, *rahitā*. "As the China rose reflected in it."

* *Kaichid* 'utpanna-jñānā' *divirbhūta* *charamadeha* *svajanānam* *ekatvāna* *vahishkṛitāḥ* *pitrā* *pradarśito* *bhāṣyakṛidbhik* 'kas tvam' *iti prishṭaḥ* *svānubhāvan*

prakāśayam 'anyeshām apy anubhūto bhāṣya madvad' *iti natvareṇa* *gathāvad* *ātmanā* *āchachakshe* 'nimittam' *ityatibhāṣa* *drāḍasābhik* *śloka* *aiḥ*.

¹⁰ From *The Journal of Philology*, Vol. VI. (1876) pp. 161-169.

MISCELLANEA.

ON SULASĀ.

To the Editor of the "Indian Antiquary."

In my translation of the Kuṣā Inscriptions (*Ind. Ant.* VII. 254) I have identified Sulasā, in the name Sulasadata, with the modern Tulsī the Prākṛit names of which plant, viz. *Sulasā* and *Sulasamañjarī*, Dr. Bühler had pointed out to me. I have since met, in Śilānka's commentary on the *Āchārāṅga Sūtra*, written in the Śāka year 798, with Sulasā as one of the *jagannātaras* or mothers of the world. She is there said to be the mother of all snake races. The name Sulasā datta is thus on the same line with three more snake-names occurring in the same inscriptions: Nāga in No. 11, Nāginikā in No. 2, and Sarpilā in Nos. 3 and 9.

The word *sulasa* for snake, is derived from the root *las*, and means originally either the agile one or the shining one. What connection there is between the mother of the snake-races and the *Tulsī*, if there be any, I dare not decide.

The passage in question makes part of an account of the different opinions regarding the origin and nature of the universe (Calcutta edition vol. I. p. 348):

*Asīd idam tamobhūtam*aprajānam* (sic) *alakshayam | apratarkyam avijñeyam prasuptam ina sarvataḥ ||* (cf. *Manu*, I. 6) *tasmin ekārṇavibhūte nashte śhātavarajāgame | nashāmaranavare chaiva pranashātoragarākshase || kevalam gahvaribhūte mahābhūtavivarjite | achintyātma vibhus tatva śayānas tapyate tapaḥ || tatra tasya śayānasya nābheḥ padmam vimāgalam | tarunarari* (read *bālārka*) *maṇḍalanibhām hyiḍyam kāñchanakarnikam || tasmin padme prabhagavān daṇḍī yajñopavītasanyuktaḥ* (read *vilakāḥ*) *| brahmā tatrotpannas tena jagannātarasḥ śṛṣṭāḥ :*

Aditiḥ surasāghāndin Ditiḥ asurdhām Manur manushyadhām | Vinatī vighnadhām mātā viśvaparakrādhām || Kadrūḥ sarisṛpādhām Sulasā mātā tundaḡajātūdhām | Surabhīḥ chatuspadādhām Itā punaḥ sarvabījdhām || ity adī.

These *jagannātaras* remind us of the Greek goddesses called *μῆρες*, a temple of which was, according to Plutarch (*Murc.*), in the old Sicilian town Engyion.

PROFESSOR HERMANN JACOBI.

Münster, Westphalia.

BUNDASHISH MSS.

A few months ago (in the *Academy* of May 3, 1879; see *ante*, vol. VIII. p. 262) I was able to announce the existence of a Pahlavi manuscript, in Bombay, containing a more complete text of the *Bundahish*

than that hitherto known; and I can now report the existence in Europe of a small fragment of what appears to have been a similar text.

When the late Professor Westergaard of Köpen. hagen returned from Persia in 1844, he brought with him two imperfect Pahlavi MSS., which he had obtained most probably at Kirmān. One of these he kindly lent me in 1878, when I found it had lost 71 folios at the beginning and some 35 at the end, but the remaining 181 folios contained the whole of the ninety-two questions and answers of the *Dādlistān-i Dīnīk*, together with a considerable portion of the writings which usually precede and follow that Pahlavi text in the most complete MSS. This MS., which was probably written in A.D. 1572, was presented by Westergaard to the University Library at Köpenhagen a few hours before his death.

The other MS. appears to have commenced with the *Bundahish*, of which the first 129 folios are lost, leaving only the last page of the text followed by a colophon written in A.D. 1567; next comes the Pahlavi text of the *Mainūk-i-Khard* written A.D. 1569; and after that some short texts, said to be of minor importance. I am indebted to Professor Hoffmann of Kiel for directing my attention to this small fragment of the *Bundahish*, and for kindly sending me a facsimile of it. It contains only the last few sentences of the last chapter, interspersed with some additional phrases, so as to form a text of similar character to that contained in the recently-reported MS. in Bombay. There has, as yet, been no opportunity of comparing it with the corresponding part of the Bombay MS., but, as the 129 missing folios would have been exactly sufficient to contain the remainder of the text existing in that MS., there can be very little doubt that Westergaard's MS. formerly contained the same more complete and extensive text of the *Bundahish*, amounting to nearly 30,000 words instead of the 13,000, which have hitherto been supposed to be the extent of the work.

That these manuscripts should have remained one-third of a century in Europe, before their exact character could be ascertained by comparison with other copies of the same texts, is surely an argument in favour of a searching examination of all Pārsī libraries, by some one thoroughly acquainted with all known Pahlavi and Avesta texts, to ascertain not only where the best copies exist, but also what fragments of unknown texts may yet survive. Such an examination must be of the most searching character to be of any use, so as to account for the text on every folio of every manuscript, and to ascertain the name and date of

every copyist. Unfortunately, the owners of such MSS. can rarely give much trustworthy information on these points, and are apt to have very erroneous ideas of the contents and importance of their books.

Munich, Nov. 26, 1879.

E. W. WEST.

METRICAL VERSIONS FROM THE
MAHÁBHÁRATA.

BY JOHN MUIR, D.C.L., LL.D., &c.

(Continued from Vol. VIII. p. 339.)

EVILS OF INDECISION.

Mahābhārata, xii. 3814.

The dilatory men who let
The time for action pass away,
Though long they seek, can seldom get
Another opportunity.

HARSH SPEECH.

Mahābhārata, i. 3559; v. 12667.

Of all men him most luckless deem
With thorns of speech who others tears,
Who on his lips, with taunts that teem,
Destruction's cursing Goddess bears.¹

THE CLAIMS AND DUTIES OF FRIENDSHIP.

Mahābhārata, v. 3317.

That mortal--sages heartless call
That does not help his friends in need,
Who does not kindly warn and lead
Whene'er they seem about to fall.
He merits praise, who urged by care
His friend from folly back to hold,
Should use all means, and, waxing bold,
Should even seize him by the hair.

BOOK NOTICES.

INTRODUCTION to the SCIENCE of RELIGION. By F. Max Müller, M.A., cr. 8vo. London: Longmans & Co.

LECTURES on the ORIGIN and GROWTH of RELIGION as illustrated by the Religions of India. By F. Max Müller, M.A. London, 1878.

These are two interesting volumes, written with all the ease and elegance characteristic of one who is at once a master of his subject and the art of exposition and the language he employs. Professor Max Müller writes with singular grace, so adorns whatever he handles, and so illuminates it with the most felicitous illustrations that his abstrusest discussions flow and fascinate as if they were vivid historical narrations. In an age remarkable for the number of eminent men of science who are also eminent men of letters, he has the literary gift in so remarkable a degree that he not only has secured an audience for the sciences he specially cultivates, but may be said more than any other man who uses the English tongue to have created, in circles that usually fear and flee the dry and the recondite, something akin to an enthusiasm for the study of the languages and religions of the world. In doing this he has also done much more. He has helped to give India and England a new meaning to each other, to create between the two happier, because more intelligent, more moral and sympathetic relations. He has helped to make India feel that a European scholar may love and study her language and literature and religion with as much enthusiasm as any Native Pandit, and he has helped to make England feel that the Saxon and the Hindu are akin both in blood and spirit, that, as their languages have the same source, they have been concerned with similar problems, and have fallen on similar solutions, have

been indeed alike in their search after truth and in their desire to find it. He who succeeds, though but in a small degree in a work like this, achieves one of the greatest successes possible to man.

The volumes before us may be said to represent Professor Max Müller's more systematic and deliberate contributions to the Science of Religion. Their germs, indeed, may be said to lie scattered up and down his other writings. The questions discussed there involve more or less the questions discussed here. The questions as to the origin and nature of language, and as to the nature and growth of mythology, are essentially related to the question as to the genesis and development of religion; they may, indeed, be said in a sense to be one and the same. And so whether writing of Language or Mythology or Indian Literature, Professor Max Müller has never been able to escape from the problems that specially engage him here. But just because they specially engage him, we expect from him a discussion systematic and scientific in a degree impossible to the Lecturer on Language, to the writer of the *Chips* and to the historian of Sanskrit Literature. And in many respects our expectations are fulfilled. The hand of the writer has here all its old cunning, his speech has all its old charm, is now swift, genial, graphic, and now slow, ornate, elegant, holding us fascinated over sentences of linked sweetness and illustrations long drawn out. Yet we are more than doubtful as to whether these *Lectures* fulfil our expectations in other and higher respects. It may seem an ungracious thing to express the conviction that we are more grateful for the suggestive germs in the earlier writings than for the full and scien-

¹ Compare the expressions in *Psalms* li. 2; lv. 21; lvi. 4; and lxiv. 3, 4.

tific exposition in these; and yet we must confess to this conviction. We are sorry to have to say that Professor Max Müller's standpoint seems to us to have changed for the worse. He has indeed qualities that fit him in an exceptional degree to be an interpreter of ancient Hindu religion. He is a scholar, with a scholar's general love of truth and special love of his subject. He is a poet too, with the poet's penetrative insight, quick eye for all that is true and beautiful and good, with the poet's fine imaginative sympathy with the men and natures he would interpret, and his ability to represent their mind and meaning in his own and our speech. But behind the scholar and poet stands the thinker, and Professor Max Müller's philosophical standpoint is not what it once was. In his earlier works he was more or less in philosophy a disciple of Schelling, in his last he has fallen under the influence of Noiré. And the influence has, to our mind, been anything but happy. Schelling, especially in his later days, was dreamy, fanciful, even phantastic, but in his ideas of spirit, of reason, of the nature and genesis of religion he was, as seems to us, on the whole essentially right. But Noiré appears to us to represent one of the most reactionary and infertile schools of philosophy in the Germany of to-day. And we can only and deeply regret that a name so influential in connexion with the study which is now called "the science of religion" should have in any degree been open to the influence of such a system.

19,847.

Each of the volumes now before us may be said to consist of two parts, a philosophic and a scientific, or a theoretical and historical. The philosophical and theoretical is concerned with the questions as to the origin and nature of religion; the scientific and historical with the interpretation of religion in its concrete forms, religious ideas and beliefs as expressed in the language, literature, customs and laws of given peoples. Yet these two parts are most intimately connected. A man always interprets facts of mind or spirit according to his theory of spirit. He studies religion and history by the light of his philosophy, and can see no more in them than his philosophy enables him to see. Hence the significance of Professor Max Müller's theoretical principles for his scientific criticism and historical presentation of religion. On this point we must say a word or two *propos* of his Hibbert Lectures; the other work has been too long before the public to need any further notice here.¹ The first lecture, on "the Perception of the Infinite," discusses the philosophical question. It is to us the least satisfactory in the

book. The question is much too large to be treated within so narrow compass, and we believe Professor Max Müller, had he been left to himself, would not have attempted to discuss it under conditions so little equal to its claims. But passing by the critical parts,—which are but a series of brief, though searching, glances at two or three theories as to the nature of religion, with omission of all save the very slightest reference to the most rational and comprehensive theory of all—we come to our author's positive and constructive doctrine. He modifies the doctrine maintained in his earlier course of lectures, that religion is a mental faculty, which "independent of, nay, in spite of sense and reason, enables man to apprehend the Infinite under different names and varying disguises."² This as a piece of psychology was never very lucid. It is not very easy to understand how any mental faculty "that is independent of reason and can act in spite of" it can be a rational faculty. But as now modified it is still less satisfactory. "Religion, in its subjective sense of faith," is now made "simply a development of sensuous perception."³ And so he says, in answer to the question, how such a being as the primitive savage, with nothing but his five senses, ever comes to think or speak of anything not finite or infinite?—"It is the senses which give him the first impression of infinite things, and supply him in the end with an intimation of the infinite. Everything of which his senses cannot perceive a limit, is to a primitive savage, or to any man in an early stage of intellectual activity, unlimited or infinite. Man sees, he sees to a certain point; and there his eyesight breaks down. But exactly where his eyesight breaks down, there presses upon him, whether he likes it or not, the perception of the unlimited or infinite."⁴ Now throughout this statement two entirely distinct notions are confounded, the Indefinite and the Infinite. These are not only distinct, but opposite. The Indefinite is simply the undefined, what is without perceived limits; but the Infinite is the without-bounds, is what is not simply undefined, but cannot be defined. The one includes, the other excludes, the ideas of relation and limitation. The senses suggest the Indefinite because they perceive the definite; but the reason conceives the Infinite. Then in what sense can "the senses" be said "to perceive." They are not rational things; to them as senses reason does not belong; and the perception of any rational thing in history, or any reason or reasonable being in nature, is due to man's quality as a rational, not to his nature as a simply sensuous, being. A conception of "infinite things" is possible; "an im-

¹ This work has been translated into German, French, Italian, and Swedish.—*Ed.*

Science of Religion, p. 17. •
Hibbert Lectures, pp. 26, 27.

Ibid p. 37.

pression of infinite things" or "a perception of the Infinite" is absurd. I frankly confess my inability to attach any meaning worthy of our author to such a sentence as "I maintain that before it (the Infinite) becomes a *nooumenon*, it is an *aistheton*, though not a *phainomenon*." Whatever the senses perceive appears to the senses; the appearance is the objective side of the perception, the perception the subjective side of the appearance.

The influence of his empirico-transcendental philosophy pervades more or less the lectures; it is, indeed, hardly apparent in the second lecture with which we throughout cordially agree, or the third, which is an admirable account in our author's very best style of the place and value of the Vedas in the study of religions. But in the fourth, "on the Worship of Tangible, Semi-Tangible and Intangible Objects"—and in the fifth—"the Ideas of Infinity and Law"—its presence and action become very manifest. For one thing it makes in these and the next lecture the presentation of Vedic religion less ethical and more sensuous than it might have been. We could have wished that Professor Max Müller had analysed, verified and organized for us not simply the forms and characters and qualities of the deities that fill the Vedic Pantheon, but also the ethical ideas, the religious and spiritual beliefs that were at work in the heart of the Vedic society, not only creating the seeds of the philosophy that was afterwards to blossom into the *Upanishads*, but also laying the foundations of the sacerdotal polity that was afterwards to receive expression in the *Laws of Manu*. And this suggests another remark—the significance of India for religion does not end at the point where Professor Max Müller leaves us. In a sense it only begins there. We see Indian religion becoming at once more spiritual and more sensuous, entering upon one of the many phases through which it was destined to pass. Yet each of these phases—Brahmanism, Buddhism, Hinduism—was the logical and natural outcome of the other; and to exhibit them in their relations to each other and to the spirit of man is to exhibit one of the most wonderful processes of evolution in religion the history of the world has to show.

It is not possible, within our limits, to give any adequate notice of this book; or any illustrations of the learning, the true and felicitous reflexions, the vigorous and searching criticism with which it abounds, or of the generous and catholic spirit which everywhere penetrates and illumines it.

In these respects it is worthy of Professor Max Müller and the subject; and to say this is the highest possible praise.

A. M. FAIRBAIRN.

A CLASSICAL DICTIONARY OF HINDU MYTHOLOGY AND RELIGION, GEOGRAPHY, HISTORY, AND LITERATURE. By John Dowson, M.R.A.S., late Professor of Hindustani, Staff College (8vo. pp. xix and 411). London: Trübner & Co. 1879.

Many students will hail with pleasure Mr. Dowson's handy volume (which forms the sixth of Trübner's Oriental Series) as a book that is much wanted. It is scarcely eight years yet since its only English predecessor appeared—Mr. J. Garrett's *Classical Dictionary*¹—published at Madras; and though there were serious slips in it, and it was too largely composed of mere extracts, it is often very useful, and is much fuller than that now before us. Mr. Dowson has not dealt so much in extracts as his predecessor; none of his articles are long; and he has condensed in each the information he has drawn from his authorities with the intelligence of a man who knows his subject. He does not draw on original Sanskrit sources, but his authorities are among the best, viz. Muir's *Original Sanskrit Texts*, H. H. Wilson's *Rig-veda*, and *Vishnu Purāṇa* (Hall's Ed.), and the writings of Monier Williams, Max Müller, Roth, Böhtlingk, Lassen, Weber, Whitney, Wollheim da Fonseca, &c. Owing to the brevity necessary in such a work, we regret that the author has not given references at the end of each article to authors where more detailed information might be found.

The mythological parts are decidedly the best; in the biographical matter we note many omissions, the names for example of Bilhāṇa, Rāmānuja, and Madhvāchārya are missing, and the dates of the authors that are given are rarely indicated.

We trust the day is not far distant, however, when another and enlarged edition of this work will be required, and we hope the enterprising publisher will see to it that it is then greatly increased both in matter and value. Why should it not develop into a Dictionary of Sanskrit Mythology, Religion, History and Literature fit to rank with those of ancient Greek and Roman life and thought, so ably edited by Dr. W. Smith? The late H. H. Wilson projected a scholarly work, and went so far, forty years ago, as to announce his intention of preparing it for the Oriental Translation Fund. Here is the nucleus, well done, but far too restricted. Of this Professor Dowson is, modestly, well aware. "No doubt very defective" is the verdict he passes on the fruit of his own labours. He restricts himself, rather too exclu-

¹ Hibbert Lectures, p. 47.

² A Classical Dictionary illustrative of the Mythology, Philosophy, Literature, Antiquities, Arts, Manners, Customs, &c. of the Hindus. By John Garrett, Director of

Public Instruction in Mysore, &c., &c. (8vo. pp. 793; Madras: Higginbotham and Co. 1871); The Supplement, 100 pp., was published in 1873.

sively to the purely Brāhmanik subjects, to the exclusion of Bauddha and Jaina ones, perhaps purposely; but the names of many of the sects, as well as of individuals mentioned in the great epics, are omitted. But, on the other hand, what we have is well done. Nothing could be better than his treatment of the *Vedas* and *Epics*, of such popular deities as *Kṛishṇa* and *Rāma*, and of the *Avatāras*, or incarnations of *Vishṇu*, on which so much of modern and practical Hinduism hangs. Under *Tantra* some of the truth is told regarding the horrible immoralities of much of Hindu worship and belief. Our readers will form an idea of the utility of the book from this account of the *Gdyatri* :—

“A most sacred verse of the *Rig-veda*, which it is the duty of every Brāhman to repeat mentally in his morning and evening devotions. It is addressed to the sun as *Sāvitrī*, the generator, and so it is called also *Sāvitrī*. Personified as a goddess, *Sāvitrī* is the wife of *Brahmā*, mother of the four *Vedas*, and also of the twice-born or three superior castes. Colebrooke's translation of the *Gdyatri* is ‘Earth, sky, heaven. Let us meditate on (these and on) the most excellent light and power of that generous, sportive, and resplendent sun (praying that) it may guide our intellects.’ Wilson's version is, in his translation of the *Rig-veda*, ‘We meditate on that desirable light of the divine *Sāvitrī* who influences our pious rites.’ In the *Vishṇu Purāṇa* he had before given a somewhat different version—‘We meditate on that excellent light of the divine sun; may he illuminate our minds.’ A later version by Benfey is—‘May we receive the glorious brightness of this, the generator, of the god who shall prosper our works.’ Wilson observes of it :—‘The commentators admit some variety of interpretation, but it probably meant, in its original use, a simple invocation of the sun to shed a benignant influence upon the customary offices of worship, and it is still employed by the unphilosophical Hindus with merely that signification. Later notions, and especially those of the *Vedānta*, have operated to attach to the text an import it did not at first possess, and have converted it into a mystical propitiation of the spiritual origin and essence of existence, or *Brahmā*.’ It is considered so holy that copyists often refrain from transcribing it.”

As another specimen, we give the following analysis of the *Rāmāyana*. After a brief notice of the versions and *Adhyātma Rāmāyana* he proceeds :—

“The *Rāmāyana* celebrates the life and exploits of *Rāma* (*Rāma-chandra*), the loves of *Rāma* and his wife *Sitā*, the rape of the latter by *Rāvana*, the demon king of Ceylon, the war carried on by *Rāma* and his monkey allies against *Rāvana*, ending in the destruction of the demon and the rescue

of *Sitā*, the restoration of *Rāma* to the throne of *Ayodhyā*, his jealousy and banishment of *Sitā*, her residence at the hermitage of *Vālmīki*, the birth of her twin sons *Kuśa* and *Lava*, the father's discovery and recognition of his children, the recall of *Sitā*, the attestation of her innocence, her death, *Rāma*'s resolution to follow her, and his translation to heaven.

“The *Rāmāyana* is divided into seven *kāṇḍas* or sections, and contains about 50,000 lines. The last of the seven sections is probably of later date than the rest of the work.

“1. *Bāla-kāṇḍa*. The boyhood of *Rāma*.

“2. *Ayodhyā-kāṇḍa*. The scenes at *Ayodhyā*, and the banishment of *Rama* by his father, King *Dāśaratha*.

“3. *Aranya-kāṇḍa*. ‘Forest section.’ *Rāma*'s life in the forest, and the rape of *Sitā* by *Rāvana*.

“4. *Kishkindhyā-kāṇḍa*. *Rāma*'s residence at *Kishkindhyā*, the capital of his monkey ally, King *Sugriva*.

“5. *Sundara-kāṇḍa*. ‘Beautiful section.’ The marvellous passage of the straits by *Rāma* and his allies and their arrival in Ceylon.

“6. *Yuddha-kāṇḍa*. ‘War section.’ The war with *Rāvana*, his defeat and death, the recovery of *Sitā*, the return to *Ayodhyā* and the coronation of *Rāma*. This is sometimes called the *Lankā* or *Ceylon Kāṇḍa*.

“7. *Uttara-kāṇḍa*. ‘Later section.’ *Rāma*'s life in *Ayodhyā*, his banishment of *Sitā*, the birth of his two sons, his recognition of them and of the innocence of his wife, their reunion, her death, and his translation to heaven.

“The writer or the compilers of the *Rāmāyana* had a high estimate of its value, and it is still held in very great veneration. A verse in the introduction says, ‘He who reads and repeats this holy life-giving *Rāmāyana* is liberated from all his sins and exalted with all his posterity to the highest heaven;’ and in the second chapter *Brahmā* is made to say, ‘As long as the mountains and rivers shall continue on the surface of the earth, so long shall the story of *Rāmāyana* be current in the world.’”

The book is followed by a very complete index of synonyms and names referred to under different heads: the arrangement is most helpful to the student, and enables the author to avoid much repetition.

The whole work is introduced by a condensed account of the origin and development of the *Vedas*. Professor Dowson expresses the hope “that a good beginning has been made, and that a basis has been laid on which a greater and more worthy structure may hereafter be raised.” The foundation is indeed good, and we trust he will at once call other scholars to his aid that the next edition may be very much larger and fuller.

५ लरुतसर्वकार्येषु प्रजया गणनायकः विघ्ननिघ्नसर्वपापद
 पापाद्गणनायकः सतः यावत्सिवा निष्ठं यन्मो लो सातिजा दकी
 शुभमुसिगना द्धक द्धक द्धक कलापमा जीमन्ता कुतुन नायानियत
 दयालु जीमन्तवाहन ७ विजयसिद्धः । इदं निजं कृणुमि वा कल
 यय गाधो या न कृतिष्मणुडा खलु संवत् ३३ । तस्माद्वायुनिष्ठिल
 भूपतिमोलिवन्न न्नद्युति कुपित निर्मल पादपीठः श्रीसादृशं क
 ७ वसादृशिकः कयदी सीलान्वसतिल काटप निर्धन वः तस्मा
 दध्वननयः पुलसक्तिना मासी मासमः सुपुत्रदि तना जनीते
 निर्जित संगम सुखविल विपितर्मे निःकंठकं गतिरा घमकारि
 यना ततश्च समरु सुताटयसिना विस्त्रवा मणिः । सितः सृणि वि
 ता यत्पारिकपिलं कयदी लघुः । यदी यय ससाङ्ग गति सयम
 सुक्ती कतिन सातिसुयवा न्नाणन वससीन दु श्वावु विः । तस्मादप
 नवद्विष्टनियदवीया त्रयवित्री कृता सख्यश्चा वलया मदीयतिल
 कः श्रीवद्युवन्नः सुतः संगम गलय गिलासिल तया न निकदं
 तादृगशर्मायन विनायका विप्रविता विंष्टि विण्दंतिनः । तस्मा
 ज्ञातस्र ब्राजा नृजनि कय ७ ता नदि तासयाला कः सा घाः श्रीरुद्र
 गाजादिवसकय ७ वधस्रनिः सखदोषः संसा र्या मादसायि
 कयवयदविनाकीर्त्तनामि चनाम्ना सोयाना नी वं स
 तापल ततनुष्टतां स्वर्गेमा गोघनानां सा ता तस्यत
 तस्मात्ता कलया सा गणिः प्रकाशीकृता सख्यश्चा वलया

वलीवलव तंशीगाभिना जोरतवावाक षण्णक
 क्षिपुतल तंयस्मिग तस्यलो नीआप्राणप
 पासुतपरुतयःसर्दि तिरक्ता रिताःतस्मादिस्मयकारि
 क्षा निरपित प्रक्षानकीर्तिःसुतःधीमा वृद्धदवद्वयतिरुद्ध
 इवक्रवृद्धमलिः। दादं एडिकवलचयसुसरुसा संग्रामुंगा
 गणनाद्यधीःस्वयामचवक्षसिगतिंराकभुनानि निवाङ्गयतः
 वरत्रातःपुत्रापितवद्युयःततःधीमातद्रुयुवःसद्यतित्राः
 यनाजितःकर्तुःयागनयःसाक्षात्। नवपुविधिःप्रता
 यादीप्तिमात्रेणःकालदःश्यायादिषा। सयणगतसामंता। पुप
 सयिजगतिरुक्षिताएनमजयतियत्रा प्रेनामासयणगतवक्षयजगदेव
 एनस्तानुतमागतायविक्षितं। नामयनानाविवायनेविद्ययादवनाम्नि
 वलितंराक्षसिन्कापितंरिस्त्रमाश्ममण्डुवक्षितिरुतादत्ररायनाप्रयतः
 श्रीविउदकंयमठयतयच किमावर्त्ताताधीमानश्चतदुवृद्धदवना
 मारुयालुमस्रुकमलिस्त्रनायानयः॥ यद्यपिपस्रवितानिजनाःस
 भस्त्रापाभारकवृक्षितगात्रलतासुवति। तद्वातावतातात्रिकस्रिथय्या
 ज्ञातःसतासम्भातादृष्टागति कुलावलिकदलनिदंशोलिलोलादवतागता
 शिसवपवासेचस्रितादृष्टावासासमश्चरतश्चयिपितुनाजयाजगदल
 यःकीलयिवागतः। तद्वातावृद्धदवद्वयतिरुद्धि त्रगाजावृयतिर्व
 प्रवाधीलाप्रवंसःसिचुनायि। यनतौतःयसामुत्रतिमुत्रात नालंवा
 लकानिउरुंउंताययकंठपुहृष्टातलतिका निनिपुजनाणि।

A NEW SILĀRA COPPER PLATE GRANT.

BY KĀSĪNĀTH TRIMBAK TELANG, M.A., LL.B.

THIS is a grant made by a prince of the Silāra dynasty. The plates belong to Mr. Rāmchandra Śivram Desāi of Khārepāṭan, and were handed over to me several months ago by Rāo Sāheb Gajānan Kṛishṇa Bhāṭavaḍekar, then Subordinate Judge of Devgad, and now in the service of the Barodā state. The plates were found many years ago at Khārepāṭan, buried under ground; and it is now impossible to get any further information regarding their acquisition. They consist of three sheets, about 8 inches in length by about 6½ inches in breadth, joined together by a ring of the usual shape, bearing, for a seal, the figure of a man seated cross-legged and with joined hands. The grant is, as usual, engraved on both sides of the middle plate and on the inner sides only of the first and third.

The characters are the old Dēvanāgarī, the

mātrā being in many cases written before the letter with which it goes, instead of over it, as in the modern Dēvanāgarī. There are sundry instances of that confusion between ञ and ण which may be noted in other plates also,¹ and there is also a somewhat noticeable tendency towards doubling some letters.² The substitution of a ञ for ञ; the similarity of ञ and ञ; and the use of the *avagraha*,—are some of the other points worthy of note in the style of writing in this grant. The language is throughout Sanskrit, the greater portion of the document being in fairly good verse, while a small portion at the end—which is the only part directly referring to the actual grant made—is in prose, and somewhat involved, and not always correct, prose. The date is given in letters and figures as 1016, or, according to the view of Mr. J. F. Fleet, 1017³ of the Śaka era.

Transliteration.

Plate I.

- [¹] लभते सर्वकार्येषु पूजया गणनायकः [॥]⁴ विघ्ननिघ्नस्त वः पायाद-
 [²] पायाङ्गणनायकः । [॥] स वः पातु सिवो नित्यं यन्मौलौ भाति जाह्नवी । [॥]
 [³] सुमेरुसिखरोद्गच्छदच्छचन्द्रकलोपमा । [॥] जीमूतकेतुतनयो नियतं
 [⁴] दयालुर्जीमूतवाहन इति विजगत्प्रसिद्धः । [॥] देहं निजं तृणमिवाकल-
 [⁵] यन्परायं यो रक्षतिस्म गरुडात्खलु संखंचूडं । [॥] तस्यान्वये निखिल-
 [⁶] भूपतिमौलिनूत्ररत्नशुचिरुतिनिर्मलपादपीठः । [॥] सीसाहसांक
 [⁷] इव साहसिकः कपर्दी सीलारवंसतिलको नृपतिर्बभूवः (व) । [॥] तस्मा-
 [⁸] दभूच्च तनयः पुलसकिनामा सीमासमः सुरु(र)गुरुदितराजनीतेः । [॥]
 [⁹] निर्जित्य संगरमुखेखिलवैरिवर्गी निःकण्टकं जगति राज्यमकारि
 [¹⁰] येन । [॥] ततश्च समभूत्सुतो नृपतिरोविभूषामणिः । सितः सृणिरि-
 [¹¹] वापरोरिकरिणां कपर्दी लघुः । [॥] यदीयससा जगत्यतिसयेन
 [¹²] सुक्रीकृते न भाति सुरवाग्रो न च ससी न दुग्धाबुधिः । [॥] तस्मादप्य-
 [¹³] भवद्विभूतिपदवीपात्रं पवित्रीकृतासेषक्षमावलये महीपतिल-
 [¹⁴] कः श्रीवत्पु[]वन्नः सुतः । [॥] संग्रामांगणरंगिणासिलतया लूनैकदं-
 [¹⁵] ता हठात्सर्वे येन विनायका विरचिता विद्वेषिणां दन्तिनः । [॥] तस्मा-
 [¹⁶] ज्ञातस्तनूजो रजनिकर इवानदितासेषलेकः स्लाघ्यः श्रीशंश-

¹ See for one instance the inscription at Cunningham's *Archaeological Survey Reports*, vol. VI. Plate xi.

² Cf. *Jour. B. B. R. A. Soc.* vol. X. p. 349.

³ Inasmuch as the expression is "1016 years of the Śaka king having elapsed." Cf. *Burnell, Elements of S. I. Palaeography* (2nd ed.) p. 72n. Having compared the figures and names of years given in some of the plates

which we possess, I am inclined to agree with Mr. Fleet's view.

⁴ The original has a line thus ' at the close of some only of the hemistichs. In the transliteration double lines, thus [॥], have been inserted everywhere in brackets. In the prose portion the perpendicular lines are printed only where they are found in the original.

- [17] राजो दिवसकर इव ध्वस्तनिःसेषदोषः ।[11] संभोर्यो द्वादसापि
 [18] व्यरचयदचिरात्कीर्तनामि(नि) स्वनाम्ना सोपानानीव म-
 [19] न्ये प्रणततनुभृता स्वर्गमा गोयतानां ।[11] भ्राता तस्य त-
 [20] तस्ततोऽज्वलयसोराशिः प्रकासीकृतसेषक्ष्मावलये

Plate IIa.


- [21] बली बलवतां श्रीगोगिरा जोभवत् ।[11] चापाकर्षणक-
 [22] र्मणि प्रवणतां यस्मिग(ना) ते सू(सं)यतौ भीष्मद्रोणपृ-
 [23] थासुतप्रभृतयः सर्वे तिरस्कारिताः ।[11] तस्माद्विस्मयकारि-
 [24] हारिचरितप्रक्षा(ख्या)तकीर्तिः सुतः श्रीमान्वज्जडदेवभूपतिरभू-
 [25] दूचक्रचूडामणिः ।[11] दोर्दण्डैकबलस्य यस्य सहसा संप्रामर्गा-
 [26] गणे राज्यश्रीः स्वयमेत्य वक्षसि रतिं चक्रे मुरारि(रे)रिव ।[11] जयंत इ-
 [27] व वृत्रारेः पुरारेरिव षष्ठ्युखः ।[11] ततः श्रीमानभूत्पुत्रः सच्चरित्रोऽ-
 [28] पराजितः ।[11] कर्णः त्यागेन यः साक्षात्सत्येन च युधिष्ठिरः ।[11] प्रता-
 [29] पाहीसिमान्तण्डः कालदण्डश्च यो द्विषां ।[11] सरणागतसामंता अप-
 [30] रापि जगति रक्षिता येन ।[11] स जयति यथार्थनामा सरणागतवज्रपंजरो देवः ।[11]
 [31] येन स्वागतमागताय विहितं गोमाय नानाविधं येनैवैयपदेवनाम्नि
 [32] चलितं राज्यं स्थिरं कारितं ।[11] भिलमाम्ममणम्बुधक्षितिभृतां दत्तं च येनाभयं तस्य
 [33] श्रीविरुदंकरामनृपतेरन्यक्रिमावर्ण्यते ।[11] श्रीमानभूत्तदनु वज्जडदेवना-
 [34] मा भूपालमस्तकमणिस्तनयो नयतः ॥ अद्यापि यस्य चरितानि जनाः स-
 [35] मस्ता रोमार्चकंचुकितागात्रलतास्तुवंति ।[11] तज्ज्ञाता च ततोऽरिकेसरिनुपो
 [36] जातः सतां सम्मतो दृष्टारातिकुलाचलैकदलनि(ने)दंभोलिलीलां दधत् ।[11] गत्वा
 [37] शैसव एव सैन्यसहितो दृष्ट्वा च सोमेस्वरं तस्याग्रे पितुराज्ञया जगदलं
 [38] यः कीलयित्वागतः ।[11] तज्ज्ञातृजो वज्जडदेवसूनुः श्रीच्छित्तराजो नृपतिर्ब-
 [39] भूव ।[11] श्रीलारवंसः सिसुनापि येन नीतः परामुञ्चतिमुञ्चतेन ।[11] लंबा-
 [40] लकानि कुचकुंभतटोपकंठप्रभ्रष्टहारलतिकानि निरंजनानि ।[11]

Plate II b.

- [41] उत्खाततीक्ष्णकरवालविदारितस्य योन्तःपुराणि परिपंथिजनस्य र(च)-
 [42] क्रे ।[11] हतारिनारीनेत्रांभस्सेकसंवर्द्धनादिव ।[11] ब्रह्माण्डमण्डपं ज(य)स्य कीर्तिव-
 [43] ल्यधिरोहति ।[11] दृष्टारातिषु कोपकालदहनः सौभाग्यनारायणो वार-
 [44] स्त्रीषु ततोनुजः समभवं(व)न्नागार्जुनः क्षमाप्रतिः ।[11] यस्यामानुषमूर्जितं भुज-
 [45] बलं दूराभिसत्य(प्य) द्विषां निद्रातीव रणांगणव्यसनिनी दोर्दण्डकण्डूलता ।[11]
 [46] यदसमसिबिरान्तर्मत्तगंधेस(भ)दानप्रसरदनिलमुष्यत्स्रोतसोदिगजै-
 [47] द्राः ।[11] अरिनगरविद(दा)होदामदिग्यापिभूमप्रसरभयानिमीललोचना नो-
 [48] ऽन्मिषंति ।[11] तदनु तदनुजन्मा मूर्त्तिभान्मीनकेतुः क्षतरिपुविभवोभून्मु-
 [49] णिः क्षोणिपालः ।[11] विधूतधनुषि ज[य]स्मिन्वाजिनीराजनते बलभिदपि बली-
 [50] यान्वाधिकं चापमौडस्त ।[11] तस्मिन्(स्मिन्) नृपेः(पे) प्रवरकीर्त्तिसरीरभाजि नागार्जुन-
 [51] स्य तनयो नयचक्रवेदी ।[11] भूपोऽभवत्परमधर्मविसुद्धदेहः सीलार-
 [52] गोत्रनृपरत्नमनन्तपालः ।[11] जाते दायादवैरिव्यसनिनि समये यैर-

[illegible]

तमममडलेसु गायति श्रीमदमं तदत कला गतिरु य नो गे निरु उ डोपा
 किं ताने कसं डले समेतो पुत्री पुत्रु रत्तु उदंश ग्राम सती समं गिनां स
 मधुको कल सुवं समनु सा सति न सित प्रागु विना सा र समुद्र रुति म
 दामा श्री निवित कता सिदः मदा सा विविगुली कधी निधि रुदः सा डाग
 पप्र मस्रु ण दी सन मदा पदान श्री मदा दवि य प्ररुः द्वितीया रुपा दी
 सन पुदान श्री सामा लिय प्ररुः एवमा दि श्री क माल पवर्त मान सवम
 दामं डले स ग्री मदनं तदव नाडः समी ये वरु सव स्रु मान कान प्रगान
 पिना ड पुत्र मति पुसा हिता गाय प्रदा ता प्रदान ति योगि का म्रवा रा प्रुपति
 विषय पति नग र पुति गाम पुति नि पु कानि पु कता ड पु उष डन पुदा
 म्रवा रु रु मन नग रा यो र वृत्त म्रु पृती श्रु पति प्रज्ञा सुवा र स मादे
 सिः सदि स्रु सुवः सं विदितं य दाना सकृ पका ला ती त स व स्रु दग स
 त सुखा ड सा धि क सुवा व सं व स नं त म्रु न मा रु सुह प्रति पदा यो यश
 का ता पि स म्रु रु १० १५ मदा मडली क श्री मदनं तदवेन श्री म दलि पव
 नी यम दा पदान दुगां सु श्रि सु त मदा पदान श्री मरु त सु श्रि न स्रु
 ताम दा सा धि दि ग्री क श्री वल म स्रु श्रि नः त यो य प्रु वरु ल सी श्रु न
 क न दाम म्रु पुत्रु स्रु यो र क र व ल्या दि सुा व ला ऊ ले सु र उदंश सत
 ऊ कल म्रु त रि सु य प्रु वरु ल स मा ग रु ति त स्रु य स्रु के ना नि क क र्ण
 क माला म्रु पि प्रु वि स निर्ग म पि श्री ऊ कल र क र्ति ना श्री मदनं तदे
 न ना डा सी ला रुद त ता सु सा सन नि व रै सु क्त प रि च क्त ॥ त य
 उ यो वा दी मा स्रु श्रि पा ल म स्रु श्रि कु डु क ल स्रु श्रि मालि या दी ना
 म्रु पि पु ति पा ल नी य ॥ उ वा किं तं से त त श्री व र प डि त न रा प रा र क्षि
 ति न ऊ कल व क्त वा ते न श्री मदनं तदव समा गा व पि वा ॥ ॐ ॥







- [⁵³] वासप्रभावैर्धस्ता(?) ध्वस्ता) देवद्विजातिप्रमथनविधिना कौकणक्षोणिरेषा ।[11]
 [⁵⁴] तानुग्रान्यापरासीन्मुनपवनभहात्खेन्दधाराम्बुरासै क्षिप्तोच्चैश्चन्द्रवि-
 [⁵⁵] श्वे वकुलपतितखा यः स्वकीसै लिलेख ।[11] चिन्तामणो(णौ) प्रणयिनां नय-
 [⁵⁶] नाभिरामे कामे कुरंगकदृशां जगदेकवीरे ।[11] निर्वैरिवीरमवनीवल-
 [⁵⁷] यं विधाय धर्मेण पालयति तत्र नैरेद्रचंद्रे ॥ अथ स्वकीयपुण्योदयात्सम-
 [⁵⁸] धिगतपंचमहाशब्दमहासामंताधिपतितगरपुरपरमेस्वरशीलारनरे-
 [⁵⁹] न्द्रजीमूतवाहनान्वयप्रसूतगुवर्णगुरुध्वजाभिमानमहोदधिनिःसं-
 [⁶⁰] कलकेखरदीरचरितभीरताव  तार अरिवीषपतनकेदारको-
 [⁶¹] पकालानलःपागजगज्जंघंष  डाचार्यपश्विमसमुद्राधिपतिरा-
 [⁶²] यपिना(?) ता)महसरणागतवज्रपंजरप्रभृतिसमस्तराजावलीविराजि

Plate III.

- [⁶³] तमहामण्डलेस्वराधिपतिश्रीमदनंतदेवकल्याणविजयराज्ये निजभुजोपा-
 [⁶⁴] जितानेकमंडलसमेतां पुरीप्रमु  खचतुर्दशग्रामसतीसमं(म)न्वितां स-
 [⁶⁵] मस्व(स्त)कौकणभुवं समनुसासति त  थैतद्राज्यचिन्ताभारं समुद्रहति म-
 [⁶⁶] हामाश्वश्रीनौवितकवासैदः । महासाधिप्रहीकश्रीरिषिभट्टः । भांडागा-
 [⁶⁷] रेप्रथमच्छेण(पा)दीसेनमहाप्रधानश्रीमहादेवैयप्रभुः । द्वितीयच्छेपादी-
 [⁶⁸] सेनप्रधानश्रीसोमणैयप्रभुः । एवमादिश्रीकरणे प्रवर्तमाने स च म-
 [⁶⁹] हामंडलेस्वरा(रः)श्रीमदनंतदेवराजः सर्वान्ये(ने)व स्वसंबद्धयमानकान् अन्यान-
 [⁷⁰] पि राजपुत्रमंत्रिपुरोहितामात्यप्रधानान्प्रधाननियोगिका(कां)स्तथाराष्ट्रपति
 [⁷¹] विषयपतिनगरपतिग्रामपतिनियुक्तानियुक्तराजपुरुषजनपदा(दां)
 [⁷²] स्तथाहंजमननगरपौरतृ(त्रि)वर्गप्रभृतींश्च प्रणतिपूजासत्कारसमादे-
 [⁷³] सैः संदिसयस्तु वः संविदितं यथा ॥ सकनृपकालातीतसंवत्सरदशस-
 [⁷⁴] तेषु षोडसाधिकेषु भावसंवत्सरांतर्गतमाघसुद्धप्रतिपदायां यत्रां-
 [⁷⁵] कतोपि सम्वत् १०१६ महामंडलीकश्रीमदनंतदेवेन श्रीमद्वलिपव-
 [⁷⁶] नीयमहाप्रधानदुर्गखेष्ठिसुतमहाप्रधानश्रीभाभणखेष्ठिनस्तज्जा-
 [⁷⁷] तामहासांधिदि(वि)प्रहीकश्रीधणमखेष्ठिनः तयोर्यत्प्रवहणं स्वीस्थान-
 [⁷⁸] कतथानागपुरस(?)तु)र्षारकचेमुल्यादिषु वेलाकुलेषु चतुर्दशसत-
 [⁷⁹] कुंकणाभ्यंतरेषु यं(?)यः)प्रवहणं समागच्छति तस्य यत्सुक्तं नौरिककर्म-
 [⁸⁰] कराणां(णा)मपि प्रवृत्ते निर्गमेपि श्रीकुंकणचक्रवर्तिना श्रीमदनंतदे-
 [⁸¹] न(व)राज्ञा सीलारदत्तताम्र(?)सासननिबद्धं सुक्तं परित्यक्तं ॥ तत्पु-
 [⁸²] त्रपौत्रादीमां(नां) खेष्ठिपाणमखेष्ठिकुडुकलखेष्ठिमालैयादीना-
 [⁸³] मपि प्रतिपालनीयं ॥ उवा(पा)जितं चैतत् श्रीधरपंडितेन रायवार(?)स्थि-
 [⁸⁴] तेन कुंकणचक्रवर्तिनं श्रीमदनंतदेवं समाराधयित्रा ॥ ॐ ॥

Translation.

May that Chief of the Ganas (*Ganapati*) protect you from harm, who is honoured with worship in all undertakings, and who destroys obstacles.

May that *Śiva* always protect you, on

whose crest glistens the Ganges like a clear digit of the moon rising over the summit of Sumera.

Jī m ā t a v ā h a n a, the son of *Jī m ā t a k e t u*, is renowned in the three worlds as ever humane; for verily, treating his own body as

a blade of grass for the sake of another, he rescued Śāṅkha ch ū ḍ a from Ga ru ḍ a.⁵

In his family was born the prince Ka pa r d i, an ornament of the Śīlāra dynasty, whose clean footstool was streaked with the beams of the fresh jewels in the diadems of all kings of the earth, and who was bold like Śrī Śāha sā ṅ ka.⁶

To him was born a son, named Pu la ś a k t i, who was, as it were, the utmost limit of the polity proclaimed by the Preceptor of the Gods,⁷ and who having vanquished the whole multitude of his foes in the midst of the battle-field, reigned over the earth unmolested.

He had a son Ka pa r d i the younger,⁸ the head-ornament of kings, who was, as it were, a second sharp goad to his elephant-like enemies, and whose glory rendered the universe so excessively white,⁹ that the elephant of the gods, the moon, and the milky ocean shone not (*before it*).

To him, too, was born a son, Śrī Va t p u v a n n a,¹⁰ an ornament of the lords of the earth, who was the seat of great splendour, who had purified the entire sphere of the earth; and who, sporting in the battle-field, cut off with his sword one tusk of his adversaries' elephants, and rendered them devoid of masters.¹¹

He had a son, the king Za n z a, worthy of praise, who delighted all people like the moon, and destroyed all evil like the sun who destroys night,¹² and who in his own name erected full twelve temples¹³ of Śambha, which were steps methinks for (*the use of*) those suppliants of his who were labouring on the path to heaven.

Then came his brother Śrī G o g g i, the brilliant mass of whose glory was spread (*in all directions*), who had lit up the whole sphere of the earth, who was powerful among the men of

power, and on whose engaging in the work of drawing his bow in the battle, Bhīshma, Droṇa, the son of Prithā,¹⁴ and others were all cast into the shade.

To him was born a son, the illustrious V a j j a ḍ a d ē v a, whose renown was noised about owing to his astonishing and attractive exploits, who was the head-ornament of the circle of the earth,¹⁵ and upon whose bosom, his strength being in his arm, the goddess of royal splendour, suddenly appearing of her own accord in the midst of the battle-field, enjoyed pleasure as (*on the bosom*) of Murāri.¹⁶

To him was born an illustrious and righteous son, A pa r ā j i t a, like Jayanta to Indra, like Kārtikeya¹⁷ to Śiva. He was Kuṛṇa himself in munificence, Yudhisṭhira in veracity, the brilliant sun in prowess, and the staff of Kāla to his foes.¹⁸ Victorious is he who protected in this world subordinate chiefs who came for shelter, and others also¹⁹—the king, who deserves his name of 'adamantine cage for those who come for shelter'.²⁰ In various ways, he welcomed Goma, when he came; he alone confirmed to the name of A i y a p a d ē v a the sovereignty which had been shaken; he afforded security to the kings Bhīllamāmanamambudha.²¹ What more need be said of that king Rāma bearing the title Śrī?

After him came the illustrious head-jewel of kings, his son V a j j a ḍ a d ē v a, well versed in polity, whose exploits all people even yet extol with their bodies clothed, as it were, with hair standing on end.

Then came his brother the king A r i k e s a r i, respected by the good, who played the part of the thunderbolt in the destruction of his proud foes, who were like the great mountains

⁵ This story forms the subject of the *Nāṣṇanda Nāṭika*, translated by Mr. P. Boyd. See also *Tree and Serpent Worship* pp. 169–170; *Ind. Ant.* vol. I. pp. 148, 149.

⁶ See as to Śāhasāṅka, Hall's *Vāsavadattā* Introd. p. 18. ⁷ i. e. Brihaspati, to whom a work on the science of Politics is attributed. See *Jour. Bom. Br. Roy. As. Soc.* vol. VII. p. 62; and also *Panchatantra* (Bombay, Sanskrit series) Tantra iv. p. 7 line 10, and note thereon.

⁸ This is the first exception I have seen to the general usage complained of by Mr. Fergusson. *Jour. Roy. As. Soc.* (N. S.) vol. IV. p. 85.

⁹ Glory or fame with our poets is always white. Cf. *Raghuvamśa*, II. 69. The elephant of Indra is also white.

¹⁰ See *Ind. Ant.* vol. V. p. 277 note.

¹¹ There appears to be a double entendre here. Vināyaka means both devoid of masters, and Gaṇapati, who is supposed to have only one tooth.

¹² Here is another double entendre.

¹³ Pandit Bhagvanlāl tells me that he has seen कौर्त्तन

used in this sense elsewhere. To me this sense of the word is quite new. ¹⁴ i. e. Arjuna.

¹⁵ This phrase is a very common one.

¹⁶ i. e. Vishnu, his wife being Śrī or Lakshmi—the goddess of splendour. ¹⁷ The war-god.

¹⁸ Kāla means Yama—the God of Death, one of whose names is Dandadhara, the holder of the staff.

¹⁹ The original is somewhat obscure here.

²⁰ This appears to have been a title of the Śīlāras. But see also *Jour. Roy. As. Soc.* vol. V. p. 176, Inscription No. viii. and Major Graham's *Kolhapur*, Insc. No. 17, p. 457, where the inscription belongs to the same family as Wathen's inscription.

²¹ This is conjectured to refer to Bombay (*Asiat. Res.* vol. I. p. 359). I do not understand the original. Some light may, possibly, be derived from *Ind. Ant.* vol. VI. p. 63, Yule's *Marco Polo*, vol. II. p. 831. A Rājā Bhīllama of Dēvagiri (Ś. 1110–1115) is mentioned in Wathen's Inscription No. 9. And see *Jour. Roy. As. Soc.* vol. V. p. 178; *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. IV. p. 164; and *Ind. Ant.* vol. VI. p. 366.

of the universe;²² and who, even in childhood, having with (his) army gone to and visited Somêśvara, offered up²³ before him the whole earth by direction of his father, and then returned.

Then Śrī Chhittarāja, the son of his brother, Vajjadadêva, became king, who being himself an exalted personage, even though a child, greatly exalted the Śilāra dynasty. The wives of his antagonists, who were cut down by his unsheathed sharp sword, had, owing to him, their hair hanging loose, their necklaces fallen off from the vicinity of the edges of their breasts, and their eyes devoid of collyrium.²⁴ His fame, like a creeper, climbs the universe which is like a shed, as if it had been reared up by being watered with the tears of the women of his destroyed enemies.

Then his younger brother Nâgârjuna became king, who, in his anger, was like the fire of destruction to his proud foes, and in gracefulness like Krishna to the courtizans,²⁵ and on hearing of whose superhuman and excessive strength of arm, the itching of the arms of his enemies for the battle-field goes, as it were, to sleep. The guardian elephants of the several quarters²⁶ of the universe do not open their eyes, having their flow of rut-water dried up by the wind blowing over the rut-water of the intoxicated Gaudha elephants²⁷ in his matchless camp, and having their eyes closed through fear, in consequence of the spreading of the excessive volumes of smoke enveloping all the quarters which issued from the conflagration of the cities of his enemies.

After him his younger brother Mummuni became king, who was Cupid himself incarnate,²⁸

who had destroyed the power of his enemies, and on whose taking up his bow after the ceremony of waving lights before the horses, even the mighty Indra cast aside his yearly bow.²⁹ Upon that king assuming an excellent body of renown,³⁰ Anantapāla, the son of Nâgârjuna, conversant with the whole circle of politics, whose body was purified by the highest piety, and who was an ornament of the princes of the Śilāra family, became king. With the wind-like force of his arm (?) he cast into the ocean of the edge of his sword³¹ those wicked heaps of sin³² who at a time of misfortune from relatives that had become hostile, having obtained power, devastated this whole Kōṅkan district, by harassing gods³³ and Brāhmanas; and then he a friend of the lord of . . . stamped his own fame on the face of the moon.³⁴ While that moon-like prince, who is a wish-giving jewel to his friends, who is a Cupid pleasing to the sight of the fawn-eyed ones, who is the one hero of the world, is righteously protecting the whole sphere of the earth, after having rid it of enemies:—

Now in the happy and victorious reign of the illustrious Anantadêva, the chief of the Mahāmaṇḍalêśvaras, who by means of his own spiritual merit has obtained the five great titles,³⁵ who is the chief of the great Sāmantas (*subordinate chiefs*), who is born in the family of Jîmûtavāhana, the Śilāra prince, lord of the excellent city of Tagara, who boasts of the standard of the Golden Eagle,³⁶ . . . the lord (?) of Laukâ, nonchalant in the great ocean . . . the Fire of Destruction in his wrath . . . who is adorned with all the royal titles³⁷—

²² These are said to be seven. See *Mahābhārata*, Bhishma Parva (Bomb. Ed.) p. 8; and the reference given at *Ind. Ant.* vol. V. p. 157.

²³ Literally fastened (?). See remarks on this *infra*.

²⁴ These are all marks of widowhood.

²⁵ I.e. among the Gopis of Gokul as applied to Krishna.

²⁶ The eight quarters, east, south-east, south, &c., each of which is supposed to have a guardian elephant.

²⁷ This is the highest kind of elephants, supposed to give out a special smell, from which the name.

²⁸ Cupid himself is 'bodiless.' See the story in *Kumāra Sambhava*, canto IV.

²⁹ Cf. *Raghu*, IV. 25. The ceremony is still performed on the Dasarā day, which is regarded as the auspicious day for setting out on an expedition. The 'yearly bow' is the rainbow.

³⁰ I.e. on his death.

³¹ A common expression, cf. *Viracharita*, Act III.

³² Or reading the original differently, as it may be read,—"those heaps of fearful injustice."

³³ The temples, &c. of the gods, that is to say.

³⁴ This appears to be some hyperbole about the moon's spots, like those of which our poets have a large number.

³⁵ See *Jour. As. Soc. vol. X.* p. 365; and *Ind. Ant.* vol. V. p. 251.

³⁶ This is the device of the Śilāras, and appears on the seal

of Dr. Bühler's Plate, *Ind. Ant.* vol. V. p. 276. Dr. Burnell (*S. Ind. Paleogr.* p. 75a) seems not to have been aware of this. But see now *Ibid.* (2nd ed.) 107. At p. 381 of Graham's *Kolhapur* (Government Selections) the device is alluded to in the phrase *Socurn Ajoroodudhwa*. Sir Walter Elliot (*Jour. R. As. Soc.* vol. IV. p. 34) says: "It is remarkable that both the Yada and the Śilāra had adopted the golden Garuda as their ensign, which the prince seems unwilling to allow to his feudatory." One of the other titles in the text is *रायवित्तमह* which occurs in Wathen's Inscription No. X. p. 2 line 5. See also *Asiatic Researches* vol. I. pp. 359. In Wathen's Inscription No. X. the expression *मंडलैकवित्तमह*, also occurs; in Dr. Bühler's (*Ind. Ant.* vol. V. p. 273) *मंडलैकवित्तमह*. May *रायवित्तमह* then mean 'like a Brahmadêva among kings,'—(this, I find, is Wathen's rendering, see *J. R. A. S.* vol. V. p. 186)—first among kings? The next expression to this I cannot make out.

³⁷ Dr. Bühler renders this by "resplendent amongst the row of rajas" (*J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. IX. p. 219). The expression occurs at *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XII. p. 7; *J. R. A. S.* vol. V. Ins. No. 7 and No. 9; *J. L. S. of Bombay* vol. III. p. 318 (3rd sheet); *Ind. Ant.* vol. VIII. p. 94; and Cunningham's *Archæological Survey Reports* vol. VI. Plate 21. At *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XIII. p. 3, the expression is *नामावलि*.

Lord of the Western Ocean, the grandfather of the king, adamantine cage for those come for shelter³³ and so forth—and who rules over the whole of the Koṅkaṇ district, including fourteen hundred villages of which the chief is Purī; and embracing many provinces acquired by his own arm: while the great Minister Śrī Nauvītaka Vāsaidā bears the burden of anxieties about this kingdom,³⁰ and the great Minister of peace and war is Rīshibhatta, while in the first rank at the Treasury is the great Minister Pādhī-sena⁴⁰ Śrī Mahādēvaiya Prabhu, while in the second rank is the Minister Śrī Somaṇaiya Prabhu; while such is the ruling administration,⁴¹ that illustrious Mahā-māṇḍaleśvara king Anantadēva, announces with salutations, honour, respect, and directions, to all princes, councillors, priests, ministers, principal and subordinate officers,—both those connected with himself and others,⁴² as also all heads of *rāshṭras*, heads of *viśayas*,⁴³ heads of towns, heads of villages, royal officials specially appointed or not,⁴⁴ country people, as well as townspeople of the town Hanjamana⁴⁵ of the three classes, and so forth; “Be it known to you, that on the first day of Māgha Śuddha, falling in the year Bhāva, one thousand and sixteen (*in figures*) 1016 years of the Śaka king having elapsed, the Mahāmāṇḍalikā, the illustrious Anantadēva, the emperor of the Koṅkaṇ, has released the toll mentioned in this copper-grant given by the Si-

lāras, in respect of every cart belonging to two persons,—the great Minister Śrī Bhaḥaṇa Śreṣṭhī,⁴⁶ the son of the great Minister Durgāśreṣṭhī of the glorious Valipavāna,⁴⁷ and his brother Śrī Dhaṇama Śreṣṭhī, the great minister of peace and war,—which may come into any of the ports,⁴⁸ Śrīsthānaka, as well as Nāgapur, Surpāraka, Chemuli, and others, included within the Koṅkaṇ Fourteen Hundred,⁴⁹ as well as the toll in respect of the ingress or egress of those who carry on the business of⁵⁰ This should be preserved (*i.e. continued*) also to their sons, grandsons, &c. Śreṣṭhī Pāṇama, Śreṣṭhī Kudukala, Śreṣṭhī Mālayya, and so forth. This has been procured by Śrīdhara Paṇḍit who is stationed at Rāyavār, and gratifies the illustrious Anantadēva, the Emperor of the Koṅkaṇ.⁵¹

Remarks.

“The Silharas,” wrote the late Col. Meadows Taylor in 1870,⁵² “were local princes, tributary to the Chālukyas. Their territories lay around Kolapur, which was then their capital, and their inscriptions upon temples and copper-tablet grants prove them to have held extensive, though not perhaps independent, sway over a large portion of what is now styled the Southern Marāṭha Country. An inscription of A. D. 1135 enumerates eight successions up to the founder of the family, which would place their

³³ This title is claimed by a Chālukya, *J. R. A. S.* vol. V. Inscr. 8. And cf. on all the titles *Ind. Ant.* vol. V. p. 277.

³⁹ Cf. the expression in *J. R. A. S.* vol. V. Inscr. 8 तद्व्यभारचदनसमर्थ.

⁴⁰ See remarks on this at *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XII. pp. 330-33.

⁴¹ See *Ind. Ant.* vol. V. p. 280; *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XII. p. 334. In Inscr. No. 9 at *J. R. A. S.* vol. V. आकारगदितु (last line of first page) seems to be a misreading for श्रीकर.

⁴² This may apply to the words following also as well as to those that precede.

⁴³ *Ind. Ant.* vol. V. pp. 114, 115, 117, 280; also *J. R. A. S.* vol. V. p. 352; *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. X., p. 29.

⁴⁴ Conf. the passages referred to in the last note. Here I take नियुक्त and अनियुक्त as adjectives to राजपुरुष which immediately follows, otherwise, it is hard to distinguish between नियुक्त and राजपुरुष. The distinction may be between those specially appointed by the Central Government and those who come in, perhaps, by right of inheritance, &c.

⁴⁵ I do not understand this. The same expression occurs at *Ind. Ant.* vol. V. p. 278; and *Asiatic Researches* vol. I. p. 361.

⁴⁶ It is noteworthy that the grantees are described as at once ‘Ministers’ and ‘Śreṣṭhis.’

Bhūtapāla also, the excavator of the great Chaitya at Kārlē, is both a *Setthi* or *Śreṣṭhī*, and *Vijayantī*—protector of the flag.—Ed.

⁴⁷ The name here should apparently be Valipattana; conf. *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. I. p. 217 (?) and in an unpublished note of which I have been furnished only with a transcript and not

the original—which belongs to the branch of the Silharas to which the plate at *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. I. p. 217 also belongs—and which is further similar to that plate in commencing with the Rāshtrakūṭas and ending with the Silharas, one of the princes is described as अश्विबलकुल रम्य योकोद्वलिपत्तनम्, which indicates that वलिपत्तन was on the sea-coast. In another passage in the same plate, the place is called वलिनगर. May it be identified with the Palaipatmai, or better, perhaps, the Bālipatma—mentioned respectively in the *Periplus of the Euxytherian Sea* and in Ptolemy? See *Ind. Ant.* vol. VIII. p. 115.

⁴⁸ The original is नेरकुल, which Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl first told me signifies ‘port.’ The word occurs in the line quoted in the last note.


⁴⁹ *i.e.* villages of course. See Mr. Fleet’s inscriptions in this *Journal* passim. The original here as well as in the last sentence in the plate reads Kunkan instead of Konkan as in an earlier stanza. See as to the Koṅkaṇ—Nairne, p. 1; Yule’s *Marco Polo* vol. II. p. 331; *Cathay* vol. I. p. cxxxiii et seq and cxxx.; and *Journ. Asiat.* ser. IV. tom. IV. p. 251, again referred to *infra*; and *Pārātha Samhitā* XIV. 12, quoted by Dr. Bhanu Dāy at *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. VII. p. 69; and *Ind. Ant.* VII. 102.

⁵⁰ The original is नेरिक which I do not understand. Can it have any connexion with the Marāṭhi word नेर? and can it mean something like ‘a carrier of goods by sea?’

⁵¹ It is remarkable that this plate contains none of those extracts from the *Mahābhārata* which are usual in such documents, and even in similar grants of the same dynasty. I do not know how this is to be accounted for.

⁵² *Student’s Manual of the History of India*, p. 71.

origin about 907 A. D." In the light of the information which we now possess, this is not altogether accurate. And, indeed, it is obvious that Colonel Taylor in this passage only refers to one branch of the Śilāra⁵⁵ dynasty—the branch to which the various inscriptions found in and about Kolhāpur appertain. There are, however, three different branches of that dynasty, disclosed in the various documents at present accessible. These documents were discussed pretty fully by Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl Indrājī in a paper in the *Jour. Bombay Br. R. Asiatic Society* (1877), vol. XIII. pp. 1ff. He there distinguishes three different branches of this dynasty, which, nevertheless, appear to have flourished contemporaneously. The grant before us belongs to the first branch of Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl. And the series of kings, as it appears in this grant, is as follows:—



⁵⁵ This name appears in sundry different forms in the various inscriptions which we now possess. In the Plate at *Jour. Lit. Soc. of Bombay* vol. III. p. 419, the form is Śilāhāra. In Dr. Bühler's Plate the form is Śilāra as well as Śilāhāra. In Wathen's Plate No. IV. (*J. R. A. Soc.* vol. IV. p. 281) the forms are Śilāyāra (query whether this is a mistake in the engraving or in the decipherment?) and Śilāhāra. In our own plate, it is Śilāra and Śilāra, (which may be the same); Śrīlāra, which occurs once, is probably a mere slip. See also *J. B. R. A. S.* vol. I. p. 217; *J. R. A. S.* vol. II. pp. 384, 391; vol. IV. p. 110 and note there, and p. 114 where an explanation of the name is suggested. Mr. Nairne always calls the dynasty the Śilāra dynasty. It may be here added that Dr. Da Cunha seems not to be correct in his observations on "the Tagaras, and the Śilāhāras" at *J. B. R. A. S.* vol. XII. p. 61, in speaking of the two as distinct and of one as a branch of the other. In truth, Tagara is not the name of a dynasty at all.

⁵⁶ Prof. H. H. Wilson's remark on this prince at *J. R. A. S.* vol. IV. p. 109, note, is incorrect; cf. also *J. R. A. S.* (N. S.) vol. IV. p. 85.

It will be observed that this coincides with what is deducible from Dr. Bühler's Plate,⁵⁷ so far as it goes. The first king of this series—for Jīmūtavāhana and Jīmūtaketu cannot be looked upon as historical,—would appear to have flourished somewhere about the beginning of the 9th century of the Christian era. The third king Kapardī II. is identified by Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl with the "Kapardī . . . meditating on the feet of Pulaśakti," who is mentioned in two of the Kanheri Inscriptions,⁵⁸ and the identification may be accepted without hesitation. It affords a very safe and tangible basis for the chronology of the Śilāra dynasty. Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl gives the Śaka year 735 as the date of the first Kapardī. That appears to be an inference from the date of the second Kapardī, allowing twenty years a-piece to his two predecessors. The inference is not inadmissible, especially having regard to the fact that the Kanheri Inscription of Śaka 775 speaks of the already flourishing and victorious reign of Kapardī II. It is not unlikely, indeed, that the reign of Kapardī I. commenced somewhat earlier even than the year 735 of the Śaka era.

Of the kings who came after Kapardī II. none requires any notice here till we come to Aparājita the son of Vajjaḍadēva. With regard to him Dr. G. Bühler writes as follows⁵⁷: "It is also probable that a king has been omitted between Aparājita and Vajjaḍadēva II., or at least the real name of Aparājita has been left out, for the verse in which the names of Keśidēva and Vajjaḍadēva occur is mutilated." There is no reason, however, to suppose any such omission in our plate as there is in that on

⁵⁷ *Ind. Ant.* vol. V. p. 276.

⁵⁸ *J. B. R. A. S.* vol. XIII. pp. 11, 12.

⁵⁷ *Ind. Ant.* vol. V. p. 277. A remark ought to be made on king Zanza. He appears to be the king mentioned by the Arabian geographer Masūdī as reigning at Sammur in 916 A.D. Masūdī states that there were 10,000 Musalmans in Sammur, and that the kings were under the Balhāra, and had the title of *Zanbil* when he visited the place. (B. de Meynard and P. de Courtille, *Les Peuples d'Or*, tom. II, pp. 85-87; Reinand, *Revue sur l'Inde*, p. 220.) Dr. Da Cunha's identification of Masūdī's "Janja" with the Śilāra "Śrī Chhinnaḍadēva Rājā" (*J. B. R. A. S.* vol. XII. p. 55) is not correct on this, if on no other ground, that whereas Janja lived in 916 A.D., "Chhinna-rajā" lived in 1027 A.D. I may take this opportunity of stating that I am indebted to Dr. Da Cunha's papers in the *J. B. R. A. S.* for references to some of the authorities relied upon in this paper. Others of the references I obtained from Mr. Nairne's *Konka*, from which Dr. Da Cunha also seems to have got some of his information.

which these observations were made. And although the number of stanzas devoted to Aparājita is relatively larger than the number devoted to any of the other princes except Chhittarāja and Anantadōva; and although, too, there is some little doubt as to the meaning of a part of one of these stanzas; still there is nothing here to suggest the existence of any prince in the series between the two Vajjadadōvas, other than the one prince described in the stanzas in question. It may, perhaps, also be noted as a point leading to the same inference, that the second Vajjadadēva was, in all likelihood, the grandson of the first;⁵⁸ and therefore, too, though it is, of course, quite possible, it is not probable, that between the two Vajjadadēvas, there was any other prince than the one we have mentioned in our list. What was the true name of that prince, is perhaps a question presenting somewhat greater difficulty. Dr. Bühler doubts if Aparājita is the true name. In another place, he says: "I feel somewhat doubtful about the name of this king, which alone among so many Deśi forms is pure Sanskrit. But it seems to me impossible to refer the two verses to Vajjadadēva II. mentioned in verse 10, and Aparājita is the only word which can be taken for a name. Perhaps it is a *birula*."⁵⁹ I own I do not feel much pressed by the reason here given by Dr. Bühler for his conclusion. In the list above set forth by us, we have the names Aparāditya, Anantapāla or Anantadōva, Nāgārjuna, and we may add Arikesarī and Kapardī, which are Sanskrit names undoubtedly belonging to princes of the Śilāra dynasty. At the same time, I agree that Aparājita is, in all likelihood, only a *birula*. Perhaps the name is Śrī Rāma. *The compound of which it forms part, viz. श्रीविहङ्कराम नृपति (the plate in the *Asiatic Researches* seems to read श्रीविहङ्कराम) is not quite explicit. And I do not think, therefore, that we are yet in a position to settle the question. But we may, I think, safely reject the translation in the *Asiatic Researches* which renders it as the 'king Śrī Birudanka'.⁶⁰ The whole stanza requires a very

considerable amount of further elucidation—for which the facts accessible to us at present are not at all adequate.

We come next to the King Arikesarī, who, we may say with certainty,⁶¹ is identical with the Keśidēva of Dr. Bühler's plate. It is not, however, easy to understand what transaction is referred to here in connexion with Somēśvara. That Somēśvara means the famous Somanāth which gave occasion in this century to one of Lord Macaulay's best orations, there can, I think, be little doubt. In a stone-slab inscription now in the possession of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, and appertaining to the time of the Śilāra king Aparāditya, who stands last in our list, the "God Somanāth of Surāshtra" is distinctly referred to apparently as a favourite deity of the Śilāras.⁶² The grant in the *Asiatic Researches*, which is by Arikesarī himself, might have been fairly expected to help us on this point. But the stanza there appears to have been identical with the one in our plate, though the translation is very defective and erroneous.

On Chhittarāja nothing need be said, further than that, if we may safely judge from the number of stanzas referring to him in our plate, he appears to have had a living reputation even in Anantapāla's days. We next come to Nāgārjuna. He appears to be probably identical with the prince of that name referred to in inscription No. 17 among the Kolhāpur Inscriptions in the volume by Major Graham on Kolhāpur among the *Government Selections*. It is difficult to speak on the point with any confidence, because I am unable myself to read the text of the inscription, and a friend whom I consulted about it is also unable to afford me any help. I have therefore only the statement of the "substance" of the inscription in Major Graham's volume. At the same time I ought to mention, that Major Graham ranks Nāgārjuna among the rulers of one portion of "the principality of Kolhāpur"—and apparently places him between the years 1218 and 1235 A.D. But on this last point I am not quite certain.

⁵⁸ Comp. *Jour. R. A. S. (N. S.)* vol. IV. p. 85.

⁵⁹ *Ind. Ant.* vol. V. p. 230a, note.

⁶⁰ The word नृपति which is clear in the plate there given is omitted in this translation. I may, perhaps, be permitted to express my concurrence with Dr. Bühler in his remarks on the paper in the *Asiatic Researches* (*Ind. Ant.* vol. V. p. 277). Unluckily the original plates cannot now be procured though I had inquiries made for them in Thānā.

⁶¹ Pandit Bhagvānlāl says only "may be the same."

⁶² The actual grant there appears, however, to be by one "Śrī Lakshmana Nāyak, son of Bhāskar Nāyak." It is not quite easy to decipher fully. The grantor is described as Mahāmātya, the great minister, and the grant speaks of सौराष्ट्रेश्वरीसोमनाथदेव. It is dated "Samvat 1109. Viśva-vasā samvatsar Chaitra Sudāha, 6 Sunday."

The next prince in the line is M u m m u ṇ i, as he is called in the plate before us. In the Ambarnāth inscription which records a grant made by a king of the Silāra dynasty the king calls himself Mām v ā ṇ i.⁶³ It is not, I think, a very bold proceeding to identify that Mām v ā ṇ i with our M u m m u ṇ i. The dates are not against the identification, and perhaps Mām v ā ṇ i was the real name of the king, which was altered for the sake of the metre into the more smooth and euphonious one which we find in the plate before us. If this identification is correct, Dr. Bühler's suggestion,⁶⁴ that the correct name of this prince is V ā ṇ i, appears to be untenable.

There are one or two other points also, of some importance, which our plate enables us to determine finally. Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl, in his remarks on the Ambarnāth Inscription, had suggested that Mām v ā ṇ i was probably the son or successor of C h h i t t a r ā j a.⁶⁵ When that suggestion was made, the materials for deciding the point were very far from being full. Our plate, however, now settles the question, in a way that, equally with Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl's suggestion, accounts for the facts on which that suggestion was based. Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl, in the paper referred to, argued from the identity of names of one of the officers mentioned in the Ambarnāth Inscription and in Dr. Bühler's plate, that Mām v ā ṇ i was probably a son or successor of C h h i t t a r ā j a. A similar argument may be used in support of our identification of M u m m u ṇ i and Mām v ā ṇ i. For the First Lord of the Treasury, if we may so call him, in Mām v ā ṇ i's time—M a h ā d ē v a y y a⁶⁶—continues to hold the same office, at the time of our plate also, a circumstance strongly indicative of a close chronological propinquity between Mām v ā ṇ i and Anantapāla. It is also to be noted here, that the high offices of state, mentioned in our plate as having been held by Mahādēvayya and the others, appear to have been enjoyed by these "southerners" for a very considerable period. For we see some *ayyas* mentioned as far back as in the grant of A r i k ē s a r i in the *Asiatic Researches*,⁶⁷ which is the

earliest we have among the grants that record details about the period to which they belong. The next prince in our series is A n a n t a p ā l a or Anantadēva, the grantor in the plate before us. The civil strife, which is referred to in the verses devoted to him, is one about which no other information is at present accessible, unless, indeed, it was the beginning of that conflict which is referred to in a boast made in the grant published in the *Transactions of the Bombay Literary Society*.⁶⁸ With reference to that grant, Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl says:—"The V i j a y ā r k a d ē v a of this branch is described as having re-established the sovereignty of the dothrone kings of the province of S t h ā ṇ a ṭ a and G o a. This shows that he restored the lost power of the two branches of his dynasty, viz., the first at T h ā ṇ ā, and the second at G o a."⁶⁹ The original fully bears this out. Vijayārka, however, appears to have reigned about the Śaka year 1065. The occurrences, therefore, to which reference is made in our plate cannot have been those in which Vijayārka took part. But it is not impossible that, although Anantapāla boasts of having rid the country of his foes,* who appear to have been some of his own kinsmen—*dāyādū*—there may have been a renewal of the disturbances after the Śaka year 1016, resulting in that misfortune to the Thāṇā Silāras from which Vijayārka rescued them. But, on the other hand, we cannot, in the present state of our materials, come to any conclusion on these questions.

Of the period between Anantapāla and Aparāditya, the last prince mentioned in our series, we know at present very little. Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl in 1877 thought, that the gap between Mām v ā ṇ i r ā j a and Aparāditya in the genealogy of the first branch, might be accounted for by the destruction of the sovereign power above alluded to.⁷⁰ We have seen, however, that the gap is not so large a one as the Paṇḍit supposed. Our plate brings our information down to the Śaka year 1016. Aparāditya appears to have reigned⁷¹ in the Śaka year 1109. How long before that year his reign had commenced it is not yet possible to

⁶³ J. B. B. R. A. S., vol. XII., p. 332.

⁶⁴ J. B. B. R. A. S., vol. XII., extra No., p. 52.

⁶⁵ J. B. B. R. A. S., vol. XVI., p. 332.

⁶⁶ J. B. B. R. A. S., vol. XII., p. 330.

⁶⁷ *As. Res.* vol. I. pp. 361, 364, 367.

⁶⁸ Vol. III. p. 420.

⁶⁹ J. B. B. R. A. S., vol. XIII., p. 17.

⁷⁰ J. B. B. R. A. S. vol. XIII., p. 17. Compare also extra No. to vol. XII., p. 52 (Dr. Bühler).

⁷¹ The suggestion of Prof. Wilson (*J. R. A. S.* vol. II. p. 307) repeated by Mr. Nairne (*Konkan* p. 7), and by Dr. DaCunha (*J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XII. p. 54) is now entirely negated by the information given by Dr. Bühler.

say—as both the Parel Inscription and the stone slab inscription already mentioned as being in the possession of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society are dated in the same year. Dr. Bühler's *Account of his Tour in Kāśmīr* affords us, however, some further information on this point. For it appears that a work composed in Kāśmīr between 1135 and 1145 A.D. makes reference to an “ambassador of Aparāditya, king of the Koṅkaṇa,”⁷² named Têjakaṇṭha, being then in Kāśmīr. If so, I think, we may safely assume that Aparāditya cannot have commenced to reign much later than 1135 A.D. or 1057 of the Śaka era.⁷³ And then the hiatus in our Sîlâra lists appear to be reduced to very modest dimensions, indeed, viz., scarcely forty years.

If these arguments and conclusions are correct, it is difficult to treat the boast of Vijayârka in Dr. Taylor's Inscription as of any greater value than a rhetorical flourish. At the best, it can be only a very great exaggeration of a very small historical fact. For see how the case stands:—According to Dr. Bühler's account, which appears from our present materials to be perfectly correct, Aparāditya must have succeeded to the throne in any case before the Śaka year 1066,⁷⁴ and he appears to have still been on the throne in the Śaka year 1109. Now Gaṇḍarāditya, the immediate predecessor of the Vijayârka who claims to have re-established the Thâṇâ Sîlâras on their throne, certainly reigned till the Śaka year 1058. The first document of Vijayârka's reign that is accessible to us is dated in the Śaka year 1065.⁷⁵ Vijaya therefore cannot have begun to reign much if at all before Aparāditya. The last date in his reign that we know of is the Śaka year 1073, and the first in that of his successor Bhojadeva II. is 1101, so that his reign must have closed at least eight years prior to that of Aparāditya. We have, therefore, this result:—The whole period of the reign of this Vijayârka, who claims to have re-established the Thâṇâ Sîlâras, is itself covered, or nearly covered, by the

reign of one of these Sîlâras themselves. There are then, it seems to me, three alternative explanations of these facts:—either there was a revolution in the kingdom of Śrîsthâṇaka between the Śaka years 1058 and 1066; or the statement in Bhojadeva's plate is a mere rhetorical flourish having little or no historical basis; or Aparāditya does not belong to this branch of the Sîlâra dynasty. The third alternative may, I think, be summarily rejected, both on the grounds adduced by Paṇḍit Bhagvānlâl,⁷⁶ and on the grounds of the connexion of this Aparāditya with the town of Thâṇâ,⁷⁷ and the coincidence of sundry expressions in Dr. Bühler's plate with expressions in the Parel Inscription and the stone slab inscription obtained at Thâṇâ, now in the possession of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society. The facts stated by Dr. Bühler⁷⁸ in his account of his Kāśmīr tour also corroborate that conclusion. The first alternative also appears to me, I own, upon the facts set forth above, an extremely doubtful one. The second alternative appears to be the least improbable of the three. It is not necessary to reject the statement in Bhojadeva's grant as altogether a myth and unhistorical. It is, perhaps, only an exaggerated statement out of compliment to the grantor's line of the succour afforded by Vijayârka to his brethren at Thâṇâ in some local, possibly dynastic, disturbance, such as is referred to in our plate in the verses devoted to Anantapāla. I must add that there are two other possibilities not covered by the alternatives mentioned, viz., that Aparāditya himself may not have had an uninterrupted reign, or that Dr. Bühler's date for the Kāśmīr work to which he refers may be erroneous. No evidence is available on either point, and we must leave both here without further discussion.

We have now gone through the whole series of princes of the Sîlâra dynasty at present known to us. The series appears to be complete save for the period intervening between the reign of Anantapāla and Aparāditya.⁷⁹ If

⁷² See *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XII. (extra No.) p. 51, 52.

⁷³ This doubtless makes Aparāditya's reign a very long one—being upwards of 52 years. But there is nothing unlikely in one individual prince in a long line reigning more even than 52 years, like George III. of England or Louis XIV. of France.

⁷⁴ The latest date to which Dr. Bühler attributes the Kāśmīr work alluded to above.

⁷⁵ See *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XIII., p. 16.

⁷⁶ *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XII. p. 335.

⁷⁷ Which is expressly mentioned in the stone-slab inscription referred to.

⁷⁸ *J. B. B. R. A. S.* (Extra No.) vol. XII. pp. 51, 52.

⁷⁹ Paṇḍit Bhagvānlâl has already adduced reasons for supposing this Aparāditya or Aparârka to be the same who has given his name to the well-known commentary on Yājñavalkya (*J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XII. p. 335; see, too, Extra No. p. 52). Dr. Bhaṇu has pointed out that Aparârka is cited by an author of the beginning of the 13th century (*J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. IX. p. 161).

one may judge from the evidence now available, it is probable that there were two kings between those two. But upon this point, and on the point relating to the revolution above referred to, further materials must be awaited.

There is one question of considerable interest which is now satisfactorily settled by the plate before us. In 1869, the late learned Dr. Bhāu Dāji, having read before the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society a paper regarding the inscription at Ambarnāth, a short discussion took place concerning the date of that inscription and of the Ambarnāth Temple. Mr. Burgess pointed out the architectural difficulty in accepting the date of the inscription, as read by Dr. Bhāu, as giving the correct date of the Temple, and he referred also to Mr. Fergusson's opinion on the point. Dr. Bhāu dissented from these opinions, and expressed himself to the effect, that from the character of the letters, he would assign the Inscription—and consequently the Temple also—to the ninth century⁸⁰ A. D. In 1876 Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl gave us a revised transcript of the Inscription, and made out the date to be 982 instead of 782 as Dr. Bhāu Dāji had read it.⁸¹ And in the discussion upon the Paṇḍit's paper, I am reported as having observed "that there was no doubt about the numerals in the first (viz., the Ambarnāth) inscription"—the date arrived at being confirmed by an unpublished plate in my possession.⁸² That plate is the one which forms the subject of these remarks. It is now quite plain that since Anantapāla flourished in the Śaka year 1016, his predecessor and uncle could not have reigned as early as Śaka 782, but must have reigned somewhere about Śaka 982. Accepting that latter reading of the date, Mr. Fergusson's and Mr. Burgess's views about the date of the Temple receive most remarkable confirmation. Mr. Burgess, on the occasion in question, also pointed out that the style of architecture of the Ambarnāth Temple associated it with the Temple of Somanāth.

That, too, appears to me to be an important circumstance, having regard to the reference to Somanāth in the plate before us, and in the Thānā inscription of Aparāditya. We shall have to say a word on this subject in the sequel.

Two lessons of general application for the future may, I think, be derived from these facts. The first is, that chronological inferences based merely on the character of the writing in old documents must be accepted with very considerable caution. The tendency towards regarding that as the all-important element—instead of as one only of the important elements—in such investigations, is to be observed in sundry quarters.⁸³ The second lesson is, that inferences derivable from the style of architecture of any building are entitled to considerable weight in chronological⁸⁴ inquiries—as much weight, I should say, as inferences from the style of writing in copperplates and inscriptions.

A point of considerable interest connected with this dynasty is raised by the title which all branches of it seem to have retained—viz., *Tagarapurcarādihisvara*. That title finds a parallel, among others, in the title of the Kadambas.—*Banavāsipurādihisvara*.⁸⁵ Probably the original stock from which the three branches of the Śīlāras afterwards branched out belonged to the city of Tagara. What is that city? Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl, after an elaborate examination of Colonel Wilford's suggestion on this point, dissents from it, and identifies Tagara with the modern Junnar.⁸⁶ I do not find much difficulty in agreeing with the negative side of Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl's reasoning; but as respects the positive portion of it, I own that though there is a good deal in the arguments he adduces, I cannot persuade myself that he has satisfactorily surmounted the initial difficulty in his theory, viz., that whereas Junnar is to the west of Paithāṇa, Tagara is stated by Arrian (as quoted by Col. Wilford) to be towards the east

⁸⁰ *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. IX. p. cxxxviii. *et seq.* Mr. Fergusson's and Mr. Burgess's estimate of the age both of the characters of the inscription and of the Temple appears, from the facts we now know, to have been much more correct. See also *J. B. B. R. A. S.* (N. S.) IV. p. 137 note.

⁸¹ *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XV. p. 331.

⁸² *Ibid.*, p. xxiv.

⁸³ See Cunningham's *Bhilsa Topes* p. 266; *Transactions of the Intern. Congr. of Orientalists* pp. 302–303; *Ind. Ant.* vol. p. III. p. 267.

⁸⁴ As to this see Cunningham's *Arch. Surv. Reports*, vol. VII. p. 87–88.

⁸⁵ See on this *Journ. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XII. p. 305.

⁸⁶ *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XIII. p. 8. It is interesting to note in connexion with this proposed identification, that in the early days of Muhammadan rule in this part of the country Junnar was the capital of a district which included some part of the Konkan (see Nairne, p. 27). The head quarters of the army which conquered the district had also been at Junnar (Nairne, p. 25); *Ind. Ant.* vol. II. p. 43 ff.; and conf. *Arch. Surv. W. Ind.* vol. III. pp. 54, 55.

of Paithāna. Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl may be right, and perhaps further materials will show that he is right, in thinking that Arrian made a slip in saying "east" when he should have said "west." All I say at present is, that the identification which proceeds on the basis of such a slip having been committed does not yet satisfy my mind.⁸⁷

While on this subject of Tagara, we may, perhaps, refer here to the other matters of geographical interest in the plate.* The first interesting name to be noted is Śrī Sthānaka, which has been satisfactorily identified with Thānā. Thānā appears in former days, from sundry other authorities, to have been a place of very much greater note than it is now, having been a port of considerable trade⁸⁸ till the times even of the Musalmans. Albīrūnī appears to speak of it under the name of Tala, which he says was the capital of the Kōṅkaṇ, and the Kōṅkaṇ, we may observe *en passant*, is distinguished by Albīrūnī from the country of the Marāṭhās.⁸⁹

The next name to note is Purī, which has been identified by some with Thānā, but this identification has been dissented from.⁹⁰ Our plate certainly does not support the identification, while its mention of both Purī and Śrī Sthānaka⁹¹ may be regarded as some, though not very strong, evidence against it. I can say nothing about Hanjamaṇa,⁹² or Rāyavāra; and about Nāgapur, I can only suggest it as probable, that it may be identical with a village

near Alibāg—between Alibāg and Rōvadandā—named Nāgānva, which is substituted by syncope for Nāgagānv, or Nāgagrīma, the same as Nāgapur. Or, may not Nāgapur have something to do with Nāgothōn? In any case the modern Nāgpur of the Bhoislēs is not to be thought of. I have not found the Nāgapur of our plate referred to anywhere else. Sarp-pāraka, as our plate seems to have it, is probably only a miscopy for Surpāraka⁹³—the modern Sopārā near Bassein. It is a place of considerable antiquity, being in all likelihood the same as the Šoparaga of the Nāsik inscriptions.⁹⁴ Sopārā under various disguises of name is familiar to the mediæval travellers and geographers. Chemulī⁹⁵ is almost certainly the modern Chaul, or, as it has been sometimes called, Chaul. This place has a history extending over several centuries, going back, indeed, even as far apparently as the times of Ptolemy, who mentions it under the name Simylla⁹⁶ and Timoulla. From the various disguises which the name had assumed in the works of foreign geographers and travellers—such as Ptolemy, Hiwen Thsang, and Albīrūnī—Col. Yule had said "that the old name was something like Chaimul or Chanwul."⁹⁷ This happy guess is fully corroborated by our plate, and the identification of the place with Chaul,⁹⁸ also suggested by Col. Yule, may be accepted, more especially having regard to the current native pronunciation of the name.

We come lastly to Someśvara, which, as we have already pointed out, may safely be iden-

⁸⁷ As regards Tagara, reference may be made to Elphinstone's *India*, pp. 244-5; Meadows Taylor's *Minut* pp. 71-72; Nairne's *Konkan* p. 9 (the opinion here expressed is questionable); *J. R. A. S.* vol. IV. p. 35 note; vol. II. pp. 384-396; *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. X. p. 320; *Ind. Ant.* vol. VI. p. 75; vol. VII. p. 103; vol. VIII. p. 144; *Journ. Lit. Soc. Bomb.* vol. III. p. 411; and note at p. 418, where *Asiatic Researches* vol. IX. p. 45 is quoted, but that does not advance the question at all. The expression तगरवराधीश्वर has numerous parallels. See *inter alia* *J. R. A. S.* vol. IV. p. 35; *J. R. A. S.* vol. V. inscriptions Nos. 8 and 9; *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. X. p. 54; vol. XII. p. 57, 305; and *Ind. Ant.* vol. VI. 102.

⁸⁸ See *inter alia* about Thānā, *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XII. p. 61; *Ind. Ant.* vol. VII. pp. 184-5; Yule's *Cathay* vol. II. p. 397, also vol. I. 57 *et seq.* where we have some information about rats which might be useful in our own day! Nairne's *Konkan* pp. 10, 11; *J. R. A. S.* vol. II. pp. 384-96. The translation of श्रीश्वर at *Asiatic Researches* vol. I. pp. 361-64 is, of course, erroneous.

⁸⁹ See *Journ. Asiatique* serie IV. tome IV. pp. 263-64. I am obliged to my friend Mr. P. M. Mehta for explaining to me the French original. See too Nairne, p. 3.

⁹⁰ *Ind. Ant.* vol. V. p. 277, and vol. VII. p. 184; Nairne, p. 21; *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. X. pp. 320-21, and vol. XII. 61; *J. R. A. S.* vol. II. pp. 384-395.

⁹¹ See *Asiat. Res.* vol. I. p. 361; *Ind. Ant.* vol. V. p. 278.

⁹² See *Journ. Bomb. Geog. Soc.* vol. VII. p. 152.

⁹³ Nairne *Konkan*, p. 16; *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. X. pp. 316-19; Yule's *Cathay* vol. I. p. 227; and *Ind. Ant.* vol.

I. p. 321, vol. II. p. 96. On all these points the wise, though, perhaps, heterodox remarks of Col. Yule (*Cathay*, vol. II. p. 418) may be of practical moment.

⁹⁴ See *Trans. Int. Congr. of Orient.* p. 328. It may be interesting to note, that Śūrpāraka is mentioned in the Vana Parva of the *Mahābhārata* as a "most holy" place, where the Pāṇḍavas spent some time on their way from the Dravida Country to Prabhāsa (see chap. 118, *Bomb. ed.*)

It was the Subarah of the Arab Geographers. Masūdī says if and Tanah were on the coast of Lar, and subject to the Balhāra. *Prairies d'Or*, tom. I. p. 341. Albīrūnī says it was 5 parasangs from Tanah; see *Ind. Ant.* vol. I. p. 321. It is also frequently mentioned in the Baudhdha Cave inscriptions.—Ed.

⁹⁵ At *Ind. Ant.* vol. I. p. 320, Col. Yule expressed a desire "to learn the oldest native spelling of the latter name"—viz. Chaul. Our plate now supplies that I think. Probably, however, the name should be Chemulya and not Chemulī. In the plate at *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. I. p. 219, a place named Chemulya seems to be mentioned, which is probably the same as that we are now discussing. And in our plate we may read the name as Chemulī or Chemulya.

⁹⁶ See Yule's *Cathay* vol. I. p. xciii; Nairne p. 12; *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XII. p. 56 *et seq.*; *Ind. Ant.* vol. VII. p. 183.

⁹⁷ Yule's *Cathay* loc. cit.

⁹⁸ See also about Chaul, &c. *Ind. Ant.* vol. VII. p. 183 and vol. VIII. pp. 140-45. I incline to think with Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl, that Chemulī is Chemudā in Trombay, at the head of Bombay harbour.—Ed.

tified with Somanāth. In our time, it is true, the Koṅkaṇ and Somanāth are not closely connected in our minds. But in former days, even so late as six centuries ago, Somanāth and Ṭhāṇā seem to have belonged to one political division of the country. "Guzerāt," we are told for instance, "is a large country, within which are Kambāyat, Somnāt, Konkan, Tāna and several other cities and towns."⁹⁹ And this affords some explanation of Albīrūnī's words alluded to above, in which he distinguishes the Koṅkaṇ from the country of the Marāthās. One further remark we may make here, which is suggested by the circumstances now brought together regarding "Somnāt." The temple of Somanāth is stated by the late Mr. Justice Forbes¹⁰⁰ to be similar in some respects to the Temple of Ambarnāth at Kalyāṇ. And, as we have already seen, Mr. Burgess in the discussion above alluded to regarding the Ambarnāth Inscription, also pointed out the similarity.¹⁰¹ In view of the information which the writings of the mediæval geographers furnish, that fact is one of considerable interest. For it is not improbable that the Sīlāras being devotees of the "Somanāth of Surāshtra," and there having been such constant intercourse between the immediate dominion of the Sīlāras and the district in which the Temple of Somanāth is situated, it is not, I say, improbable that the restoration of the Temple of Ambarnāth under the directions of a Sīlāra¹⁰² prince was made consciously upon the model of the Somanāth Temple.

Returning now from this geographical digression, we proceed to consider the political status of the Sīlāras. They do not, then, appear

to have been entirely independent sovereigns. The titles *Mahāmandalēśvara*, *Mahāśāmantādhipati*—although coupled with the titles, *Rājā*, and *Koṅkaṇa-chakravartī*—seem to point to a subordinate position. And this conclusion is clinched by the fact which Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl has brought out with the aid of the Kaṇheri Inscriptions: namely, that the third historical king in this line—Kapardī II.—in spite of the boast, be it remembered, made in our plate and elsewhere, about his being the head-jewel of all kings, and of glory which eclipsed the glory of every one else in the world and out of it, was but a subordinate of a king Amoghavarsha. Of this latter king, Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl says that he probably belonged to the Rāshṭrakūṭa race.¹⁰³ I venture to think that we are safe in taking it to be historically certain that this Amoghavarsha is the first king of that name in the Rāshṭrakūṭa line. The dates appear to me to support the identification.¹⁰⁴ And it is further corroborated by the fact which appears to be made out pretty satisfactorily by Dr. Bhāu Dāji and Dr. Bühler, that the Balhāra princes, of whom the mediæval geographers speak, are identical with the Rāshṭrakūṭas;¹⁰⁵ for those same geographers distinctly state that the Koṅkaṇ formed part of the territories of those Balhāra princes.¹⁰⁶ It is plain, therefore, that at least at the time of Kapardī II. the Sīlāras were only feudatories of the Rāshṭrakūṭas.¹⁰⁷ At the same time, it is not impossible that they may have afterwards thrown off the yoke of their masters, and ceased to be feudatories.¹⁰⁸ The princes of the branch of the family which reigned at Kolhāpur appear to have been feudatories of the Chālukyas in the twelfth century A.D.¹⁰⁹ But there is no evidence showing that the Sīlāras

⁹⁹ *J. R. A. S. (N. S.)* vol. IV. p. 341; also Yule's *Maree Polo* vol. II. pp. 33-47, Yule's *Cathay* vol. I. p. cccv. note; Nairne p. 10. A Śrī Somanāth is mentioned in one of Mr. Fleet's Yādava Inscriptions; see *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XII. p. 26.

¹⁰⁰ *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. VIII. pp. 55-63. See also as to Somnāth, Elliot's *History of India* vol. I. p. 97.

¹⁰¹ *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. IX. p. cxxxix.

¹⁰² Dr. Bhāu Dāji's conjecture—that Māmvānirāja was a Yādava prince repeated by Mr. Nairne in his *Konkan*, p. 18 (see also *Ind. Ant.* vol. III. p. 317) may now be treated as erroneous: Mr. Fergusson also (*J. R. A. S. (N. S.)* vol. IV. p. 137) was misled by Dr. Bhāu's incorrect reading of the Ambarnāth date into an argument in favour of his theory of the 'Balabhi Samvat.'

¹⁰³ *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XIII. p. 12. Perhaps Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl's cautious expression was due to the fact that the event recorded in the Kaṇheri Inscriptions is not mentioned in any Rāshṭrakūṭa plate. But perhaps it was not thought important enough.

¹⁰⁴ I must admit that Dr. Bühler's "adjustments" of the Rāshṭrakūṭas seem to militate against this, to some extent (*Ind. Ant.* vol. VI. p. 59). But I venture to think, that they require reconsideration with reference to the Kaṇheri Inscriptions brought forward by Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl. Jagattunga, whom Dr. Bühler takes to be Amoghavarsha's father, is, according to Bhagvānlāl's interpretation of one of those inscriptions, Amoghavarsha himself.

¹⁰⁵ *Ind. Ant.* vol. VI. p. 64.

¹⁰⁶ Yule's *Cathay* vol. I. p. cccxiii. Sir H. M. Elliot's *History of India* vol. I. p. 3 et seq. and p. 354 et seq. Nairne p. 10; *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XII. p. 56. At *Asiatic Researches* vol. IX. p. 179, we have some conjectures about the Balhāra or "Balarāya" princes.

¹⁰⁷ *Ind. Ant.* vol. VI. p. 60.

¹⁰⁸ Cf. Burnell, *Elements of S. I. Palæography* (2nd Ed.) p. 25.

¹⁰⁹ Cf. *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. IX. p. 203; see also *J. R. A. S.* vol. IV. pp. 32-34; Nairne, pp. 20-21.

ruling at Thānā were also feudatories of the Chālukyas. And possibly, Anantapāla's calling himself *Chakravartī*¹¹⁰ of the Konkan coupled with Aparāditya's despatch of an ambassador to Kāśmīr¹¹¹ might be taken as some index that the later Silāras treated themselves as independent sovereigns. On this view the expressions *Mahāmandalesvar*¹¹² and so forth, would be explicable on the theory suggested by Mr. Newton regarding the term *satrap*—that “in common with other similar titles, it must have come to be looked on as indicating authority only and not subordination.”¹¹³ In Marco Polo's time the Konkan was still under Hindu princes—were they Silāras?—whom Polo describes as being ‘tributary to nobody.’¹¹⁴ The point is one, however, which cannot, I think, be settled finally in the present state of our materials.

From the numerous references to Śiva in the Silāra inscriptions, the family may well be inferred to have been devotees of Śiva.¹¹⁵ Jīmūtavāhana's name, however, certainly suggests Buddhist associations.¹¹⁶ Probably the creed of the princes was not of a narrow sort, and the evidence is daily accumulating, which shows that in days so late even as the 10th and 11th centuries of the Christian era, sundry Hindu Princes showed considerable catholicity of spirit as between Hindu, Bauddha, and Jaina. The branch of the Silāras, whose inscriptions are noted in Major Graham's volume on Kolhāpur, seems to have made grants alike to Brāhmaṇs and to Jainas.¹¹⁷ And it may be remarked that the Inscription regarding the grant of the Konkan to Kapardī II. is engraved on a Bauddha cave.

Tolls—which form the subject of the exemption recorded in the plate before us—appear to have been not an uncommon source of revenue in

former times. Among the Inscriptions collected by Major Graham¹¹⁸ too, there are several which record grants of tolls. As the trade at Thānā, Chemulya, and Supārā appears to have been considerable,¹¹⁹ the exemption granted by our plate cannot have been quite insignificant.

The names of the grantees and of some of the ministry are also remarkable. They all seem to be southerners. These “southerners” are also to be noticed in Dr. Bühler's plate, and in the Ambarnāth Inscription, as well as in the plate in the *Asiatic Researches*, and in Dr. Bühler's plate of the Rāshtrakūta Govinda III. It is evident, therefore, that the “ayya” community occupied a position of considerable influence in those days even at the courts of princes, who were not directly ruling in the south. Upon the name of Ananta Pai Prabhu, which occurs in the Parel inscription, Mr. Wathen remarks as follows:—

“It is singular, however, and in support of the pretensions and traditions of the Kāyastha Prabhus, that they seem to have been ministers under this Rājā, viz., Aparāditya.” I am not quite so sure that Prabhu there does indicate the Prabhu caste, and I do not understand that Mr. Wathen has any other basis for his suggestion.¹²⁰ Against it we have to remember that in No. 8 of Mr. Wathen's own Inscriptions, a Brāhmaṇ is mentioned as bearing the name Keśav Prabhu, and there are persons named in our own plate as “Prabhus” who do not appear to belong to the Prabhu caste. Even in our own days, Prabhu is a Brāhmaṇ surname, and I am inclined to interpret the word in the various plates before us as indicating only a surname and not a name of a caste. The name Anantapai also seems to indicate that that man was not of the Prabhu caste but a “southerner.”

¹¹⁰ Aparāditya also calls himself “*chakravartī* of the Konkan.” *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XII. p. 333. I may perhaps, observe here in passing, that Aparāditya, in both the Parel and the Thānā stone slab inscriptions, is described as Śrīmat Aparāditya, which clears up a difficulty suggested by Prof. Bhāndārkar with regard to the Gurjara kings (*J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. X. p. 20 note). The same expression also occurs in the unpublished plate before referred to, in the present plate, and in other documents (e. g. *Ind. Ant.* vol. V. p. 130). It is, however, undoubtedly, of rare occurrence.

¹¹¹ *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XII. (Extra No.) p. 51.

¹¹² As to which cf. *Ind. Ant.* vol. V. p. 145. Our plate, however, has also the expression महामंडलिक which can hardly be treated as a “title” only.

¹¹³ *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. IX. p. 10. Cf. *J. R. A. S.* vol. II. p. 334. Upon this point the remark of Mill cited by

Nairne (p. 21) about the grandiloquence of these princes is undoubtedly entitled to considerable weight.

¹¹⁴ Yule's *Marco Polo* vol. II. p. 330; Nairne, *Konkan* p. 11; see also *J. R. A. S.* vol. II. p. 305.

¹¹⁵ Conf. *J. R. A. S.* vol. IV. p. 114; *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XII. pp. 331-335; *Ind. Ant.* vol. III. p. 317 ff.; and vol. V. p. 278.

¹¹⁶ The seal would seem to point in the same direction: cf. *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. I. pp. 210, 216.

¹¹⁷ Vide pp. 326-334 Dr. Taylor's grant is to Brāhmaṇs and begins with an invocation to Vishnu. See too *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XIII. p. 10; and *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. II. p. 387 and cf. Cunningham's *Arch. Surv. Rep.* vol. VII. p. 198; vol. VIII. p. 16; *Ind. Ant.* vol. VII. p. 2.

¹¹⁸ P. 327 ff. Nos. 3, 13, &c.; see too *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. III. p. 93.

¹¹⁹ *Cathay*, vol. II. p. 99; *Marco Polo*, vol. II. p. 380.

¹²⁰ As to which, see also *Journ. Bomb. Geog. Soc.* vol. VII. p. 139.

GRANT OF VĪRA-CHOLA.

BY Rev. THOMAS FOULKES, F.L.S., M.R.A.S., CHAPLAIN OF SAINT JOHN'S, BANGALORE.

Description.—Two plates of a copper-plate grant, 10 in. long by 2½ in. wide, of unequal thickness, varying from ⅛ in. to ⅓ in., with a ring-hole in each, but without the ring. They are numbered 2 and 5 respectively; and therefore the document had originally six plates at the least, and probably seven. They are well preserved. They record the donation of a large village, called, after the father (?) of the donor, Parakosarichaturvedimagalam, situated on the river Kāverī, to 150 Brāhmins, by Vīra-Choḷa, with the consent of the reigning sovereign, Parakesarivarmā, in order to obtain benefits for his ancestors in the world of spirits. The

donation was made at the instigation of the prince's religious teacher, the Brāhman Nila.

The grant is written in Sanskrit verse of the Anuṣṭubh metre, except the incomplete verse at the beginning. The character is the old Grantha. The letters are firmly and distinctly engraved: but the engraving is not neatly executed.

Plate 2 contains a portion of the pedigree of the donor, tracing his descent from the Solar Race of the *Purāṇas* through Raghū, the great-grandfather of the hero of the *Rāmāyaṇa*. Plate 5, though only a fragment, contains the substance of the grant, and the circumstances of the donation.

*Transliteration.**Plate II a.*

[v. 3 probably].

¹ bhavatām bhavatāt vibhūtyai trayi(yī)sāravastu chaturānana-
² m āditejaḥ [||*] Vidhātus tasya putro bhūt Marichirmāna-
³ so mahān Marī(rī)cheś cha tanūjo bhūt Kāśyapā-
⁴ khyo mahāmuni(h*) [||*] Kāśyapasya muner āsīd ātma-
⁵ jo bhānumān Ravir(vī) viśveshātūcha(chi) lokānām andha-
⁶ kārāpanodakṛit [||*] Vedavedām gatavajño Vi-

Plate II b.

⁷ vasvatas cha suto Manuḥ āsitkshitiḥṛitām ādyaḥ
⁸ prajāvas chbandasām iva [||*] Ikshvākuś cha mahāprājño
⁹ bhaktimān Garuḍadhvaje Manos sūnuḥ kshiti(in*) śa(śā)sann āsi(sī)d Ākha-
¹⁰ ṇḍalasamāḥ [||*] Ikshvākuvamśajāteshu kshatriyesu
¹¹ bahushvapi pālayivā bhuvan digdhan yāteshu cha divam
¹² prati [||*] Sagaro nāma tadvañśe jātavān bhūpapū(pu)ṅgavaḥ Bhagi-
¹³ rathopi tadvañśo tato jāto jano(nc)śvara(h*) [||*] Raghur nnāma

Plate V a.

[v. 24, probably]

¹ Rakshamāṇe bhuvam vi(vi)śva(śvā)m Vi(Vī)ra-Choḷo nṛipeśvaro dharmo(dharmmo)
² padeshā tasyābhūt Ni(Nī)lanāmā mahisuraḥ [||*] Yushmadgurūṇām sa-
³ rvvashām svarggaprāpanakāraṇam brahmadeyan dvijendrebhyo
⁴ dehītenam adidiśat [||*] Śrutvā tadvachanam rūjā gatvā
⁵ Choḷamahi(hi)patin namaskṛitya puras tasya sthitvaitad abravī-
⁶ dvachah [||*] Matde(dde)śe tu mahāgrāmaṁ dāsyāmi tava sam-

Plate V b.

⁷ jñayā bhūsurebhyo hantatkā(kār)yye mahyan dehīyanugrahaṁ [||*]
⁸ Tādāśu kurvṇityanu(tyā)jñātaḥ Parakesarivarmmaṇ pañchāśa-
⁹ dbhyaś śatebhyaś cha Vi(Vī)raChoḷo nṛipeśvaraḥ [||*] Parakesaricha-
¹⁰ turvedimagalāhvayaṁ pū(pūr)ṇam Kāveryyā svat(ssval)panadyā-
¹¹ ś,cha maudhame supatishtha(śthī)taṁ savva(rvva)lakshanasampannaṁ śā-
¹² lyāśasasyabhūshitaṁ [||*] Panasāmṛādisamynktaṁ pūgarāmā-

Translation.

May the substance of the three-fold *Veda* which is the primæval brightness of the four faced god,¹ be to you for riches.

Marîchi was the great mind-born son of that Vidhâtâ²: and the great Muni Kaśyapa was the son of Marîchi.

The bright Ravi³ was the son of the Muni Kaśyapa; the dispeller of the darkness of all the worlds.

Manu, learned in the doctrines of the *Vedas* and *Vedāngas*, was the son of Vivasvat⁴: he was the first of kings, as the sacred syllable⁵ was the seed of the *Vedas*.

And Ikshvâku, pre-eminent in knowledge and the worshipper of Garuḍa-dhvaja,⁶ was the son of Manu: as a protector of the earth he was the equal of Âkhaṇḍala.⁷

Very many Kshatriyas, born of the race of Ikshvâku having ruled the fruitful earth, and having set out on their heavenward journey,

The pre-eminent king Sagara was born in that race. In that race also Bhagîratha then was born, lord of men.

Raghu by name.

While Vîra Chôla, lord of kings, was ruling the whole earth, the Brâhman Nîla became his religious teacher.

He taught him thus:—"Bestow religious endowments on distinguished Brâhman, in order that all your ancestors may reach the heaven of Indra."

When the king heard that precept, he went to the Chôla monarch, and having bowed down before him, he stood in his presence, and made this speech, saying, "I am proposing to make a donation of a large village in my district to the Brâhman, and to call it by your name: grant me your assent in that matter."

Having received a command from Parakosari Varmâ, saying, "Do so speedily;" Vîra Chôla, lord of kings, [gave] to one hundred and fifty persons, the whole [village] named Parakosari-chaturvedimaṅgalam, well situated between the Kâveri and the little river, abounding with all the signs of prosperity, and adorned with fields of rice and other grains, with jack, mango, and other fruit-

trees, with areca-palm and other groves,

This grant affords the following items of historical information:—

1. It was issued in the reign of Parakosari Varmâ, who is described as the Chôla Mahîpati (vv. 26, 28); for whose complete identification we may as well wait patiently a little longer until more of the grants of the Chôlas have been published.

2. Vîra Chôla, the grantor, was apparently his Yuva-râja. In what blood-relationship he stood to his sovereign does not here appear: but, from other information about the Chôla princes, I think we may safely regard him as his son.

3. Vîra Chôla had at this time a definite portion of the Chôla kingdom under his separate government; as appears from the words *mad-dēśe* in v. 27: and his district or province lay on some portion of the banks of the Kâveri, as is shown in v. 29. The expression "little river" of v. 29, if *svalpa* is the proper correction of the *svatpa* of the plate, is insufficient for any identification of the confluence referred to in the description of the village. There is a tributary of the Kâveri called the "Chinnâr," which is the Tamil equivalent of "Svalpanadi," as may be seen in Maps 60 and 61 of the Great Trig. Survey, which rises in the hills to the south of Ânaikal and Hosûr: but much weight cannot be put upon that circumstance. The plates were found at Nâmakal, in the Salem district: but even this circumstance affords but an uncertain clue to the identification of the agrahâram. The expression *chaturvedi-maṅgalam* is a mere title which was borne in common by several other known Brâhman settlements: and there are other instances, similar to the present one, of the names of the reigning kings, and other public functionaries, being given to new foundations of "chaturvedi-maṅgalams." But though the plate affords no certain clue to the identification of Vîra Chôla's *deśa*, considering that the immediate neighbourhood of the capital was probably under the direct government of the sovereign, it sends us to look for the province of the subordinate king somewhere

¹ Chaturânuṣa, a name of Brahmâ.

² A name of Brâhmâ.

³ A name of Sûrya.

⁴ A name of Sûrya.

⁵ *Pranava*.

⁶ A name of Vishṇu.

⁷ A name of Indra.

higher up the course of the Kāveri than the district of Tanjor. This brings us into the Salem and Koimbatour districts, which formed portions of the old Kōṅgu kingdom down to the time of its conquest by the Chōla king Âditya Varmâ, some time (as it appears at present) about A.D. 894. Âditya Varmâ's son was named Vîra Chōla amongst other names : and it is probable enough that he may have been placed in the government of his father's conquest during his father's life-time. If this be so, the identification of the Vîra Chōla of this grant, and of his "deśa," as well as of his father Parakesari Varmâ, may so far be regarded as complete; subject, however, to the remarks which are presently to follow.

4. From the expression "lord of kings," and "ruling the whole earth," in vv. 24, 28, it may possibly be inferred, even after making due allowance for the laudatory exaggeration of some of these grants, that this Vîra Chōla had made conquests in the neighbouring kingdoms : and if this be so, it will add some amount of confirmation to the above identification of the prince.

5. The savour of Hinduism and Brâhmanism runs through the grant : but the religious creed of these two Chōla kings is not further to be ascertained from this document. The influence of Nîla upon Vîra Chōla, which seems to be mentioned with a special object, may possibly point to some innovation upon the former religious ideas of the prince.

The question now arises whether the materials already published respecting the kings of the Chōla dynasty afford sufficient data for the certain identification of the Vîra Chōla of this grant, and to fix the date of his reign ? And the answer must be for the present,—Not quite yet. The name, or rather the title, of Vîra Chōla, with or without other combinations, has been borne by several of the Chōla princes ; and the dates connected with these princes in the various scattered notices of them, are widely different and conflicting. My impression is that the above identification will not be disturbed : but I subjoin the following list of names in which the title 'Vîra Chōla'

appears, arranged in alphabetical order, together with the different dates which have been assigned to them* :—

1. Vîra Chōla, who has been variously placed in K. Y. 1443, which may perhaps be a clerical error for ŚŚ. 1443 ; in the 1st century A.D. ; in ŚŚ. 407 ; in ŚŚ. 899 ; in the end of the 9th century A.D. ; from A.D. 1044 to 1114 ; and twenty-five generations before Uttama Chōla, the grandfather of Karikāla Chōla ; while another authority makes a Vîra Chōla the great grandson of this or another Karikāla Chōla.

2. Vîra Chōla Deva, who is placed in ŚŚ. 1001 ; in ŚŚ. 1044 ; and whose viceroyalty of the Veṅgi country is made to extend from A.D. 1079 to 1135.

3. Vîra Chōla Mahârāja, who is placed in A.D. 1279.

4. Vîra Chōla Nârâyana ; and

5. Vîra Chōla Nârâyana Râya, who is apparently that Kûlottuṅga Chōla, the father of Adondai, in whose reign the Chōlas conquered the Tondaimaṇḍalam from the Pallavas ; which conquest has been variously placed in 3000 B.C. ; some time previous to the Christian era ; shortly before that era ; in the 6th century A.D. ; in the 8th century ; and in the 9th, about A.D. 886 ; some time between A.D. 700 and 1000 ; in various years of the 12th century, ranging between A.D. 1118 and 1171 ; in A.D. 1200 ; and in A.D. 1233.

6. Vîra Chōla Râya, who has been placed in A.D. 978.

7. Vîra Deva Chōla, or

8. Vîra Deva Chōla Kûlottuṅga Chōla, who is placed in the 12th century A.D.—1128 to about 1160.

9. Vîra Mârtanḍa Chōla, who seems to be the same as either No. 2 or No. 12.

10. Vîra Nârâyana, the same as No. 4, 5.

11. Vîra Pânḍiya (Tam.), or Pânḍya (Sansk.) Chōla, who is said to be a contemporary of Râmānujâchârya, and placed about Fasly 460, and in ŚŚ. 939 ; while Râmānuja's dates also differ considerably.

12. Vîra Rājendra Chōla, to whom

* I have materials for the identification of these and a large number of other Chōla names and eponyms, which I hope to submit in a future paper. The subjoined list

may be regarded as a specimen of the great confusion in which the chronology of the Chōlas is at present involved.

various dates are given, ranging from ŚŚ. 460 to after A.D. 1273.

13. Vîra Śekhara Chôḷa, who was contemporary with Achyuta Râya of Vijayanagara; whose dates, with a few exceptions,

range within the second quarter of the 16th century A.D.

14. Vîra Vikrama Chôḷa, who is the same as one of the preceding princes, but no date occurs with this form of the name.*

AN IDENTIFICATION OF A WESTERN CHÂLUKYA CAPITAL.

BY J. F. FLEET, B.O.C.S., M.R.A.S.

At p. lxxvii of the *Introduction* to his *Mysore Inscriptions*, Mr. Rice speaks of the Western Châlukya king Vikramāditya VI., or Tribhuvanamalla, as apparently residing in A. D. 1077 "at Tagiri, perhaps the modern Daulatâbâd."

I have been asked whether this 'Tagiri' might not be a mistake for 'Tagara', which, at *id.*, p. lxxx, Mr. Rice gives as the older name of the ancient Dêvagiri or the modern Daulatâbâd, and which has been accepted as such by other writers of authority, though the identity of the two places is disputed, and on apparently good grounds, by Mr. McCrindle, at Vol. VIII., p. 143, (51), note.

This question led me to examine the two passages from which Mr. Rice has derived this name of 'Tagiri'; and the result is that I find the correct name to be, not 'Tagiri', but 'Êtagiri.'

The first passage is in No. 60 of Mr. Rice's *Mysore Inscriptions*, or No. 163 of my *Pâli, Sanskrit, and Old-Canarese, Inscriptions*. After giving a short description of Vikramāditya VI., the original continues, in l. 11:—*Antu dhareyam nishkanṭakam-mâdi sukha-saṃkathâ-vinôdadim-Êtagiriya nele-vîḍinoḷ rājyam-geyyuttam-ire*. Curiously enough, the reading given in the copy of this inscription in the Elliot MS. Collection, Vol. I., p. 272, is

vinôdadim Dêvagiriya nele-vîḍinoḷ; but the correct reading of the original is perfectly distinct in the photograph, and is as I have given it above.

The second passage is in No. 77 of Mr. Rice's *Mysore Inscriptions*, or No. 164 of my *Pâli, Sanskrit, and Old-Canarese, Inscriptions*. The original runs, in l. 13:—*Śrîma(mat-)Tri(-tri)-bhuvanamallaḍēvara vijaya-rājyam=uttar-ôttar-âbhivridhi-pranaraddhamnam=[â-chaṇḍr-ârkkatâram buram] saluttam Êtagiriya nele-vîḍinoḷ=sukha-saṃkathâ-[vinôdadim rājyam-ge]yyuttam-ire*. There is no copy of this inscription in the Elliot MS. Collection.

The above two passages are not metrical, and there is, therefore, nothing in them to show absolutely whether the first and third syllables of *Êtagiriya* are long, or short. But there can be no doubt on this point, as the only possible etymology is the Sanskrit *êta*, 'a deer, or antelope,' and *giri*, 'a mountain.'

It remains to identify this town of Êtagiri. Having regard to the tendency of the modern Canarese language, as spoken, to prefix a *y* to an initial *e* or *ê*, and sometimes to convert an initial *ê* into *yâ*, we must look for some such name as 'Yêtagiri', or 'Yâtagiri.' And we have not to look far. There is a common enough surname in the Canarese Districts, which, with the usual indifference to orthography, is

* See also Dr. Burnell's references to *Jour. Lit. & Sc. Soc. Madras*, vol. XIII. pt. ii. p. 36; Reinaud, *Fragments*, pp. 92, 121; *Mémoire sur l'Inde*, p. 284; *Jour. Ceylon As. Soc.* 1867, p. 26.

Dr. Burnell gives the following succession of the Chôḷa kings in the 2nd ed. of his *S. Ind. Palæography* (p. 40):—

Kerikâla Chôḷa (? about 950 A.D.)

Râjarâja Chôḷa, alias Narendra (40 or 41 yrs.), 1023 to 1064 A.D.

Vîra Chôḷa alias Kulottuṅga Chôḷa alias Râjarâjendra (Râjarâja) Kôppâkosarivarmâ (40 years), 1064 to 1113. His abhisheka took place in 1079.

Vikrama Chôḷa (15 yrs.), 1113 to 1128.

Kulottuṅga Chôḷa II. 1128 to ? ruled over the Tamil country (Caldwell, *Gr.* p. 135) for, at least 30 years.

Vikramadeva, reigning 1235 (*Jour. Lit. & Sc. Soc. Mad.* vol. XIII. pt. i. pp. 50-1), Kalinga was lost in 1228 A.D.—ED.

† This is the only instance in which I have found this form of the Old-Canarese locative spelt with the *l*. And it is the one instance that was wanting (see *Jour. Bo. Br. R. As. Soc.*, Vol. X., p. 280, note 37) to enable us to authoritatively give to the Old-Canarese *baliya*, *baliya*, the meaning of 'included in, situated in', equivalent to the *madhyavartin* and *antaryata* of corresponding passages in Sanskrit inscriptions.

written sometimes 'Yātagiri', and sometimes 'Yātagiri.' The persons who own this surname take it from a town on the G. I. P. Railway in the Nizām's Dominions, in lat. 16° 46' N. and long. 77° 13' E., and about eighty miles to the south by east from the modern Kalyāṇa, which is the site of the ancient chief capital of the same name of Vikramāditya VI. The name of

this town is written 'Yedageery' in the Trigonometrical Survey Map, and 'Yedagiri' (with an optional form of 'Edgheery' in the *Index*) in Keith Johnston's *Map of India*. This Yedageery, Yedagiri, or Edgheery, of the maps is undoubtedly the Êtagiri of the inscriptions, which was one of the minor capitals of Vikramāditya VI.

MISCELLANEA.

FOLKLORE PARALLELS.

I am sorry to say that both Mr. Grierson and myself have been anticipated in our Folklore Parallel¹ by Dr. Reinhold Köhler in an article in *Orient und Occident*, vol. II. p. 111ff. Of course he does not mention the parallel in Laura von Gonzenbach's Sicilian stories, published in 1870, nor in his notes upon those tales does he mention the Indian tale. There are a great many interesting parallels of the kind in this little known periodical. I proceed to quote one which I am able to supplement from the *Kathā Sarit Sāgara*. On page 135 of vol. I. Dr. Felix Liebrecht mentions a story in the *Avadhnas* translated from the Chinese by Stanislas Julien, Paris, 1859, of a man who lost a vessel of silver, and made a mark in the water at the place where he lost it. He then remarks that he believes he has read this story in the 'Aetia' of Hierokles. On page 544 of vol. II. he gives an amusing parallel from England:—"A Yarmouth maltster hired an Irishman to assist in lading his ship with malt. Just as the vessel was about to set sail, the Irishman called out from the quay—'Captain! I lost your shovel overboard, but I cut a big notch on the rail-fence round stern, right on the spot where it went down; so you will find it when you come back.' He compares also Hagen's *Narrenbuch*, p. 493.

The following is the form of this story in the Xth book of the *Kathā Sarit Sāgara*:—

"A certain foolish person, while travelling by sea, let a silver vessel fall from his hand into the water. The fool took notes of the spot, observing the eddies and other signs in the water, and said to himself—I will bring it up from the bottom when I return. He reached the other side of the sea, and as he was returning he saw the eddies and other signs, and thinking he recognised the spot, he plunged in to recover his silver vessel. When the others asked him what his object was, he told them, and got well laughed at and abused for his pains."

One of the amusing stories of fools related in the Xth Book of the *Kathā Sarit Sāgara* is (curious to say) found in one of Molière's plays. This is the Sanskrit form of the story:—

"A certain fool was engaged in relating his father's good qualities in the midst of his friends. And describing his superior excellence he said—'My father has followed a strict vow of chastity from his youth, there is no man who can be compared with him.' When his friends heard this they said—'How did you come into the world?' He answered—'Oh! I am a mind-born son of his,' whereupon the matchless fool was well laughed at by the people." Now compare Sganarelle in *Le Mariage Forcé*—"La raison. C'est que je ne me sens pas propre pour le mariage et que je veux imiter mon père et tous ceux de ma race qui ne se sont jamais voulu marier."

The following *mūrkhakathā* in the Xth Book of the *K. S. S.* has also its counterpart in Europe. A certain merchant said to his foolish servant—"Take care of the door of my shop, I am going home for a moment." After the merchant had said this, he went away, and the servant took the shop door on his shoulder, and went off to see an actor perform. And as he was returning his master met him, and gave him a scolding. And he answered: "I have taken care of this door as you told me." Compare with this the 37th story in Laura von Gonzenbach's *Sicilianische Märchen*, "Giusa's mother wanted to go to the mass, and she said to him:—Giusa, if you wish to go out, draw the door to after you (*ziehe die Thür hinter dir zu*). Instead of shutting the door Giusa took it off its hinges, and carried it to his mother in the church."

An incident in the 28th story of the *Sicilianische Märchen* may be paralleled from Indian literature. Lattughina said: "Fire be lighted," and immediately a clear fire burned upon the hearth. Then she said—"Come along, pan"—and a golden pan came and placed itself upon the fire; "come along oil;" and the oil came and poured itself into the pan. In the episode of Nala and Damayanti Nala is detected by his possessing similar powers. In the same episode the gods are discovered by their not winking. I do not know whether there are many parallels to this in Greek literature, but I lately came across one in the *Aethiopica* of

¹ See vol. VIII., p. 288.

Heliodorus which contains other Indian ideas. In the 13th chapter of the third book Kalasiris speaking of the gods, makes the following remark : *Τοὺς μὲν δὴ βεβήλους κὰν διαλάβοιεν, τὴν δὲ σοφοῦ γνῶσιν οὐκ ἂν διαφύγοιεν, ἀλλὰ τοῖς τ' ὀφθαλμοῖς ἂν γνωσθεῖεν ἀπενὲς διόλου βλέποντες καὶ τὸ βλέφαρον οὐποτ' ἐπιμόνυτες, κ. τ. λ.* CHARLES H. TAWNEY.

JAMES WALES THE PAINTER.

In the Council Chamber at Bombay there are three large pictures, the first of Bâji Rao, the second of the Nânâ Fârhavîs, and the last of Mâdhavji Sindia.¹ All three were painted by Mr. James Wales, an artist who arrived in India in 1791, accompanied apparently by his family, as his eldest daughter was afterwards married to Sir Charles Malet, the Resident at Poona, and became the mother of Sir Alexander Malet, so well known in diplomatic circles, and who still survives. The natural taste of the artist seems to have been in the direction of ancient architecture and sculpture. He was a Scotchman, hailing from Peterhead, on the coast of Aberdeen, and was educated at the Marischal College in the local capital. His exhibited pictures at the Academy were portraits, but in this country he devoted much time to the cave temples and other carvings, working in collaboration with Thomas Daniel at the Elurâ excavations. He worked also at Elephanta, making drawings of the sculptures there, and it was in pursuit of these researches that he met his death. The jungle grows thick in that part of the Island of Salsette where the interesting Buddhist works are found; and though the actual hill itself in which occur the caves of Kanheri is nearly bare, it has to be approached through tangles of undergrowth. Mr. Wales is reported to have died at Salsette, whither he had gone to make drawings of the excavations; we may presume he died at Thâpâ, which is some five miles from Kanheri, and unhealthy exposure was probably the cause of this sad and sudden termination of his labours. If he effected anything at Kanheri, it does not seem to have been preserved,² as the examination of the remains there is always associated with other names. We find no notice in the Indian Handbook of any monument to this worthy man.—*The Pioneer*.

¹ "Mr. Daniel's fine picture of the Punâ Durbar is unrivalled perhaps in oriental grouping, character, and costume; . . . It was painted for Sir Charles Malet from sketches by the late Mr. Wales; and the artist has shown the time when Sir Charles, then our ambassador at the Court of Punâ, attended by his suite, delivered to his Highness the Peshwa, in full Darbar, the treaty of alliance, ratified by his Majesty, between Great Britain and his Highness; made, preparatory to the war between the triple allied powers and Tipu in 1790."—This picture was well engraved, and published by Cribb in Holborn. Moor's *Hindoo Pantheon* (1810), p. 174.

² A collection of sketches in water colours, doubtless the

METRICAL VERSIONS FROM THE MAHÂBHÂRATA.

BY JOHN MUIR, D.C.L., LL.D., &c.

(Continued from p. 29.)

FATE OF THOSE WHO HAVE NO BELIEF IN VIRTUE; BENEFITS OF FAITH.

Mahâbhârata, iii. 13747f.

The fearful doom of all is sure
Who laugh at men whose lives are pure;
Who duty's binding force deny,
And scout all virtue as a lie.
The man who loves to live in sin
Is like a huge inflated skin;
With wisdom's show himself he cheats,
For vain are all his proud conceits.
No sin can want of faith exceed,
While men by faith from sin are freed.³
The faithful man throws off his sins;
As snakes cast off their worn-out skins.

NOTES AND QUERIES.

1. WOOLLY HAIR, AND ELONGATED EAR-LOBES OF BUDDHA.—Where can I find any explanation of the Negro-like hair, short crisp curls, with which Buddha is generally represented in his statues? Recently at Kanauj and Sankôsar (Fatehgarh district) I have seen several statues of Buddha with this conventional treatment of the hair.

In these, and in other statues also, the lobes of the ear are greatly elongated (see also Moor's *Hindu Pantheon*). Has this ever been accounted for? If so, where?

At Manipuri, an old Zamindar, an Ahir, called upon me. The lobes of both his ears were elongated in the manner shown in the representations of Buddha, and hung down detached from the cheek, to a depth of about 2 inches. This formation did not appear to have been caused artificially, and the ears showed no marks of earrings, which, if heavy, would of course prolong the lobe of the ear.—H. RIVETT-CARNAC.

With regard to the query as to the woolly hair and elongated ear-lobes of Buddha, Mr. W. Simpson, the artist to the *Illustrated London News*, recently gave a lecture at the Society of Arts in London on Afghanistan and its antiquities. He mentioned the immense number of Buddhist

work of Mr. Wales, is now in possession of J. Fergusson, Esq., D.C.L., of London. It contains sketches of the large slabs at Borwali, covered with carvings of fleets and armies in conflict, &c., of the curious little Buddhist Chaitya cave at Konditâ, in Salsette, from which cave the inscription was copied by him, and with others from Elura, &c., given by him to Sir Charles Waro Malet, who sent them to Bengal for translation by Capt. Wilford, and publication in the *Asiatic Researches* (vol. V., pp. 135-141).—Ed.

³ This, in sound, is very like the Antinomian doctrine of justification. The object of faith, however, in each case is different.

mounds and remains existing there, and Col. Yule, who was present, expressed his belief that ancient India extended to Kâbul, and that the Greeks when in those regions considered themselves in India. Amongst the antiquities from Afghanistan exhibited by Mr. Simpson, there was a small head of white stone, beautifully carved, the features purely and exquisitely regular and classical, but the ear-lobes as much elongated as in any image of Buddha, and the head covered with close crisp curls, with the border so sharply defined as strongly to suggest the sort of cap or wig imitating curled hair spoken of at page 240 vol. V. of the *Indian Antiquary*. There were the long pierced ear-lobes and the close curly hair, but the beautiful youthful countenance had no suggestion of Buddha, and the appearance of the hair strongly suggested an artificial covering.

London, December 1879.

M. J. W.

Legend says that Gautama Buddha on leaving his home cut off his luxuriant locks; and as no images of him were made till long after his decease, the characteristics of the Buddha *sthavira* of the day would be the only guide that the fabricators of the first images would have to model them by. Then, though *rupas* or ornamental figures in caves and temples were probably largely in vogue before this, there were no examples among them with short cut hair; this would puzzle the first artists. They would probably cut lines crossing each other all over the

scalp and very close together; but the result would not be so satisfactory as the work would be laborious. By and bye we may suppose the width between the lines was increased, and the small knobs left between being somewhat rounded, the effect would be improved, and it would soon be generally adopted. When the Mahâyâna sect, in the sixth century, were gaining influence by their gorgeous ritual, this mode of representing the hair of Buddha was universal. It would be curious to compare any images that remain of earlier date or belonging to the Hinayâna or puritan sect. This short hair is one of the traditional points of beauty in Buddha's person.

As to the ear lobes: a mistake is not unfrequently made here. A careful examination of many images convinces me that what appears to be a very long pendant lobe is not always really so, but whilst the lobe was largely prolonged, as it is by the modern Kânphatas, an elongated link was inserted in the lower extremity of it: this link is often supposed to be part of the elongated lobe.

But it must not be forgotten that it is part of the physiognomical lore of the Hindus, that a man with short ear-lobes is deficient in religiousness, and that long ones are the sure mark of a good and great man: hence Buddha's ears must have had unusually long pendant lobes.

The Jaina Tirthankaras are also represented with the same short hair and elongated ear lobes, as Buddha.

Ajanta Caves, 17th January 1880. EDITOR.

BOOK NOTICES.

ALTINDISCHES LEBEN: DIE CULTUR DER VEDISCHEN ÄRIER NACH DEN SAMHITÄ DARGESTELLT VON HEINRICH ZIMMER; eine vom vierten Internationalen Orientalisten Congress in Florenz gekrönte preisschrift. Berlin: Weidmannsche Buchhandlung, 1879.¹

The Prize gained by the author of this essay was one liberally offered by the Italian Government, which thus afforded a fresh stimulus to the efforts of the European scholars who are at present directing their attention to the study of Indian antiquity.

I shall first of all enumerate the contents of the work.

It is divided into three books. The first describes the land, its climate and formation, its products, mineral, vegetable, and animal, its inhabitants, Dasyus and Âryas, and their respective tribes. The second book treats of the external circumstances of the Vedic people, their government and law, their cattle-rearing and agricultural occupations, trades, commerce, &c., their

clothing and finery, food and drink, amusements and wars. The third book gives an account of their internal relations, domestic life, morals, arts and sciences, writing and arithmetic, astronomy and cosmology, division of time, art of healing, death and burial, life after death.

In his preface (pp. v. ff.) the author remarks that the materials which we possess for sketching the state of civilization among the different races allied to us in speech, at the period when they emerged from the darkness of primeval antiquity, are very different in the case of each branch.

As regards the forefathers of the Germans, there is the *Germania* of Tacitus, in which scarcely any side of life is left undescribed. This, combined with their own language and literature, especially those of the north, furnishes a picture of their prehistorical life, such as is obtained in the case of no other kindred European race.

The sources of our knowledge of the earliest

¹ (Life in Ancient India; the Civilization of the Vedic Âryas described according to the Samhitâ; a prize

essay crowned by the fourth International Congress of Orientalists in Florence. Berlin: Weidmann, 1879.)

history of the Indians, Dr. Zimmer proceeds, are of an essentially different character. None of the Phœnicians, who in Hiram's and Solomon's time, and no doubt earlier, sailed to Ophir, and brought back thence Indian names, along with Indian productions, give us any account of the people with whom they traded. Nor have the Indians any Homer belonging to the period preceding their immigration into Hindustan proper: our knowledge of this people's life is obtained solely by collecting the scattered allusions which are found in their surviving lyrical poetry of that period.

Yet the literature of no Indo-Germanic race has rescued from a remote antiquity such a mass of hymns as are found in the four Vedic *Samhitas* of the Indians. These Vedic lyrics are fortunately of a very realistic character.

Their prayers, hymns of praise or thanks-giving, supply us with many means of insight into their mundane relations and the objects of their desires. They lay bare their virtues and vices before the all-seeing gods. The *Rik* and *Atharva Samhitas*, which were not compiled with purely liturgical views, contain also a number of hymns and fragments which introduce us into the innermost life of the people. The notices which we thus obtain are the more valuable as coming from contemporaries who were taking a part in the affairs to which they refer, and who, besides, do not all belong to one period, but place before us the developments of their people's life during several centuries. These Rishis, it is true, do not answer all our questions; regarding many keen enquiries they maintain an obstinate silence. Setting aside such points as these (of which the writer gives some examples), from what the Rishis communicate, we can draw a picture of the life of that lively youthful people,—strong in faith in the gods,—which is clearer and more exact than Tacitus gives of the Germans. To supply such a picture is the author's design.

I shall proceed to state some of the contents of chapters iv., v. and vi. pp. 104ff. in which Dr. Zimmer treats of the Indian races, and traces the gradual formation of the caste system which afterwards prevailed in Hindustan.

The oldest settlements of the Âryas in historical times were in East Kâbulistân, and along the banks of the upper Indus. The different tribes advanced thence along the valley of the Indus southwards and eastwards into the land of the Seven Rivers, drove the aborigines out of their flourishing possessions, which they themselves then occupied. The expelled aborigines retired northward to the Himâlayas, or southward to the Vindhya mountains, while many of their men and women remained as the slaves of the in-

vaders (p. 107). The distinction between the two races, Âryas and Dasyus or Dâsas (p. 109), was clearly marked by their difference of complexion (*varna*), the Dasyus being black and the Âryas white, a difference which must have been more striking in the early days of the immigration, before the colour of the Âryas had been much affected by the climate (p. 113). The two races also differed in speech (p. 114), but the greatest difference was in their religion (p. 115). The Dasyus did not worship the gods of the Âryas. Of their own religion little appears. But the author inclines to agree with Prof. Ludwig that the epithet *śiśnadēva* applied to the enemies of the Âryas means *phallus* or *linga*-worshippers (p. 116). The Dasyus are represented as being rich in cattle which the Âryas naturally coveted. Until the latter had gained the upper hand, they lived in a state of hostility with the Dasyus. This condition of things is discernible in the contents of the hymns. Eventually large Âryan tribes advance eastward and conquer for good Hindustân between the Himâlayas and Vindhya. The large portions of the Dasyu population which did not retire to the hills, embraced the faith of the Âryas, and became to some extent assimilated to their conquerors. The social condition of the Âryas also underwent important changes; the caste system became more and more developed: the subjected aborigines obtained a recognised position in the State, by becoming a fourth caste. It is not to be doubted that in the long period before this took place, Âryan had become largely intermixed with aboriginal blood. Dasyu virgins and women came as slaves into the houses of the Âryas: some of them may have become mistresses of the household. The word Dasyu now disappears in our ethnological sense, (though the name continues to be applied to the aborigines), and is succeeded by the appellation Śûdra. The latter word is unknown to the early Vedic era: it only appears along with Brâhmaṇa, Râjanya, and Vaiśya in *Ṛ.* V. x. 90, 12, a hymn which can only date from the period when the Indian people had become divided into classes according to the Brâhmanical system (pp. 116, 117). Just alluding to what Dr. Zimmer tells us in pp. 118ff. of the different tribes of the Dasyus and of the Âryas, the *Pancha-jandh*, *Pancha-kriṣṭayak*, appellations under which he understands the Yadus, Anus, Druhyus, Turvaśas and Pârus to be meant, and what he says of the other tribes mentioned in the *Rigveda*, the *Tritsus*, *Bharatas*, &c. I come to the fuller account which he gives in his 6th chapter of the constitution of Âryan society, and the formation of the caste system.

Each Âryan state was ruled by a king (p. 162) whose office was often, though not always, heredi-

tary, but sometimes elective, though whether he could only be chosen out of a particular family does not appear. Constant obedience to him is enjoined (p. 166), and the offering of gifts, not the payment of tribute. In nearly every tribe we find families of bards, who were attached to the king's court, and celebrated his exploits and those of his people; like Vasishṭha's family among the Tṛitsus and that of Viśvāmitra among the Bharatas. They had to live chiefly on the king's bounty, and so sought as far as possible to render themselves indispensable. Offerings or libations, they said, were not acceptable to Indra unless accompanied by hymns of praise. But it was not every king or wealthy man who could, on occasion of important ceremonies, produce such a composition of the proper sort. A member of one of the families of bards then took his place, became his *purohita*. If the sacrifice was visibly blest, the bards knew how to turn this circumstance to account. Thus Vasishṭha impresses on Sudās (*R. V.* vii. 33. 6) that it was because he (*V.*) was *purastar*, that the Tṛitsus were victorious, and the Bharatas overcome; and his opponent Viśvāmitra asserts (*R. V.* iii. 53. 12) that his hymn protected the Bharatas. The prince was constantly reminded how necessary it was to attach the bard to himself and to reward him liberally. To give force to these demands, the bards ascribed their calling, as well as their right to receive presents, to Indra.

The stingy are in the bard's eyes "godless," and they call the liberal, "pious, men who fulfil their duty." (p. 168f.) The presents which the kings made to them were often princely (p. 170), especially after victory over a dangerous foe. If these gifts are sometimes exaggerated with a purpose (that of influencing other patrons) in the portions of the hymns celebrating liberality, still they were often considerable. The subjects of the bards' encomiums were not only kings, but also wealthy men who employed them.

Passing over the contents of pp. 171—185 (from which various details of the civil and social life of the Āryas might be quoted), he comes to the question, proposed as follows, p. 186: Did the caste system exist in the Vedic age? To answer this precisely, that age must be itself defined. If we understand it of the time when all the hymns and sacrificial formulas which we find in the Vedic *Samhitas* were in existence, the question must be answered in the affirmative. But if we mean by it the period when the Āryas dwelt principally in East Kābulistān, and in the land of the seven rivers, and single tribes only had advanced towards the Jumna and the Ganges, in short the period at the close of which king Sudās and his Purohita Vasishṭha stand as the latest important

figures,—then the existence of castes must be unconditionally denied. After other remarks, Dr. Zimmer goes on (in p. 189) to say: If the Vedic people while still living on this [the western no doubt is meant] side of the later Sarasvatī, in the land of the seven rivers, was already in possession of the Brāhmanical order, the caste system and a special priestly class, how does it happen that the Āryan tribes, which had continued to occupy these settlements, were at the time of the rise of the Indian epic poetry regarded as half barbarians by the Brāhmanized dwellers in Madhyadeśa? And the [*Tadūya*, or] *Panchavinśa Brāhmaṇa*, which Weber (*Hist. of Ind. Lit.* p. 66f. of Engl. transl.) would regard as the oldest of those works, when describing the march of these tribes to the Sarasvatī, says of them that "they do not observe the Brāhmanical rules; not being Brāhmanically consecrated, they speak the language of the consecrated" (17, 1. 14). Did these tribes remain true to the institutions of their ancestors, or had they abandoned the ancient traditional order of their society? The answer will not seem doubtful to any one to whom it is not, as it is to the Indian theologians, an article of faith, imbibed with their mothers' milk, that the social divisions prevailing in later times were primeval, that the divinely favoured Rishis of old worshipped the gods exactly as their successors did.

Let us therefore proceed on the established fact that the Vedic people were unacquainted with the division of society into castes during that period of their development at the close of which King Sudās and his Purohita Vasishṭha appear as the last important figures.

These two men and the Tṛitsus whom they led succeeded in the famous battle of the ten kings, on the banks of the Parushni, in stopping the advance of the united tribes of the North-western Panjāb towards the south-east. But the Tṛitsus must at last have succumbed to a renewed invasion, as we hear no more of them, or of any descendants of the renowned Divodāsa and Sudās, whilst some of the tribes which they had overcome in that battle, as the Pūrus, afterwards attained to great power. The period which follows is one of the darkest in Indian history. When we reach a period of more light, we encounter a people so accustomed to altered conditions of life, institutions and religious ideas, as to have begun to lose the power of understanding the state of things described in the hymns of the ancient Rishis, and to look upon their brethren of the same race who had remained in their old abodes, and adhered to their ancient institutions, as semi-barbarous (p. 191f.).

Let us endeavour to make clear to ourselves

more in detail, the modifications gradually undergone by the Āryan Indians. The tribes in the north-west of the Panjāb begin to advance further into Hindustān. To effect this, and overcome the foes by whom they are opposed, they have to combine into larger masses. One of the kings, the most distinguished and powerful, is entrusted with the chief command, and thus gains yet more in consideration. The opposing tribes are overcome, and forced to aid in the expedition; and no doubt their chiefs lose their independence. Through severe conflicts with the aboriginal Śādras, the extensive country between the Himālaya and Vindhya mountains is gained; large tracts on the banks of the Jumna and Ganges are occupied. Those of the aborigines who do not flee to the hills, embrace the religion of their conquerors, and remain in their villages as tolerated, though often oppressed, members of the State. The victors are scattered over the conquered territory, and so their fighting men cannot be readily collected, as they could be in the small principalities in the Panjāb. Plundering inroads of the dispossessed aborigines, who had retired to a distance, revolts of the only apparently subjected population, attacks of Āryan tribes, compel the S a m r ā j (sovereign prince) to have always a band of warriors around him. The small tribal chiefs used to have a large number of followers. Now, under this new order of things, they were deprived of their power, either forcibly, or gradually through the importance of a single ruler, which constantly increased in troublous times, and sank with their numerous families and dependants into the position of a martial nobility surrounding the sovereign prince.

The sovereign was thus enabled in the small feuds which were constantly occurring, to dispense with the help of the people, the V i ś. The martial nobility began more and more to regard arms as their occupation, to devote themselves exclusively to a military life, and to transmit it as an inheritance to their descendants. The rest of the people, devoted entirely to agriculture, the rearing of cattle, and peaceable traffic, became less skilled in the use of arms, while the altered climatic conditions contributed more and more to relax their energies. In this way two classes arise which become always more separated (p. 193f).

But it was not to be the lot of the warrior class and of the ruler, to reap the entire harvest: the best part of it was taken from them by others.

We have seen that the families of the bards lived, held in high honour, at the courts of the petty tribal princes, whose exploits they celebrated. But their action in another direction was

yet more important, and influenced profoundly the entire national development. With their poetical endowments they lived in the service of religion; they laid before the gods the desires of the princes and of wealthy men; they lauded in well composed hymns the might and glory of the deities, and thanked them for the blessings which they had bestowed. In return for this service, they obtained rich gifts. They thus acquired the reputation of being more highly qualified for the worship of the gods, for the celebration of sacrifice; they began to boast of being the objects of the special favour of the gods. Towards the end of the Vedic period properly so called, it had already become the custom for the prince, no longer himself to offer the sacrifices which were necessary for the State and the tribe, but to cause them to be celebrated by a bard so gifted. The person entrusted with this function was called *purohita* (*puraetar* in *R. V.* vii. 33. 6). We have here, as Roth was the first to recognize (on the *Jit. and Hist. of the Veda*, p. 117),—to seek for the origin and oldest form of the Indian priesthood (p. 194f).

The employment of a Purohita was, indeed, at this period customary, but not yet a duty binding upon the prince. But the priestly bards sought to impress upon the king the necessity for such a course. The functions of the Purohita, if one was appointed, were not however confined to one of these gifted bards. Kings' sons could fulfil them, as in the case of Devāpi (Yāska, *Nirukta*, ii. 10, and *R. V.* x. 98). Nor was the office as yet hereditary in any family.

But all the objects at which the priestly bards were aiming towards the close of the Vedic period, were fully and amply attained by them in the succeeding period of conflict, fermentation and confusion. If these priestly leaders had hitherto been honoured and rewarded by the tribal princes as trusted counsellors and helpers in religious matters, their estimation among the people in virtue of their actual or arrogated higher qualifications was not less, especially if they were the heads of numerous families. In the struggles of the pretty princes for supremacy, the leaning of such and such a bard towards one or the other side was, consequently, often decisive of the issue. Modesty was never a characteristic of the old Rishis: much less can these their descendants have failed to profit by the opportunity, when the king owed his power to their intervention.

The personal relations of individuals to the gods declined the more, the oftener these bards intervened as mediators; the forms of worship became more manifold, the number of the ceremonials increased, the results of the sacrifices were made to depend more upon their right celebration than

on the intention of the sacrificer. The families of the bards carefully preserved the ancient hymns of their forefathers which had often visibly secured the favour of the gods, the estimation of the hymns was in every way sought to be enhanced. Who else was it but these priestly families, with their preponderance of culture, and their intellectual and moral influence which was thus strengthened, that established on a new basis the worship in the conquered districts of Hindustân? And if they sought to make the civil institutions also entirely dependent on themselves, this is easily understood from the position which they had already occupied. If, now, these powerful associations, formed of the priestly bards of the petty tribal princes and their families, which were united by community of interests and identity of education, whose right of decision in divine things was scarcely disputed, which claimed, and in many points possessed, the same voice in civil matters also,—if these associations made their order dependent on birth, then a close priestly caste had been formed, and stood over against the other classes in the State.

The rulers, and the martial nobility, which had grown into a distinguished order, did not, however, bow submissively to the claims of the priestly community which became ever more unbounded. It was only after hard internal struggles, after being subjected to oppression and violence in different ways, that the priests succeeded in carrying through their demands, and in moulding the religious and civil life of the Indian people in accordance with their ideals, and in a way conducive to their own advantage (pp. 194—197).

Wonderful was the pertinacity with which the priestly class maintained its claims, and always brought them forward anew. They gradually succeeded in conquering the resistance of the kings and nobles, and in obtaining the recognition of their four prerogatives,—reverence (*archâ*), gifts (*dâna*), immunity from oppression (*ajyagatâ*), and the inviolability of their persons (*avadyatâ*). The further transformation of social and religious life according to their ideals now advanced irresistibly. In order to rear a further barrier between the different branches of the Âryan people it was only necessary further to make the order of warriors, which was mainly composed of royal and noble families, and which had acquired a privileged position above the mass of the people, the cultivators and tradesmen,—dependent upon birth; and the common free men appertaining to the *Vaisya*, then formed the third caste, that of the *Vaisyas*. As an authorized

member of the State, was added the subjected indigenous population, which had become somewhat assimilated to the Âryas in religion and customs: it formed the fourth caste, that of the *Sûdras*.

The reader will, I think, have perused with interest the extracts from Dr. Zimmer's book which I have placed before him, and which appear to me to treat with ability a most important chapter in Indian history, the rise and growth of the caste-system, so far as its genesis can be conjecturally traced.

Edinburgh, September, 1879.

J. MUIR.

INDIAN FAIRY TALES, collected and translated by MAIVE STOKES. Calcutta: 1879.¹

No better account can be given of Miss Stokes's collection of Indian Fairy Tales, than that which she herself gives in the preface:—

"The first twenty-five stories in this book were told me at Calcutta and Simla by two *Ayâhs*, Dunkni and Mâniyâ, and by Karîm, a *Khidmatgar*. The last five were told mother by Mâniyâ. At first the servants would only tell their stories to me, because I was a child, and would not laugh at them, but afterwards the *Ayâhs* lost their shyness, and told almost all their stories over again to mother when they were passing through the press. Karîm would never tell his to her or before her. The stories were all told in Hindûstâni, which is the only language that these servants know."

We have thus the most unmistakable evidence that all these tales form part of the popular poetry that still lives among the people of India, and there is no reason to suspect that they have in any way been modified by European influences, except so far as the tellers must necessarily have modified them in order to adapt them to the understanding and morals of an English child. One obvious modification is pointed out and accounted for in the notes. "In these stories the word translated God is *Khudâ*. Excepting in 'How king Burtal became a *Fakîr*' (p. 85) and in 'Râjâ Harichand's Punishment' (p. 224) in which Mahâdeo plays a part, the tellers of these tales would never specify by name the god they spoke of. He was always *Khudâ*, 'the great *Khudâ* who lives up in the sky.' In this they differed from the narrator of the '*Old Deccan Days*' stories, who almost always gives her gods and goddesses their Hindu names, probably because from being a Christian she had no religious scruples to prevent her from so doing."

The stories have been rendered into English, which though simple is clear and forcible, and some of them would, we imagine, be favourites in an English nursery, as well as with children of a

¹ One hundred copies privately printed.

larger growth. It is unnecessary to dwell upon the interest they will excite in all students of folklore. Their value in this respect is much increased by the notes by the collector's mother, which contain illustrations from the Folklore of every country in the world, and are evidently the result of diligent and observant study. It would be difficult to find in any book so much erudition packed into so small a compass. We might instance as an example the elaborate note on the passage in the 1st story, "Her beauty lights up a dark room" (p. 240).

As a specimen of the stories we will choose the following, (p. 33) which happens to be one of the shortest:—

The Bulbul and the Cotton Tree.—There was once a bulbul, and one day as he was flying about, he saw a tree on which was a little fruit. The bulbul was much pleased and said, "I will sit here till this fruit is ripe, and then I will eat it." So he deserted his nest and his wife, and sat there for twelve years without eating anything, and everyday he said, "To-morrow I will eat this fruit." During these twelve years a great many birds tried to sit on the tree, and wished to build their nests in it, but whenever they came, the bulbul sent them away, saying, "This fruit is not good. Don't come here." One day a cuckoo came, and said, "Why do you send us away? Why should we not come and sit here too? All the trees here are not yours." "Never mind," said the bulbul, "I am going to sit here, and when this fruit is ripe, I shall eat it." Now the cuckoo knew that this tree was the cotton-tree, but the bulbul did not. First comes the bud, which the bulbul thought a fruit, then the flower, and the flower becomes a big pod, and the pod bursts, and all the cotton flies away. The bulbul was delighted when he saw the beautiful red flower, which he still thought a fruit, and said, "When it is ripe, it will be a delicious fruit." The flower became a pod, and the pod burst. "What is all this that is flying about?" said the bulbul. "The fruit must be ripe now," so he looked into the pod, and it was empty; all the cotton had fallen out. Then the cuckoo came, and said to the angry bulbul: "You see if you had allowed us to come and sit on the tree, you would have had something good to eat; but as you were selfish, and would not let any one share with you, God is angry, and has punished you by giving you a hollow fruit."

Then the cuckoo called all the other birds, and they came and mocked the bulbul. "Ah! you see God has punished you for your selfishness," they said. The bulbul got very angry, and all the birds went away. After they had gone, the bulbul said to the tree: "You are a bad tree, you are of use to no one, you give food to no one." The tree

said, "You are mistaken, God made me what I am. My flower is given to sheep to eat. My cotton makes pillows and mattresses for man." Since that day no bulbul goes near a cotton tree.

We proceed to note one or two parallels which suggest themselves on a cursory perusal of the book.

The way in which Phūlmatī Rānī is supplanted in the first story reminds us of Die Gänsemagd, No. 8) in Grimm's *Kinder und Hausmärchen*, also of the story of Hansāvatī in the *Kathā Sarit Sāgara*, Lambaka xii. Taranga 71. For the manner in which Phūlmatī is recovered at the end of the story, compare the story of Hasan of El-Basrah, Lane's *Arabian Nights*, vol. III. p. 380.

A modern Indian story very nearly resembling the Xth story is quoted by Benfey, *Panchatantra*, vol. I. p. 251, from the XIth vol. of the *Asiatic Journal*. Here the monkey skin is worn by a lady.

In the XIth story Hirālāl Bāsā addresses the Rākshasa as "uncle." In the notes a tale is quoted from the *Indian Antiquary*, in which two brothers address a tiger by the same propitiatory title: compare the 7th Fable of the Vth book of the *Panchatantra* (Dr. Bühler's edition), where the musical donkey calls the jackal "nephew," and is in turn addressed by him as "uncle."

Sonahri's betrayal of the Rākshasa finds a parallel in the betrayal of Angāraka by his daughter Angāravatī in the 11th Taranga of the *Kathā Sarit Sāgara*.

In the XIIth story the episode of the extraction of the thorn in the tiger's foot reminds us of the story of Androclus in Aulus Gellius, v. 14. Compare also *Gesta Romanorum* CIV. The gratitude of the animals calls to mind the story of Bodhisattva in the 65th Taranga of the *Kathā Sarit Sāgara*, which is the same as the second story in the appendix to the 1st book in Benfey's translation of the *Panchatantra*, "Die dankbaren Thiere und der undankbare Mensch." For parallels see Benfey's 1st vol. p. 192 and ff. A striking one is to be found in the *Gesta Romanorum*, Bohn's edition, page 212. For the incident of the man coming out of the alligator's stomach, conf. *Kathā Sarit Sāgara*, Taranga 74, sl. 192ff. See also the story of the Śaktideva in the 25th Taranga.

With the *lichī* in the XVth story conf. the elixir in the beginning of the 39th Taranga of the *Kathā Sarit Sāgara*, also the *pāyasa* in the 16th Sarga of the *Ramayana*.

The wonderful knowledge of Khelāparī Rānī in the XVIth tale may be paralleled by that of the *pativrati* Brāhmaṇī lady in the 56th Taranga of the *Kathā Sarit Sāgara*, sl. 174ff.

The horse Kātar in the XXth story may be compared with that in the *Widow's Son*, Thorpe's *Yule-tide Stories*, p. 295.

The XXIInd story may be compared with the fable in the *Panchatantra*, previously referred to under the title of "*die dankbaren Thiere und der undankbare Mensch*." The episode of the ant-king resembles an incident in Srend's *Exploits*, Thorpe's *Yule-tide Stories* p. 353.² For the tasks we may compare the story of Śringabhujā in the 39th Taranga of the *Kathā Sarit Sāgara*, and the numerous parallels adduced by Dr. Reinhold Köhler in *Orient und Occident*, vol. II. p. 103f.

In this story, the Rājā's son cheats four *fakirs* out of a bed, a bowl, a stick, a rope, and a bag, which possess magic properties, by shooting four arrows in different directions, and persuading them to run and fetch them. The bed carried the owner whither-soever he wished to go, the bag gave its owner whatever he wanted in the way of food or clothes, the bowl gave him as much water as he required, and the stick and rope would, on being ordered, beat and tie up his enemies. Of course the prince escapes by means of the bed, while the *fakirs* are fetching the arrows. This recalls Grimm's story of "*Der Geldene Berg*." In his notes he gives numerous parallels, one from the *Kathā Sarit Sāgara* Taranga 3; many will be found also in H. H. Wilson's *Collected Works*, vol. III. p. 168, note. We have found another in the 31st of the *Sicilian Tales* published by Laura von Gonzenbach.

In the XXIVth story, that of the "Demon and the King's Son," the demon causes his daughter to be dead all day and alive all night by changing the position of two sticks, one of which is at her head and another at her feet. In the *Tà ὑπερ Θούλην ἀπώτα*, of Antonius Diogenes (Didot's *Scriptores Erotici*, p. 549), Paapis makes Dercyllis and Mantinias die during the day and come to life at night by spitting in their faces.

For the way in which the heroine of the XXVth story gains her information about Prince Sabr, compare Thorpe's *Yule-tide Stories*, p. 390. There are an intelligent *parrot* and an equally intelligent *maina* in the 3rd story of the *Vetula Pancharīṇsati* (*Kathā Sarit Sāgara*, Taranga 77).

The XXVIIth story of Pānwpattī Rānī is the 1st of the *Vetula Pancharīṇsati*, the 75th Taranga of the *Kathā Sarit Sāgara*.

The XXVIIIth story is compared with that of Upakośā in the *Kathāyātha*, translated by Dr. Bühler in the *Indian Antiquary* vol. I. (October 1872) p. 305. See also Mr. Damant's *Diagepore Legends*, pp. 2 and 3 of the present volume.³ Wilson tells us (*Collected Works*, vol. III. p. 173) that besides being found in other Oriental collections it is a story of ancient celebrity in Europe as

'Constant Du Hamel ou La Dame qui attrapa un Prêtre un Prevot et un Forestier' (Legrand d'Aussy, *Fabliaux et Contes*, Paris: 1829, vol. IV. pp. 246--253). See also Liebrecht's translation of Dunlop's *History of Fiction*, p. 246, and the translator's note. Dunlop expresses his belief that it came from India through Persia.

We think we have said enough to show the scientific importance of these stories.

In conclusion we beg to congratulate Miss Stokes on having done for the Folklore of Northern India what Miss Frere has done for that of the Dekhan.

C. H. T.

KĀVYETIHĀSASANGRAHA—A Collection of Poetical and Historical Pieces. Poona: 1873-79.

It is certainly a matter for congratulation, that there are at the present day numerous signs, showing that the attention of many of our educated people is being seriously directed to the preservation and development of Marāṭhi literature. It cannot be said, of course, that much has yet been actually done, still it is something to know that, at all events in many quarters, there is now none of that cold indifference with which the vernacular languages of the country used to be formerly looked upon. We may, therefore, fairly hope that, in process of time, this new feeling with respect to Marāṭhi literature may lead to very substantial and tangible benefits.

The publication, of which the name heads this notice, is, in our judgment, one of the most important and useful of the productions to which the feeling referred to has given birth. Whether in consequence of defect of management, or of some other cause, that publication has not become so widely known as it deserves to be. It commenced to be published in January 1878, and from that time till now the monthly parts have come out with pretty fair regularity. The contents are divided into three separate departments, so to say: the first is intended to contain old *documents* of Marāṭhā history; the second is to contain unpublished Marāṭhi poems; and the third is to contain unpublished Sanskrit poems. The original matter which the Editors are to supply will embrace information regarding the various pieces to be published, and their authors, short notes in explanation of difficult words, &c., and in the case of the historical portion, references from time to time to Grant Duff's *History of the Marāṭhis*, and other similar works. Such is the general scheme of the publication; and if it is executed with even ordinary care, it cannot fail to be of the greatest service to the interests of the literature and history of West-

² See also *Ind. Ant.* vol. VIII. pp. 37-33, 230, and 233.

³ I may here mention that there is a curious parallel to the termination of Mr. Damant's story in *Sicilianische*

Märchen, 36, Die Geschichte von Sorfarina. But it is perhaps unnecessary to go so far afield when we have such a striking one in our own Shakspeare.

ern India. So far as the undertaking has already progressed, we have no hesitation in saying that, upon the whole, the work has been done very judiciously. In the first department, we have already had one very interesting *Bakhar* completed. It gives a connected narrative of the careers of all the Peshvās from Bālājī Vishvanāth down to the last Bājirāv, and has been called the "*Peshvā's Bakhar*." The other, which is now in process of publication, and which has been named "*Bhāu Sāheb's Bakhar*," relates to that most important period of Marāṭhā history, the period of the battle of Pānīpat. The principle adopted in printing the first was rather an uncritical one, as the Editors permitted themselves to make sundry alterations in the text of the *Bakhar* in order to render the narrative more perspicuous. In printing the second *Bakhar*, however, they have abandoned that principle, and we trust they will not act on it again with reference to any of the other documents they may publish. And this the rather, that, as the Editors themselves observe, these *Bakhars*, besides supplying the raw material for History, are also of high value as specimens of the old style of Marāṭhī prose.

The Editors mention sundry other *Bakhars* as being in their possession, and they are also on the look-out for more. We trust that their efforts in rescuing from oblivion these valuable records will prove successful. The duty of contributing to a trustworthy and complete history of the Marāṭhā power belongs especially to us in Western India. But no systematic attempt had been made in this direction till the publication of the work before us. Individual members of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society have often talked about the matter at meetings of the Society, but nothing tangible has yet come out of such talk, while the Society still continues to receive from Government a sum of nearly Rs. 300 per month, which was originally intended, we believe, to be applied towards the publication of documents illustrative of Marāṭhā history. We hope that those who have the control of the affairs of the Society will look into this matter betimes.

In the second department of the publication before us, we have already had one or two small pieces by the poet Vāman and one or two by other and less known writers. The works now in process of publication are, firstly, the *Vana Parva* of Mukteśvara, whom, the late Mr. Krishna Śāstrī Chip-loukar used to regard as the best among Marāṭhī Poets, and secondly the *Pūrṇa Mantra Bhāgavata* of Moropant, which is remarkable, like most of that author's performances, for a very ingenious verbal contrivance, by which each stanza contains the letters नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय in that order,

although other letters intervene. The letters, it will be perceived, make up a sentence meaning "Salutation to the venerable Vāsudeva," which is the *Mantra* from which the work takes its name. In this department, the Editors have also collected together some useful items of information regarding the three great poets whose works are embraced in it, namely, Vāman, Mukteśvar, and Moropant.

The third department contains unpublished Sanskrit poems. In this part, the place of honour, if we may say so, was given to a work called *Mukundīwanā Bhāṇa*. We own that we have been utterly unable to wade through the whole of that work. And we do not think we can be charged with squeamishness in our taste, if we say, that we consider the work so exceptionable, as not to be entitled to any place whatever in such a publication as the present, let alone the place of honour. We can only express our great regret that the Editors should have thought it worth while to preserve such a performance in print. The other pieces are, however, good ones. The first, *Aptrokshāmbhūti*, is a work ascribed to Śankarāchārya, and the other is a *Rāmdyāna* by the famous Marāṭhī poet Moropant, which is curious as showing, among other things, the same verbal ingenuities as those which mark his Marāṭhī poetry. This work has not yet been completed.

Upon the whole, we trust we have said enough to show that this publication is a very meritorious one, and deserving of the patronage of all who take an interest in Sanskrit and Marāṭhī literature and in the history of India—especially the history of the Marāṭhās. It is at present conducted on a scale smaller than is required by the nature of the subjects it deals with. At the present rate, it will take years before even a fairly large number of *Bakhars* can be published. And the same thing may be said of the large mass of Marāṭhī literature which still remains unprinted. As to Sanskrit, the call for such work is not urgent, because there are many other agencies at work directed to the publication of old Sanskrit pieces. But as regards the other two departments, the publication before us is almost the only one in the field. A few years' delay, and we fear there will be no harvest to reap at all.

We have been informed, that the only difficulty in the way of enlarging the size of the present publication is the vulgar one—money. We trust that the public will patronize the work so as to get rid of this difficulty, and we shall be glad if our notice serves to introduce the publication to the knowledge of a larger circle of readers than it commands at present.

K. T. TELANG.

ĀNDHRA COINS.

BY E. THOMAS, F.R.S., CORRESPONDANT DE L'INSTITUT DE FRANCE.

IN the *Indian Antiquary* vol. VI. for 1877, pp. 274 to 277, I described some remarkable coins of the Āndhra dynasty: simultaneously making an appeal to our correspondents in Southern India to aid in the development of the ancient history of the peninsula by contributing additional examples of the local coinages. This appeal has been responded to from several quarters, but notably in the new acquisitions of Mr. R. Sewell, Madras C.S., Mr. J. Burgess, and Dr. Codrington.

These contributions enable me to place the preliminary outline of the series upon a more scientific basis, so far as the sequence of coins extends, and I have ventured to add some suggestive notes, which may perhaps prove of value in the more advanced stages of the enquiry.

Family Coins.

A.—MĀDRI.

No. 1.—Lead, with traces of copper. Weight 220 grains. Size 9 of Mionnet's scale.

Obverse—A crudely-outlined delineation of a primitive round bow, with a broadly-barbed arrow, in position.

Legend—रजो मदरि पुतस शिवाल कस
Rājō Madāri-putasa Śivāla-kurasa
(kulasa).¹

¹ "The aboriginal race goes a step further back (than the Āryan), and rests its system on the simpler political unit of a nomadic society, the family."

"The Indo-Āryan word for a household, *Lula*, is not found by itself in Santali, but it subsists as the ground-work of every Santal community. A Santal village consists essentially of a single street, with houses on each side; and the pathway running between is called throughout the whole Santal country the *Kubuli*, the divider of families."—W. W. Hunter, *Rural Bengal*; London: 1868, p. 179.

[Bhagwanlal Indrajī has a coin of this type which distinctly reads

Rājō Mādhari-putasa Śivāla-kurasa.—Ed.]

This coin is figured as No. 13 of Indrajī's Plates. The original piece leaves no doubt about the present interpretation of the order or sequence of the records.

² This wavy serpent pattern appears repeatedly in the ornamental scroll work on the Amarāvati Topo (see Ferguson, *Tree and Serp. Wor.*, pls. xlviii, lvi, xcii, &c.)

³ Its position to the right is not constant, it occasionally appears on the left of the device.

⁴ Ferguson, *T. and S. Wor.* Amarāvati Topo, Plate lxxxvi, page 208. See also p. 175. It is important to note that this seven-leaved branch has remained to this day the device or conventional symbol of Jaipur as figured on the local Gold Mohurs. See Prinsep's *Essays* Plate xlvii, No. 73, &c.

Mr. Lyall supplies us with an interesting note upon Family trees, and their worship, which may perchance afford a novel explanation of some of the scenes in the Topes:—

"Before concluding an alliance the Kunbi and other tribes look to the *devak*, which literally means the deities worshipped at marriage ceremonies; the fact being that

In one example of this type, the words रजो मदरि पुतस Rājō Madāri-putasa are clear upon the margin of the original coin, which has been *superstruck*, or perhaps recast irregularly, with a die or stamp bearing the titles of रजो गान्धि पुत Rājō Gandhī-putasa, which words underline, in an inner semicircle, the previous or normal impress.²

Reverse.—A *Chaitya*, or conventional definition of a *lopa* or tumulus, formed of four rows of inverted semi-circles or arches, surmounted by a half-moon: at the base of the *chaitya*, serving as a pedestal, is an oblong space filled-in with a bold representation of a serpent, in the wavy intervals of whose onward course, by way of completing the pattern, are inserted five dots³: to the right⁴ of the *chaitya*, rising from the end of the pedestal, is seen the seven-leaved sacred, or possibly hereditary, family tree.⁵

B.—VĀSHĪL.

No. 2.—Lead, with traces of copper. Weight 228 grains. Size 9 of Mionnet's scale.

Obverse Device—Bow and arrow, as above.

Legend—रजो वाशिष्ठ पुतस शिवाल कस
Rājō Vāśiṣṭha-putasa Śivāla-kurasa

Reverse—Similar device to the above, with the important modifications—1st, of the insertion of prominent dots within the semi-circles of

certain families held in honour particular trees and plants, and at marriage time branches of these trees are set up in the house. It is said that a betrothal, in every other respect unexceptionable, will be broken off if the two houses are discovered to pay honour to the same kind of tree."—A. C. Lyall, *General History of Bombay*, 1870, p. 187.

Mr. Lyall elsewhere remarks: At Wū "the tank-trees are strictly preserved," especially "in the vicinity of temples, or in honour of some presiding deity. The fear of incurring divine displeasure has saved these plantations from devastation," pp. 30, 31, see also *Jour. R. Asiatic Society* vol. V, p. 192, 361; vol. XVIII, p. 373; *Ibid.* p. 485; Wilson's *Works* vol. IV, p. 336; Pliny H. N. xii. 2, 2; Curtius viii. 9, 34.

² This is the same name as the Vāśi of Scripture derived from वसित "performed," the origin of and use of the term are self-evident in our Biblical texts.

"Now it proved that this damsel, whose name was Esther, (एस्तेर) was the most beautiful of all the rest, and the grace of her countenance drew the eyes of the spectators principally upon her; so she was committed to one of the eunuchs to take care of her; and she was very exactly provided with sweet odours, in great plenty, and with costly ointments, such as her body required to be anointed withal; and this was used for six months by the virgins, who were in number 400. And when the eunuch thought the virgins had been sufficiently purified, in the fore-mentioned time &c." Josephus, *Ant.* bk. xi. c. vi. § 2, Whiston's translation. So also the text of *Esther* ii. 3, 9, 12: "Now when every maid's turn was come to go in to king Ahasuerus, after she had been twelve months, according to the manner of the women (for so were the days of their purifications accomplished, to wit, six months with oil of myrrh and six months with sweet odours), and with other things for the purifying of the women."

which the Chaitya is built up; 2nd, of the ordinary shifting of the sacred tree from the right to the left-hand corner of the pedestal.

C.—GOTAMI.

No. 3.—Lead with traces of copper. Weights varying from 180 to 196 grains. Size 9 of Mionnet's scale.

Obverse Device—The usual bow and arrow.

Legend—रजो गौतमि पुतस विद्वया कुरस.

*Rājō Gotami-putasa Vidvīdyā-kurasa.*⁷

Reverse Device—The general characteristics of these reverse devices are similar to those of the two varieties just described. There are, however, some peculiarities to be noticed. There are no dots within the semi-circles of the framework of the Chaitya as in the Vasīthi coins, the sacred tree is usually placed on the right: and the Chaitya itself is surmounted, at times, by a *chakra* or rayed circle,⁸ denoting apparently the sun, as opposed to the half-moon of the other local families. This *chakra* again is often replaced by the figure of the *Swastika* or symbolic cross,⁹ which so often appears with other objects of worship on the ancient Punch-die coins¹⁰ and elsewhere: but which, in this instance, can only be taken to typify and represent the great luminary itself.

In this arrangement of symbols it coincides with the device of the unique coin of Chaśātana; where the Chaitya retains its ordinary superimposed demilune, but has a second half-moon in the field on one side, which is balanced by a well-defined figure of a rayed sun on the other.¹¹

No. 4.—Copper? Small coins. Weight 35 grains. Size 4 of Mionnet's scale.

Obverse—Similar device, Bow and arrow.

Legend—Rājō Vasītho-putasa Vidvīdyā-kurasa.

No. 4a.—Similar coins, in weight about 35 grains—bearing the legend *Rājō Gotami-putasa Vidvīdyā-kurasa*.

Reverse—Chaitya, with the sacred tree placed directly above the apex of the edifice.

Monograms, in the field, No. 4—Letters seemingly representing तच्चा (*tachā*) or तच्चा (*tavā*), No. 4a, a letter very similar to a Chaldaeo-Pahlvi *sa*—*a*, with a curious *tāntric* figure like one of the symbols on the Hāthigūphā Rock Inscription of Aira at Udayagiri.¹² It may be added that the other associate devices on this rock represent the *Karmachakra* or “tortoise symbol” and the *Swastika*.

I have separated, in this catalogue, the family or general maternity-descent coins, from those which, in addition to the tribal or ancestral record, bear the definite name of a reigning sovereign.

I prefix for the purposes of comparison the Purāṇik Dynastic succession list, which, however imperfect, in its more exact details, evidences a certain measure of value and authentic authority, in the confirmation afforded to its leading data by the appearance of so many coincident names on the extant coins described below.

The *Vishṇu Purāṇa*'s leading text contributes the following series of the Āndhra kings:—

“Sūsarma, the Kaṇva, will be killed by a powerful servant, named (1) Śipraka, of the Āndhra tribe, who will become king (and found the Āndhrabhṛtya dynasty).

He will be succeeded by his brother (2) Kṛishṇa;

His son will be (3) Śātakarṇi;

His son will be (4) Pūrṇotsanga;

His son will be (5) Śātakarṇi;

His son will be (6) Lambodara;

His son will be (7) Ivīlaka (Vikalā?);

His son will be (8) Meghaswāti;

His son will be (9) Patumat (*Pudumadyā?*);

His son will be (10) Arishṭakarman;

His son will be (11) Hāla;

His son will be (12) Pattalaka (Maṇḍalaka?);

His son will be (13) Pravilasena (Purīkashēṇa?);

His son will be (14) Sundara (named) ŚĀTAKARNI;

⁷ On some at least the spelling is *Vidvīdyā-kurasa*, the *ka* being the same as in Badradama's Gīrnar Inscription, formed somewhat like the modern *ka*.—Ed.

⁸ This may be traced on the worn surface of Indrajī's Coin No. 8, but I have it in a more definite form, on a coin of Mrs. Dunbar's, where it is not directly above the apex of the Chaitya, but placed on the right hand nearer the tree—the half-moon, in this example, appears on the same level in apparent equipoise or balance to the left.

⁹ See Nos. 9 and 16 Indrajī's Plates *J. B. B. R. A. S.* vol. XIII. p. 306F.

¹⁰ Prinsep's *Essays*, Pl. xx. vol. I. p. 209; and my Marsden, *Ancient Indian Weights*, Pl. i.

¹¹ Burgess's *Report on Kāthiāwāt and Kachh*, 1874-5, Pl. vii. fig. 7, p. 47. See also my *Guptas in India*, Pl. I. fig. 7, p. 32, and Mr. Newton *Jour. Bo. Branch R. A. S.* vol. IX. p. cix. This combination of the sun and the moon seems to have necessarily constituted a fixed idea amid very many classes of men. It even so retained its hold upon public opinion in India that it reappears on the later coins of Arakan. *Jour. Asiatic Soc. Bengal*, 1846, February; and 1872 p. 201.

¹² Sterling's *Orissa. Asiatic Researches* vol. XV.; M. Kittoe, *Journal Asiatic Soc. Bengal*, vol. VI. (1837) p. 1075, Plate lviii; and Rājendralāla in the *Proceedings A. S. Bengal* for 1877, page 163.

His son will be (15) Chakora Śātakarṇi;

His son will be (16) Śivaswāti;

His son will be (17) Gotamīputra;

His son will be (18) Pulomat;

His son will be (19) Śivaśrī Śātakarṇi;

His son will be (20) Śivaskandha (the *Matsya* adds Śātakarṇi);

His son will be (21) Yajñaśrī;

His son will be (22) Vijaya;

His son will be (23) Chandrasrī (Daṇḍasrī);

His son will be (24) Pulomārchis (Pulomāvi).

These thirty Andrabhṛitya Kings will reign 456 years."¹³

The total numbers in the royal succession above enumerated only reach twenty-four. The other *Purāṇas* are equally, though irregularly, defective; the *Matsya* list is the fullest, and retains twenty-nine names, with an aggregate total of 435½ years.¹⁴

Personal Coins.

GOTAMĪ'S BRANCH.—YAṆA ŚĀTAKARNI.

No. 5.—Bronze? Weight 240 grains. Size 7. Sir W. Elliot.¹⁵

Obverse Device—Imitating, in some respects, the normal type of the preceding coins. The *Chaitya* or *tope*, in this case, seems to represent solid earth-work as distinguished from the built-up hollow outline of the earlier examples. It is, as usual, surmounted by the half-moon, while the conventional serpent at the bottom is left free and clear of the *stūpa*, unconfined within the lines of a basic pedestal. The sacred tree is also wanting, and in its place is supplied a lotus or water-lily on the one side and a conch-shell on the other.

Legend—

रजो गौतमि पुतस सिरि यत्र सतकणस.

Rājō Gotami-putasa Siri Yaṇa Satakanasa.

Reverse—Four circles, each composed of a central dot and two concentric circles, connected by semi-circular ligatures, surmounted apparently by a half-moon, forming, in effect,

a leading type of the pattern, conventionally known as the Ujjain device.¹⁶

GOTAMĪ BRANCH.—YAṆA ŚĀTAKARNI.

No. 6.—Lead. Size 4½.

Obverse—A well-executed figure of an elephant to the left.

Legend—रज गौतमी पुतस सिरि यत्र सतक

Rājō Gotami-putasa Siri Yaṇa Sataka.

Reverse—The usual Ujjain Symbol.

No. 7.—Variants of the type of No. 6.

Obverse—The leading device of the elephant is retained, but the animal is profusely adorned with head-gear, &c.

Legend—यत्र सतक.

Yaṇa Sataka.

Reverse—The Ujjain symbol.

The forms of the letters of the legends of these pieces clearly assign them to a later date, and possibly, to a different locality, from that of the prototype.

GOTAMĪ BRANCH.—YAṆA ŚĀTAKARNI.

No. 8.—Lead. Weight 70 grains. Size 4.

Obverse—A boldly sunk die, bearing a well-designed figure of a horse to the left.

Legend—रजो गौतम पुतस सिरि यत्र स...

Rājō Gotami-putasa Siri Yaṇa Sataka.

Reverse—The conventional Ujjain symbol.

No. 9.—Lead. Weight 133 grains. Size 6.

Obverse—A well-executed figure of a horse to the right, half-moon in the field.

Legend—रज ग सतकणस.

Rājō G(otami-putasa) Satakanasa.

Reverse—Device indistinguishable.

VĀSĪTHĪ BRANCH.—YAŚODA?

No. 10.—Lead. Weight 86 grains. Size 5.

Obverse—Small *Chaitya*, composed of three hollow inverted semi-circles or arches. Serpent free at the foot.

Legend—

रजो वासिष्ठ पुतस सर यमतस.

Rājō Vāsītho-putasa Siri Yasatasa. [Yasoda?]]

Reverse—The conventional four-fold sun.

VĀSĪTHĪ BRANCH.—PULOMAT.

No. 11.—Lead. Weight 86 grains. Size 4. Mr. Sewell's coin¹⁷ from Gudivāḍā.

¹³ Wilson's *Vishnu Purāṇa*, vol. IV. p. xxiv., (Hall's ed.) vol. IV. p. 191. See also Prinsep's *Essays*, vol. II., *Useful Tables*, p. 241; *Asiatic Researches*, vol. IX. p. 101; and *Brihat Saṃhī* 1, J. R. A. S. (N.S.) vol. V. p. 82, etc.

¹⁴ Wilson, *Works*, vol. IV. p. 199.

¹⁵ Figured as No. 105 of his original plate xi. *Madras Journal of Literature and Science*, vol. III. Mr. Sewell has another example from Amarāvati weighing also 240 grains.

¹⁶ *Journal Asiatic Society of Bengal*, vol. VII. plate lxi. Prinsep's *Essays*, Pl. xv. figs. 29, 31, 33, &c. *Namismata Orientalia*, pt. i. plate figs. 5, 6. Cunningham, *Bhilsa Topes* (1854), Plates xxxi., xxxii. We have a notice of such a combination in Ceylon:—"This monarch caused the *chhatta* on the Mahāstūpa to be gilt, and he set four gems in the centre of the four emblems of the sun, each of which cost a lakh."—*Mahāvamsa*, Turnour, p. 229.

¹⁷ See the engraving in Sir W. Elliot's Plate xi. figure

Obverse—Small *Chaitya*, with 3 arches. Serpent at the foot.

Legend—रत्न वसिष्ठो पुतस युगवस.
Raño Vasistho-putasa...Yugavasa.

Reverse—The usual Ujjain symbol.

VĀSITHĪ BRANCH.—ŚIVAŚRĪ.

No. 12.—Lead. Weight 86 grains. Size 4. Mr. Sewell's coin.

Obverse—*Chaitya* as above.

Legend—रत्न वसिष्ठो पुतस सिवसिर
Raño Vasistho-putasa Sivasisira.

Reverse—As usual.

VĀSITHĪ BRANCH.—CHANDRA.

No. 13.—Lead. Size 4.

Obverse—*Chaitya* as usual.

Legend—

रत्न वसिष्ठो पुतस सिरि चंद सतस
Raño Vasistho-putasa Siri Chanda satasa.

This reading of this legend is to a certain extent conjectural. The absolute letters of the name would be preferentially rendered वदसतस *Vadasatasa*.

Reverse—The Ujjain symbol.

CHANDRA.

No. 14.—Lead. Weight 112 grains. Size 5. Mr. Sewell, from Gudivāḍā.

Obverse—Horse to the right.

Legend—In coarsely engraved letters—

रत्न सिरि चंद सतस
Raño Siri Chandasata.

Reverse—The Ujjain symbol.

No. 14a.—Weight 70 grains. Size 4. Small coins of similar types, but of superior execution. The legend itself seems to be more full and complete, and the letters are better formed. Mr. Sewell's specimens are not in very good condition, but the legend seems to run—

रत्न सिरि चंद सतस.
Raño Siri Chanda satasa.
ŚĀTAKARNI COINS.

No. 15.—Copper? Weight (average) 35 grains. Size 4.

Obverse—A well-outlined figure of an elephant, free, trunk erect, without trappings.

Legend—सिरि सतकणि
Siri Satakani.

100; and Genl. Cunningham, *Ancient Geography of India*, (1871) page 544; see also Professor R. G. Bhandarkar, *London Oriental Congress*, 1874, pp. 307–310. No. 26. Nāsik Inscription, "on the 13th day, in the second fortnight of Grishma in the year 19 nineteen of the King Sri Puṣyamayi the son of Vāsishṭhi" सिरि पुडुनयस.

No. 26a also commences नवनरवामी वसिष्ठो पुतांसिरीपु-

Reverse—The Ujjain symbol.

No. 16.—Lead. Size 3. Weight 35 grains. 2 specimens.

Obverse—A crude figure of an elephant to the left.

Legend—सरिवण *Sarivana* or *Salivana*, perhaps a repetition of the name, but not necessarily indicative of the personality of the great monarch.

Reverse—The Ujjain symbol.

RUDRA.

No. 17.—Lead. Similar coins. 3 specimens.

Legend—सर रुद
Siri Ruda.

The र is on one occasion given as ड, and the R, if required for *Rudra*, has to be supplied to the existing context.

SINHA COINS.

No. 18.—Lead. Weight, varying from 218 to 250 grains. Sizes from 6 to 7.

Mr. Sewell. 11 coins from Amarāvati.¹⁸

Obverse—A spirited outline of a lion, standing erect, to the right: to the front, a square pedestal with cross lines, above which is a standard upright line intersected by four rows of bars, forming in effect with the central staff a series of four-repeated *trīśulas* or tridents.

Legend—Above the lion, in somewhat indistinct letters, सकनका *sakasakasa* or सकसकरय *sakasakasya*, Śeshak?

This is perhaps the most appropriate place to notice the metallic constituents of the coins and the coincident mechanical appliances of the Āndhra Mints.

Dr. Percy has taken so much interest in the former question, as to have the family class of coins exhaustively tested in the Laboratory of the School of Mines. The larger pieces prove to be simply lead, retaining only so far a trace of copper such as the local assayists did not take the trouble to eliminate. The secondary admixture of lead and copper with a view to form a new compound is stated to be chemically impracticable.

The larger leaden coins were obviously, in many cases, cast, but lead was alike so soft in it-

दुमधि, pp. 314-317, and see the Professor's remarks on the coins, page 349.

¹⁸ Figured in Sir W. Elliot's Plate ix. *Madras Journal of Lit. and Science*, vol. III. No. 53, weight 226.9, and No. 57, square coin with similar devices.

See also Wilson, *Asiatic Researches*, vol. XVII. Plate v. figs. 117, 118.

self, and so readily made softer by heat, that we should be wrong to pronounce any final opinion upon the actual methods of manipulation, which seem, however, to have admitted of a superimposed, or otherwise as it is *technically* termed superstruck, secondary impression. Whether this was effected by mere reheating and the pressure of a hard-clay mould upon the surface of the original casting, it would be hard to say. Numismatically-speaking the latest impression *ought* to decide relative dates. But we know too little about family or tribal relations in this case to venture to draw ordinary deductions from the given data.

That mechanical *striking*, or the complete formation of a coin of two dies, was in vogue at this coincident period, is proved by the smaller copper coins bearing the same legends and devices as the leaden pieces, which, however,

come out far more distinctly in their devices, and sharper and more definite in their legends than the associate coins of the other metal.

The Sîṃha coins, just described, furnish us with unusually definite examples of a practice common in the early mintages of southern India—of designedly leaving the lower surface of the piece *blank*. In these instances, the *lead* has seemingly been poured out, in a fluid state, from a heated ladle, on to flat surfaces of stone, or even wood, and then sealed with the impress of the single authorized die, which was to give it a legal currency, according as the ultimate weight corresponded by this crude process with the intentional value. Mr. Tooke, who has lately held the position of English Mint-Assayer in Japan, informs me that a similar system of rude fabrication of money prevailed until very lately in that kingdom.

THE SWASTIKA.

BY E. THOMAS, F.R.S., CORRESPONDANT DE L'INSTITUT DE FRANCE.

The crypto-import of the mystic cross of Western nations—the counterpart of the Indian *Swastika*, has hitherto proved an enigma alike to classic and oriental commentators. Our Āndhra coins seem to suggest a solution, which may, perchance, satisfy and convince all parties.

In describing Coin No. 3 of this series, (page 62, *ante*.) I pointed out, that the place of the more definite figure of the Sun, in its rayed-wheel form, was taken by the emblematic cross of the *Swastika*. The position, so taken, in apposition to, or in natural balance of the coincident semilune, could leave no doubt that the aim and intention in this case was to represent *symbolically* the great luminary itself.¹ In seeking for further confirmation of this in-

ference, I found that, in one instance, the *Swastika* had been inserted within the rings or normal circles representing the four suns of the Ujjain pattern on coins,² in which position it seemed equally to declare its own meaning as indicating the onward movement and advancing rotation of the heavenly body³ which is described in some texts⁴ as never going back.

I had already noticed that there was an unaccountable absence of the visible *sun*, or its accepted representative, in the long list of the recognised devices of the twenty-four Jaina Tirthaṅkaras, whose distinguishing *chihnas*, or marks, constituted so important an element in the authoritative discrimination of the succession of their saints.

The sun, moreover, occupied a high place in

¹ "The sixth class of *Sauras* in opposition to the preceding deemed it unnecessary to address their devotions to the visible and material sun—they provided a mental luminary, on which they meditated, and to which their adoration was offered: they stamped circular orbs on their foreheads, arms, and breasts with hot irons."—H. H. Wilson, *Religion of the Hindus*, —*Essays*, vol. I. p. 19. See also Colebrooke's *Essays* (Cowell's Ed. London, 1873) vol. I. pp. 210-212, vol. II. p. 181, and the originals, in *Asiatic Researches*, vols. VII. and IX.

² Gon. Cunningham, *Bihar Topes*, plate xxxi. fig. 3. p. 254.

³ "He, the impeller, the chief of charioteers, (P'ushan), ever urges on that golden wheel (of his car) for the radiant sun." *Rig-Veda* iv. viii. 7. Wilson, vol. III. p. 497. A note is appended to this passage to the effect that "the second is rendered *Aditya*, he who moves or revolves." So also "the 12 spoked wheel of the true (sun) revolves around

the heavens." ii. 3. Wilson's *R. V.* vol. II. p. 130. The later Indian conceptions of the motion of the sun are embodied in the following terms:—"The chariot of the sun is * * on which is fixed a wheel with 3 naves (or rather, a triple nave, or 3 naves in one), five spokes, and 6 peripheries—consisting of the ever-enduring year: the whole constituting the circle or wheel of time."—*Vishnu Purāṇa* ii. 8. Wilson, vol. II. p. 237. "As the circumference of a potter's wheel revolves most rapidly, so the sun travels rapidly on his southern journey. *Ib.* ii. p. 246. "As the centre of the potter's wheel revolves more slowly (than the circumference), so the sun," &c. p. 247.

⁴ Bournouf is not very clear as to this meaning, he says, *avārtayati* incapable de se détourner; in the Tibetan the sense runs, qui fait tourner la roue de la loi qui ne revient pas sur elle-même. Bournouf, tom. II. 300, see also Remusat, p. 28, note 6.

their estimation,—even to the extent of an adverse charge of Vaishnavism—but in this official list or catalogue there was no apparent sun-like orb, or even *wheel*, to denote the “universal deity.”⁵ Whereas the *Svastika* avowedly constituted the sign for the Supārśwa, the 7th Jina, and the closely-associated half-moon stood forth as the *chihna* of his successor Chandraprabha, the 8th Jina.⁶

Under the advanced interpretation of the design and purport of the *Svastika*, from an Indian point of view, now put forward—perhaps few archaeologists will be disposed to dissent from the inference that, in this instance also, its figure, as representing one of the received attributes of the sun, was used, conventionally, to typify the solar orb itself.⁷

In describing the hand-made *whorls*, found on the site of Troy, which were unaided by the mechanical appliances of the potter's wheel, and whose ornamentation clearly preceded the use of alphabetical letters—Dr. Schliemann, in commenting on the appearance of this identical *Svastika* symbol on so many of these clay objects, appears to have divined the leading idea associated with the foot or following limbs attached to the square or normal cross—in designating these devices as “representations of the wheel in motion effected by the incisions.”⁸ This independent conclusion is fully confirmed by an examination of the subsequent numismatic evidence.

The earliest Lycian coins have a constant reverse device, nearly identical with the original *Svastika*, except so far as consists in the more

distinct sequent curve given to the four limbs, and a more definite opening out of the centre into an open circle, which, in one instance, is imagined to have a thread or rope inserted in the ring.⁹ These four-limbed symbols are speedily reduced into similar objects having only three arms, the Áryan *triquetra*,¹⁰ which admitted of the extension of the length of the limbs, and if so intended, would fancifully aid the speed of the revolutions¹¹ or clear the contracted die-space for improved artistic freedom of treatment.

A similar device of the *Trinaeria* appears on the coins of Aspendus in Pamphylia,¹² but in this instance the curved lines are transformed into the likeness of three human legs, manifestly in motion, with a tumbling or rotatory onward course,¹³ which recalls “the three steps of Vishnu.”¹⁴ But the idea of speed and revolution is much more distinctly rendered in the mintages of Syraense, where the ankles of the legs are aided by small wings, such as are represented as constant adjuncts of the statues of Mercury. In one instance this winged *triquetra* is placed above the horses of the chariot, who are at full speed¹⁵ and in others it is associated with Pegasus. In some instances it replaces a quasi star, which clearly stands for the sun,¹⁶ and the astronomical tendencies of the consecutive mint series are shown in the admission of a design of a new moon whose upper limb is given in an eclipse-like form, with a faint outline circle, perhaps intended to indicate the obscured portion of the moon itself.¹⁷

(To be continued.)

⁵ Wilson *Rig Veda*, vol. IV. p. 12. Text V. i. 6.

⁶ *Jour. R. A. S.* 1877, p. 161; Colebrooke's *Essays*, vol. II. p. 187; *Asiatic Researches*, vol. IX. p. 305; *Indian Antiquary*, vol. II. p. 131.

⁷ Of this optional interchange of signs and symbols there is further confirmatory evidence in the two examples of punched gold coins depicted in Sir Walter Elliot's Plate ix. vol. I. I., *Mittheil. Journal Lit. und Science*, where the central cross of No. 35 is supplanted by or alternated with the *Svastika* in No. 34.

⁸ *Troy*, pp. 38, 101 and 319. See also his *Mycenæ*, Nos. 401, 406, 411, &c.

⁹ Sir C. Fellows, Plate i. fig. 4. Sir C. Fellows supposed these devices to represent *grapplers*, p. 15.

¹⁰ A remarkable leading example of the tracing of the rotatory *three-feet* is to be seen on one of the *whorls* from Troy in Dr. Schliemann's work above cited.

¹¹ In one instance, pl. IX. 2, these curved lines are made to end in serpents' heads and in another in cocks' heads, IX. 7.

¹² The site of Aspendus was on a hill near the river Eurymedon, about 8 miles from the sea, in the gulf of Adalia, close to the eastern boundary of Lycia. The coins bear letters of cognate origin with the alphabet of the

latter. The name of Aspendus is written ΕΣΤΕΦΑΝΙΣ. Lenke, p. 23.

I have lately had occasion to point out the many curious identities of customs prevailing alike in Lycia and India. *J. R. A. S.* vol. XI. 1879 p. 10. The “3 steps of Vishnu” are variously interpreted as “the rising, meridian and setting Sun”;—in one instance, the *Vishnu-pada* is asserted to represent “the meridian sky” (*Vishnu Purana* iii. 19), perhaps the full round-sun, which takes the place of honour on the more archaic forms of “the feet?” The term *Tri-Vikrama*, or three paces, seems to indicate something more than three steps, as the word *Vikrama* would imply “to step on”—to step beyond—giving an idea of progress or motion.

¹³ Combe, *Hunter Collection*, vol. VII. 15, 18.

¹⁴ “The three steps of Vishnu,” *Rig-Veda*, I. 22, 17, Wilson, vol. I. p. 53. Goldstucker's *Literary Remains*, vol. I. p. 249. Max Müller, *Rig Veda*, translation pp. 117, 118. Colebrooke's *Essays*.

¹⁵ *Numismatic Chronicle*, 1874, Mr. Head's article, Pl. VIII. 7, 8, 4, 5, 6.

¹⁶ *Num. Chron.* vol. XIV. Pl. ix. Nos. 11, 12—also Pl. vii. a, fig. 4.

¹⁷ *Num. Chron.* vol. XIV. Pl. x. Nos. 1--2. See also the Etescan rendering of the Moon in Fabretti, Pl. xviii.

THE SWASTIKA.

BY REV. S. BEAL.

I have observed in the *Indian Antiquary* vol. VII. (1878) pp. 176ff. an article written by Mr. Walhouse, in which he refers to a paper by Mr. Westropp printed in the *Athenæum* for January 12th, 1878, relating to the origin and signification of the well-known symbol called the *Swastika*. As Mr. Westropp in the course of his remarks alludes to me by name, and points to some observations I had made (*Romantic Legend*, pp. 56, 196 nn.) on the same subject, I will ask you to permit me to state in the *Indian Antiquary*, somewhat more at length, what I have elsewhere written on this matter.

In order to open up the subject and lead to the explanation I have to give, I will quote Mr. Walhouse's words: "It is curious indeed," he says, "to find the same symbol used with a mystic meaning both in English and Japanese heraldry, and for the same office of repelling demons on Japanese ceilings and English church bells." And without doubt it is a curious circumstance, and only to be accounted for by discovering whether the symbol itself does not embody a meaning equally widespread.

When I was located many years ago in the remote town of Hakodate, in the island of Yeso, the most northern of the Japanese islands, I was occasionally present at some of the religious functions of the Buddhist priests, who had several flourishing monasteries (*teraks*) in that place. My attention was drawn on more than one such occasion to the mode of their accepting, or perhaps consecrating, presents, sent to the brotherhood by charitable persons. The gift was placed in the middle of a circle (*mandala*), and the priests, in their canonical dresses, would march round it three times in single file, religiously keeping it on *their right*, i.e. with their right hands towards the centre of the circle as they moved. This of course brought to my mind the custom known for ages in India, and called *pradakshina*, commonly practised also in early times amongst the Romans, and styled *decuratio*, equally common in Scotland down to the present day, and called *deasil*, and so we

in Ireland that the town of Tempo, in Fermanagh, is still in Irish called "*t'iompodh deasil*," which, as Mr. Joyce explains, is nothing more than a compound form of *iompodh*, mean-

ing "turning" and *deasil* "to the right." So that we here have a custom known from Japan to Ireland and common in India, the Roman Empire, Scotland, and doubtless throughout Northern Europe.







There is a volume before me, belonging to the Buddhist *Tripitaka*, printed in Chinese characters, and called "Account of the customs of countries in the Southern Ocean"; the 32nd cap. of the 2nd volume of this work is occupied by an account of the way in which the people of Ceylon mark their time by the sun's shadow, and hence the author says (he lived in the 7th century) is derived the religious ceremony known as *pradakshina*, i. e. turning to the right in conformity with the sun's shadow, in other words, turning "sun-ways."

I need hardly observe, in illustration of the same point, that in many Buddhist *Sûtras* the custom of circumambulating the Great Teacher three times, or seven times, with the right hand kept towards him, is mentioned as a religious custom generally practised at the time when these *Sûtras* were written: and in one *Sûtra* in my possession the entire argument is occupied in discussing the happiness (good fortune, or blessedness) of those who thus circumambulate Topes, or Chaityas in general, consecrated to Buddha or his disciples.


Enough then as to this widely recognized custom.

I come now to show that the figure called the *Swastika* symbolizes this idea of circumambulation, derived from the apparent movement of the sun from left to right round the earth. The idea is this, that if a person face the east at sunrise and follow its movement southward and westward, he will turn with his right hand fixed towards an object supposed to be placed like the earth in relation to the sun, in the centre of a circle.

Now the figure of the *Swastika* consists of two parts, 1st the cross $+$ and secondly the *crampons* at the end of the arms. The figure of the cross $+$ is one of the oldest symbols used to represent "the earth" or "the four quarters." General Cunningham in his recent work (*Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum*, p. 44) has shown that this sign, viz. $+$, is in the Khâlsi inscription

equivalent to "*chatura*," i.e. four. But in Pāli the word *Chaturantā* (i.e. the four quarters, or points) is a word for "the earth," hence *Chāturanāto* = Lord of the Earth, (*vide* Childers' *Pāli Dict.*) In Sanskrit we have similar compounds with the same meaning. But proof positive is derived from the Chinese, in which we not only have the symbol  for "an enclosed space of earth," and the symbol  () for "12 o'clock," or noon, (the upper portion indicating the heaven, with the sun on the meridian, and the lower portion (the cross) denoting the earth), but we also have in so many words the fact stated that "God made or fashioned the earth in the shape of a cross," and the symbol used is exactly this . I refer to a passage in the celebrated Syrian inscription found by some Chinese workmen in the year A.D. 1625 near Si-gan-fu, the capital city of the province of Shen-si. In this inscription (which is given in the original characters), and with three translations in English, Latin, and French in the *Chinese Repository*, (vol. XIV. May 1845), we find the following passage towards the end of the first clause:—"he determined in the shape of a cross (*shih 'tsz* in the original is represented thus ) to spread out the earth." So that at the time when this stone tablet was erected, i. e. A.D. 781, the symbol  was known (in China at least) as an ideogram representing "the earth."

There may be other illustrations in proof of the same point familiar to students which for the present can be passed over.

The *crampons* at the ends of the arms of the cross are, in Buddhist symbolism, mostly, though not always, drawn to the *right*—denoting, as we argue, the sun's movement round the earth from left to right. Now it is plain that this is always the apparent movement of the sun in *Northern latitudes*, that is, in latitudes to the north of the Tropic of Cancer. In Northern countries (unaffected by Southern or Phœnician influences) therefore we find the *crampons* of the  drawn to the *right*. But, as Milton has

observed (*Paradise Regained*, Book IV.) l. 70,

"Some from furthest South,

Sycene, and where the shadow both way falls."

The shadow of the sun, or, in other words, his apparent movement, is not always from left to right, but sometimes in intertropical countries, apparently from right to left, and this has generally attracted attention. Thus the Phœnicians who were in the fleet despatched by Pharoah Necho were surprised to find the sun on the north instead of the south—and so the ambassadors sent from North India to the Emperor Claudius expressed the same astonishment—and the first Portuguese navigators, who voyaged south along the coast of Africa, noticed the same fact—so that wherever sun-worship prevailed in such tropical countries, his path would be represented both from *left to right*, and from *right to left*. Hence the double form of the *Swastika*, the *crampons* being found on either side of the arms of the cross, apparently without distinction, as e. g. at Hissarlik, and on the altars found on the Roman wall in Northumberland (*vid. Lapidarium Septentrionale*; *passim*): and as the reason of this diverse form was gradually forgotten or lost, the figure would be drawn less carefully and without any regard to the symbolism pointed out.

The argument therefore amounts to this: that, as the figure of the *Swastika* is found scattered through countries reaching from Japan to England, so also the custom of turning 'sunways,' or with the sun, is equally widespread; and secondly, that as the *Swastika* is a sign of good luck, signified by its etymology, so also the practice of turning with the sun is considered a lucky or fortunate proceeding, and turning in the contrary way, especially among northern people, is dreaded as entailing a curse; (called by the Scots *wither-shins*; German, *wider-shins*) [*vide* the passage I have referred to above, in *Waverley* (Black's Ed. p. 76)]; the custom and the symbol therefore, we argue, are co-related.

THE BRANCHIDÆ.

BY REV. S. BEAL.

One of the most characteristic records left by Alexander the Great during his Eastern campaign is the massacre of the Branchidæ. These people, as is well known, claimed to be a

sacred *gens*, descended from Branchos, the mythic founder of the Temple of Apollo, near Miletus in Ionia. Their forefathers had yielded up the treasures of their temple to the Persian

king, Xerxes, one hundred and fifty years before. This surrender brought on them so much odium that when the dominion of Xerxes was overthrown on the coast, they retired with him into the interior of Asia. The Persian king also carried away with him to Susa the colossal bronze statue of Apollo, which had been cast by Kanachos. This statue was afterwards restored by Selenkos Nikator (about 300 B.C.) to the temple rebuilt by Paionios and Dhapnis.

When Xerxes had carried away the Branchidæ, he did not retain them in Susiana, as Mr. Newton seems to imply (*Travels and Discoveries in the Levant*, vol. II., p. 158), but transported them to a small town in Sogdiana, between Balkh and Samarkand, where their descendants were found by Alexander. They were now a "bilingual and partially dishellenized race, yet still attached to their traditions and origin" (Grote). "Delighted to find themselves once more in commerce with Greeks, they poured forth to meet and welcome the army, tendering all they possessed. Alexander, when he heard who they were and what was their parentage, desired the Milesians to determine how they should be treated. But as these Milesians were neither decided nor unanimous, Alexander announced that he would determine for himself. Having first occupied the city in person with a select detachment, he posted his army all round the walls, and then gave orders not only to plunder it, but to massacre the whole population, men, women, and children. They were slain without arms or attempt at resistance, resorting to nothing but prayers and suppliant manifestations. Alexander next ordered the walls to be levelled, and the sacred groves cut down, so that no habitable site might remain, nor anything except solitude and sterility. Such was the revenge taken upon these unhappy victims for the deeds of their forefathers in the fourth or fifth generation before. Alexander doubtless considered himself as executing the wrath of Apollo against an accursed race who had robbed the treasure of the god. The Macedonian expedition had been proclaimed to be undertaken originally for the purpose of revenging upon the contemporary Persians the ancient wrongs done to Greece by Xerxes; so that Alexander would follow out the same sentiment in revenging upon the contemporary Branchidæ the acts of their ancestors—yet more

guilty than Xerxes, in his belief. The massacre of the unfortunate population was, in fact, an example of human sacrifice on the largest scale offered to the gods by the religious impulses of Alexander, and worthy to be compared to that of the Carthaginian general Hannibal, when he sacrificed three thousand Grecian prisoners on the field of Himera, where his grandfather Hamilkar had been slain seventy years before."

Such is the brief account given us of this atrocious massacre. It would seem that Alexander, influenced by his feelings towards the aristocratic party in the Ionian cities (which had always been under the patronage of the Persian kings,) treated the Branchidæ as he had already dealt with the Milesians, that is, by simple extirpation. Be this as it may, there is much room for conjecture left as to the influence produced on the arts and philosophy of the neighbouring populations, by the residence of a colony of Ionian Greeks in Sogdiana during the one hundred and fifty years of their survival. There must have been some influence exerted. It has been a long question how and through what channels the civilization of India was affected by Greek intercourse. Both in literature and art the effect of contact is plainly visible, and this, too, apparently at an earlier period than the establishment of the Græco-Baktrian kingdom. We must look elsewhere, then, than to the court of Seleukos and his successors for the channel of this inter-action, and it would seem that the town of the Branchidæ, situated in the centre of Sogdiana, will provide us with some clue to the solution of the question.

That thus intercommunication of thought did take place at an early period may be gathered from several considerations. The *Rāmāyana* has been called the 'Iliad of India.' It was long ago observed by Turnour, and more recently by Weber, that some incidents, especially those connected with the adventures of Ulysses (in the *Odyssey*) and of Rāma and his followers in Ceylon, bear a marked resemblance to one another. But, as a more striking instance of agreement, let us take the myth of the birth of Apollo (the god of the Branchidæ) and that of the Indian Buddha. Mr. Coxo, in his *Aryan Nation*, Vol. II., p. 21, gives the following account of the birth of the Sun-God:—"Then, as she drew near (*i.e.* Eileithyia) Leto cast her arms around a tall palm tree

as she reclined on the bank of Kynthos, and the babe leaped to life. The goddesses bathed him in pure water, and wrapped him in a glistening robe, fine and newly wrought . . . while Thetis touched his lips with the drink and food of the gods. No sooner had the child received this nourishment than he was endowed with an irresistible strength, and his swaddling hands fell off from him like flax, as he declared his mission 'of teaching to men the counsels of Jove.'" Compare with this the Indian account of the birth of the child of Māya (the illusive dawn): "Having arrived at the garden, Queen Māya stepped down from her chariot, and surrounded by her dancing women (*i.e.*, female attendants), passed from spot to spot and from tree to tree in the garden, admiring and looking at all. Now in the garden there was one particular tree called the *Palāśa* (palm), perfectly straight from top to bottom, its branches spread out in perfect regularity, &c. Delighted at the sight, Māya paused awhile to admire it, and gradually approached under its shade . . . then taking hold of one of the branches, she looked up into heaven's expanse and the child was born . . . At this time Śakra and the four Mahārājas advanced and wrapped the child in his swaddling clothes, but he advanced four paces each way, and exclaimed: 'Now have I arrived at my last birth, &c.'"

There are so many points of resemblance here that the two myths cannot be separated. It is indifferent whether we suppose the one to have originated the other, or merely to have been engrafted on it. Probably the two have a common origin in the distant ages, but were modelled by mutual intercourse. And the existence of the Branchidæ, the priests of Apollo, in the neighbourhood of North India for one hundred and fifty years, may give us an insight into the source of agreement.

It would seem, again, as though the Græco-Buddhistic sculptures, about which so much has been lately written, were allied with the Ionian and Asiatic type of conception, rather than the pure Attic. Hence the resemblance has been traced principally with remains found at Cyprus and the islands bordering on the Asiatic coast. And we have further corroboration of this theory in the actual remains still existing at Branchidæ (Geronta) compared with some Indian sculptures equally open to examination. Let

us take for example the form and general character of the chairs or thrones found along the "sacred way" leading from Port Panormus up to the Temple itself. Mr. Newton, in his second volume, p. 148, *Levant*, &c. has given us an account of one of these chairs. He gathers that they were evidently copied from wood. "Two of them are ornamented in front with a pair of pilasters, the capital of which formed a bracket, projecting at the end of the arm of the chair about three inches," whilst "the cushion on which the figure is seated is shown under the arm of the chair." He says nothing about a footstool. It would be pleasing to know if such an adjunct were provided in this case. At any rate, according to the Homeric formula, it ought to be there; compare for example the promise made by Juno to Hypnos (*Il.* xiv., l. 238):—

"Δῶρα δέ τοι δώσω, καλὸν θρόνον, ἄφθιτον αἰεῖ,
Χρύσειον Ἥφαιστος δέ κ' ἐμὸς πάϊς ἀμφιγυῖεις
Τεύξει ἀσκήσεις, ὑπὸ δὲ θρήνον ποσσὶν ἦσει,
Τῷ κεν ἐπισχοίης λαπαροῦς πόδας εἰλαπινάζων."

Here we not only have the normal idea of the throne and the footstool, but the added metaphors that the throne should be *ἄφθιτον αἰεῖ*. And so the seat or throne of Buddha on which he sat under the figtree is always designated as the *Vajrāsana*, "the diamond," or "imperishable throne." And as we see both at Sānchi and Amarāvati, there are the cushions and the footstools, and the pilasters, and more than this, on many of the sculptures the simple inscription of the donor's name, as at Geronta on the back of the lion (p. 155, *op. cit.*), where the names of five donors are recorded who dedicated the figure to Apollo. The resemblance here appears to be more than accidental. It is true there is no *εἰκὼν* seated in the chairs at Sānchi and Amarāvati, as there is at Geronta, but the absence of such a figure is in complete harmony with the Buddhist theory of the spiritualized condition of the founder of this religion, who could not, therefore, be represented under any human form or by any visible resemblance.

These resemblances or parallelisms may be accidental, but I do not recollect to have seen allusion made to these priests of Apollo dwelling in exile near the Oxus, as possibly influencing the progress of Indian art. It seems to me that the presence of the Hebrew exiles in Susiana and these Greek priests in Sogdiana are

elements from which we may gather some information as to the inciting cause of the Bud-

dhist development, so marked and in all respects abnormal, in the history of India.¹

ARCHÆOLOGICAL NOTES.

BY M. J. WALHOUSE, LATE M.C.S.

(Continued from vol. VIII. p. 167.)

No. XXIV.—*Ethical Parallels.*

Old Hesiod in his homely way affirms—No saying wholly dies which many people commonly utter; 'tis God's very voice.

During the last twenty or thirty years there has been a growing disposition to recognise this truth. But although St. Augustine has said that "the substance of what is now called the Christian religion was in existence amongst the ancients: it has never been wanting from the beginning of the human race," (*Retractatio*. I. 15); the idea that most nations have had Scriptures, in which the central and enduring principles of religion and morality are declared in diverse manners, would still perhaps be questioned, and impatiently heard in some quarters. In many pages of the *Indian Antiquary* Dr. Muir has largely illustrated the parallelisms traceable in the Old and New Testaments and Sanskrit literature, and I here venture a few references to the popular Scriptures of the Tamil and Telugu peoples of the Madras Presidency.²

The *Kural*³ is in all respects the Bible of Southern India: the earliest and best monument of the highly organized and elaborate Tamil language, and constitutes the ethical and literary rule and standard against which there is no appeal: nor undeservedly. Higher and more comprehensive mortal teaching has never been set forth; like all ancient books it contains parts and passages which later culture passes by, and separates from the universal and enduring treasures. Its author Tiruvalluvar lived in the 9th, or perhaps as early as the 3rd century of our era. Brâhmanas have tried to disguise the fact that he was, as his name implies, born in a low and impure caste, and have surrounded his birth with legends, but they cannot claim him; none could decide from his writings to what caste he belonged except that he was not a Brâhman, and this from no attack upon priests or ritual observances, but from a simple constant

enforcing of truth, gentleness, justice, and charity without any reference to aid from priestly intercession.

When Europe lay blinded in the depth of the dark ages, Tiruvalluvar wrote "Letters and numbers are the eyes of man, and the words of the good are like a staff in slippery places," almost echoing the words of the wise Job, 'I was eyes to the blind and feet was I to the lame.' His answer to What is truth? might, if considered, stay many mocking Pilates; he says: "It is the speaking of words that are without the least degree of evil to others." Want of truthfulness is a reproach compendiously flung against Hindus, but it was a Hindu who declared—"If a man abstain from falsehood, though he practise no other virtue, it shall be well with him. Truth will lead to every virtue." Want of gratitude, even incapacity to understand it, is another failing alleged by European self-complacency, but verses, familiar to the Tamil people as any Bible-text, affirm: "He who has forgotten every virtue may escape; there is no escape for him who forgets a benefit: the wise will remember through seven-fold births the love of those who have wiped away their falling tears." The low-born Indian moralist gives these readings of the Golden Rule: "It is the resolve of the pure not to do evil in return to those who have done evil to them,—should a man inflict on others that which were grievous to himself?—Would you punish those who have done you evil? Then put them to shame by showing great kindness to them!" So would Tiruvalluvar heap coals of fire upon an enemy's head. He too saw clearly the little thank of lending to them from whom there is hope to receive. "To give to the destitute," he says, "is true charity. Other gifts may be returned." The following sentences show how true an insight he had into the sources of domestic

¹ From *The Orientalist*, Oct. 9th, 1875,—revised by the Author.

² The texts used are *The Kural of Tiruvalluvar*, by the Rev. W. H. Prew, Madras, 1840; and *The Verses of Vēmana*, by Charles Philip Brown, Madras Civil Service. Madras: 1829.

³ When writing this Note I had overlooked the far more important and exhaustive *Notes on the Kural* by

the Rev. Dr. Pope, with which this brief glance at the same subject will not interfere. Dr. Pope considers the Christian Scriptures were not unknown to Tiruvalluvar, and that he derived many of his sentiments from thence. This cannot be positively asserted or denied; but the whole range of Gentile Scriptures shows that, as St. Paul too seems to admit (*Romans* ii. 14), the conscience of man can of itself reach to precepts of humanity and morality.

happiness:—"Is there any bolt that can shut in love?" "The pipe is sweet, the lute is sweet, say those who have never heard the prattle of their own children." "He best labours for future happiness who lives well and kindly in his household"; and he knew as well as the Roman mother that "good children are the jewels of the good wife." The *Kuṭṭal* abounds with wise sayings on the conduct of affairs; take this for example on the necessity of forethought. "There are failures even in acting well. The work not done by suitable methods will fail, though many attempt to further it. The chariot is weak at sea, and the ship on land." And again: "Is aught difficult to him who works with the right instruments at the right time? he wins who can think silently, and bide his time." "Patience is finest gold; to bear with the ignorant is might of might." In *Wilhelm Meister's Travels* there is a passage full of Goethe's far-reaching meaning, when in the Pädagogic Province the elders inform Wilhelm that though a child may be born with many gifts, one there is which no child brings into the world with him, and yet on that one thing all depends for making man in every point a man, when Wilhelm could not discover this thing himself, "Reverence," they exclaimed, Reverence, all want it, perhaps you yourself; nor is the fear felt by rude people for natural convulsions or similar awful occurrences, an exception, for Nature is indeed adequate to fear, but to reverence not adequate." Tiruvalluvar had also felt the weight and meaning of this sentiment when he wrote, "Never will reverence be found with those who have not received careful instruction."

With this small handful of ears from Tiruvalluvar's abounding grainfield, we now turn to Vêmana, emphatically a people's poet, the familiar oracle of the old Telugu race, much below the great Tamiḷ Teacher in moral and poetic sentiment, and sweet reasonableness of perception, he excelled him in satirical force and vehemence of scorn. The mild wisdom of Tiruvalluvar is never aggressive, but Vêmana deals swashing blows at hypocritical sanctimoniousness, and never wearies in discharging shaft after shaft at Brahmanical assumption. Nothing certain is known of his life. Tradition

hands him down as a *Kāpu* or farmer, and his translator, Mr. C. P. Brown, thinks he lived in the 16th century, but there is reason to think he lived long before. Several hundred quatrains are ascribed to him, a large number of which are probably popular sayings that in course of time gathered about his name. He embodies the popular distrust and hatred of Brāhmanas, and is one of the few singers whose works could be collected from the mouths of the people, who know him by heart, and are always delighted to fling one of his stinging sarcasms at pretentious purity and asceticism. He well discerned the emptiness of broadened phylacteries. "Sanyâsis," says he, "affect particular words and vests, they wear a brick-red garb and shaven pates. On these they pride themselves, their heads look clean, but are their hearts so? A tiger skin, a staff, bells, and smearing with ashes! what avail these for the worship of God, who is a Spirit?" In the same vein as Moses proclaimed that the commandment laid upon the people was not hidden nor far off, neither in heaven or beyond the sea, but very nigh to them, in their mouth, and in their heart, that they may do it (*Deut. xxx*), Vêmana exclaims, "Kâśi, they cry; why roam in pilgrimage to holy shrines to find the God that dwells within them? Wandering in the wilds will not discover sanctity, nor is it in the sky, nor at the confluence of holy streams. Make the body pure, and thou shalt behold the king!" His version of the Golden Rule is very absolute: "Though an enemy worthy of death fall into thy hand, afflict him not, conciliate him by kindness, and bid him depart. This is death to him! Injure not others, O men, and live for ever!" The following expression is striking in the mouth of a Hindu:—"Why revile a Pariah? Of what caste is He who speaks in the Pariah?" Carlyle highly praises the words of the German Novalis, "There is but one temple in the universe, and that is the human body: nothing is holier than that high form, bending before men is a reverence done to this incarnation of the Divine." Long before him, however, Vêmana had written—"Why collect marble and build temples? The true temple is the body, the soul is the God therein," a strange unconscious echo of the words of St. Paul. "know ye not that

* See *Ind. Ant.* vol. I. p. 102, where this forms the substance of the last verse of some very striking Metrical

Translations by Mr. B. Caldwell from the poetry of Sivavakkiyar.

ye are the temple of God, and that the Spirit of God dwelleth in you?" Vêmana despised a fool as utterly as any Hebrew prophet: "should a fool," says he, "find even the philosopher's stone, it would melt in his hand like a hailstone." There is quite a ring of Emerson in this saying:—"That alone is yours which you have bestowed upon others, the rest is not at your disposal," and here is one of the last words of the philosophy of to-day:—"Lo, all fear is ignorance: when fear leaves us the divine spirit shall become our own." It is no bad Indian version of the maxim—"To thy own self be true," to say with Vêmana, "If dissimulation leave thy heart, none in the world will be deceitful towards thee."

It has been conjectured that Vêmana lived at Kondavid near Guntûr in the south-west Telingana country; certain passages in his writings seem to favour this supposition, but it cannot be held certain. Whether contemporary with Shakespeare, or living as early as Chaucer, it is clear that he had intellectual strength and insight enough to shake off the worst superstitions that swayed the people amongst whom he lived. He had no respect for the *Vedas* or the Hindu deities; declares all castes equal; and scoffs unsparingly at Brâhman, ascetics, ceremonial observances, and the respect paid to omens and auguries. In effect he was a Stoical Pantheist, and his countrymen to-day, though outwardly conforming to the Brâhman, have all his bitter sayings at their finger-ends.

It has long been the fashion in Europe to regard the Hindus, as beyond other races, bigoted, fettered by caste, and immoveable in their religion and customs, but in fact no country can show more signal instances of the revolt of the intellect and conscience against idolatry, superstition, and priestly domination. Indeed it may be asserted that Europe has lagged behind India in the sphere of moral and religious insurrection. The Jaina and Buddhist systems, older than Christianity, are examples on the largest scale of triumphs over priestly pretensions, at times too when Brahmanical law and ascendancy were strongest, and the view taken of Buddhism in the *Indian Antiquary* (vol. VIII. page 160) seems to me certainly correct. Again, no Puritan or Cove-

nant of the old stamp could have gone to work in a more root-and-branch style than Basava, the founder of the Jangam or Virasaiva sect in the 12th century. Himself the son of a Brâhman, he was led to rebel against the orthodox creed, even in its stronghold, and resolutely rejected all the Brahminical priesthood and principles, renounced the *Vedas*, *Râmâyana* and *Bhagavat Gîtâ*, on which they are founded, and discarded all the observances and purificatory rites so tenaciously enforced by the Brâhman, teaching in their place the doctrine that all men are equal by birth and holy in proportion as they are temples of the great spirit, and that worship is due only to Sîva conceived as the one God and Father of all. His teaching spread wide, many of the Râjas on the Western Coast were his followers, and they are still numerous in the Marâthâ and Kanara countries and in Maisûr and Berar. Three centuries later in Bengal, where Brâhmanical tyranny was most fixed and supreme, Chaitanya, born in the same year with Luther, renounced caste and priesthood, proclaimed the sufficiency of simple faith without works, ceremonial, or observances, and died with four millions of followers, now said to be doubled. And Vêmana, surrounded by Brâhman, shot shafts at them and all their works unceasingly. But India also exemplifies the apparently ineradicable tendency of the human mind towards sacerdotal direction, authority, and ritual, for the Brâhman, though so often defeated and seemingly near extinction, is again supreme, and his rivals have faded away, or exist in comparison as but a few scattered dissenters. Still amongst all the follies and servitudes of idolatry and priestcraft there will never be wanting in India many whose daily aspiration will be as of old, the famous hymn ascribed to the Muni Agastya always prefixed as a motto to Jangam and Jaina books, and continually uttered as a credo or confession of faith.

The Being, endless, giver of goodness, image of wisdom, whom pain and grief

Never can reach, the sky his emblem, whose names are countless, and Truth the chief.

The One, everlasting, stainless, steadfast, who knows all secrets, himself unknown,

Passionless ever, of perfect justice,—Him do I worship, and him alone.⁵

⁵ Brâhmânandam, parama sukhadam, K'vala jñânāmṛtiṁ Dravḍātītam, gagana sadṛśam, tatvamasyāḍalakṣyam

Ekam, nityam, vimalam-achalam, sarvasya cākṣhi bhūtaṁ Bhūtvītaṁ, triguṇa ruhitaṁ, sad gauram tam namāmi!

SANSKRIT AND OLD-CANARESE INSCRIPTIONS.

BY J. F. FLEET, B.O. C.S., M.R.A.S.

(Continued from Vol. VIII., p. 305.)

No. LXI.

At a short distance to the south-west of the village of Aihole, in Survey No. 72, there is a temple, small but highly interesting on account of the quaint and elaborate sculptures with which it is decorated, which is noticed by Mr. Burgess in his *First Archaeological Report*, p. 40. It is now disused, and is partly in ruins, the whole of the roof having been removed. Mr. Burgess considers it to be of about the same age as the Durga-temple. It appears to have been originally a Vaishṇava temple, as there are figures of Garuḍa over the doors both of the *maṇḍapa* and of the shrine, and a figure of Lakṣmī, with her elephants, over the entrance. But there is also a figure of Śiva, dancing, on the roof, with Pārvatī holding a child, which must be either Gaṇapati or Kārttikēya.

On the north side of the porch there is an Old-Canarese inscription of eleven letters, in characters of the ninth or tenth century A.D., of which a fac-simile is given in the *First Archaeological Report*.¹ It commences with the word *Seasti*, followed by *Ganasobba*, 'of Ganasobba'; the last four letters cannot be read satisfactorily.

And on a pilaster on the south side of the porch, there is the following inscription,² in the Sanskrit language and in characters of the seventh or eighth century A.D., which probably records the name of the builder of the temple:—

Transcription.

- [¹] Svasti [||*] Jambudvīp-āntarē kaśchit
 [²] vāstu-prāsāda-tadgataḥ
 [³] Namasobba-samō vidvān
 [⁴] na bhūtō na bhaviṣyati ||

Translation.

Hail! There has not been, and there shall not be, in Jambudvīpa, any wise man, proficient in (*the art of building*) houses and temples, equal to N a r a s o b b a.

No. LXII.

Further to the south-west of the village, on the right bank of the river, in Survey Nos. 74

and 75, there is a large group of ruined and disused temples, mentioned incidentally by Mr. Burgess in his *First Archaeological Report*, p. 43.

The largest and most interesting of them is the temple of the god Galiganātha. On the front or east side of the courtyard there is a handsomely sculptured gateway, still nearly entire, after the fashion of the gates of the topes at Sāñchī and Amarāvati, but not nearly so elaborate in design, and on a diminutive scale, being only ten or twelve feet high. It is the only gateway of the kind that I know of in this part of the country.

Just outside this gateway, and a few steps to the south of it, there is a small cell, on one of the beams of which is an Old-Canarese inscription³ of the Rāṣṭrakaṭṭa king Kannara or Kṛishṇa, dated Śaka 831 (A.D. 909-10), the Prajāpati *saṃvatsara*.⁴ I shall publish this hereafter in a separate paper on the Rāṣṭrakaṭṭa kings.

The only inscription, that I could find, belonging to the temple of Galiganātha itself, is on the north face of one of the four pillars of a small square unroofed structure, in the centre of which there is a *linga*, standing in the middle of the courtyard. The characters are early,—perhaps of the sixth or seventh century A.D. The writing covers 4½" by 6½". A fac-simile⁵ is given herewith. The transcription is:—[1] *Vaṇṣiga-Bittu* [2] *kṛitān*; i.e., 'Made by Vaṇṣiga-Bittu.' 'Bittu' is probably another form of 'Bitti,' which is a corruption of the Sanskrit 'Vishṇu'; *Vaṇṣiga* is his surname, and is a corruption of the Sanskrit *vaṇṣika*.

No. LXIII.

In front of the abovementioned temple of Galiganātha, there is a large unnamed temple with an Old-Canarese inscription, in one line, on the outside of one of the stones of the wall on the south side of the door, which is in the east wall.

The characters are of the eighth or ninth century A.D. The writing covers 1' 4½" by 4' 8½". A fac-simile⁶ is given herewith. The transcrip-

¹ Pl. LV., No. 34.

² *First Archaeol. Report*, Pl. LV., No. 33; and No. 75 of *Pāli, Sanskrit, and Old-Canarese, Inscriptions*.

³ No. 79 of *Pāli, Sanskrit, and Old-Canarese, Inscriptions*.

⁴ There is some uncertainty as to the exact date; for,

Śaka 831 was the Śukla *saṃvatsara*, and the Prajāpati *saṃvatsara* was Śaka 833.


⁵ No. 81 of *Pāli, Sanskrit, and Old-Canarese, Inscriptions*.

⁶ No. 80 of *Pāli, Sanskrit, and Old-Canarese, Inscriptions*.

ON A PILLAR IN THE COURT-YARD OF THE
TEMPLE OF GALIGANATHA, AIHOLE.



ON THE FRONT WALL OF A TEMPLE IN FRONT OF THE
TEMPLE OF GALIGANATHA, AT AIHOLE.



FROM AN IMPRESSION BY J. F. FLEET, ESQ. C. S.

W. Griggs Photo lith, London.

ON A PILLAR IN THE TEMPLE OF
NARAYANA, AT AIHOLE.

[illegible]

tion is:—*Svasti Śrī-kadapudān=nuḍḍān=salipô Bāḍāviya māni.*

Mr. V. R. Katti explains *māni* as a term applied to 'an old woman' or 'a goddess',—and proposes to correct *kadapudān* into *kadapudān*, which must then be taken as an irregular form of the instrumental singular of *kadapu*, *ka-dampu*, 'a cheek, a lip.' The translation would then be:—'Hail! The goddess (or, old woman) of Bāḍāvi, who enforces that which is uttered (or, that which she utters) with the lip.'

This correction in the first word is rather a violent one. But I cannot suggest anything better,—with the exception, perhaps, of pointing out that Sanderson gives *māni* as 'the *membrum virile*,' whence it might perhaps be used in the sense of a *liṅga*,—that *kada* may be for *kaḍu*, 'excessive, great, much,'—and that *pudā* may be for *budā*, which we have in *budakkure*, *budabudā*, *budabudane*, 'with force, hastily.' From this point of view the inscription would refer to some *liṅga*, probably a *liṅga* brought from Bādāmi, and set up in this temple, which was supposed to have the power of immediately enforcing any vow made, or any promise given, in its presence.

No. LXIV.

In the same group of temples with the temple of Galiganātha, but in Survey No. 75, and rather closer to the river, there is a temple of the god Nārāyaṇa. It contains three separate shrines, all leading out of the central hall. The inscription, of which a fac-simile⁷ is given herewith, and of which there is a partial transcription in the Elliot *MS. Collection*, at Vol. I, p. 562, is on the front face of a pilaster on the right side of the entrance to the south shrine.

The language is Old-Canarese. The writing covers a space about 2' 5" high by 1' 9" broad. At the top of the inscriptions there are the usual emblems; *viz.*, in the centre, a figure of Basava, and a cow and calf; on their right, a *liṅga*, with the sun and moon above it; and on their left, a curved sword.

The inscription is dated in the forty-third year of the Chālukya Vikrama-Varsha,⁸ *i. e.* in Śaka 1040 (A. D. 1118-9), the Viḷambi *saṃvatsara*, and records the gift of certain lands to provide for the perpetual oblation of the god, and a grant of ten *gadyāṇas* to provide food for the *Pājāri*, or officiating priest of the temple.

Transcription.

[¹]	Svasti	Jayā(yô)=bhivri(vṛi)dhidhi(ddhi)ś=cha	[*]	Chālukya-Vikra-	
[²]	ma-varishada	43[ne*]ya		Viḷambi-saṃvatsa-	
[³]	rada			uttarāyaṇa-saṃkramaṇaḍ-ani-	
[⁴]	du	Gaṅgādhara-Saṇaṅgiyarūṇi		Nārasim-	
[⁵]	ga(ha)-Saṇaṅgiyarūṇi	tamma	dēvara	pū-	
[⁶]	jāriḡe	nitya-ni(nai)vēdyakam(kkam)	biṭṭa	Kaggala-mā-	
[⁷]	neya ⁷	keyi	mattara	12	Doḍḍana-māni(ne)-
[⁸]	ya	keyi	mata(tta)ru	8	Saṃdhi ¹⁰ geṛeya
[⁹]	keyi	mata(tta)ru	12	Beḷa ¹¹ vāviya	ke-
[¹⁰]	yi	mata(tta)ru	3	Banneya-keṛeya	keyi
[¹¹]	mata(tta)ru	3	Mādhavana-keṛeya		keyi
[¹²]	mata(tta)ru	3	Jachcha-geyi	dēvara	ho(?)ngipa(ppa?)tu(ttu?)-
[¹³]	t... ¹² yalu	gūṇṭi-mata(tta)ru	2	bhō ¹³ janake(kkam)	
[¹⁴]	biṭṭa	gadyāṇa	batu(ttu)	[*]	Ant=ani-
[¹⁵]	tuvā(vuṇi)	dhārā-pūrbba(rvva)kam-māḍi			bi-
[¹⁶]	tṭaru	[*]			

Translation.

Hail! Victory and prosperity! At the time of the sun's commencing his progress to the north

in the Viḷambi *saṃvatsara* which was the forty-third (*year*) of the Chālukya Vikrama-Varsha,—Gaṅgādhara-Saṇaṅgi and Nārasimha-

⁷ No. 82 of Pāli, Sanskrit, and Old-Canarese, Inscriptions.

⁸ See Vol. VIII, p. 187.

⁹ See, *mānya*.

¹⁰ In the lithograph this letter has come out as if it were *ḥ*; but the original has *dh*.

¹¹ In the lithograph this letter reads as if it were *pa*, a

small mark at the bottom, which would have distinguished it as *bi*, having failed to appear.

¹² It is not clear what the lower part of this character is meant for.

¹³ The original being rather damaged here, this letter has not come out properly in the lithograph.

Sanaṅgi allotted to the officiating priest of their god, for the purpose of the perpetual oblation, the cultivated land called Kaggala-mānya (*measuring*) twelve *mattars*, and the cultivated land called Doḍḍana-mānya (*measuring*) eight *mattars*, and the cultivated land of the tank called Sandhigeṛe (*measuring*) twelve *mattars*, and the cultivated land of the well called Beluvāvi (*measuring*) three

mattars, and the cultivated land of the tank called Bannoyakere (*measuring*) three *mattars*, and the cultivated land of the tank of Mādhava (*measuring*) three *mattars*, and the cultivated land called Jachehageyi, in of the god, (*measuring*) two *gaṇṭi-mattars*,—and, to provide for his food, ten *gadyāṇas*. This much they allotted, with libations of water.

STRAY NOTES.

BY CAPTAIN J. S. F. MACKENZIE.

1. In the North-West Provinces, on an outbreak of small pox, it is the practice with some people to place, in the centre room of the house, in a water-pot, a branch of the nimb tree decorated with flowers as representing the goddess (Śītalā Māyī or Dēvi Bhawānī) of small-pox. The gardener's wife who furnishes fresh flowers every morning, worships the representation on behalf of the family. While small-pox is in the house, the inmates feed a donkey morning and evening with gram and jagari. The donkey is fed because it is the animal on which the goddess rides.

2. Among the Brāhmins of Bengal, eight out of the thirty-six castes into which the Hindus are divided, are considered clean. These eight are Ahirs (milkmen), Kumbis (ryots), Lohars (blacksmiths), Mālis (gardeners), Tumbolis (pān sellers), Kumārs (potters), Hajāns (barbers) and Kahārs (bearers). Any one of these castes may come into the house, and touch the bedding or pots without necessarily defiling them. A Brahman will drink water out of the hands of any one of these. If any member of the other castes were to touch the things, they must be washed and purified.

3. While trying a case of adultery (in Mysore), in the course of cross-examination the headman of the village admitted that some time before the case had been brought into court, a panchāyat had enquired into the matter, but at the same time he showed a very marked reluctance to giving details of what then happened. It struck me that all the villagers were afraid they had done wrong in taking notice of the affair, and each pretended to know as little as possible of the doings of the panchāyat. The following facts were however elicited. A woman who could not get on with her husband

returned to her parents' home, and they, at the request of her husband, after some months, turned her out, but she declined to go back to her husband, went off, and lived with the co-respondent, an inhabitant of the same village. On this there was a general panchāyat convened—at whose request I could not learn *each house in the village* sending one member, who was summoned to attend by the village (*kulwadi*) 'toly.' The meeting settled that if the woman were allowed to remain in the village, some misfortune would happen, and it was determined she should be expelled. The sentence was communicated to the headman, who said he had not been present at the meeting, but admits he executed the sentence by leading the woman out of the village, and dismissing her beyond the boundaries. I have often known cases where a breach of caste rules has been punished by a fine, but this is the only case I know of where the drastic course of expelling from the village an offending member has been followed.

4. The Holiar of Mysore are generally looked upon as outcasts of very low morals. On one occasion I was trying the headman of the caste, a reputed dacoit. The chief witnesses against him were members of his own caste. He tried to make out that the evidence of one of the witnesses was unworthy of any weight, since he was the offspring of a Holiar by a "Beder" woman. Now the Beder is admittedly of a higher caste, and yet a Holiar had been punished by a caste fine for marrying a woman even of a higher caste. And in the eyes of the caste a slur attaches to the offspring. Another witness he declared was unfit to give evidence because he lived with a woman without being married to her, and he had been fined by the caste for this. The incident is interesting as showing how even

among the lowest castes breach of the caste rules is looked on as detracting from the respectability of the offender, and rendering his evidence of less value in their own opinion.

5. Among the lower class of Musalmans in the North-West, there is a belief that bad luck will attend a child through life unless a naked sword or knife is shown to it soon after its birth. There is also a belief among this class extending to the lower castes of Hindus that the goddess or angel of good luck will not visit a house in which there is no sword of some sort or other.

6. When talking to a Bengali gentleman on the subject of tree and serpent worship, he told me—but I had better give his own words:—“In reference to the conversation of last Sunday morning, I have been, on the evening of that day, to a prostitute's house, and obtained the following correct information in respect to their system of marriage with flower plants.

“There are two classes of prostitutes:—One born of prostitute mother and carries on the profession from generation to generation. This class is married to flower plants, the daughters born of such prostitute mothers form no connection by rights of marriage with any living man, consequently any intercourse with this class of women is not considered a great social crime, as they bring no shame to any one by their pernicious profession.

“The other is the one who, on account of high metal, quits the protection of their guardians, leaving infant children, and thereby bring disgrace to the family which she had deserted. Intercourse with this class of women is in a moral and social point of view a heinous offence and sinfulness, as any assistance rendered to them encourages women of such disposition to enter into this profession.

“In the olden times, or what is called the *Satya Yuga*, according to Hindu mythology it appears from the *Purāṇas*, that there was a set of prostitutes of the first class such as *Urvasî*, *Mênakâ*, *Rambhâ*, *Tilottamâ*, &c., and the mode of their living is also defined there. Each woman attaches herself for one night or successive nights to one man only, and during that period she has no connection with anybody else.

“The ceremony observed in the marriage with flower plants by the first class is—that the mother of the girl gives away her daughter to a flower plant, previously planted in her house for the purpose, in the presence of a *priest* of their class and of other friends invited for the purpose. A wedding feast is given on the occasion, according to the circumstances of the party.

“So long as the flower plant with which the girl is married is alive, she daily waters it, and puts a light near it at night. When the plant withers and dies away, the girl observes mourning for a period of three days, and abstains from meat or fish during those days, but lives on one meal of rice and vegetables. On the fourth day she bathes, cuts her nails, feeds friends and Brahmans, and then leads her usual mode of life.

“All flowers are of the female sex, except four, which are of the male species. As I am not acquainted with their English or botanical names, I give their names as pronounced in Bengal:—*Togore*, *Kund*, *Goluncho* or *Kulka*, and *Sephallika*. With these four species of flower plants the tree-marriage is contracted, and with no other kind of flower trees.”

So far as I can learn, nothing is known around Allahabad of tree-marriage. The nimb, as I have already noted, is worshipped, during an outbreak of small-pox, by the people. The pipal is worshipped as *Mahâdêva* by the Brahmans.

THE PERUMALS.

Mâlâbâr or *Malayâlam* denotes the country between the Western Ghâts and the Arabian Sea south of *Konkan*. Its Sanskrit name *Kêraḷa m* refers probably to the abundant growth of the *keram* or coconut in these parts.

According to tradition *Paraśurâma*, the sixth incarnation of *Vishṇu*, reclaimed it from the sea, and established the principal temples and *grāmams*. To distinguish the people of

Mâlâbâr from their fellow Aryans in other parts of the peninsula, certain changes were instituted in the manners and customs of the people; the principal of which are the wearing of the *kudumî* or tuft of hair, on the forepart of the head, the restriction that the eldest sons only of the *Namburi Brâhmanas* should marry, and the system of inheritance known as *Muramakkatayam* amongst the *Śûdra* castes. The

lands in the newly occupied country were divided, rent free, amongst the temples and a few Brâhman proprietors called thence "Janmis." These had each a large number of tenants or dependents, and at first established an aristocratic form of Government, till the rapid increase in the numbers of the colony and the dissensions amongst the chiefs¹ rendered it necessary to instal a supreme executive officer. About B. C. 60² a great congress was held, when it was agreed that a governor or Pêrumâl should be sent for from the neighbouring kingdom of Chôḍa, comprising Koimbatur and parts of Mâlabâr and Travankor. Each viceroy was restricted to a rule of 12 years only.

List of some of the Perumâl Princes who reigned in Malayâlam, &c. :-

1. Kaya Pêrumâl,—Died before the expiration of the prescribed time.

2. Shôḷa Pêrumâl,—Assassinated by a Brâhman, who, with his associates, were expelled their tribe, and their descendants are called Nambidaimars.

3. Pânḍi Pêrumâl,—Described as an enterprising female who reigned under this name. She resigned her government.

4. Vânam Pêrumâl,—Described as having been converted to the Buddhist faith, and retired to Makka.³

5. Tulu Pêrumâl,—The division of Malayâlam into four provinces took place in the reign of this chief.

6. Inderam Pêrumâl,—Subdivided the country; the north he called Tulunâd, the south Malayâlam, and directed that the inhabitants of each should confine their alliances to those belonging to their own particular tract.

7. Ârîa Pêrumâl,—During the reign of this chief the country underwent a third change, from Gokarna to Perampalli it was called Tulunâd; thence to Pothupatnam, Kêraḷa Râj; thence to Kaniath, Muthaganâd; and thence to Kanya Kumârî, Kuvâla Râj; each province was superintended by a Daledderi or Brâhman Councillor.

8. Kuna Pêrumâl.

9. Kottai Pêrumâl,—Is said to have founded Kottaikolam, the modern Calicut.

10. Munda Pêrumâl.

11. Yailia Pêrumâl.

12. Kumbum Pêrumâl.

13. Vijayam Pêrumâl,—Is said to have built Kulam then called Vaddakenkolam.

14. Valuvam Pêrumâl,—Derived his appellation from his constant tours through the country.

15. Arcchendron Pêrumâl,—His successor early disappeared. He built the Fort of Puraviyamalla.

16. Mallam Pêrumâl,—Traced his lineage from the Madura kings.

17. Kolashagara Pêrumâl.

18. Chêraman Pêrumâl,—He made Tirûwanjekolam his residence and encouraged commerce. A Saiva temple there perpetuates his memory.*

The Pêrumâls had their capital at Kranganor or Kodungalûr, and preserved the tributary relation to the Tamiḷ kingdom, till the fourth century after Christ, when the last Chêraman Pêrumâl made himself independent. The Jews and Syrian Christians had received the protection of the Pêrumâl as refugees and traders, and probably helped him to throw off the yoke of his sovereign.

Before the retirement of Chêraman Pêrumâl to Makka as a Buddhist(?) in A.D. 373,⁵ he divided his dominions amongst his relatives and dependants. The Râjas of Cochin are the descendants of the Pêrumâl in the Maramakkatayom line, and as such were entitled to the paramount position in Mâlabâr. They were crowned at Ponâni in the presence of the other Râjas till that town was forfeited successively to the Samorin of Calicut, the Sultân of Maisur, and lastly to the British Government.

From the native annals of Mâlabâr and their own traditions, it appears that ten thousand Jews arrived on the coast shortly after the destruction of the second temple and the final desolation of Jerusalem.⁶ It is supposed that some seven thousand settled at once on a spot

¹ This period is called the *Arichawattam* or state of misrule.—Ed. ² Some fix this about A. D. 200.—Ed.

³ The native History of Kerala appears to indicate more rationally that he became a Muhammadan; but if so, he must be placed very much later in the list. After mentioning the arrival in Mâlabâr of Mâr Sâphôr and Mâr Aphrôta, the native Syrian Christian Brief History states that, "at that time the Jews and Arabs in this

country were at war. We and the Jews were allies. The Arabs commenced the war—destroyed a city—slew the two Râjas Viluvavattale, and burnt their bodies." Whitehouse's *Lingerings of Light*, pp. 54, 305; conf. *Madras Jour. Lit. Soc.* vol. 11. p. 71.—Ed.

⁴ Whitehouse's *Lingerings of Light*, pp. 2, 3.—Ed.

⁵ Others give A. D. 325 for this date.—Ed.

⁶ This is quite fabulous, see *ante*. vol. 111. p. 323.—Ed.

then called *Mahādēvapatnam*, but now *Kudangalur*, and applied themselves with their usual sagacity, economy and success to trade, and thence early obtained the respect and protection of the native princes. Some considerable time afterwards' they procured a most valuable grant from the ruling sovereign, and had it engraved in old Tamil upon copper plates. These plates are still in existence at Cochin. They have been already given in facsimile with a translation. The following is the transliteration of the first plate:—

Svasti śrī—kôgôn amai kōṇḍān. kô śrī Pārkaṇ-
Iraivanmar tiruvaḍi pala nūāyira-
ttāṇḍum sēṅgōl naḍatti yāḷa niṇṇa yān-
ḍu iraṇḍām āṇḍaikk'ōḍir muppattāṇām āṇḍu Mu-
yirikoṭṭu iruṇḍa 'ruḷiya nāl pirasāḍiśa 'ru-
ḷiya pirasāḍamāvadu: Issuppu Irappāṇukku
anjuvaṇṇamum vēḍiyāḷum pāṇapattāḷu-
m pāṇḍamum anjuvaṇṇappērum pagalvi-
lakkum pāvāḍaiyum andōḷaḷamum kuḍaiyum . .
[kōḷuttōm].⁹

FOLKLORE SCRAPS FROM BIRBHUM, BENGAL.

BY G. D. BESACK.

Sujol, in the district of Birbhūm, is situated one and a half kos to the north-east of the railway station of Bolpur. Its correct name is *Sringalpur*. Tradition says that this place was the hermitage of the great ascetic Rishya Śringa. The country is undulating, and the village Sujol is built on an elevated site. One of its wards is called *Dēvīpārā*, and to the north-east of *Dēvīpārā* is a narrow mound of earth where the great Rishi used to perform his devotions. Near the mound was his *Kuṇḍa* or sacrificial fount. That *Kuṇḍa* is now called *Kunri land*.

This place is regarded as sacred, and dedicated to a lion-mounted goddess *Nava Durgā*. It is said that the father of Rishya Śringa, the great sage, *Vibhāṇḍaka*, established the stone image here. Cinders, rice and *bilva* leaves are still to be found in digging. A story prevails that a few years ago a European sunk an iron bar in the earth to know whether the place had a coal mine, when the bar was taken out of the ground he found it to be hot. This served to confirm the general belief or superstition that

The States formed on the dismemberment of the *Pērumāl's* empire were further weakened by smaller apportionments amongst Chiefs or *Kaimals* who were under a species of feudal subordination to the *Rājas*, and, subject to their control, exercised a tyrannical rule over the tenants and people included within their provinces. These subordinate Chiefs were styled *Rājas* again by their subjects, and were often at feud with one another. The army was raised by conscription from the *Nāyars*, and the inferior castes were pressed into the service as camp followers. When we consider that a loose patriarchal sort of government was all that pertained to the sovereign in those times, who levied no land taxes, and established no strong tribunals, it is not surprising that some of the chiefs latterly claimed sovereign rank for themselves, or were adjudged the same by foreigners, who found the lines of distinction between the honoured subject or tributary chief and the independent ruler too narrow to be perceived.⁹

the *Munis* still perform their devotions below the earth. There is a tank in the middle of the village called *Endo*—the hermitage of *Andhaka*. A tank was dug to the east of the village in the year 1231 Beng. San, and called *Morepukhar*. When digging it pieces of broken boats, rotten tobacco, and human bones turned up. It is said that formerly the *Ajai* river flowed through this place. *Daśa-ratha*, the lord of *Ajodhya*, when celebrating the *Putresti yajna* (sacrifice to obtain a son) took *Sringa* to *Ajodhya* by the river. *Rishya Sringa's* father *Mahārishi Vibhāṇḍaka* was very old, and was by no means inclined to let his son go to so distant a place, but the mother was prevailed upon to assent to the *Raja's* earnest commands as there was no other alternative for her. After the departure of her son she became very anxious for him. The river which had helped *Daśaratha* to take her son away excited her ire. On the return of her son she stopped its course by a curse. From that time the river began to silt up, and

⁹ In the eleventh century A. D., see vol. III, p. 334.—Ed.

⁹ I have added this from Dr. Burnell's *S. Indian Palæography* (2nd ed.) p. 140, in place of an unsatisfactory translation given in the *Report*. For his translation see

ante, vol. III., pp. 333ff.—Ed.

⁹ From the *Administration Report of Cochin for 1875-76*, communicated by H. H. Rāma Varmā, First Prince of Travankore.—Ed.

ultimately changed its course. The climate of the place was then highly salubrious. It is only lately that it has become unhealthy, as the ravages of cholera and malarious fever indicated by the number of deserted and dilapidated houses prove. The village is said to be very old, an old long Digi or tank, and the debris of an old brick-built house are the only vestiges left to testify to its former existence. From the people also we gather that the place was the abode of a Râja Basanta. His palace was to the east of the railway. Traces of buildings at the place are found to this day. There is also a story connected with this fort at Bhubarva. Once it is said the Raja took shelter with his army within this fort. The

fort had only one gate, and a gate-keeper guarded the gate without closing it. One of the men from the enemy killed the door-keeper, and no one else could come out of the fort, so the Raja was killed with all his army and followers. The men killed in the fort became ghosts after their death, and it is said that now and then during the dead hours of night they resume their former shapes and march about in battle array, and sounds are audible—the noise of chariots, &c. To the south of this village is a place called Makdamtala. The Muhammadans say that at this place a great battle was fought between the Hindus and Muhammadans, and the men who died in the battle were buried there.

MISCELLANEA.

A VILLAGE LEGEND.

Kelâr is a village three miles to the south of Aihole in the Hungund talukâ of the Kalâdgi zilla, and about a mile and a half to the west of the village is a *koḷ* or glen, in which is a temple of Siddhêś-varadêva. To the north of it is a cavern in the rock and another to the south. Once upon a time two cows used to come daily from the south cave, and graze on the pasture in the neighbourhood. A boy attending the village cattle observed them, and took it into his head to watch them so as to find out their owner, and ask wages for keeping them. Accordingly in the evening he followed them into the cave, where he found two Rishis engaged in their devotions, who, on opening their eyes, were surprised to see the cowherd boy. They questioned him who he was, and how he happened to come there. The boy explained all and asked for some wage for watching their cows. They gave him a ball of cow-dung and dismissed him, enjoining him not to reveal to any one what he had seen. The boy's mother finding her son had not come home at the usual time went out to seek him, and met him just as he had come out of the cave. She asked why he was so late that evening, when he told her the whole story, and shewed her the ball of cow-dung which he was carrying under his *dhoti*. The ball was found to be half gold and half dung, but the boy immediately expired on the spot. Since then nobody has ever attempted to enter the cave.

J. B.

THE GRAMMAR OF CHANDRA.

Kandy: Dec. 18, 1879.

1. At a time when the enquiry into the history and development of Sanskrit grammatical literature is engaging a large share of the attention of

Oriental scholars, it gives me great pleasure to announce to them the discovery of an important work in Ceylon which will throw much light on this interesting subject.

That a grammar by Chandra existed till about eight or nine centuries ago, and that it now exists in a Tibetan version only, are facts within the knowledge of every well-read Orientalist. Not only is there the absence of its name from every catalogue of existing Sanskrit works, whether published or still in MS., but we have the distinct assertion of Râjendralâla Mitra, LL.D., on p. 162 of his *Descriptive Catalogue of Sanskrit MSS. in the Library of the Asiatic Society of Bengal*, published in 1877, that the work is lost.

We find the author mentioned in the twelfth century by Vopadêva in the second verse of the Introduction to his admirable *Dhâtupâṭha* called the *Kavikalpadruma*. There a list is given of the eight principal grammarians, the founders, no doubt, of eight different schools, to some one or other of which all the existing grammatical treatises are referable. These are Indra, Chandra, Kâsakritsna, Âpisali, Śâkaṭâyana, Pâṇini, Amara, and Jainendra. The works of Indra, Kâsakritsna, Âpisali, and Amara are also lost, and MSS. have only been discovered of those of Śâkaṭâyana, Pâṇini, and Jainendra. That Âpisali and Śâkaṭâyana were predecessors of Pâṇini appears from their being mentioned by him; and, although there is no mention of Indra in his work by name, yet there are reasons making it highly probable that the Prâñichah frequently referred to by him belonged to his school. It is also clear that several works now extant, such as the *Kâtantra* and others, have been composed on the principles of the Aindra school of Sanskrit grammar. With

the exception, therefore, of Indra, more perhaps is known of Chandra from quotations in existing works than of any one of the other three whose works have shared the same fate—viz., Kāśakṛitsna, Āpīśali, and Amara. What is known of him, however, is so scanty that it would not enable us to form any definite idea of the character and extent of his work. To say that it consisted of sūtras, and that it was quoted by Bhaṭṭoji in the *Praurhananorand*, by Ujvaladatta in his commentary on the *Uṇādi-sūtras*, and by one or two other authors, would embrace perhaps all that could have hitherto been said of the Chāndra grammar. But the MS. just discovered enables us to ascertain with certainty several important facts regarding this work and its relation to the existing systems of Sanskrit grammar.

From this MS., of which I shall give a short account before concluding this paper, we gather that Chandra's work was based on the model of, and was intended as an improvement on, Pāṇini's *Aṣṭādhyāyī*. Both works have adopted the same arrangement, which may be termed artificial, as distinguished from the natural arrangement according to subjects observable in the *Kātantra* and *Mugdhabodha*, and, in fact, in all European treatises on grammar. The division into *adhyāyas* and *pādas* is also common to both works, with only this difference, that the number of *adhyāyas* in Pāṇini is eight, while that in Chandra is six. This has resulted probably from the latter embodying in one *adhyāya* the substance of Pāṇini's third, fourth, and fifth chapters, all of which treat of affixes.

Both works open with a peculiar arrangement of the letters, materially differing from the alphabetical arrangement, and styled by the Pāṇinīya school of grammarians the *Sivasūtras*. There is, however, a slight difference between the two schemes—viz., that the *it* or *anubandha* at the end of the fifth sūtra in Pāṇini's is omitted in Chandra's scheme, which thus contains thirteen sūtras, while the number in Pāṇini's is fourteen. This omission causes the absence from Chandra's work of only one pratyāhāra, *at*, the necessity for which is obviated by Chandra in an ingenious manner, as will be seen from an instance which I cannot help adverting to.

Pāṇini, after laying down in viii. 4, 1, that the dental *n* should be changed to the cerebral *ṇ* when it follows *r* or *śh* in the same pada, states in the sūtra immediately following that the rule obtains even when the letters included in the pratyāhāra *at*, and those belonging to the guttural and labial classes o. consonants, as well as the preposition

ān and the augment *nam*, intervene between the *r* or *śh* and the dental *n*. Chandra, on the other hand, after giving a rule corresponding to Pāṇini viii. 4, 1, in nearly the same words, mentions in a subsequent sūtra a number of letters the intervention of which between the *r* or *śh* and the dental *n* prevents the operation of the first-mentioned rule. These letters are those belonging to the palatal, cerebral, and dental classes of consonants, those included in *śar* and the consonant *l*. The obvious meaning of this is that the intervention of any other letters—and the only others are those given by Pāṇini—does not prevent the cerebral change.

At also occurs in Pāṇini viii. 4, 63, but in the corresponding sūtra of Chandra we find, instead of it, the more comprehensive pratyāhāra *am*, which includes, besides the letters contained in *at*, the nasals and the consonant *l*. That Chandra's rule is the better of the two will be readily admitted when it is remembered that Pāṇini's sūtra was amended by a vārttika of Kātyāyana substituting this very pratyāhāra *am* for the less comprehensive *at*.

Another pratyāhāra employed by Pāṇini but dispensed with by Chandra is the *ay* formed with the second *ṇ* in the scheme. This occurs only in one solitary sūtra of Pāṇini, viz., I. i. 69, according to which the letters contained in it would also represent or imply their homogeneous modifications; for instance, the short vowel *a*, which alone is given in the scheme, would imply, among others, the long and prolated *ā*. Chandra finds no necessity for this rule, and omits it altogether, as the short vowel *a*, being a *jāti* term, would necessarily imply its long and prolated forms and its other homogeneous variations.

The only other pratyāhāra employed by Pāṇini and omitted by Chandra is *jhaś*; but he employs in his grammar the four pratyāhāras, *rik*, *nam*, *nam*, and *chay*, which do not occur in Pāṇini. With reference to the last of these, *chay*, it must be stated that, though not employed by Pāṇini, it is used by Kātyāyana in a vārttika to viii. 4, 48.

With the aid of these new pratyāhāras Chandra has effected a decided improvement on many of the sūtras of Pāṇini, an instance of which may here be mentioned. The sūtra Pāṇini i. 1, 51—*Uray aparah*—means, if we assign to the terms occurring in it their true and legitimate significations, that *ay*, that is to say the vowels *a*, *i*, and *u*, substituted for the vowel *ṛ*, should be followed by *r*. It was found by grammarians that this rule stated too little, as it did not teach that *am*, substituted for the vowel *ṛ*,¹ should be followed

¹ Almost all Oriental scholars transliterate this vowel by "ṛi." I have my reasons for omitting the *r* and

retaining only *ṛi*, but they are too long to be stated in a foot-note. See Max Müller's *Sanskrit Grammar*, p. 13.

by *l*. To remedy this defect Pāṇini's commentators were obliged to have recourse to several ingenious devices, attributing to Pāṇini doctrines he never inculcated and contrivances he never intended. They held that the vowels *ṛi* and *ḷi* are homogeneous, and that the former, according to i. 1, 69, implies the latter. This can scarcely be said to be a perfectly correct view, as *ḷi* is actually given in the śiva-sūtras. At all events the commentators are not consistent, as while asserting that *ḷi*, which is given in the scheme, is homogeneous with *ṛi* which in point of fact it is not, the former being a dental while the latter is a lingual—they, in almost the same breath, assert, for certain objects which it is foreign to my purpose to mention here, that *ai* and *au*, which in point of fact are homogeneous with *e* and *o* respectively, are not homogeneous with them, because the former are given in the scheme at the beginning of a sūtra. Having, however, by this grammatical fiction brought in the vowel *i* by implication into the rule, they had a still greater difficulty to contend with, viz., to show that the consonant *l* is also mentioned in it. To meet this fresh difficulty they resorted to a step to which there is no parallel in point of subtlety in the whole range of grammatical invention. They contended that the *a* in the sixth śiva-sūtra, *laṇ*, is an *it*, and that the consonant *r* in the fifth śiva-sūtra forms with it the pratyāhāra *ra*, which includes the letters *r* and *l*. In this extraordinary way the commentators of Pāṇini have explained the sūtra in question to mean that *aṇ* substituted for *ṛi* and *ḷi* should be followed by *r* and *l*. If now we turn to the corresponding rule of Chandra—*ṛiko'ṇoralau*—we are forced to admit that the doctrine is taught there in the plainest terms, and that this has been effected by the adoption of the pratyāhāra *ṛik* not occurring in the sūtras of Pāṇini.

In both works the employment of pratyāhāras is not confined to letters, but their application is extended to affixes also, which appear to be enumerated in the same order, beginning with *san* and ending with *kap*. The affix pratyāhāras are also identical in the sūtras of both grammarians, with this difference: that in Chandra there are two *sup*s, one formed with *su* and *sup*, as in Pāṇini, and the other with *su* and *kap*.

A remarkable feature in the system of Chandra is the absence from it of several technical terms invented by Pāṇini, or adopted by him from prior grammarians such as *guṇa*, *vriddhi*, *pragrihya*, *sarvāṇāmasthāna*, *ghī*, *nudh*, *shat*, and several others. This circumstance led me at first to suppose that Chandra's work was prior to Pāṇini's; but a closer examination has convinced me that the omission was intentional. The reason for this

step appears to be that, while by the omission of these terms no obscurity or lengthening of the sūtras would result, there was the decided advantage of many sūtras, such as those defining them, or rather explaining the meanings assigned to them being omitted and, indeed, of others being actually shortened—a primary object according to the *Mahābhāṣya* in all grammatical sūtras. I shall illustrate this by an example or two.

Pāṇini's explanation of *vriddhi* is "*vriddhīr ādaiḥ*" of *guṇa* "*adaiḥ guṇaḥ*." It must be remembered that these sūtras are not properly speaking definitions of the terms *vriddhi* and *guṇa*, but that they merely give these names to the letters mentioned in the sūtras. Now these terms are by no means shorter than the convertible terms *ādaiḥ* and *adaiḥ*, and hence no advantage is gained by the use of the former in a sūtra in preference to the latter, which may be used to equal advantage, as they actually have been by Chandra.

In the case of *sarvāṇāmasthāna* consisting of six syllables, there is a considerable economising of space by its omission and by the retention of the dissyllabic *śisut* used by Chandra, and occurring in two sūtras of Pāṇini, explaining the technical term *sarvāṇāmasthāna*—viz., *śi* in i. 1, 42 and *śut* in i. 1, 43.

The Vipratishedha-sūtra, Pāṇini i. 4, 2, and the Asiddha-sūtra, Pāṇini viii. 2, 1, also occur in Chandra, the latter being placed in about the middle of the third pāda of the sixth adhyāya. The sūtras, therefore, in the latter half of the third pāda and in the whole of the fourth are, as it were, non-existent in reference to those in the preceding five adhyāyas and two pādas, and about one-half of the third pāda of the sixth.

2. I shall now advert to the work mentioned at the beginning of this paper, which has enabled me to gather the above-mentioned facts regarding Chandra's grammar and several other facts which the limited space of this paper precludes my stating here. It is called the *Bāḍivabodhana*, and is a reproduction of Chandra's grammar by a Buddhist priest named Kāśyapa, who lived in Ceylon about seven centuries ago, and of whom I hope to write a short account hereafter. The reader will be able to form some idea of the work and of its extent when I say that it bears the same relation, as regards matter and arrangement, to Chandra's grammar as the *Laghukaumudī* does to that of Pāṇini. The *Laghukaumudī* is an abridgement of a larger work called the *Siddhantakumudī*, containing all the sūtras of Pāṇini, differently arranged and explained; but whether the *Bāḍivabodhana* is an abridgement of an already existing work bearing the same relation to

Chandra as the *Siddhāntakaumudī* does to Pāṇini is a question which I am not yet in a position to decide. If, however, an introductory verse be any guide, I should be very much inclined to conclude that the work is an original one, or, in other words, that Kāśyapa has effected in the Chāndra system what Rāmachandra has done in the Pāṇiniya by his work called the *Prakriyākaumudī* which, no doubt, afforded a model for Bhaṭṭoji in the composition of his *Siddhāntakaumudī*. The verse referred to is the following:—

“Bhūgavantān jagadvandyaṃ abhivandya ta-
thāgataṃ |

Bālāvabodhanam binduṃ Chāndrasindhau karo-
my aham ||”

Here the author states that the *Bālāvabodhana* which he composed is a drop from the ocean of Chandra. If the work was an abridgement of an already existing re-arrangement of all or the greater number of the sūtras of Chandra, the author would most probably have referred to that work in the Introduction, just as Varadarāja, in the introductory verse to the *Laghubkaumudī*, has stated it to be an abridged *Siddhāntakaumudī*.

The book begins with the usual adoration to Buddha as found in almost all Buddhistical works—*Namas tasmāi bhagavato 'rhatō samyak-sambuddhāya*. Then follow the introductory verse given above, and the scheme commonly called the *śiva-sūtras*, together with a gloss explaining the use of the scheme and the classification and formation of letters. The extraordinary statement in the *Kaumudī* that the vowel *i* has no long form, though it has a prolated one—a statement quite opposed to the *Kāṭhānta*—is also met with in the *Bālāvabodhana*. A reason is assigned for the repetition of the *h* in the scheme, which is that it is repeated in order that it may be included in the *pratyāhāra cal*. Such a reason is also mentioned in the *Pranūṭhyaman-saṃgraha*, which enumerates, besides a *val*, the *pratyāhāras cal*, *jhal*, and *śal*.

According to the *Kaumudī*, *sthāna* and *prayatna* are concerned with the formation of letters, but in the work under notice a third thing is mentioned, namely, *Paraga*. The *karapras* are the middle of the tongue, the vicinity of the tip of the tongue, and the tip of the tongue itself, as in pronouncing the *p* sounds, the linguals, and the dentals respectively. In the case of the other letters the *sthāna* and *karapa* are the same.

Before leaving the subject of the classification of letters and the mode of their formation, and passing on to the chapter on *sandhi*, a *kārikā* is given restricting the *pratyāhāras* to forty-two, which bears a close resemblance to that given

in the *Kāśikā* for the formation of Pāṇini's forty-one *pratyāhāras*, as will be seen on a comparison of the two which I quote here for the purpose:—

“Nānāvāṇṇasyur ekasmāch chaturbhyastukachau
nashau |

Dvābhyān raśmyo 'pi pañchabhyo las tu shaḍ-
bhyo vidhiyate ||”

Kāśikā: “Ekasmān māṇavaṇṇā dvābhyān
shas tribhya eva kaṇamāṇ syuḥ |

Jñeyau chayan chaturbhyo rakḥ pañchabhyah
śalau shaḍbhyah ||”

As regards the arrangement of the subjects in the *Bālāvabodhana*, I am very much inclined to the opinion that it is more logical and, strange to say, more in consonance with European ideas than the arrangement in the *Kaumudī*. As an instance affording proof of this, I may mention that in the former the declensions of pronouns and numerals are given in separate sections, and not blended with those of nouns as in the latter.

The MS. in question belongs to the Lankātilakavihāra, a Buddhist temple in the Central Province of Ceylon, about eight miles from Kandy, the mountain capital of the island. Mr. A. C. Lawrie, district judge of Kandy, and a member of the committee of the Oriental Library of that city, whose services to the library cannot be too highly valued, having received information of there being a good collection of MSS. in this vihāra, at once proceeded to the place and had the whole collection examined and catalogued. At his request, Kobbe-kaḍuwe Śrīnivāsa Buddharakkhita, the incumbent of the vihāra, lent the work to the library, and it was there that I found it about three months ago. A careful examination of the MS. led me to believe that its publication would be of immense service to Oriental scholars as tending to throw new light upon questions relative to the historical connexion of the different systems of Sanskrit grammar and upon other problems in the solution of which scholars are at present engaged. With a view, therefore, to its publication I made diligent enquiries for other copies of it, in every quarter where I thought any would be forthcoming, for the purpose of collation, but my search has resulted in the discovery of only two other copies. One of these belongs to the Suduhumpolavihāra, near Kandy, and the other to the learned high-priest, Sumangala, Principal of the Oriental College, Colombo. All the three copies now in my possession—and I do not believe it likely that any more could be added to the number—are in the Sinhalese character: but the edition I intend publishing will appear in the Devanāgarī type, together with a preface, explanatory notes, and a

list of the sūtras alphabetically arranged. The work of collating is being vigorously carried on, and I hope to send the edition to the press in about two or three months.

W. GOONETILLEKE.*

NOTE.

The *Nirayḍooliyā Suttam* by Dr. S. Warren (Amsterdam: J. Müller), is the text in Jain Prakrit, with notes and glossary, of five Jain *Upaṅgas*, forming the above *Sutta*, and containing a legend of Bimbisāra and Ajātasattu, a Jātaka story by Mahāvīra, and several short *avaddnas* or legends of Jain saints. Dr. Warren has not ventured on a translation, and confesses that he has not been able to understand all the text which he edits. The paucity of Jain texts compels us to welcome any new one, carefully edited, as this is, from four MSS.—*The Academy*, Jan. 17, 1880.

THE GĀTHA AHUNAVAITI OF THE PĀRSIS.

Yasna xxx.

Now will I speak and proclaim to all who have come to listen.

Thy praise, Ahura-Mazda, and thine, O Vohumanô. Asha! I ask that thy grace may appear in the lights of heaven.

Hear with your ears what is best, perceive with your minds what is purest,

So that each man for himself may, before the great doom cometh,

Choose the creed he prefers. May the wise ones be on our side!

These two spirits are twins; they made known in times that are bygone

That which is good and evil, in thought and word and action.

Rightly decided between them the good; not so the evil.

When these Two came together, first of all they created

Life and death, that at last there might be for such as are evil

Wretchedness, but for the good a happy blest existence.

Of these Two the One who was evil chose what was evil;

He who was kind and good, whose robe was the changeless Heaven,
Chose what was right; those, too, whose works pleased Ahura-Mazda.

They could not rightly discern who erred and worshipped the Dêvas;

They the Bad Spirit chose, and having held counsel together,

Turned to Rapine, that so they might make man's life an affliction.

But to the good came might; and with might came wisdom and virtue;

Armaiti, herself the Eternal, gave to their bodies Vigour; e'en thou wert enriched by the gifts that she scattered, O Mazda!

Mazda, the time will come when the crimes of the bad shall be punished:

Then shall thy power be displayed in fitly rewarding the righteous—

Them that have bound and delivered up falsehood to Asha, the Truth-God.

Let us then be of those who advance this world and improve it,

O Ahura-Mazda, O Truth-God, bliss-conferring!
Let our minds be ever there where wisdom abideth!

Then indeed shall be seen the fall of pernicious falsehood;

But in the house where dwell Vohu-manô, Mazda, and Asha—

Beautiful house—shall be gathered for ever such as are worthy.

O men, if you but cling to the precepts Mazda has given,

Precepts, which to the bad are a torment, but joy to the righteous,

Then shall you one day find yourselves victorious through them.¹

The following is Dr. Haug's prose version of the same section of this Gāthā²:—

1. I will now tell you who are assembled here, the wise sayings of Mazda, the praises of Ahura, and the hymns of the good spirit, the sublime truth which I see arising out of these sacred flames.

2. You shall, therefore, hearken to the soul of nature³ (*i.e.*, to plough and cultivate the earth);

* *The Academy*, Jan. 24 and 31, 1880, pp. 69ff., 88ff.

¹ Hübschmann, *Ein Zoroastrisches Lied, mit Rücksicht auf die Tradition übersetzt und erklärt* (München, 1872). Compare Max Müller, *Lectures on the Science of Religion*, pp. 237—9;—Rawlinson's *Religions of the Ancient World* in *Sunday at Home*, June 1874, pp. 373, 374.

² Haug's *Essays*, by West, pp. 149—151.

³ *Qêwêk urwê* means the universal soul of earth, the

cause of all life and growth. The literal meaning of the word, "soul of a cow," implies a simile; for the earth is compared to a cow. By its cutting and dividing, ploughing is to be understood. The meaning of that decree, issued by Ahuramazda and the heavenly council, is that the soil is to be tilled; it, therefore, enjoins agriculture as a religious duty. Zarathushtra, when encouraging men by the order of Ahuramazda to cultivate the earth, acts as a prophet of agriculture and civilisation.

contemplate the beams of fire with a most pious mind! Every one, both men and women, ought to-day to choose his creed (*between the Dêva and the Ahura religion*). Ye offspring of renowned ancestors, awake to agree with us (*i.e.* to approve of my lore, to be delivered to you at this moment! The prophet begins to deliver the words revealed to him by the sacred flames).

3. In the beginning there was a pair of twins, two spirits, each of a peculiar activity; these are the good and the base, in thought, word, and deed. Choose one of these two spirits! Be good, not base!

4. And these two spirits united created the first (*the material things*); one, the reality, the other, the non-reality. To the liars (*the worshippers of the Devas, i.e. gods*) existence will become bad, whilst the believers in the true God enjoy prosperity.

5. Of these two spirits you must choose one, either the evil, the originator of the worst actions, or the true, holy spirit. Some may wish to have the hardest lot (*i.e., those who will not leave the polytheistic Deva-religion*), others adore Ahuramazda by means of sincere actions.

6. You cannot belong to both of them (*i.e., you cannot be worshippers of the one true God and of many gods at the same time*). One of the Devas, against whom we are fighting, might overtake you, when in deliberation (*what faith you are to embrace*), whispering to you to choose the worst mind.* Then the Dêvas flock together to assault the two lives (*the life of the body and that of the soul*), praised by the prophets.

7. And to succour this life (*to increase it*), Aramiti³ came with wealth, the good and true mind; she, the everlasting one, created the material world; but the soul, as to time, the first cause among created beings, was with Thee.

8. But when he (*the evil spirit*) comes with one of these evils (*to sow mistrust among the believers*), then thou hast the power through the good mind of punishing them who break their promises, O righteous spirit!⁴

9. Thus let us be such as help the life of the future.⁷ The wise living spirits⁸ are the greatest supporters of it. The prudent man wishes only to be there where wisdom is at home.

10. Wisdom is the shelter from lies, the annihilation of the destroyer (*the evil spirit*). All perfect things are garnered up in the splendid residence of the Good Mind (Vohu-manô), the

Wise (Mazda), and the Righteous (Asha),⁹ who are known as the best beings.

11. Therefore, perform ye the commandments which, performed by Mazda himself, have been given to mankind; for they are a nuisance and perdition to liars, but prosperity to the believers in the truth; they are the fountain of happiness.

AVALAMBANA.

By REV. S. BEAL, B.A.

Mr. Eitel, in his very useful *Handbook for the Student of Chinese Buddhism*, arranges under the heading *Ulamba* the particulars which relate to the "sacrifice for the dead" common among Buddhists, at least in China and Japan, (and in Ceylon also, according to Spence Hardy; *Manual of Buddhism* p. 59), made on the 15th day of the 7th month. This title *Ulamba* should doubtless be restored to *Avalambana*, as Julien gives it in his *Méthode* (1315), and as the Encyclopædia *Yi-tsi-k'ing-yin-i* fully explains (*Kiuen* xiv., fol. 25). This title *Avalambana* seems to be derived from the idea of the suspension, head downwards, of the unhappy occupants of the *Limbus patrum*. This idea is not a new one in Hindu fancy. We all know how the "Baital" or "Vetal," in the tale is suspended head downwards from a tree, and how Vikram repeatedly cuts him loose and carries him away on his back. We may remember also in the *Mahabharata* (*Vana Parvan*) how Agastya sees his ancestors suspended by their heels in a pit, and was told by them that they could only be extricated by his begetting a son (*Theatre of the Indus*, vol. I., p. 322 n.). These instances are sufficient to show that the term *Avalambana* is intended to signify literally the condition of those unredeemed souls who suffer in purgatory (we have no other word) by being suspended head downwards, till the sacrifice made by their offspring on earth compensates to rescue them from their sufferings. How nearly this idea of the Buddhists approaches to that of the condition of souls in Limbus and their rescue by the offerings or sacrifices of their friends on earth is too plain to need comment. Mr. Eitel, however, would assign the origin of this custom of "sacrificing for the dead" among the Buddhists to the time of the Yôgachâra school, introduced into China about A. D. 733. But we have, in fact, a *Sûtra* translated into Chinese in the time of the Western Tsin

preached by Zarathushtra, will be punished by God should they break their promise.

⁷ In this passage we have the germs of the doctrine of the resurrection from the dead; see the author's German work on the *Gâthas*, vol. I. pp. 109—112.

⁸ These are the archangels (Amshaspends).

⁹ Three names of archangels.

* *Akemi zoro* (superl. t. *achishtem manô*) means literally "evil mind." It is a philosophical term applied by Zarathushtra to designate his principle of non-existence, non-reality, which is the cause of all evils.

² She is the angel of earth and the personification of prayers.

³ That is to say, those who give to-day the solemn promise to leave the polytheistic religion, and to follow that

dynasty (i. e. circ. 265 A. D.), by the famous priest Dharmaraksha, relating to this very subject. It occurs in the 5th chapter of the collection called *King-tsang-yo-shwo*, and is called *Po-shwo-u-lam-puan-king*, i. e. the *Sātra Acalambana* spoken by Buddha. We shall proceed to give a translation of this short sermon, and so leave the matter in the hands of the student.

The Acalambana Sātra.

Thus have I heard. Buddha at one time was residing in the country of Śrāvastī, in the garden of Jeta the friend of the orphans. At this time Mugalan having begun to acquire the six supernatural powers (*īrddhi*), desiring above all things, from a motive of piety, to deliver his father and mother, forthwith called into use his power of supernatural sight, and looking throughout the world he beheld his unhappy mother existing without food or drink in the world of *Prētas* (hungry ghosts) nothing but skin and bone. Mugalan, moved with filial pity, immediately presented to her his alms-bowl filled with rice. His mother, then taking the bowl in her left hand, endeavoured with her right to convey the rice to her mouth, but before it came near to her lips, lo! the rice was converted into fiery ashes, so that she could not eat thereof. At the sight of this, Mugalan uttered a piteous cry, and wept many tears as he bent his way to the place where Buddha was located. Arrived there, he explained what had happened, and awaited Buddha's instruction. On this the master opened his mouth, and said, "The sin which binds your mother to this unhappy fate is a very grievous one, from it you can never by your own strength rescue her, no! nor yet all the powers of earth or heaven, men or divine beings: not all these are equal to the task of deliverance. But by assembling the priests of the ten quarters, through their spiritual energy deliverance may be had. I will now recount to you the method of rescue from this and all similar calamities." Then Buddha continued: "On the 15th day of the 7th month the priests of the ten quarters being gathered together ought to present an offering for the rescue of ancestors during seven generations past, as well as those of the present generation, every kind of choice food and drink, as well as sleeping materials and beds. These should be offered up by the assembled priesthood as though the ancestors themselves were present, by which they shall obtain deliverance from the pains, and be born at once in a condition of happiness in Heaven." And, moreover, the World-honoured One taught his followers certain words to be

repeated at the offering of the sacrifices, by which the virtue thereof would be certainly secured.

On this Mugalan with joy accepted the instruction, and by means of this institution rescued his mother from her sufferings.

And so for all future time this means of deliverance shall be effectual for the purpose designed, as year by year the offerings are presented according to the form delivered by Buddha.

Having heard these words, Mugalan and the rest departed to their several places, with joyous hearts and glad thoughts.¹

THE TOOTH-SEAL OF ASOKA.

By REV. S. BEAL, B.A.

In the curious legend given of Aśoka in Burnouf's *Introduction à l'Hist. du Bouddhisme Ind.* p. 407, we read that his wife Tishyarakshita, determined to punish her son-in-law Kunāla, for his non-compliance with her wishes, by having his beautiful eyes put out. For this purpose, having got the king to grant her the royal authority for seven days, she wrote a letter to the magistrates of Takshasila giving orders to this effect—"That the eyes of Kunāla should at once be torn out." We read then that she caused this letter to be sealed with an "ivory seal," and to be dispatched to its destination. The expression "*un sceau d'ivoire*," used by Burnouf, has been shown by Julien (ii. 156n) to be really equivalent to the "seal of his tooth"—the Sanscrit *danta* meaning both "ivory" and "tooth," and the Chinese version demands the latter signification in the legend before us. We have here another proof of the advantage of studying the Chinese accounts in connection with these Buddhist legends translated from the Sanskrit or Pāli. But our object in drawing the reader's attention to this legend is that he may compare this tooth-seal of Aśoka with the following verses which occur in the gift of lands to the Rawdon family (Marquis of Hastings), *vide* "Burke's *Peerage*, sub. "Hastings":—

"I, William, king, the third of my reign,
Give to Paulyu Rawdon, Hope and Hopetowne,
With all the bounds both up and downe,
From heaven to yerthe, from yerthe to hel,
For thee and thyne there to dwell,
As truly as this kingright is mine,
For a crossbow and an arrow.
When I sal come to hunt on yarrow;
And in token that this thing is sooth,
I bit the whyt wax with my tooth.
Before Meg, Mawd, and Margery,
And my third son Henry."²

¹ From *The Oriental*, Nov. 6, 1875.

² From *The Oriental*, October 2, 1875.

METRICAL VERSIONS FROM THE
MAHĀBHĀRATA.

BY JOHN MUIR, D.C.L., LL.D., &c.

(Continued from p. 52.)

THE INDIAN RATIONALIST IN ANCIENT TIMES.

Mahābhārata, xiii. 219 ff.¹

The man who on the Vedas looks
 As on authoritative books,
 Who breaks their rules, and spurns all law,
 Down on his head must ruin draw.
 The Brāhman who, in vain conceit,
 With scorn those scriptures dares to treat,
 Who, shallow, yet acute and smart,
 On logic dotes—that worthless art,—
 Who, versed in all its tactics, knows
 His simpler brethren how to pose,
 Who subtly syllogizing speaks,
 In wordy war to conquer seeks,
 Who Brāhman's good and true reviles,
 At all they say contemptuous smiles,
 The truths they urge with doubt receives,
 And absolutely nought believes,—
 That man in speech so sharp, and wild,
 Is nothing better than a child.
 Nay worse: the wisest men and best
 That wrangler, as a dog, detest.
 For just as dogs assail their prey,
 With savage growls, and rending, slay,
 So too these noisy scoffers strive,
 The scriptures into shreds to rive.

The following is a nearly literal version of the
 verses of which the above is a free paraphrase:—

“The opinion that the *Vedas* possess no author-

ity, the transgression of the *Śāstras*, and an universal lawlessness - (*these things*) are the destruction of a man's self. The Brāhman who fancies himself a Paṇḍit (*learned man*), and who reviles the *Vedas*, who is devoted to the science of reasoning useless logic, who utters argumentative speeches among good men, who is a victorious wrangler, who continually insults and abuses Brāhman's, who is an universal sceptic and deluded — such a man, however sharp in his speech, is to be regarded as a child. He is looked upon as a dog. Just as a dog assails to bark and to kill, so such a man aims at talking, and at destroying all the *Śāstras* (scriptures).”

Whatever conclusion might have been drawn from such passages as the preceding, the Brāhman's of old were by no means indisposed to argumentative discussions; but, on the contrary, seem to have made a practice of indulging in them on important occasions when they met in large numbers. This is shown by two passages from the *Rāmāyana*, i. 14, 19 (Bombay edition), and the *Mahābhārata*, xiv. 2536, in similar terms, that during the *Aśvamedhas* (horse-sacrifices) celebrated, in the one case by Daśaratha, and in the other by Yudhishṭhira, where it is said that “wise and eloquent Brāhman's, eager for victory, engaged in argumentative discussions about the reason of things.”

It was only when the authority of the *Vedas* was called in question, or anything decidedly heretical, or adverse to their own high caste pretensions (though in this last respect the *Mahābhārata* itself is often unorthodox), was asserted, that they took the alarm, and sought to silence argument.

BOOK NOTICE.

BRITISH BURMA AND ITS PEOPLE; being Sketches of Native Manners, Customs, and Religion. By Captain C. J. F. S. Forbes, F.R.G.S., Officiating Deputy Commissioner, British Burma. London: John Murray: 1878.

Captain Forbes tells us that his work owes its origin to a remark in the *Report* of the last Census. Nothing however could less resemble the bulk of the somewhat dry compilations which are produced under the impulse of Secretariates and gazetteer offices.

The statistical information occupies five lines of our author's preface, one-fifth of the space given to acknowledging the merits of pioneers in his own lines of research. Twelve chapters

treat successively of physical geography, ethnology, social life (two chapters), occupations, games, festivals, folklore, forest tribes, Buddhism in Burma, the monastic order, and the language and literature of the country; and are followed by a good index.

Captain Forbes is well known to have a close acquaintance with the Burma of to-day, and does not attempt the historical treatment of his subject, though there are indications here and there in his book of the power to do so if he chose. Probably the most interesting parts of the work are the chapters on “Social Life and Manners,” as

¹ The verses of which the following is a free translation have an interest, as showing that the same conflict with which we are familiar in our own day between the vindi-

cators and the opponents of a supernatural revelation was hotly waged in India in early times.

the most spirited are those upon amusements and festivals. In the former, it is pleasant to find him disinterestedly taking up the cudgels for the much abused opium-smokers, admitting the mischief of the habit when excessive, but reprehending the absurd generalizations under which all degrees of the habit are treated as alike ruinous and unconquerable. He gives an interesting case of an opium-eater whom he sent to jail (not for opium-eating, but as having no ostensible means of livelihood,) and who afterwards came to thank him for having thus begun his reformation. Captain Forbes considers opium eating much more injurious than the smoking. The present writer's observations in Western India lead to the opposite conclusion; which may probably be accounted for by some difference in the preparation and method of use.

Nothing Burman, perhaps, has been more discussed in British India than the peculiar position of the Burman women; especially as attention has been twice drawn to it by the well meant, but not very judicious, attempts of different Chief Commissioners to "moralize" the population by order numbered and dated. We are glad to find Captain Forbes, an excellent authority, bearing favourable testimony to the character of the Burman women. The freedom of divorce, very great indeed, but not greater than existed in Imperial Rome, and now exists in some of the United States, has led hasty observers to form unwarrantable conclusions against the general morality of the community. The fact seems to be, that while the position of women in Burma is decidedly freer and pleasanter than in peninsular India or most other parts of Asia, they are really much less apt there to abuse their liberty than their enslaved sisters are to cheat their servitude,—a result which might reasonably be expected, and for the details of which we can recommend our readers to our author.

Perhaps nothing could better illustrate one phase of this independence and community of interest with the men, than the description of a strange "tug of war" which is performed upon the funereal catafalque of a departed Buddhist saint. Two villages strive each to move the car in their own direction, tugging at huge man-ropes of cane or coir. "At first a few lads and idlers begin pulling at either side, without much effect on the heavy mass. Each side calls some more of their friends, then perhaps a headman of a village to which some of the lads belong joins in, the numbers gradually increase, and the car begins to oscillate; and as the attention of the crowd is drawn towards it, the villagers of A and B coming up, join their friends on either

side. Suddenly a headman of B village sees the headman of A pulling away and inciting his men: he gives a yell, shouts for all his people, and rushes to the ropes, which are now well manned. The car, strongly made as it is, shukes and quivers with the strain, while the lofty canopy of elastic bamboo rocks violently backwards and forwards. I have seen the struggle last for an hour or more without either party stirring the car more than a few feet. The crowd, as usual, get violently excited; every man that has an acquaintance or friend in either village joins in; I have seen policemen on duty frantically waving their staves to encourage the contending heroes, or rushing at some shirker to bring him back to the lists—it was no use taking notice of the want of discipline. Now perhaps one side gain the advantage, and with deafening shouts drag the car some paces; but lo! in rush fresh forces. Led by some excited old lady, all the women and girls of the losing village fly to the rescue; and mingle with their husbands, brothers, and lovers at the ropes. Now, then—if you are men—you *kya ba tha'* pull for very shame till you snap the cables! Hurrah! *La hyee! La hyee!* it comes! it comes! and with a ringing cheer away we go triumphant, some hundred yards or so."

It is impossible to read this book, or for the matter of that any of the best works upon Burma, without remarking the strong resemblance between the characteristics assigned to the natives, and those of some of the older tribes in Western India, especially the coast Kolis, a race living under similar physical conditions, though politically depressed. There is the same physique, somewhat short and broad but active and of tougher fibre than is found among the more Aryan races, the same broad, almost Mongolian face and comeliness, rather than beauty, of the younger women; whose dress, too, the short *sari*, worn without the separate bodice, seems closely to resemble the Burmese *lumwin*. And the cheerful, excitable character, and ready friendliness with any European who will take any trouble to approach them, contrasts strongly with the reserve of the superior Cis-Gangetic races, closest when it seems most thrown aside. Some speculations on this head are thrown out by our author, who mentions, besides, the Mongolian character of Buddhist sculptures at Sarnâth, which can be paralleled from some of the Western caves, where dress and feature are frequently distinctly Koli. This ground, however, on which we should like to accompany Captain Forbes further, is forbidden to us by caution and want of space.

W. F. S.

CHINGHIZ KHAN AND HIS ANCESTORS.

BY HENRY H. HOWORTH, F.S.A.

I.

SINCE writing the first volume of my *History of the Mongols* I have had the good fortune to meet with the Russian translation by Palladius of the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*, or 'Secret History of the Mongol Dynasty,' which has been again translated for me by some of my friends. This work is by far the most important authority which we possess for the history of Chinghiz Khân and his ancestors. According to Dr. Bretschneider it was originally written in the Mongol language and was finished in the year 1240, at the time of a great assembly on the river Kernlon. The work is quoted in the *Hung-wu-shi-lu*, or 'Detailed Record of the Reign of Hung-wu,' under the year 1382, where, we are told, that it had been written in the Mongol tongue and in Uighur characters, and that a Chinese translation of it was made, to which the Mongol text was annexed, not in the original letters, but by rendering the Mongol sounds by Chinese letters. Palladius translated his version from a copy in Chinese contained in a collection of reprints published in 1848. After he had translated this he discovered a copy of the Ming edition, accompanied by the Mongol text in Chinese characters, and found that what he had translated, and what is alone therefore available, was only an extract of the original work which comprises 15 chapters, and has no title.¹ To this notice I may add that my friend Mr. Wylie met with a copy of the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*, in China, written in the Mongol language in Chinese characters, as above mentioned. This was partially transcribed for him, but the original copy was lost during the rebellion, so that what he now has is only a fragment.

The *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* I believe to be the main source of the matter contained in the first book of the *Yuan-shi*, or 'Imperial Annals of the Mongol dynasty,' of which the first three books were translated into Russian many years ago by Hyacinthe, while the first one has been recently translated into English by my friend Mr. Douglas. From the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* the author of the *Altan Topchi* and Ssanang Setzen apparently also drew the earlier portion of their matter. The *Altan Topchi* or 'Golden

Epitome' is a Mongol chronicle discovered by the members of the Russian Mission to Peking, and published with a translation in the 6th volume of the *Mémoires* of the Eastern Branch of the Imperial Archaeological Society of St. Petersburg by a Buriat Lama named Galsan Comboef. The last Khân mentioned in it is Lingdan, who mounted the throne in the year 1604, and the last redaction of the text was probably made during his reign, although from the many archaisms it contains, the original text is no doubt much older. It is apparently the *Erdeni Topchi* quoted by Ssanang Setzen.

The work of Ssanang Setzen entitled *Ssanang Setzen u Namtar* is more generally known by the translation of Schmidt. Its author, Ssanang-Setzen Khungtaiji, was a Mongol prince, who was born in 1604, and compiled his well known chronicle in the year 1662. (*op. cit.* 209.) Its text is of great use for recovering the correct form of names as they were current among the Mongols.

Pallas also, in his work entitled *Samlungen historischer Nachrichten ueber die Mongolischen Völkerschaften*, (St. Petersburg, 1776), has related the traditional story of the origin of the Mongol Imperial house from the Lama work called *Bhodimer*, which describes the origin of gods and men, and which, *inter alia*, gives a genealogy of Chinghiz Khan (*op. cit.* 17). This practically exhausts the material which is extant in China and among the Mongols for the discussion of the origin of the Imperial stock of Chinghiz Khan.

On turning to the Musalman writers who have left us such valuable materials for the history of this dynasty, we shall find that their accounts of its origins are derived almost entirely from the well known *Jami ul Tawârikh* of Rashid-u'd-dîn, who was the main authority followed by Abu'lghazi, as the latter himself tells us.

Fazl u'llah Rashid, the son of Abu'l-khâir, was born at Hamadan about the year 1247, and was employed as a doctor in the service of the famous Ilkhan of Persia G a z a n K h â n, who in 1300 put him at the head of the administration of Persia, with the style of *vazîr*. This post he continued to hold during the reign of Gazan's successor Uljaïtu, to whom in 1309 he pre-

¹ Bretschneider, *Notices of Mediaeval Geography*, etc. pp. 14, 15.

In this table I have mainly followed the detailed list as given by Abu'l-ghazi, excluding only the three names of Yolduz Khân, Mingh Khân, and Tênghiz Khân, whom he places in succession after Ai Khân, and which do not occur in other authors. They have been clearly interpolated by him, and two of them merely repeat two names of brothers of Ai Khân. I ought here to add that the list has been recently illustrated at great length, and apparently with a full belief in its credibility, by Major Raverty, in the *Transactions of the St. Petersburg Congress of Orientalists*, but the whole is clearly fabulous. In it we have a curious medley of artificial and of real names, of mere eponymous creations, and of mythological figments. They are clearly also derived from the legends of the Western Turks. Kara Khân, Oghuz Khân, and Il Khân are famous names in Turkish tradition. We are told by Rashidu'd-dîn and his followers that this race of princes lived in the Urtagh mountains and the Karakum steppe, that is in the old land of the Western Turks, and it was no doubt the anxiety of the courtly Persian historian to find a suitably dignified ancestry for his hero, which made him link him to the legendary heroes of Turkish tradition.

I need not say that these early links in the chain are entirely absent from the Chinese and Mongol rescensions of the Saga about the origin of the Mongol royal stock, and may be accepted as of no value whatever, except as a proof of the religious loyalty and the diplomatic skill of Rashidu'd-dîn. From Japhet to the two chiefs Kian and Nokuz we may cheerfully erase the whole list of names from our memory as utterly irrelevant to the Mongols. Rashidu'd-dîn tells us these two last-named princes took refuge with their people in the retired valley of Irgene-kun—where their descendants remained for 400 years. We are not told who the princes were who reigned during this interval, and after its close the story really begins again. The gap is interesting as showing how the patchwork story was built up. After the interval of 400 years just mentioned the Mongols are said to have broken the yoke of the Tartars, and to have issued from the defiles of Irgene-kun under a chief named Burtechino, descended from Kian, and of the race of the Kurulas. Burtechino

and those who succeed him in Rashidu'd-dîn's story are well known also to the more primitive legend preserved in China and Mongolia, and to them we shall revert presently.

While Rashidu'd-dîn traces the Mongol Khâns to the Semitic patriarchs, the indigenous Mongol chroniclers in a similar manner trace them to the royal stock of Tibet, and through it to Hindustan to the sacred founder of their faith Śākya-muni himself. In this the *Altan Topchi*, Ssanang Setzen, and the Kalmuk legend as reported by Pallas agree, and they all name the Indian sovereign, Olana Ergükdexsen, as the stem-father of the race. The two former authorities deduce the Tibetan royal stock from this chief, and trace it through several generations to Digum-Usanbo Dalai Sabin Aru Altan Shireghetu, who had three sons, Šivaghochi, Borochn, and Burtechino. We are told that their father having been killed by his minister Longnam, who usurped the throne, the three brothers fled; the first to the land of Ngangbo, the second to that of Bubo, and the third to Gongbo.⁵

The story of the usurpation of Longnam is told in the native Tibetan books, whence it has been abstracted by Schmidt.⁶ In the original story the three brothers are called Ja-thi, Nia-thi and Sha-za-thi. *Thi*, which is written *Khri*, means throne, and is the surname of all the early Tibetan kings. *Ja* means bird or fowl, *Nia* means fish, and *Sha-za* means the flesh-eater. The two former are similar in meaning to Šivaghochi and Borochn, which respectively mean the fowler and the fisherman. While the third brother, the flesh-eater, has been ingeniously identified with Burtechino, a name, as I shall show presently, meaning the 'blue-grey wolf,' assuredly a very typical flesh-eater. As Klaproth, to whom we owe the dissection of the story, has argued, it is clear that on the conversion of the Mongols to Buddhism in the 16th century, the Lamas naturally desired to connect their royal race with Buddha himself, and found in the plausible resemblance in meaning of the two names Sha-za and Burtechino a link by which to bridge over the incongruous lineage they desired *a priori* to establish. I need not say that none of this part of the story, any more than the earlier part of Rashidu'd-dîn's table, occurs either in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*, or in the Chinese annals, which

⁵ Ssanang Setzen, p. 25.

⁶ Ssanang Setzen, p. 317 note 6; see also Schmidt, *For-*

schungen, etc. 15; Klaproth *Tableaux Historiques de l'Asie* p. 157-8, note.

preserve for us the earliest edition of the Saga, and that we must discard it all as an invention of the Lamas in the 16th century.

Having got rid of the accretions which the old tradition received at the hands of the Muhammadans of Persia and the Tibetan Lamas, let us now approach the older edition of it.

The authorities, old and young, eastern and western, agree in deducing the Mongol Imperial stock from *Burtechino*. First, as to the etymology of this name. *Chino* in Mongol means a 'wolf,' *burte* means the bluish-grey colour which the fur of many animals acquires on the approach of winter.⁹ So that *Burtechino* means merely the blue-grey, or winter-coated wolf, and we find that in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* the ancestor of the Imperial family is simply called a blue wolf. This grey-blue colour is equivalent to that of the sky, and means in fact Celestial. Hence we find the royal race of the Mongols is known as that of the Borjigs, i.e. the grey or blue-grey eyed, from *boro*, grey or grey-blue,¹⁰ the celestial being who visited Alun Gou, as I shall mention presently, having had eyes of this colour. Again Ssanang Setzen tells us Chinghiz Khan gave his people the name of *Koke Mongol*, i.e. Blue Mongols,¹¹ and blue was the Imperial colour of the Yuan dynasty.¹² In all these cases it no doubt refers to the heavenly or supernatural origin of the family whose members are so often apostrophized by Ssanang Setzen as the sons of the Tengri or of Heaven. Let us now continue our story. *Burtechino*, we are told, married Gou Maral.¹³ *Gou* means white or shining, and is used as a personal name, and given to noble ladies; *maral* means a hind.¹⁴ The blue wolf therefore married a white hind. In an abridgment of Chinese history written by Yuan-leao-fan, and quoted by Visdelou¹⁵ the wolf is said to have been white and the hind grey. Together they roamed across the Tengkiz (i.e. the lake or sea), and having reached the sources of the river Onon in the mountain Burkhan, they had a son, who was called Bedetse Khân. This is the story as told in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*, in a Chinese work cited by Klaproth,¹⁶ and in a Chinese dictionary entitled *Wang-sing-t'ung-pu*, in which last how-

ever the blue wolf has been converted by some rationalizer of the legend into a man of great size and a blue colour, and the white hind into a miserable and deserted woman.¹⁶ Ssanang Setzen has sophisticated the story after his own fashion; he has converted the river Onon into lake Baikal, and he adds a paragraph to glorify his protégés the Lamas. He says that on arriving at the mountain Burkhan, *Burtechino* lived for a while with the people Bede who dwelt there. When they had interrogated him on the motives of his journey, and discovered that he was descended from the Indian Olana Ergükdexen as well as from the Tibetan Tul Essen, they discussed matters together, and said-- "this young man is of high birth, and we have no one to rule over us, let us make him our chief." Thereupon they made him their leader, and followed all his commands. He had two sons Bêdê Khân and Bêdêtsê Khân.¹⁷

The mountain Burkhan, the Burkhan Khalduna of Ssanang Setzen, the sacred mountain chain of the Mongols, is the famous Kentei Khân range, where the Onon takes its rise, which is called Burkhan-ula in the Chinese geographical work translated by Hyacinthe and Klaproth.¹⁸ There Chinghiz was buried. *Burkhan* in Mongolian means 'divine', and Buddha according to Dr. Bretschneider is known among the Mongols as Śākyamuni Burkhan. As we have seen Ssanang Setzen calls the inhabitants of the Burkhan Khalduna mountains the Bede people. The *Altan Topchi* calls this country the land of Zud, which is perhaps a corruption of Bede. Now Bêdêtsê or Batachi, according to Palladius, is a derivative of Bede or Bata (*Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*, note 7). The name is in fact an eponymous one, created out of the race named Bede. This name Bede gave rise to a fierce polemic between Klaproth and Schmidt. I believe with Remusat that it is merely a corruption of the Chinese "*Po-ti*," northern barbarians. In the Tibetan work named *Nom Gharkoi Todonkhöi Polli* the Turkish tribes known as Hor-pa to the Tibetans are called Bädä Hor.¹⁹ Again, we are told by Erdmann, who is doubtless quoting Rashidu'd-dîn, that after the capture of Yanghi-

⁹ Klaproth, *Asia Polyglotta*, p. 284.

¹⁰ Schmidt, *Ssanang Setzen*, p. 372 note 1.

¹¹ *Id.* p. 375 note 9.

¹² *Id.* cit. pp. 71, and 380 note 22.

¹³ Klaproth, *Asia Polyglotta*, p. 265.

¹⁴ Ssanang Setzen, p. 57.

¹⁵ Schmidt, *op. cit.* p. 373 note 2.

¹⁶ *Bibl. Orient. Supp.* p. 340.

¹⁷ *Tableaux historiques de l'Asie*, p. 159.

¹⁸ *Asia Polyglotta*, p. 263.

¹⁹ Ssanang Setzen, p. 57.

²⁰ Timkofski's *Travels*, vol. II., p. 226.

²¹ Schmidt, *Forschungen*, &c., p. 55.

kont-ling Juchi in 1218 the Ulus Bede returned home to its head-quarters at Karakorum, and was replaced by 10,000 Turkomans. Erdmann,²⁰ D'Ohsen,²¹ and Von Hammer all state the same fact of the Uighurs. This points to Uighur and Bede being synonymous terms, a view which is strengthened when we find the Turks of northern Tibet called Shara Uighur by Ssanang Setzen. Now it is very extraordinary that the neighbourhood of the Kentoi mountains was the original homeland of the Uighurs, from which they in fact sprang. The meaning of the story then is—that Burtchino became the ruler of the Turkish tribe of the Uighurs, and the inference is *a priori* a reasonable one, that the legend belonged originally to the Turks, and not to the Mongols. On inquiring further this is amply confirmed. The story of the wolf is in fact a Turkish story. We are told of the Usiun, a Turkish tribe, who were probably the ancestors of the Tuki or Turks proper, that the Hiong-nu having attacked them, and killed their chief, his son was, like Romulus, miraculously tended by a she-wolf who suckled him, and by a bird which brought him food. The ruler of the Hiong-nu having heard of this miracle deemed the child to be divine, undertook his education, and eventually gave him the command of his western dominions.²² But it is in the legendary history of the Tuki or Turks proper that we meet with the real parallel to our story. In one version of this we read that the ancestors of the Tuki lived on the western borders of the Si-hai or Western lake. There they were destroyed by a neighbouring nation, who killed them all without distinction, except a boy of ten years old, on whom the enemy had a certain compassion, and spared his life although they cut off his hands and feet. He now dragged himself to a great marsh, where he remained concealed. There he was tended by a she-wolf, who eventually became pregnant by him. As the enemy still sought to destroy the young man, the she-wolf, who was herself carried off by a spirit, took him with her, and transported him to the east of the Si-hai. She stopped with him on a mountain to the north-west of the kingdom of Kaochang, *i.e.* of the Uighurs of Bishbalig, where they found a cavern opening upon a retired valley more than

200 *li* in circumference. There the she-wolf bore ten male young-ones, who eventually married, and each one took a different family name. A-se-na, who was the cleverest was chosen as their king, and he ordered that the heads of his standards should be shaped like the heads of wolves, to show that he did not ignore his origin.²³

Another legend reports that the Turks sprang from the country of So, situated to the north of the country of the Hiong-nu. Their chief named Kha-pam-pu had sixteen brothers, one of whom was called I-chi-ni-shuai-tu. He had a she-wolf for a mother. His brothers were all weak and without spirit. He, on the contrary, was very strong, and could control the wind and rain. He married two wives, one the ruler of summer and the other of winter, by each of whom he had two sons. The eldest of these sons was called No-tu-lu-shi. His father's subjects made him king, and at the same time adopted the name of Tu-kiu or Turks. He married ten wives, whose sons took the family names of their mothers. A-se-na was one of these names.²⁴ *Sena* or *A-se-na* is the equivalent of *chino*, and means wolf.

In these Turkish legends we assuredly have the origin of the Mongol Saga. In both we have a wolf for the common ancestor, in both it lives near a great lake which it crosses. In both it goes to the East or North-East on leaving it.²⁵ In both it reaches a mountain, and then brings forth offspring.

Again, the derivation of the stem-father of the race from the Si-hai or Western lake, the Tengliz of the *Yuan-ch'uo-pi-shi*, exactly accords with what we know of the original homeland of the Turks proper, namely, the country round lake Issikul. Rashidu'd-din and his followers describe the valley in which the wolf settled as named Irgene-kun. This according to Abu'l-ghâzi means a sharp-peaked girdle of mountains (*op cit.* p. 32), a description which applies admirably to the actual cradle-land of the Turks, namely, the mountain-girdled and secluded valley of Issikul, which district was still known in the 13th century as Organum, and is referred to under that name by Rubruquis. I may add that the range of hills west of the Volga run-

²⁰ *Temelschlein der Unerschütterliche*, p. 373-4.

²¹ *Histoire des Mongols*, vol. I. p. 223.

²² De Guignes, vol. II. p. 56.

²³ Visdelou, pp. 91, 92; Klapproth, *Journ. Asiat.* 1st ser. t. II., pp. 209, 211.

²⁴ Visdelou, *op cit.* p. 92.

²⁵ See Abel Remusat, *Nouv. Journ. Asiat.* t. IX. pp. 136-7.

ning from Sarepta to the Manytseh are called the Irgene hills by the Kalmuks. Lastly, the western writers make Bartechino belong to the tribe of the Kurulas called by the Mongols Khorlat (*id.* 33), which, as I shall show further on, was a Turkish tribe. At all points, therefore, the legend proves itself to be Turkish. Bartechino and Goa Maral, the blue-grey wolf and white hind as we have said, had a son Bêdêtsê. Ssanang Setzen gives the latter a brother Bêdes, who is not known to any of the other writers, and who is no doubt an interpolation of his own. Bêdêtsê was succeeded by his son Tamatsak, or, as the western writers give his name, Timaj (Abu'l-ghazi, p. 63). He had a son Khoritsar Mergen, the Kichi Mergen of the western writers (*id.* p. 63). Rashidu'd-dîn makes him the eldest of five sons of Timaj, the other four being the ancestors of the tribe Durban—of which more presently—in which he differs from the more eastern writers, as we shall see presently. Khoritsar was succeeded by his son Aghojim Bughurul, the Kuchum Bughrul of Rashidu'd-dîn (*ib.*).

I have adopted the orthography of these names given by Ssanang Setzen, which is substantially the same as that given in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* and the *Altan Topchi*, as I prefer to take Schmidt's direct transliteration of the Mongol words to one taken at second-hand through the medium of Russian letters.

Aghojim Bughurul was succeeded by his son Sali Khaljigho, who is not mentioned by Rashidu'd-dîn nor in the *Bodhinur* (*vide infra*). We may remark that one of the Mongol tribes named by Ssanang Setzen in the 15th century was called Khalighochin (*op cit.* pp. 175, 191 and 259). This fact, and the omission of the name from two independent lists, looks suspiciously like an interpolation for the purpose of flattering some tribe or family. Sali Khaljigho was succeeded by Yeke Nidûn, *i. e.* the large-eyed, so called both in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* and the *Altan Topchi*, and by Rashidu'd-dîn, while Ssanang Setzen has corrupted it into Nige Nidûn, the one-eyed. Rashidu'd-dîn makes him the son of Kichi Bughurul. He had a son Samsuji, and he had a son Khali Kharchu, who is ignored in the *Altan Topchi*, but is named by the author of the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* by Ssanang Setzen and by Rashidu'd-dîn. The last author tells us these princes lived on the rivers Onon, Kerulon and

Tuguli and on the mountains Berghad (*i. e.* Burkhan) and Bermi (?)²⁶

So far the lists virtually agree, but at this point there is a marked divergence. Rashidu'd-dîn makes Dubun Bayan the son and successor of Khali Kharchu, while the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*, the *Altan Topchi* and Ssanang Setzen interpolate two names between them. That these names are interpolations seems probable. According to the authors last named Khali Kharchu was succeeded by his son Borjigetei Mergen. This name has been created out of *bor-jighin*, *i. e.* the blue-eyed, a term applied to the Mongol Imperial family, and derived apparently from the story of Alun Goa, to be referred to presently. This Borjigetei is said to have married Mongholjin Goa, a name as artificially created out of the name Mongol, and which is ignored by the *Altan Topchi*. These two had a son Torghaljin Bayan, which was perhaps created in a similar way out of the name Turuk or Turk. He had for his wife Borokshin Goa, which seems also compounded of the particle *boro*, meaning blue-grey already referred to. The last named pair are said in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* to have had two horses named Tair and Boro, and a domestic named Boroldai. They also had two sons, namely, Doa Sokhor and Dobo Mergen.

This Dobo Mergen is the same person as the Dubun Bayan of Rashidu'd-dîn. As I have said, Rashidu'd-dîn ignores the names inserted by some authorities between Khali Kharchu and Dubun Bayan. In this he is supported by a very independent authority, namely, the Buddhist book cited under the name of *Bodhinur* by Pallas. The list of names given in that work under a corrupt orthography may be profitably compared with those we have discussed. It is as follows:—

- Burudachi (*i. e.* Bartechino).
- Berehen (*i. e.* Bêdêtsê).
- Temana (*i. e.* Tamatsak).
- Kakza Mergen (*i. e.* Khoritsar Mergen).
- Aiza Borogol (*i. e.* Aghojim Bughurul).
- Eke Dagun (*i. e.* Yeke Nidun).
- Sai Sunji (*i. e.* Sam Suji).
- Tebzu (*i. e.* Kharchu).

Derben Zargan (*i. e.* Dobo Mergen)²⁷

Here we have the list as given by Rashidu'd-dîn confirmed in two respects; first, by the omission of Sali Khaljigho between Yeke

²⁶ Erdmann's *Tenudschin der Unererschütterliche*, p. 535.

²⁷ Pallas, *op cit.* vol. I. p. 17

Nidun and Sam Suji, and then by the exclusion of the names we are now discussing immediately before Dobo Mergen. This increases our faith greatly in the accuracy of Rashid, who was a singularly critical historian. He had the *Altun Defter* or 'Golden Register' before him and was besides assisted, as he tells us, by Pulad Ching-sang, a Mongol prince well versed in the traditions of his house. He is not likely to have excluded these names, especially the later ones with their peculiar colouring, the first of the line after Burtechino, whose wives are mentioned, if they had occurred in the documents before him, while their artificial and evidently made-up character also points to some ingenious pedigree-maker. I have no doubt that originally the list at this point stood very much as Rashid-n'd-dîn gives it, and we shall presently mention a fact which makes this almost certain. According to the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* Doa Sokhor had four sons, who all lived together. On their father's death they behaved badly, separated from Dobo Mergen, and formed the family Durban (*i. e.* the four). Ssanang Setzen boldly tells us their names were Donoi, Dokshin, Ennek and Erke, and that they were the ancestors of the four Uirad tribes Ogheled, Baghatud, Khoit and Kergud, who in his day were known as "the Four" in contrast with the Mongols, who were known as "the Forty." Rashid-n'd-dîn, as we have seen, derives the Durbans from four sons of Timaj. As I shall shew further on the Durbans, who were contemporary with Chinghiz, were probably the four tribes of Tartars and not the four Uirads. Dobo Mergen married Alun Goa. It was from Alun Goa that the Mongol Khâns traced their descent, not from him. He and his ancestors have nothing whatever to do, in fact, with them, beyond his having in the legend married their progenetrix. Who then were these legendary chiefs? This was very ingeniously explained by Schmidt. Dobo is in fact no other than Topo Khân, the famous ruler of the Turks who died in 581. Doa Sokhor is the equivalent of Sekin, Topo's brother, who was also called Moko Khân, and the division of the tribes among the sons of Doa Sokhor answers to the division of the Turks into four divisions on the death of Topo Khân (Ssanang Setzen, p. 374). I may add as a remarkable confirmation of this

view of Schmidt, and as a proof of the correctness of the fable as given by Rashid-n'd-dîn, that the immediate predecessor of Sekin and Topo Khân as ruler of the Turks was Kolo, otherwise called Meke Khân, who assuredly answers to the Khali Kharchu of the above lists. This completes the proof that the earlier part of the genealogy of the Mongol Khâns, as preserved in its primitive form, has been adopted from the Turks. Here, however, the adoption is more or less legitimate, for, as we shall show presently, there is every reason to believe that the Mongol Imperial house was in fact descended from the old Turkish Khâns.

We will now complete the Saga of Dobo Mergen. The *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* tells us that Doa Sokhor, while he was one day on the Mountain Burkhan, saw a number of people nomadizing along the river Tünggeli, the Tuguli of Rashid-n'd-dîn (this Wolff identifies with the river still called Tungglu, which springs on the western side of the Burkhan Mountains, and falls into the Kara Gol,²⁸ but as I shall shew further on it is probable that the Ingoda is really meant). Among the rest he noticed a black *kibitka* or tent on a waggon, on the driver's seat of which was a pretty girl, and he said I must secure her for my brother. This was the maiden Alun Goa, whom Dobo Mergen married, and by whom he had two sons, Belgetei and Begontei, called Belgayut and Buganut by Rashid-n'd-dîn.²⁹

Dobo died, according to Abu'l-ghazi, when he was 30, one of his sons being seven, and the other six years old.³⁰

It was after his death that Alun Goa gave birth to three sons, whose father was a spirit, one of whom was the ancestor of Chinghiz Khan. So that, as we have said, Dobo and his ancestors have nothing to do directly with the lineage of the great conqueror, and it is remarkable that in the chapter of the *Yuan-shi* or 'Official Annals' of the Mongol dynasty, which has been examined for me by my friend Mr. Douglas, the dynasty is not traced beyond Alun Goa, and the earlier names are left out. M. D'Ohsson, in his well known history of the Mongols, has also excluded them, and has similarly commenced his story with the same ancestress.

(To be continued.)

Wolff, *Gesch. der Mong.*, p. 14, note.
Erdmann, *op. cit.* p. 535.

²⁸ *Op. cit.* p. 64.

SANSKRIT AND OLD-CANARESE INSCRIPTIONS.

BY J. F. FLEET, B.A., M.R.A.S.

(Continued from p. 76.)

No. LXV.

Inside the village of Aihole, in the compound of the *Maṭha* of Huchchayya, there is an old and partially ruined temple of the god Īśvara, one of the columns of which bears an Old-Canarese inscription. It consists of twenty-five lines of about fifteen letters each. The greater part of this inscription is now undecipherable. But enough is legible to shew that the preamble refers itself to the reign of the Western Chāḷukya king Trailōkyamalla or Sôṃdeśvara I., and that it is dated in Śaka 989, the *Plavāṅga saivatsara*, on Sunday¹ the second day² of the bright fortnight of the month Mārgaśīra or Mārgaśīrsha.

No. LXVI.

On a column in another ruined temple in the compound of the same *Maṭha* there is another Old-Canarese inscription, consisting of nineteen lines of about ten letters each. This, again, is for the most part undecipherable. But enough is legible to shew that, though it does not refer itself to the reign of any particular king, this inscription, again, is dated in Śaka 989, the *Plavāṅga saivatsara*, on Wednesday³ the seventh day of the bright fortnight of the month Kārttika.

No. LXVII.

There is also an Old-Canarese inscription at the temple of the god Rāmalīṅga, in Survey No. 75 on the south of the village. A partial copy of it is given in the *Elliot MS. Collection*, Vol. I., p. 360. Without referring itself to the reign of any particular king, it is dated at the time of the sun's commencing his progress to the north on Sunday⁴, the eleventh day of the bright fortnight of the month Pushya of the Yava *saivatsara*, which was the twentieth year of the Chāḷukya Vikrama-Varsha, i.e. Śaka 1017 (A.D. 1095-6). I had no leisure to examine this inscription when I was at Aihole.

No. LXVIII.

On a stone somewhere in the wall of the fort there is said to be an Old-Canarese inscription, which, without referring itself to the reign of any particular king, is dated in Śaka 1019, the Īśvara *saivatsara*, at the time of an eclipse of

the sun when the sun was commencing his progress to the north on Sunday⁵ the fourth day of the bright fortnight of the month Pushya. This inscription, however, was not forthcoming at the time of my visit to the village.

No. LXIX.

Just outside the south-west gate of the village there is a modern shrine of the god Hanumanta, with a stone *dhvaja-stambha* standing in front of it. Into the pedestal of this *dhvaja-stambha* there has been built a *Vīraḡal* or monumental stone, with an Old-Canarese inscription on it, of which a copy is given in the *Elliot MS. Collection*, Vol. I., p. 410. The upper compartment of the stone is now hidden from view. But three lines of writing are visible, recording the date of Monday⁶ the eleventh day of the bright fortnight of the month Śrāvaṇa of the Vishu *saivatsara*, which was the twenty-sixth year of the Chāḷukya Vikrama-Kāla, i.e. Śaka 1023 (A.D. 1101-2). The next compartment of the stone has a figure of the god Jinendra, sitting cross-legged, with on each side of him a *Yakṣī* fanning him with a *chaurī*. The rest of the stone is now hidden from view; but it records a grant by the five-hundred *Mahājanas* of Ayyāvoḷe.

No. LXX.

There is another ruined temple of the god Īśvara in what is known as the courtyard of the *Aramaṇa* or 'palace,' in Survey No. 86, not far from the Brāhmanical Cave. One of the pillars now lying in the *maṇḍapa* of this temple has on it an Old-Canarese inscription consisting of twenty-seven lines of about twelve letters each. A transcription is given in the *Elliot MS. Collection*, Vol. I., p. 640. But only enough is legible with certainty to show that it is dated at the time of a *śukramāsa* on Friday⁷ the twelfth day of the bright fortnight of the month Śrāvaṇa of the Naja *saivatsara*, which was the sixty-first year of the Chāḷukya Vikrama-Varsha, i.e. Śaka 1058 (A.D. 1136-7).

No. LXXI.

Inside the village, in a temple of the goddess Kontemma or Kontevva⁸, which has been appro-

¹ Ādityavāra.² Bidige; so., dvitīyā.³ Rudhāvāra.⁴ Ādivāra.⁵ Ādityavāra.

Sôṃavāra.

⁷ Śukravāra.⁸ Kuntī, the wife of Pāṇḍu.

priated as a house by the *Pūjāri*, there is a black-stone tablet with an Old-Canarese inscription on it, of which a partial transcription is given in the *Elliot MS. Collection*, Vol. II., p. 239. I have published it in the *Jour. Bo. Br. It. As. Soc.*, Vol. XI., p. 274; but my version there was given from the photograph, which, from the letters having been imperfectly filled in with whitewash, represents the original but indifferently. I now give a revised version from the original itself.

The tablet is 4' 3" high, by 1' 10½" broad. The letters are well-formed, but rather shallow. The emblems at the top of the stone are :—In the centre, a standing figure of a four-armed god, or perhaps goddess, with a worshipper kneeling at

its right foot; on the proper right, a male and a female figure, kneeling and facing to the front, with the sun, partially effaced, above them; and on the proper left, a curved sword or dagger, with a cow and calf beyond it, and the moon in the space above.

It is a *Sinda* inscription of the time of the *Mahāmūḍaśvara Chāmunḍa* or *Chāmunḍa II.* and his sons, *Bijjala* and *Vikrama*,—by his second wife, *Siriyādēvī*,—who were governing the *Kisukāḍ* Seventy, the *Bāgaḍage* Seventy, and the *Keḷavāḍi* Three-hundred. And it is dated in the *Virōdhi samvatsara*, which was the ninety-fourth year of the *Chālukya Vikrama*-Varsha, i. e. Saka 1091 (A.D. 1169-70).

Transcription.

- [¹] Namas-tuṅga-śiraś-chuṁbi-chaṁdra-chāmara-chāravô trailôkya-nagar-ārambha-mūla-
stambhā-
[²] ya Śaṁbhavê || Svasti śrīmad-vinata-samast-āmara-makuta-nikata-vastu-gabhasti-nya-
[³] st-āṁghri-pīṭhan-śaṁ sa(śa)stam paḍedaṁ dharitriyuma=a[ṁ*]budhiyuma[ṁ*] ||
[⁴] Vri(vi) || Udit-ēṁḍn Śrī-nivāsaṁ śaraṇagata-kubhri(bhri)dh(d)-rājita(taṁ) nūtna
ratu-ābhyaṇḍaya-kshētraṁ
[⁵] Mukūṭa-da-priya-śayana-talaṁ kūrma-pāṭhīna-nakr-ōṇmadamātāṅg-āli-kôḷi-chaḷita-
[⁶] jaḷachar-āspṛhā-kallôḷa-māḷa-nada-nady-āmbhaḥplav-āḷaṁkaraṇa nṛijagad-āvāsa-mudraṁ
samudra ||
[⁷] Kuṁ || Tad-udadhi-mēkhaḷey=enal=oppida vasudhege makutaṁ=enipa Mēruge teṁkala
sad-amaḷa-Bharat-ā-
[⁸] vaniy=mit=adagimdaṁ teṁkal-eseva Kuṁtala-viśa(śa)yaṁ || Adan=āḷav(r)-aram(naṁ)ta-
sukh-āspadam-enipa Chālukya-van-
[⁹] śa-ratnôṭṭamśa(sa)r-muadavad-ari-basti-mastaka-vidalana-kaṁ(ka)ṇḷhīrava-pratāpar-anē-
kara || Svasti Śrī-Sindavān-
[¹⁰] ś-ōḍbhava-kamala-van-ādityan=ānaṁ(na)ma(ma)-bhūbhṛin-mast-āḷaṁkāra-vastu-bra(vra)ja-
vilulita-vinyasta-pād-ābjan-a-
[¹¹] st-āri-stōmaṁ Gūrjjar-Āṁdra(dhira)-Draviḷa-Magaḍha-Nēpāḷa-bhūpālak-ādi-prastutyam
nitya-tēṁ praba-
[¹²] la-bala-yutām vīra-Chāmma(mu)ṁḍa-bhūpaṁ || Jayati vijaya-lakshmī-nart(ya)(rtta)kī-nāṭya-
raṁgô | vijita-
[¹³] samara-raṁgô vairi-dôr-darppa-bhaṁgaḷ | vitarāṇa-guṇa-tuṁgô viśva-vidy-āṁ(ā)ntaraṁgô |
vividha-
[¹⁴] vibudha-saṁgô(gaḷ) ēḷasôṭṭuṁga-bhūpa[h*] || Anat-ārāti-nṛipāḷaram bedare beṁkoṁḍ=
attha(rttha)maṁ
[¹⁵] vastu-vāhanam peṁḍira taṁḍamaṁ guḍigalaṁ gūḍāramam nāḍumam munisimḍ=irkkūli-
[¹⁶] goḷvud=cūbudo vinōḍam taṁ(ta)ṁṇol=cūḍ=amḍn pēḷu ghana-sau(śau)ryyam-bada(ḍe)d-
ippar=ār=ttodarddu(rdu) Chā-
[¹⁷] muṁḍ-āv=niḷpāḷanoḷu || Ant-enisida śrīman-mahāmūḍaśvaram Chāmma(mu)ṁḍ-arasur=a-
[¹⁸] var=ardhdhām(r'dhām)ga-lakshmi-Siriyādēviyaṁ daśa-dig-vartti-kīrtiy=enit=cūḍaḍe |
Kaṁ || Pati-bhaktiyi[ṁ*]-
[¹⁹] p(d)=Aru[ṁ*]dhati matiyim Bhārati subhāgyadiṁ Ratiy=enḍ=i kshi[tiyo]ḷu Chāmunḍa-
bhūpana sa-

- [²⁰] ti Siriyâdêviyam jagam baṇṇisugum || Va || Ant=enisida Siriyâdêvigam Châham(mum)ḍ-
âvanîsam-
[²¹] ga[in*] [putte*] negarttegam pogarttegam nelo=enisida vîra-Bijjaladêva-Vikrava(ma)dêva-
kumâraru śrî-
[²²] matu-Kisukâḍ-eppattum Bâgaḍagey-eppattum Kelavâḍi-[mûnûru]mam su[kha]-
samkathâ-[vi]-
[²³] nôdadimḍ=âḍu rājyam-geyyuttam-ire || Śvasti Śrîmach-Châḷukya-[Vikrama-varshada] 94neya
[²⁴] Virôdhi-saṁvatsarada ¹⁰

Translation.

Reverence to (*the god*) Śambhu, who is made beautiful by a *chauri* which is the moon that lightly rests upon his lofty head, and who is the foundation-pillar for the erection of the city of the three worlds! Hail! He, the lord, the excellent one,—whose footstool is placed upon the substantial rays of all the diadems of the glorious immortals, who bow down before him,—acquired both the earth and the ocean!

(L. 4.)—The ocean,—from which the moon arose; which is the home of the goddess of fortune; which is adorned by the mountains that fled to it for protection; which is the place of the production of ever-new jewels; the surface of which is the favourite couch of (*the god*) Mukunda¹¹; and which is decorated with the streams of rivers, large and small, and with lines of surging waves caused by the motion of the aquatic animals which are driven to and fro by the play of the tortoises and the *pâthina*-fishes and the alligators and crowds of elephants in rut,—is marked, as if with a signet, with (*the earth which is*) the habitation of men and animals.

(L. 7.)—To the south of (*the mountain*) Mêru, which is esteemed the tiara of the earth which is charming as being considered to have that same ocean for its girdle, there is the good and spotless land of Bharata; and to the south of this there is the charming country of Kuntala.

(L. 8.)—Many (*kings*),—who were the jewelled earrings of the race of the Châḷukyas, which was considered to be the receptacle of endless happiness; and who were as mighty as lions in rending asunder the heads of the infuriated elephants which were their enemies,—governed it.

(L. 9.)—Hail! The brave king Châmunḍa is the sun of the white waterlilies which are those who are born in the Sinda lineage; the waterlilies, which are his feet, are shaken to and fro and are pressed down by the many head-ornaments of the kings who bow down before him; he has driven away the assemblage of his enemies; he is worthy to be praised by the kings of Gârjara, Andhra, Draviḷa, Magadha, and Nêpâla, and others; his glory is perpetual; he is possessed of a very powerful army. Victorious is he, the king who excels in impetuosity;—who is the stage for the dances of the dancing-girl who is the goddess of victory; who has conquered (*in*) the battle-field; who has broken the pride of arm of his enemies; who excels in the virtue of generosity; who is deeply versed in all knowledge; and who associates with learned men of various kinds. Tell me now; who are those who have acquired sufficiently great courage to withstand the king Châmunḍa, when they consider that it is his delight to frighten and pursue the hostile kings who bow not down before him, and then in his anger to assail their wealth, the vehicles in which they convey their goods, their troops of wives, their temples, their tents¹², and their countries?

(L. 17.)—And as to the glory, which extends to the ten regions of the heavens¹³, of Siriyâdêvi, who was the wife of this glorious Mahâmâṇḍaśvâra king Châmunḍa:—Mankind praise Siriyâdêvi, the virtuous wife of king Châmunḍa, saying that she is a very Arundhati in devotion to her husband, a very Bhârati in wisdom, and a very Rati in beauty.

(L. 20.)—While the princes, the brave Bijjaladêva and Vikramadêva,—[*who were*

¹⁰ There are faint traces, here and there, of two more lines of writing; and after that, the rest of the stone appears to have been left unpolished and blank, unless the details of the grant have been intentionally effaced.

¹¹ Vishnu.

¹² Guḍḍa, gâḍḍa, is not exactly a tent in the English

meaning of the word. It is a large cloth which may be used indifferently as a carpet, or a curtain, or, suspended over a cross-bar supported at the extremities, as a rough kind of tent open at each end.

¹³ The four cardinal points of the compass, the four intermediate points, the zenith, and the nadir.

born] to this Siriyâdêvi and king Châm u n ñ a; and who were considered the abiding-places of glory and the objects of praise,—were governing, with the delight of pleasing conversations, the glorious K i s u k â ñ Seventy, and the B â g a ñ a g e Seventy, and the K e l a v â ñ i Three-hundred, and were reigning:—

(L. 23.)—Hail! On of the Virôdhi *samvatsara*, which was the ninety-fourth year of the glorious Ch â ñ u k y a Vikrama-Varsha, . .

No. LXXII.

The last and latest of the Aihole inscriptions¹⁴ is the following¹⁵ on a rock in the bed of the river, below the temple of Parâsurâma in Survey No. 75. It is hardly worthy of notice, except as an instance of the extent to which corruptness of diction can be carried in the more recent inscriptions. The characters are of the fifteenth or sixteenth century; but the inscription is not specifically dated. The inscription contains no fact of any historical importance.

Transcription.

[¹] Sva-dattam¹⁶ dvi-guṇam puṇyam para-datt-âupâlanam | para-datta-pahârêṇam | sva-dattam
nispalam

[²] bhavêtu | (||)

[³] Prajôtpatya-saṁhacharada | Chayitra ba 1 lû | śrîmatu râjê-śrî Baregedôvenâyaka-voḍeyaru

[⁴] Râmalîṅgâna stânika Mâpêkhânara Chika-Sômanṇanâyakarige Koṭapadebenakanavore-grâma-made Tusabuka-

[⁵] Chikereya staḥa saḥâvâgi koṭa pateya [|*] Yidake âvan-ân-obba tappidare Hîṁdu tappida-

[⁶] re Kâsiyali âkaḥa koṁda pâtake hôharu Musulamâna tappidare Makkedalli

[⁷] musâpa bisâta pâtake hôharu [|*] Yî dharmmake ârobbaru tappal-âgadû [|*]

Translation.

The preservation of the gift of another is twice as meritorious as making a gift oneself; by confiscating the gift of another, one's own gift becomes fruitless!

On the first day of the dark fortnight of (the month) Chaitra, of the Prajôtpatti *samvatsara*¹⁷, the glorious and royal lord Baregedôvenâyaka gave a strip of land in the village of Koṭapadebenakanavore, together with the site of (the tank, or village, called) Tusabuka-Chikere, to Mâpêkhânara-Chikka-Sômanṇanâyaka, who belonged to the shrine of (the god) Râmalîṅga.

If any one offends against this (grant),—if he be a Hindu, he incurs the guilt of killing a cow at Kâsi; and if he be a Musulmân, he incurs the guilt of at Makka! No one may offend against this (act of) religion!

No. LXXIII.

In the season 1876-7, I thoroughly examined all the inscriptions which were known to exist at Bâdâmi in the Kalâṅgi District, and at the same time succeeded in discovering a few new ones that had never previously been brought to

notice. Among the latter, is a very interesting fragment low down on the north side of a large and shapeless rock lying to the north-west of the temple of Teggina-îrappa, or 'îrappa of the hollow,' which is on the north bank of the tank at the back of the village.

A lithograph¹⁸, from the *estampage* made by myself, is published herewith. It includes fragments of two inscriptions, which cover on the rock a space of 4' 2" broad by 3' 11" high. The upper six lines are in beautifully-cut characters of radically the same class with those of the early Chalukya and Kadamba grants which I have published. They have, however, certain distinct peculiarities and refinements of their own; and the only inscriptions known to me, the characters of which are of precisely the same type, are—1, the Pallava grant of Viṣṇu gôpavarmâ, which I have published at Vol. V., p. 50,—and 2, the Pallava grant of Attivarmâ, which I give below. But in Plate XII. of his *South-Indian Palæography*, Second Edition, Dr. Burnell gives an alphabet from a Pallava stone-inscription at the Seven Pagodas, referred by him to about A.D. 700, the characters of which are of the same type, though

¹⁴ Concluded from Vol. VIII., pp. 237 to 246 and 284 to 288, and pp. 74 to 76 above.

¹⁵ No. 84 of Pâli, Sanskrit, and Old-Canarese, Inscriptions.

¹⁶ It would take up too much space, and make the tran-

scription too unsightly, to correct the mistakes in this inscription.

¹⁷ Probably Śaka 1373 (A.D. 1451-2), or 1433, or 1493.

¹⁸ No. 38 of Pâli, Sanskrit, and Old-Canarese, Inscriptions.

slightly modified and evidently of later date; he names them the Eastern Chôra or Pallava Alphabet, and, at p. 35 of his book, states that it was confined to the old Tonḍainâḍu or Pallava kingdom of Conjeveram, and that the introduction of this alphabet into Tonḍainâḍu is probably to be placed about the fourth century.

Owing to the peeling off of the surface of the rock, the greater part of this inscription has been entirely destroyed; and it is of course impossible to say how far the lines may have extended at the sides,—though ll. 3 &c. cannot have extended so far as l. 1 may have, owing to natural obstacles in the stone and to some square sockets which, for some reason or other, were cut on each side of the inscription. I give below a transcription of what remains; it is too fragmentary to translate. The fragment is of extreme interest as mentioning Bādâmi, in l. 2, under its ancient name of Vâtâpi, and as speaking, in l. 4, of “the Pallava the

foremost of kings.” From this, and from the inscription being at Bādâmi itself, there can be no doubt that Vâtâpi was originally the Western India stronghold of the Pallavas, and that it was from them that the Chalukyas wrested it. It is probable that Vâtâpi was temporarily recovered by the Pallavas from the Western Chalukyas after the reign of Pulikêśi II.; and there seems to be an allusion to this, in the later Pallava traditions, in l. 14 of the copper-plate grant published by Mr. Foulkes at Vol. VIII., p. 273.

The date is, unfortunately, entirely obliterated; but this fragment is, of course, of at least earlier date than the earliest Chalukya inscription at Bādâmi, which is dated Śaka 500 (A.D. 578-9). And this is, in fact, the earliest stone-inscription as yet known to exist in these parts.

Lines 7 to 9 contain a few letters of another inscription of later date. The characters show it to be a Chalukya inscription of the sixth or seventh century A. D.

Transcription.

First inscription.

- [¹] [sarṁ]vatsarê ātmanô
râjya-varshô cha varddhamânê tra[yôḍaśê] . . .
[²] hēna Mahâmall[ê]na vidvishâm Vâtâpir=
atimâ
[³] . . . pa(?)ja rishṇur=atulaṁ gôtraṁ guṇair=âtma
[⁴] t tayah kshitibhujâm=agrêsarah Pall[avah¹⁹]
[⁵] î . m(?)ha-Vishṇur²⁰=a[p|i] yah stambhañ=jaya . . .
[⁶] , pê(?)r-bbahu-matô prakhyâta-

Second inscription.

.[Sva]sti Śaka-varsha-sa[nivvatsara]- .

[⁷]

na

No. LXXIV.

This is the grant of Vijayabuddhavarma, of which I have spoken at Vol. V., p. 175. I now give the text from the original plates, which belong to Sir Walter Elliot. They


were obtained for him by ‘Baktavatchaliah’ from a man named Mâdhavarao, who found them at ‘Ginapadeya’ in ‘Kondakur’ in the Gunṭūr District. They, therefore, come from the eastern coast of India.

¹⁹ There can be no doubt as to the correctness of the letters that I have supplied here. Half of the *ll* is distinctly visible. And the metre requires *llavah* to complete the verse.

²⁰ There was possibly here some such name as the

Simhavishnu or Narasimhavishnu (either of which would suit the metre) of Mr. Foulkes’ grant at Vol. VIII., p. 273, —except that the vowel of the syllable preceding *m(?)ha* appears to be *ê* not *î*, and the *Anusvâra* is to a certain extent doubtful.


ON THE NORTH SIDE OF A BOULDER
NEAR THE TEMPLE OF TEGGINA-IRAPPA AT BADAMI.



FROM AN IMPRESSION BY J. F. FLEET, M. C. S.

W. GRIGGS PHOTO-LITH. PECKHAM

SEAL OF THE PALLAVA GRANT OF VIJAYABUDDHAVARMA.



ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
 श्रीविजयबुद्धवर्मनः प्रणम्य
 श्रीशिवाय नमः ॥ श्रीगणेशाय नमः ॥
 श्रीभक्त्यै नमः ॥ श्रीशैलेश्वर्यै नमः ॥

I.

श्रीविजयबुद्धवर्मनः प्रणम्य
 श्रीशिवाय नमः ॥ श्रीगणेशाय नमः ॥
 श्रीभक्त्यै नमः ॥ श्रीशैलेश्वर्यै नमः ॥

IIa.

श्रीविजयबुद्धवर्मनः प्रणम्य
 श्रीशिवाय नमः ॥ श्रीगणेशाय नमः ॥
 श्रीभक्त्यै नमः ॥ श्रीशैलेश्वर्यै नमः ॥

IIb.

श्रीविजयबुद्धवर्मनः प्रणम्य
 श्रीशिवाय नमः ॥ श्रीगणेशाय नमः ॥
 श्रीभक्त्यै नमः ॥ श्रीशैलेश्वर्यै नमः ॥

III.

The plates are three in number, about 5½" long by 2½" broad. They are thin and smooth, having no raised edges; and they are much better preserved than I thought from the appearance of the ink-impression from which I first spoke of them. Together with the ring and seal, they weigh 33½ tolas. The second and third sides are numbered. The ring had been cut before the plates came into my hands; it is about ¼" thick and 3¼" in diameter. The seal on it is circular, about 1¼" in diameter; and has, sunk in the surface of the seal itself, a standing animal, which looks more like a deer than anything else, facing to the proper right.

I am unable to give a translation, as the body of this inscription is in Prākṛit. But the purport of it is clear. It records a grant to the god Nārāyaṇa by the queen-consort of the *Yucamahārāja* Vijayabuddhavarṃā in the reign of the *Mahārāja* Vijayaskandavarṃā, whose name occurs in its Prākṛit form as *Vijayakhandavamma*. And Vijayabuddhavarṃā is said to be a Pallava, and of the Bhārattāyaṇa or Bhāradvāja *gōtra*.²¹ There is, therefore, no genealogical connection between the Vijayabuddhavarṃā of this grant, and the Vijayanandivarṃā of the Veṅgi grant at Vol. V., p. 175, who was of the Śālaṅkāyaṇa *gōtra*.

There is nothing in this inscription to indicate

its date, or the position of these two kings in the Pallava genealogy. But it is unlikely that the Vijayaskandavarṃā of this grant is identical with either the first or the second Skandavarṃā of the grants at Vol. V., pp. 50 and 154. And, having regard to the rudeness of the characters in which it is engraved, and to its being in the Prākṛit language, and to the emblem being sunk in the surface of the seal, and to the fact that it records only one generation anterior to the donor,—the probability is that this is the earliest Pallava grant that has as yet been brought to light.

The grant, as in the case of many of the Eastern Chalukya grants, ends with an *ājñapti*, or, in the Prākṛit, *āṇatti*. It gives us practically the same forms of the numerals 2 and 3 as are given by the Pallava grants at Vol. V., pp. 50 and 154, and the Veṅgi grant at *id.*, p. 175. In line 9, there is a form of 4, which differs in detail from the 4 of the three plates mentioned just above, but exactly resembles the third form of the Gupta 4 given by Paṇḍit Bhagawānlāl Indrajī at Vol. VI., p. 44. And in l. 10, there are two more numerical symbols, which are somewhat uncertain, but which seem to be either 200 and 40, or, combined, 400,—and, perhaps, a third which is altogether doubtful.

Transcription.

First plate.

[¹] Siddha	Siri-Vijayakhandavamma-mahārājassa	saṃvachhara	
[²] yucamahārājassa	Bhārattāyaṇa		Pallavā-
[³] nam	Siri-Vijayabuddhavamassa	dēvi	
[⁴] kūjanavīhā(?)	rudēvi	kadā(?)vīya	

Second plate ; first side.

[⁵] rājana	lāchha(?)	ṇē(?)tē(?)	pāṇiya ²⁵ . . .
[⁶] pād=uttarē	pāśē	ānyakassa	ka(?)nu)sita ²⁶ . . .
[⁷] chhennam	dālgi(?)rē	kūmi-mahā-maraka	dēva-ku lassā

Second plate ; second side.

[⁸] bhagavan-Nārāyaṇassa	abūni(?)	āyuni	bala	vaddhanū-
[⁹] yaṇi kātūpa-bhūmī	nivattanē	chattāri	4	adhi(?)hi
[¹⁰] samya dattātami	tātūpa-gāmē	yēkā	200(?)	40(?)ttara(?)
[¹¹] samya	[? pa]rihārīhiparihāradhapharihaya			[? *]

²¹ *Conf.* No. XII., l. 15 (Vol. V., p. 51), and No. XV., l. 14 (Vol. V., p. 155). The same statement is made in l. 10 of Mr. Foulkes' grant at Vol. VIII., p. 167; but I doubt the genuineness of this inscription. And the genealogy is traced through Bhāradvāja in l. 10 of Mr. Foulkes' grant at Vol. VIII., p. 278.

²² One numerical figure is illegible here, or perhaps two,

²³ Two letters, containing the first part of a proper name, are illegible here.

²⁴ One letter is illegible here.

²⁵ One letter is illegible here; and perhaps also one before the *pā* of *pāṇiya*.

²⁶ One letter is illegible here.

Third plate.

[¹²]	Bahubhir=	vvasndhâ	dattâ	bahubhiś=	ch=	ânupâlîtâ	
[¹³]	yasya	yasya	yadâ	bhûmih	tasya	tasya	tadâ phalam
[¹⁴]	Sva-dattâm	para-dattâm	vâ	yô	harêtta(ta)	vasundharâm	[*]
[¹⁵]	gavâm	śata-sahasrasya	hantuh	dê(pi)vati	dushkrîtam		[*]
[¹⁶]	Âṇatti	Rôhâṇi-guśvâtti					

No. LXXV.

This is another copper-plate grant from the original plates, which belong to Sir Walter Elliot, and were obtained for him by Sômasundara Mudaliyâr from Gôraṇṭla in the Guṇṭûr District.

The plates are three in number, and measure about $8\frac{3}{4}$ " long by $1\frac{3}{8}$ " broad; they are thin, and quite smooth, having no raised rims. Together with the ring and its seal, they weigh 35 tolas. The ring had been cut before the grant came into my hands; it is about $\frac{1}{4}$ " thick, and $2\frac{1}{2}$ " in diameter. The seal is circular, about $\frac{3}{8}$ " in diameter. The emblem on it is probably the figure of some god, sitting cross-legged on an altar; but it is anything but clear, even in the original. Like the emblem on the seal of No. LXXIV. above, it is sunk in the flat surface of the seal itself,—instead of being raised in relief on a countersunk surface, as is usually the case. The language is Sanskrit. The characters will be remarked upon below.

The inscription covers both sides of two of the plates. One side of the third plate is blank. But parts of three lines of writing, in the same characters as those of the extant inscription, can be distinctly discerned about the centre of the other side of this plate; thus, in l. 2 I can clearly read *para-[da]t[â]m vâ*, and, in l. 3, [*piba*]ti *kilbisha[m]*, and many other letters are recognisable, though I cannot make a connected passage out of the first line. This obliterated writing has all the appearance of having

been beaten down with a hammer, by way of cancellation, after heating the plate. And the plate was evidently then attached to the other two, as a guard to protect the writing. Probably there was another blank plate, now lost, attached to protect the writing at the other end of the grant.

The inscription records that king *Attivarmâ*, of the family of king *Kandara*, granted the village of *Antukkûr*, and a field of the measure of eight hundred *paṭṭis*, or a field called *Ashṭaśata-paṭṭi*, at the village of *Tâuthikonṭha* on the south bank of the river *Kṛishṇa* between, to a Brâhman named *Koṭṭisarmâ*. The grant is not dated.

The names of *Attivarmâ* and *Kandara* are unknown to me. But, from the style of the characters, which are of the same type as those of No. LXXIII. above,—and from the fact that this grant comes from the same locality as No. LXXIV. above,—and from there being the same peculiarity in the way of marking the emblem on the seal in both this grant and in No. LXXIV.,—and from the statement that *Attivarmâ* was descended from the god *Hiranyagarbha*, or *Brahmâ*,—there can be no doubt that this also is a *Pallava* grant, and that it is one of early date.

A transcription of this grant is given in the second Elliot *MS. Collection*, entitled *Telugu Śāsanams*, Vol. I., p. 13; but it is wrongly attributed there to the Early Chalukya king *Kîrtti-varmâ* I.

*Transcription.**First plate; first side.*

[¹]	Svasty=	Atula-vipula-yaśasi	śrîmaty=	Ânanda-malârshî-vaṁśa-samudbhûtô	bhugavatô
		Va(?vaṁ)kêśvar-âdhi-			
[²]	vâsinas=	tri-bhuvana-kartuh	Śambhōś=	charapa-kamala-rajah-pavitrikritô	Kandara-nripati-
		kulô samu-			
[³]	dbhûtêna	sundara-sujâta-pêśala-jana-parichârêṇ=	âpramôya-Hiranyagarbha-prasavêna		
		prâṭap-ôpana-			

First plate; second side.

[*]	ta-sakala-sâmantâ-maṇḍalêna	Mahôndra-sama-vikramôṇa	surâ-guru-sadṛiśa-buddhinâ
	samyak-prajā-pâlan-ô-		

ॐ श्रीगणेशाय नमः ॥
 श्रीविष्णुपुत्रः ॥ श्रीविष्णुपुत्रः ॥ श्रीविष्णुपुत्रः ॥
 श्रीविष्णुपुत्रः ॥ श्रीविष्णुपुत्रः ॥ श्रीविष्णुपुत्रः ॥

1a.


ॐ श्रीगणेशाय नमः ॥
 श्रीविष्णुपुत्रः ॥ श्रीविष्णुपुत्रः ॥ श्रीविष्णुपुत्रः ॥
 श्रीविष्णुपुत्रः ॥ श्रीविष्णुपुत्रः ॥ श्रीविष्णुपुत्रः ॥

1b.

11a.



11b.



J. P. M. S. 80 C. 5.

W. G. G. S. PHOTOGRAPHY



[⁶] pârjita-kîrttinâ râjñâ Attivarmmañâ anuparata-dharmma-kriyâ-parêṇa Kâśyapa-gôtrâ-
 [⁶] ya Âpastambha²⁷-sûtra-vidê yama-ni[ya*]mavatê Rig-Yajus-Sâma-vidê brâhmaṇâya
 Brahma-kalpâ-

Second plate ; first side.

[⁷] ya Kottîsarmmañê Kṛishṇabonṇâ-dakshiṇa-kûlê Tântthikontha-grâmê chatur-ddisam=ashta-
 sata-pa-
 [⁸] tti-kshêtrañ=ch=Ântukkûra-grâmaś=ch=ôdaka-pûrvvan=dattah ssa(sa)rvvâ(rvva)-bâdha-
 parihâram [||*] [S]v[a]-dattâm pa-
 [⁹] ra-dattâm vâ yô harêta vasundharâm gavâm sata-sahasrasya hantuh pibati kilbisham [||*]

Second plate ; second side.

[¹⁰] Bhûmi-dânât=paran=dânan=na bhûtan=na bhavishyati [tas]y=[aiva haraṇât=pâpa]n=na
 bh[ûtan=na bha]vish[y]at[i] [||*] [Bahubhi]-
 [¹¹] r=vvasudhâ dattâ bahubhiś=ch=ânupâlîtâ²⁸ yasya yasya yadâ bhûmis=tasya tasya
 [tadâ phalam] [||*]
 [¹²] Brahma²⁹-svamî vishamî ghôran=na vishamî visham=uchyatê visham=êkâkinam hanti
 brahma-svamî putra-pautrikam [||*]

Translation.

Hail ! By king Attivarmâ,—who is born in the family of king Kândara³⁰, which is possessed of unequalled and extensive fame, and which is glorious, and which is descended from the lineage of the great saint Ânanda, and which is purified by the pollen of the lotuses which are the feet of (*the god*) Śambhin, the holy one, who resides at (*the temple of*) Vakêśvar³¹, and is the maker of the three worlds ; who is attended by beautiful and well-born and clever people ; who is of the posterity of the inscrutable (*god*) Hiranyagarbha ; who has reduced the territories of all chieftains by his prowess ; who is equal in valour to (*the god*) Mahêndra ; who resembles in intellect the preceptor of the gods ; whose fame has been acquired by properly governing his subjects ; and who is intent upon (*maintaining*) the uninterrupted practises of religion,—a field of (*the measure of*) eight hundred *pattis*, including (*its boundaries on*) the four quarters, at the village of Tântthikontha on the south bank of (*the river*) Kṛishṇabonṇâ, and also the

village of Antukkûra, were given, with libations of water, free from all opposing claims, to the Brâhmaṇ Kottîsarmâ, of the Kâśyapa gôtra, who knows the Âpastambha³² sūtra, and who practises the major and the minor observances, and who is acquainted with the *Rig* and the *Yajur* and the *Sâma* (*Vêdas*), and who is a Brâhmaṇ, and who is almost equal to (*the god*) Brahmâ himself.

(L. 8.)—He incurs the guilt of the slayer of a hundred thousand cows, who confiscates land that has been given, whether by himself, or by another ! There has not been, and there shall not be, any gift (*better*) than a gift of land ; there has not been, and there shall not be, any sin (*greater*) than confiscating the same ! Land has been given by many, and has been preserved in grant by many ; he, who for the time being possesses land, enjoys the benefit of it ! The property of a Brâhmaṇ is said to be a terrible poison, (*but, in comparison with that*), poison is not called poison ; (*for*) poison kills only one person, (*whereas*) the property of a Brâhmaṇ, (*if confiscated*), kills one's sons and sons' sons !

THE GAROS.

BY THE REV. W. AYERST, M. A.

The Garos are a type of the more primitive and savage of the clans, and all of this clan have more or less of a family likeness to each

other. They believe in the existence of a Supreme Being, who is " God over all." But they do not worship him by sacrifice, believing him to be

²⁷ The occurrence of this form of the name, instead of *Âpastamba*, is, I believe, sufficient proof in itself of the early date of this grant. Dr. Bühler has written on this point ; but I have not his remarks to refer to.

²⁸ First *kā* was engraved, and then it was corrected into *tā*.

²⁹ The metre requires us to correct this into *brâhmaṇa*.

³⁰ *Sc.*, Krishna.

³¹ Or, perhaps, Vaṅkêśvara.

³² See note 27 above.

benevolent, and therefore not in need of propitiation. Their numerous inferior deities are the objects of their dread, and they do sacrifice to them, whenever they believe that they have incurred their displeasure. Among the direct objects of their worship are the sun and moon. To ascertain which of the two they should worship on any given occasion, the priest takes a cup of water and some wheat; he then calls the name of the sun, and drops a grain into the water; if it sinks, that is a sign that they should worship the sun; if not, he drops another grain into the cup, in the name of the moon, and so on till one of the grains sinks.

Their minor deities are so numerous that even the votaries themselves cannot tell their names. *Rishi* is the chief of them. He is the same as the Hindu *Śiva*, and is also called *Surjong*. *Rishi* is said to preside over crops and health, *Surjong* over cotton. They are one, but divided into two. Their worshippers do not know of what gender they are, or where they live. *Rishi* is not displeased at murder.

Owing perhaps to their having no written language, the mythology of the *Gâros* varies with the age. In this way they have come to regard our gracious Queen, "the mother of all the *Feringis*," as one of their divinities. Unhappily they have brought into the same pantheon the Hindu deity *Mahâdeva*, whom they consider the spirit of evil.

They claim for themselves a divine origin, and practise hero-worship, making an offering to the newly-burnt ashes of the dead, and preserving the images of the deceased in their houses. They regard the higher mountains as the abodes of the blessed, the word *Azuk*, which signifies *mountain*, signifying also *heaven*, the abode of the gods. In the same way the *Hindus* regard the *Himâlayas* as the dwelling of their deities.

The first created man is believed by the *Gâros* to have been a priest, but their priesthood is not hereditary. It is the duty of the priest to perform certain rites at weddings and funerals, and at the investiture of chiefs; he also names children on the day of their births, but his most important ministrations are in time of sickness. Every house has one or more altars before it. The type is a certain fantastical disposition of bamboos, with festoons of cotton and

other light appendages that wave in the breeze. This is the general shrine for all common occasions, and is referred to in all cases of sickness or scarcity.

In time of sickness, the priest is sent for to offer sacrifice to the particular deity he may pronounce to have been offended. With his peacock's feathers in his hair, and his official sandals on his feet, and with little other covering, he takes his seat on a low stool in front of the altar, and addresses it (there is no image) in a low monotonous chant. Meanwhile another person leads the victim round and round the shrine. It is taken away from time to time for lustration, and then led back to the priest, who caresses it and feeds it with salt; after this has been repeated, the head is struck off, and the altar is smeared with the blood. If a second blow should be needed, it would be thought of ill omen. The sufferer, in whose behalf the sacrifice is made, lies near the priest during the ceremony. At the burning of the dead, if the deceased was of rank, a bullock was sacrificed, and the head buried with the body. If he was a chief of the upper *Gâros*, the head of one of his slaves is cut off and burnt with him. If he was of the first rank, it was formerly customary for a large body of his slaves to sally forth and seize a Hindu, and cut off his head, and burn it with their chief's. At weddings a cock and a hen are slain by the priest, but rather for augury than for sacrifice.

The dead are kept four days. They are then buried at midnight. The pile is created at the distance of a few yards from the *chaung*, or house. The pile is adorned with stripes of red cloth, and the head of the victim nailed above, and the remains are placed in a small boat, and laid on the top of it. It is fired by the nearest relation, and the ashes are buried near the spot, and covered with a small thatched building surrounded by a railing. A lamp is lighted every night for a month in the building. Moreover, the wearing apparel of the deceased is hung on poles fixed at each corner of the railing, and left there for six weeks or two months, after which they are broken and allowed to hang downwards till they fall to pieces. The railed graves of chiefs are decorated with rude representations of animals placed over the graves, and the railing is often decorated with fresh flowers.

The dead are moreover kept in remembrance by means of monumental images, placed in the porch of the dwelling-house. Those in a single house frequently amount to a great number, a reproduction, perhaps, of the Hall of Ancestors among the Chinese. The figure is adorned with the carrings or other ornaments worn by the person represented, but in other respects bears no resemblance, or hardly any, to anything human. Their belief is that a Gâro, as soon as he is burnt, is born again a Gâro in some unknown spot far away in the hills. It is probably on account of their custom of representing their dead by images that the Gâros are unwilling to be sketched. They believe that the production of a likeness of themselves is ominous of their death.

Colonel Dalton describes one of these rude representations as "a full-length figure, decorated with all kinds of finery, and with an old silk umbrella supported over it." He thus describes the offerings made at the grave of a young girl. "In front of the house was a bamboo frame six feet long, two high, and three broad, at the corners of which carved posts were placed diagonally, and a lid of open lattice-work was lying ready to be placed on the top. Within the frame a small opening had been made in the earth, into which the remains, collected from amongst the ashes of the pile, were reverently placed by the nearest female relatives, the mother and the aunts, and then covered with earth. This done, the same mourners filled the bamboo framework with various offerings, of which I noted the following:—Three baskets of raw cotton, four baskets of unthreshed dhan, two grilled fowls, a few dozen shrimps, boiled rice, red pepper and salt, and gourds full of mihud or fermented liquor. After these, earthen vessels were broken and thrown in. The reason given for their being broken was that all this provision was for the use of the dead maiden, and that her spirit could not use the vessels till they had been broken, but that the fragments would reunite for her. The trellis-work covering was then laid on the top, and a coarse silk cloth, stretched upon hoops, spread over it. Meanwhile the boys of the community were beating drums, striking gongs, and blowing horns. Above all, a bull-fight was exhibited, and attracted crowds. Indeed, but for the grave carriage and

silent grief of the poor mother, as she slowly and quietly put one offering after another into the grave of her child, all looked like a merry making. The bamboo frame is allowed to remain a year, and is then burnt amidst fresh rejoicings."

Their marriage ceremonies and customs are different from the European. If a young Gâro should make advances to a maiden, and she rejecting him, chose to tell her friends of it, it would be regarded as an insult to her whole clan, which the blood of the offender must atone for if among the Upper Gâros, but a feast will conciliate resentment among the lower clans. The custom is for all Gâro maidens except heiresses (and these can only marry according to certain laws of kindred) to indicate their own choice of a husband. When the wedding day arrives, and the bridal party begin to lead off the bridegroom, his parents and family feign uncontrollable grief, and make loud lamentations, and some force is used to tear the bridegroom from them.

The investiture of a chief consists in a horn ring being placed on each arm by the priest, who is to be presented with one ring, which he is entitled to wear on his left arm. The feast, which forms a necessary part of the ceremony, is so costly that none but the most wealthy can afford it.

When a man has been killed by a tiger, they believe that he appears in a dream, bidding his relatives change their names, whereupon his parents and brothers and sisters adopt new names. The object is to prevent the tiger from discovering the kindred of the man he has slain, and thus attacking them too. The tiger's nose is worn as an amulet, to facilitate child-birth.

These simple people have great reverence for truth. Their mode of attestation on oath is very solemn. The oath is taken upon a stone, which they first salute, and then, with the hands joined and up-lifted, and with their eyes steadfastly fixed on the hills, where they believe their god to reside, they will press him in the most solemn manner to witness what they are about to declare as true or false. They then again touch the stone, with all appearance of the utmost fear, and bow their heads to it, again calling upon their god. They also look steadfastly in the direction of the hills, and keep

their right hand upon the stone during their narration. In some of the hills they place a tiger's bone between their teeth before they give evidence. On rare occasions they swear with their weapons in their hands. When a Gâro is sworn in the Goalpura Court a little chalk scraped from the wall answers the purpose of earth. Amongst themselves, a lie is punished with instant death, not so much as an act of justice, as of indignation. In case of murder, the relatives of the slain are bound to demand blood for blood, and ought according to Gâro custom to put to death either the murderer or one of his kindred, or at least one of his slaves. The offending family is then bound to retaliate, and so on without ceasing, unless the Council succeed in bringing about a reconciliation. The mode of effecting this is by inducing the injured party to accept a fine from the other as the price of the blood.

In a deadly feud the weaker party flies to a distant hill to elude the stronger. Both parties immediately plant a tree bearing a sour fruit called *chaluka*, and make a vow that they will do their best to eat the fruit of that tree with the head of their enemy. A generation may pass away without opportunity of revenge. In that case the feud descends to the children. The successful person carries off his enemy's head, and boils it with the fruit of the tree which had been planted; he then drinks of the juices thus mingled, and the feud is at an end.

When head hunting parties have succeeded in massacring sufficient victims they call vast numbers of their friends to see the "recking heads," which they fill with wine and food, and dance round them, singing loud songs of triumph. After these rejoicings the heads are buried for the purpose of rotting off the flesh. When arrived at a proper stage, they are dug up and cleansed of their putrid flesh, and then the warriors sing and dance round them again in triumph, and finally hang them upon the houses of the slayers as trophies. "Skulls so taken have a marketable value, varying with the position of the owner, and the degree of hatred entertained for the victim." Thus the skull of a Gomaśta, who bought Kalumabopara, was valued at a thousand rupees, and that of an India-Talukdâr, an agent of the

Kanibani Zamindâr at five hundred rupees, while the price of a ryot's head is from ten to twelve rupees. Hence the Gâros are careful to reduce the skulls of their own kindred to powder, lest by accident or for gain they should find their way into the market. Of late years the British Government has brought its influence too near to admit of such raids.

In case of disputes between clans,—and among a people so impatient of unjust disputes these are very serious—the territories of the hostile clans are mutually proscribed. Neither may eat or drink within the territories of the other, food so taken would not nourish, water so drunk would be poison. The difference may be adjusted by the intervention of a third party. The angry clans may be brought together on neutral ground, that the cause of quarrel may be discussed. If the arbitrator succeed in effecting peace, the parties swear to observe it, by biting their swords: as a sign that friendly relations have been restored, the representatives of the clans must put food into each other's mouths, and pour *mhuul*, the festival beverage, down each other's throats.

I will only add Colonel Dalton's account of a building "bee" among the Garôs. He witnessed the process, and has thus described it: "At the Luslukar's (chief's) village, there was a considerable gathering of young people from all the neighbouring villages, not often seen at this season, as the inhabitants are occupied on their farms, either planting cotton, or preparing their ground for cultivation. But I was most fortunate in finding here a house-building party, and a merry one it was. All the young men and young women of the Gundupâra clans were employed, and most rapidly and yet neatly they worked. The framework was ready, and they were thatching; curiosity at the sight of the stranger stopped them; but, on being reminded by some of their elders that the day is short, they went briskly to work again. The girls dived down the hills into the valley, and reappeared laden with bamboo-leaves, while the lads on the roof covered it in with them. A feast was in preparation for the house-builders, provided by the individual who profited by their labour, and this is all his house cost him."¹

¹ From a paper on "The North-east frontier considered as a Mission field," in *The Indian Christian Intelligencer*

vol. II. (Dec. 1878), pp. 365-377. For an early paper on this tribe by John Eliot, see *Asiat. Res.* vol. III., pp. 17-37.—Ed.

MISCELLANEA.

JAMES WALES THE PAINTER.

To the Editor, "*Indian Antiquary*."

SIR,—In the *Indian Antiquary* for February, page 52, there are several curious details regarding the Indian career of James Wales, artist, in connection with which the following additional facts may possess some interest for your readers.

In Stanley's edition of Bryan's *Biographical and Critical Dictionary of Painters*, it is stated in the notice of Wales, written by Stanley, that he died at Bombay in November 1796, and the article also mentions that he "made all those splendid drawings of the caves of Ellora and the plans which were, after his death, published by Thomas Daniell."

In the well known work, *Oriental Scenery*, by T. and W. Daniell, there will be found twenty-four views of the mountains of Ellora and the Hindu Excavations, (or as we now call them, Rock-Cut temples) *drawn* by James Wales, and *engraved* under the direction of Daniell.

It would appear, however, from a statement in the introduction to a small oblong quarto book in the possession of the writer, entitled *Sketches illustrative of Oriental Manners and Customs* by Robert Mabon, that Wales was assisted in his archaeological undertakings by Mabon, who says in his preface, dated Calcutta, February 1st, 1797:—"The following sketches were made during my travels, while engaged in an arduous undertaking (which took me five years to accomplish, for a Mr. Wales, lately deceased;) viz:—Oriental Antiquities, or Drawings of all the excavated Hindoo Temples in India, particularly those at Ellora, near Aurungabad, Ekvera, near Poona, and those on the Islands of Elephanta, and Salsette, near Bombay."

"Being requested by a few Friends to favor them with Drawings illustrative of the Manners and Customs of the Asiatics, to send to their Relations, who had never been in India, I concluded a small Pocket Volume, containing Twenty sketches, would be very acceptable to them, and the Public in general; and accordingly published my Proposals for the present Work."

"I return the respectable few, whose Names are here annexed, my grateful thanks, for the readiness they have shewn to encourage the undertaking; and sincerely wish it may answer their expectations on the subject."

The plates, 19 in number, are hand-coloured engravings, of considerable merit, each plate being accompanied by an interesting letter press description, full of allusions to "the Archaeological Survey" work on which the artist was engaged.

Robert Mabon appears to have been a good architectural draughtsman, as may be seen from those of his sketches (such as Nos. 9 and 16) in which buildings are introduced.

I have not been able to trace any reference to Mabon as yet, but doubtless a search in the *Calcutta Gazette* for the years 1790—1800 would discover some particulars of this English artist in India.

The Governor General of India, Sir John Shore; Jonathan Duncan, Governor of Bombay; and many other well known names are among the subscribers to the book, which unfortunately bears no imprint whatever.

The title page, which is engraved, was given, as stated by the author, in substitution for the 20th plate, promised in the proposals for publishing the work, and is thus described by Mabon:—

"I have introduced a Frontispiece to this work, instead of the last mentioned subject in my proposals:—In the Vignette of the Frontispiece, the emblematical Figures are *History* directing the attention of *Painting* to Indian subjects, a part of one of which is represented on a Tablet, which she supports. I have given the Figure of *Painting*, the attitude of striking the Rainbow with her pencil, to denote the Power which this art has in giving a just representation of nature, and her many varied Tints." The "Indian subject" on the tablet is an Elephant, with a howdah on its back, kneeling down.

The following brief epitome of the contents of this book will, it is hoped, prove of interest, as this volume is, it is believed, the earliest *published* collection of authentic plates illustrating the manners and customs of the natives of any part of India. The Calcutta edition of Balthasar Solvyn's plates, illustrating the manners and customs of the Hindoos, was not published till 1799, although the prospectus or proposal for publishing them will be found in the *Calcutta Gazette* for 6th February 1794. The better known French edition of Solvyn appeared in Paris in 1808, in 4 volumes, atlas folio, the publishing price being 100 guineas the set.

Plate I.—The manner of crossing the river at Wanker, near Poona, during the monsoon.

Seated on a trunk, on the top of a raft formed of "calibashes" lashed together, is an officer dressed in uniform; three natives swimming by the side of the raft are urging it over a river. "In the background I have introduced coolies with his baggage; distant from these, his bearers and palankeen, floating in the same manner. Since the year 1793, this mode of crossing the river has been discontinued, on account of accidents

which have happened, people having often been carried down by the strength of the current, notwithstanding the united efforts of those who conducted the calibashes."

Plate II. Savoy Mahadowrow Pundit Purdhun, late Peshwa of the Mahratta Empire, seated on the Musnud, at the Durbar of Poona, in which is introduced Nana Farnavese.

The Peshwa is seated "do-zanu" on the musnud, to the left is Nana Farnavese. "In surveying the Peshwa seated on the musnud, the eye is dazzled with the immense riches about him, but his effeminate dress and the unmanly-like attitude which the customs of the people make him under the necessity of observing, takes away from that dignity in appearance, which an European might expect to see in a Prince seated on a throne."

Plate III.—Savoy Mahadowrow, late Peshwa of the Mahratta Empire, exercising the long spear, with other Bramin Chiefs, near Parbuttee, at Poona.

Plate IV.—Savoy Mahadowrow Pundit Purdhun, late Peshwa of the Mahratta Empire, mounting his elephant on his return from Parbuttee, to the Durbar.

Plate V.—The late Mahadajee Scindia, the celebrated Mahratta Chief, seated in his tent.

Plate VI.—Mahratta Pindarees returning to camp, after a plundering excursion during the late Savoy Mahadowrow Pundit Purdhun, late Peshwa of the Mahratta's expedition against Nizam Ally Khan.

Pindarees, on elephants, mounted on horses and on foot, driving before them villagers laden with sheaves of ripe grain.

"This sketch was made on my way to Ellora in order to make drawings of the stupendous excavated Hindoo temples there. At that period Savoy Mahadowrow had taken the field against Nizam Ally Khan, on account of the latter refusing to pay some tribute due to the Mahrattas."

Plate VII.—A Suttee, or ceremony of a Hindoo woman proceeding to the funeral pile, to be burnt with her deceased husband.

"While at Poona, I was present at three ceremonies of this kind: the annexed sketch was taken from the first I saw. The unfortunate victim was a beautiful young Hindoo woman. On the death of her husband, she declared her resolution to the court of Poona, that she would burn upon the same pile. They immediately issued orders, that every honor should be paid her; sepoy and a number of other attendants were sent, as also palankeens, and musicians, elephants, camels, horses, and in short everything which could form a grand procession. She went attended by these through the streets of Poona,

making a salam, (the token of her departure,) indiscriminately, to almost every one she met, after which she proceeded to the funeral pile, attended by a vast concourse of people. The pile was erected at the side of the Mulna and the Motta, two rivers which form a conjunction at Poona. The construction of the pile was very simple—it consisted of four poles, about eight feet high, struck slightly in the ground, at the distance of seven feet by five. Billets of wood were placed regularly within the space marked by them, to the height of four feet; between these were placed gobur, or cow-dung, baked in the sun, straw and other combustible matters;—over these, oil was poured to accelerate the dissolution of the unfortunate victim. From the top of the poles others were tied across, so that the whole had the rude appearance of a bedstead. On the top of all were laid a great many billets of wood.

"On her arrival at the pile, her husband's corpse (which was carried before her to the place) was immersed in the river, during which the Bramin priests, who attended on the occasion, muttered some prayers, and laid it on the ground. She sat some distance from it. Her head was adorned with flowers; her relations presented her with beetlenut and sweetmeats, of which she partook: and they placed upon her wrists, bangles or bracelets. She seemed to be almost in a state of insensibility, on account of their having previously given her gunja. After taking an affectionate leave of her friends she rose; her husband's body was carried beside her, and laid upon the pile, she ascended and laid down by it, embracing the corpse;—afterwards, four or five people laid hold of the poles fixed on the ground, and pulled them down, by which means, all the billets of wood, which were placed at top, fell upon them. In the interim, her nearest relations were employed in setting fire to the pile below, which they speedily effected, on account of the combustible matter contained: in a few minutes it was in an entire blaze—they retreated, applying their hands to their mouths, making a most lamentable noise; this with the shrieks of the unfortunate woman, were drowned by various music. She endeavoured to extricate herself from the flames, on which a Bramin, with a large billet of wood, struck her on the forehead; which effectually stunned her, or perhaps deprived her of life—as after it, she was at rest. In a few hours, all that remained of this faithful pair was ashes; those were thrown with some degree of ceremony into the river.

"Nothing could have induced me to be a spectator of this horrid scene, but a wish to give an exact representation of it."

Plate VIII.—A group of dancing girls.

Plate IX.—Getterha, or Annual Fair, held in front of the excavations of Ekvera, in the Mahratta Country.

"This wonderful work is very near the top of the Bhaur Ghaut, and almost opposite to the fort of Logur."

Plate X.—Mohometans performing the Mohur-rum, or mourning of Hossein.

Plate XI.—Parsees assembled for devotion at sunset.

Plate XII.—Different modes of conveyance used at Bombay.

"In this sketch, I have introduced palankeens, chair-palankeens, mehannas, doolies, and a hackery, or cart; these being the modes of conveyance prevalent there, and indeed all over India."

In the "chair palankeen," which is like a sedan chair, is seated a stout Englishman, in white clothes, reading a book, very like General "Koir Wig" in Rowlandson's sketch, in the '*Adventures of Qui Hi? in Hindostan*,' London: 1816.

Plate XIII.—Bombay Subadars, Jemadars, Sepoys, &c.

Plate XIV.—The launching of the ship Carron from the Bombay Dockyard.

Plate XV.—Artificers at work.

Goldsmiths at work on the steps in front of an Englishman's house, a lady and two gentlemen looking on.

Plate XVI.—Gentoos at devotion.

"In this sketch I have introduced the Bramin priest at Ekvera, with other two Hindoos worshipping in the temple there, the Lingum or Mahdew."

Plate XVII.—Gentoos lamenting the death of a deceased relative, or friend.

A group of six Hindu women at the door of a native hut, beating their breasts with the palms of their hands: through the doorway of the hut is seen the dead person, covered with a white cloth, laid out on a charpâi.

Plate XVIII.—Snake catchers exhibiting a cobra de capello.

Plate XIX.—Fakeers or devotees of different casts.

In this plate Mabon delineates, two Hindu, two Muhammadan and one Sikh Faqir.

ARCHD. CONSTABLE.

A HINDOO SHRINE ON THE CASPIAN.

In our walks about the streets of Resht our servant Gopal, with his red turban and Indian costume, attracted great attention among the Khurds and Kosaks, who frequently inquired if he was a Tûrk. The Persians seemed to understand better his belongings, called him a Mul-

tâni, and declared he had come to join his brother. I inquired what they meant, and learned in reply, that one of the "lions" of the place was Surakhani, a temple some ten miles away, which all visitors made a point to see, containing images that were worshipped. It was lighted by sacred flames, which shot up out of the ground, and for centuries it had been under the faithful guardianship of Multânis. Who the Multânis were I did not at first comprehend; but, finally, it occurred to me that a Multâni must be synonymous with Hindustâni, or a native of India; that the city of Multân on the Indus must have been in the distant past an important centre, commercially and politically; and Multâni might have been used by the Eastern nations to designate the people from that city itself, as well as those who hailed from portions south of the Indus. We were now very anxious to see what we concluded must be a Hindu temple in Russia; and especially to interview the Hindu priest, if such he could be, in charge of the sacred spot, as we should then settle all doubts, and learn how it came to pass that the natives of Hindustan, who have such an antipathy to wandering to any great distance from their own country, were induced to make such an extensive journey, exposed to every kind of danger, both by sea and land, and finally be willing to erect a temple in such an out-of-the-way place, among people who were perfect strangers to their faith. Still more surprising was it that they should keep up so faithfully the guardianship of their idols, by constant recruits sent from time to time all the way from India, as we were told. These were points that cast an air of improbability about the whole matter, causing it to seem most unlikely, and made us very desirous of solving the mystery. If the pagoda was a fire-temple belonging to the Guebres, such a circumstance would be not at all strange, but would rather be the most natural thing that could happen; and that it is such a temple is distinctly stated in the works of several travellers, who are regarded as authority on matters out here, but who evidently would not know a Hindu were they to meet him, and to whom a fire-temple and a heathen pagoda were one and the same thing. As much as a century and a half ago, Jonas Hanway mentioned the existence of forty or more Hindu devotees residing at Baku. Why, then, we thought, might there not be some descendant of them still living, who would be a curiosity to behold, and whose acquaintance it would be profitable for us to make? Accordingly in the afternoon, we hired a fine-looking droschky with a span of fast hardy Kalmuk ponies, who took us all about the town for only sixty kopeks (one shilling and

eightpence) for the first hour, which is the government regulation. The charge for the next hour is about one-half that of the first. An hour later, we had arranged with our driver to take us in his droschky to Surakhani, and bring us back, for the sum of five roubles (fourteen shillings). In addition to the span of horses we had, a third horse was quickly harnessed abreast of the other two, which we learned was the custom when driving off for some distance. We started from Baku at a quarter to four o'clock. Our driver was a Kosak, with a tall, black Astrakhan hat on; and, what is more, he was a regular Jehu, as he drove us over the undulating and poorly constructed roads, full of ruts and sand, at a ten-mile-per-hour rate. We passed through two small villages, and in sight of many oil-factories, which from the dark smoke they emitted, showed that they were running on full time. The air around was filled with an outrageous odour.

On approaching Surakhani, a place composed simply of two large oil factories, we readily distinguished the Hindu temple, whitewashed, and surrounded by a high stone wall, with a large wooden gate for its main entrance. Gopal could hardly contain himself. We got out of the carriage; but found the door locked, and could see no one in the inside. We then walked around into the nearest factory yard, where we were told that the priest had gone that afternoon to Baku, and that without him we could not enter the temple. However, by persuasion and the offer of a keran, the key to a small backdoor was forthcoming, by means of which an entrance was easily effected at a point where the walls of the factory and the temple joined. We passed through and out of one of the cells, several of which are built into the high surrounding wall, where the devotees lived. In the centre of this almost circular enclosure, with a diameter averaging about one hundred feet was the temple proper,—a strong, quadrangular structure, bearing marks of age, but in good repair, surmounted with a dome some thirty to forty feet high. From the dome hung a rope on the inside; and to its end, some three feet from the floor, was fastened, suspended in the air, a good-sized copper bell, just such as is rung by Hindus in their temples when performing their devotions. Besides the bell, nothing was to be seen, save a metallic tube, which protruded a foot or two out of the floor, and had some cotton batting stuck into it. This the man who furnished us the key pulled out, and in its place held a lighted match. The result was the same as when one lights a gas jet. We then were shown into the only cell still occupied. In it we readily recognized articles peculiar to the Hindus. There were pictures of Ganapati, the

four-armed elephant god of Śiva, and a few other deities. The last were Penates, or household divinities, cut out of small pieces of silver and gilded ware. They were arranged on a small raised altar in the middle of the cell; and about them were gas-jets like the one already described, and which our ciceroni lighted, one after the other, whispering audibly that the place we were in was very holy. The man, though a Muhammadan, had evidently witnessed the Hindu priest in profound earnestness at his prayers; and the darkness of the room, lighted up with flames fed from the spirit world beneath, had impressed him with an awe that characterized his every action. Having noticed all that was to be seen, and satisfied ourselves that, though miles away from India, we had not yet got away from India's gods, we started to return to the city; hoping to find the old priest there, and get out of him something about the temple and its wonderful history. It was already growing dark. The fires from ignited gas in ditches, dug here and there for burning lime and baking brick, lighted up the sky, and enabled us to realize, to a very exact degree, the appearance of the country when under an extensive illumination gotten up by the citizens of Baku to celebrate some festive occasion, or in honour of the visit of some important official to their city. Few, if any, places have such natural resources for an illumination on so grand, yet economical a scale as Baku; and the idea that we were riding over "gas-works" on a gigantic scale, it must be confessed, suggested thoughts quite peculiar to the time and place. Our Jehu drove back even faster than when we came, breaking the harness twice in his efforts to urge on the horses, regardless of deep ruts and steep banks. We give the palm to Russian steel and Baku carriages, manufactured, we were told, in St. Petersburg, for withstanding all strain when a Kosak is the driver, and three Kalmak ponies harnessed abreast are making for home. We were back in the city by seven o'clock; and were just dashing round a corner, before drawing up by our steamer, when the driver suddenly reined in the horses and stopped. We wondered what had happened. The driver, pointing to a group of men seated on the steps of a liquor shop, informed us that the priest we wanted to see was there. I turned and spoke in Hindustani, asking the man to come to us. The sound of his native tongue startled him; and he stared at us wildly as he approached. When we told him that we had just come from his country, and as a proof showed him Gopal, the man's joy knew no bounds. His story, in brief, was, that he was a native of Lahor. Nearly ten years had elapsed since he left Karāchi by native

craft, in company with two associates, and arrived at Bandar Abbas, after a narrow escape from drowning in a storm. From Bandar Abbas, they came on by hard, exposed marches to Kirman, Yezd, and Teheran, and thence by the same route that we had taken. They were twelve months making their long journey. At Baku, they found the temple in charge of an old priest, who, on being relieved, returned to India, there to induce others to follow his footsteps, just as his predecessor had secured these three fresh arrivals. One of the associates died after a stay here of a year or two. Shortly after, the other became so homesick that he returned to India, promising straightway to send substitutes in his place. No one as yet had appeared; and the priest, still a man in the prime of life, was getting very much discouraged. He declared he could not stay any longer a voluntary exile from friends and country, even though he should have to commit the unpardonable sin of leaving the divinities unattended,—a circumstance without a precedent, he said; for no break had yet occurred in the guardianship by Hindus of the temple since its erection, over eleven hundred years ago, but about which he evidently knew as little as he did about its subsequent history. Further than this, he was rapidly forgetting his mother-tongue, and talking a mixture of Armenian and Turkish: so that, outside of a plain, ordinary conversation, he not only had difficulty in expressing himself, but often failed to complete his Hindustani sentences, making it very hard to understand him. One thing was evident: the man was losing faith in the virtue and efficacy of his Penates, and declared that they were not what they used to be. To prove this, he went on to show how much wealth had been slowly accumulating for ages at his shrine, part of which had come all the way from India; but the rest was the result of offerings from many people not so far away, who, though of a different faith, visited the sacred spot,—partly from curiosity, and partly from a general superstition in the vague belief that it is wise to appease deity under all forms. This wealth had lately been carried off in the night by parties who could not be found; and, if the gods could not take care of what was their own, the priest seriously doubted whether they could look after him and his interests, and that of those gone before him. Evidently this idea had made a strong impression upon him; for on the next day, when our steamer was advertised to start, this orthodox Hindu urged and besought me to take him along as my servant, just as I was doing by Gopal, even at the risk of his losing

caste and undoing the accumulated merit, which was the direct result of so many years of self-sacrifice and penance. From England he said he would return with Gopal to India. On finding I could not take him, he determined he would abandon the idols to the tender mercies of some Muhammadan friends, and go back the way he had come; declaring this life he was leading to be a humbug!¹

PROF. SCHIEFNER.

The death of Anton von Schiefner, which was announced in the *Athenæum* of November 29th of last year, leaves such a sensible void in more than one department of Oriental and linguistic research that a fuller notice of his life and literary work may, it is hoped, be welcome to many students to whom his name has long been familiar as one of the foremost Oriental scholars in Russia.

Schiefner was born on July 18th, 1817, at Reval, where his father, who had emigrated from Bohemia at the end of last century, was established as a merchant. After passing through the grammar school of his native place, he matriculated in the University of St. Petersburg in 1836, and at the wish of his uncle, W. Schneider, who held the professorship of Roman Law and was anxious that young Schiefner should succeed him some day, enrolled his name as a student of jurisprudence, while he continued at the same time his philological studies under Prof. Græfe, who had been the first in Russia to write and lecture on comparative grammar. After creditably passing the usual examination at the end of a four years' course, he went to Berlin in 1840 to attend the lectures of the celebrated jurist F. C. von Savigny; but soon the classes of Boeckh, Bopp, Lachmann, and Trendelenburg had greater attractions for him, and eventually he gave himself entirely up to his favourite philological pursuits. The influence which the lectures of those far-famed professors exerted on him is traceable throughout his literary career. On his return to St. Petersburg he was made, in 1843, Professor of Latin, and subsequently of Greek, in the First Grammar School, and devoted himself exclusively to the study of the classics and more especially the Greek philosophers. A few years later, however, he took up the serious study of Tibetan, for which the libraries of St. Petersburg offered special opportunities. After being appointed in 1848 one of the librarians of the Imperial Academy, he was elected in 1852 a member of that learned body, the cultivation of the Tibetan language and literature being assigned

¹ From *Midnight Marches through Persia*. By H. Balantine of Bombay, (Boston, 1879), pp. 229—238.

to him as his special function. Simultaneously he held from 1860 to 1873 the professorship of the classical languages in the Roman Catholic theological seminary. In the last-mentioned year he was promoted to an ordinary membership in the Imperial Academy, with the predicate "Excellency." After a fortnight's illness, which from the very beginning gave rise to the gravest apprehensions, he died on November 16th of the year which has closed.

It is in three directions that Schiefner has made his mark in literary history. In the first place he contributed to the *Mémoires* and *Bulletin* of the Imperial Academy of St. Petersburg a number of valuable articles on the language and literature of Tibet, of which the following are of special interest:—*Additions and Corrections to Schmidt's Edition of the Dsangleh*, 1852; *The Life of Cikyamoni, translated in abstract from the Tibetan*, 1853; 'On Foucaux's Edition and Translation of *Rgya tsh'er rol pa*,' 1859; *Studies on the Tibetan Language*, 1851, 1856, 1864, 1877; *On the Periods of Human Decadence, from a Buddhistical Point of View*, 1851; *Translation of the forty-two Sûtras*, 1851; *On some Eastern Versions of the Legend of Rhapsinitus*, 1869; *Buddhistical Stories, translated from the Tibetan*, 1875-7; *On Vasubandhu's Gâtthasangraha (the Tibetan Dhammapada)*, 1878. Besides, he separately published in Tibetan and German *Vimalaprabhottaravandit*, 1858, and *Tibetan History of Buddhism in India*, 1869; in Tibetan and Latin, *Bharata's Answers*, 1875, and the ancient Sanskrit-Tibetan-Mongolian glossary *Mahalegypatti* (1859). When his fatal illness overtook him he was busy collecting and arranging materials towards a work on the Bonpo or pre-Buddhistical religion of Tibet.

Schiefner possessed also a respectable acquaintance with Mongolian; but, unlike his predecessor I. J. Schmidt, who was a far better Mongolian than Tibetan scholar, he confined his principal labours to the Tibetan language.

In the second place, he was for a considerable number of years engaged in arranging and preparing for publication the memoirs and linguistic materials left by the great Finnic ethnologist Alexander Castrén, who, after spending twelve years among the various Ugro-Finnic tribes of Norway, Lapland, and Siberia, had finally succumbed in the prime of life to the hardships which he had had to endure. Of the twelve volumes brought out by Schiefner from 1853 to 1861 only two are narrative; seven treat of the languages of the Samoyedic tribes, the Koibal, Karagass, Tungusian, Buryat, Ostiak, and Kottio tongues, and three contain Castrén's researches on the mythology, ethnology, and literature of the

tribes he had visited. This mass of valuable material would probably long have remained dormant in the University library at Helsingfors had not Schiefner, at the instance of the Imperial Academy, arranged it and made it generally accessible in a lucid translation from the Swedish original into German. His independent works under this head comprise translations of the great Finnic epic *Kalevala* (1852), the heroic poetry of the Tartars of Minussin (1859), and the lays of the Wotes (1855), as well as a number of highly interesting essays on Finnic mythology (1850-62).

While he was still in the midst of these studies, the languages of the Caucasus began to engage his attention; and it was in the first place the Tush language on the analysis of which he brought to bear his wonderful philological acumen. After a preliminary report on that language, which he laid before the Academy in June, 1854, he brought out two years later a full treatise upon it. It was mainly through the study of this work that Baron P. Uslar was stimulated to investigating on the spot also the other languages of the Caucasus. In a full account by Schiefner of recent researches on these languages, which will be found incorporated in the Annual Address of the President of the Philological Society, just published, the author speaks of his own share in those most difficult researches with a modesty and reserve which might well be commended to linguistic scholars of far inferior abilities and attainments.

As that statement can easily be referred to for further details, it may here suffice to enumerate the various memoirs by Schiefner on those languages:—*Essay on the Avar* (1862), followed (in 1872) by a more comprehensive account of that language and a collection of Avar texts, with a translation; *Essay on the language of the Udes* (1864); *on P. von Uslar's Abchasi studies* (1863); *Researches into the Tchetchen language* (1864); *Report on P. von Uslar's Kasi Kamuk studies* (1866); *Reports on P. von Uslar's investigation of the Hurkanian* (1871), and *Kürinian languages* (1873). Also of the Ossetic language (which, though locally Caucasian, belongs to the Iranian group) Schiefner had made himself master; a number of translations from that language by his pen, several of them accompanied with the original text, appeared in the *Bulletin* of the Academy in the years 1862 to 1867. For many of his linguistic investigations he had, with as much tact as patience, availed himself of the presence in St. Petersburg of natives (soldiers chiefly) of the districts on the languages of which he happened to be engaged. The importance, however, of the vast mass of linguistic material thus opened up by him, and of the results to which his investiga-

tions led, has not yet been fully realized, except so far, perhaps, as his numerous contributions to our knowledge of Eastern fables are concerned, for which branch of literature he evinced throughout his works a keen appreciation.

While his loss as the representative authority on all matters concerning Tibetan literature and the languages of the Caucasus is felt to be irreparable, it is not less deplored by many *savants* in this country, as well as in France, Germany, and

Austria, with whom he was allied by ties of intimate friendship.

He visited England three times for the purpose of literary researches—in 1863, 1867, and 1878—and he will long be remembered here for his single-heartedness, his sprightly conversation, and his unswerving devotion to the scientific pursuits which he had made the task of his life.¹

R. ROST.

• January 1, 1880.

BOOK NOTICES.

BUDDHA GAYĀ, the Hermitage of Śākya Muni. By Rājendralāla Mitra, LL.D., C.I.E., &c. &c. *Published under orders of the Government of Bengal.* Calcutta, 1878.

First Notice.

Outwardly this is a large quarto volume, handsomely printed, of 258 pages of text and 16 of preface, contents and errata, with fifty-one plates—34 tinted and 5 plain lithographs, and 12 photographs. Published by orders of the Bengal Government, no pains has been spared to make it look well by printers or lithographers.

Buddha Gayā, the birthplace of Buddhism, the Holy City of the great monastic religion of Eastern Asia, is a place of much interest, and the illustration of its history and antiquities is well deserving the patronage of Government. Its remains had already been to some extent illustrated by Buchanan Hamilton, Major M. Kittoe, General Cunningham, Mr. Fergusson, and others, and in this bulky volume Dr. Rājendralāla Mitra takes up the subject with a view, we might expect, to an exhaustive treatment of it. It may therefore not be out of place here to examine, somewhat in detail, how he has performed this public task, and to indicate the quality of the contents of this large volume. The author has such a repute as a scholar, that no one can wonder that the Bengal Government entrusted him with so important a duty. But of late he has so frequently contradicted our greatest authorities in Indian Antiquities—J. Fergusson, D.C.L., and General Cunningham—men of true genius, who have done lasting service to the subject of their special study,—that it seems desirable to learn whether he or they speak with the greater knowledge and authority, or whether the Bengali Doctor is not liable to mistakes of even more serious character than the authorities he differs from.

The great point aimed at in the volume seems to be to prove that Mr. Fergusson is wrong in attributing the building of the great Buddha-Gayā

temple to the Burmese,—though his opinion is fully borne out by the style, especially when compared with that of existing remains of temples of about the same age in Burma,² and supported by the evidence of inscriptions, one of which records the repairs or rebuilding of the temple in 1106 A.D.,³ and the other distinctly states (p. 208) that this temple “was constructed a fourth time and finished on Friday the 10th day of Pyadola in the Sakkarāj year 667 (1305 A.D).” The author on the contrary holds that—as there was a great temple here in the 7th century,—that still existing must be the same: the Burmese could only have plastered it and done some trifling repairs!

At p. 102 we meet a statement that might have been expected in Baron Munchausen, and there is no correction of it in the Errata: it is to the effect that the author measured ten bricks, and the first was 15' × 5' 0" × 9' 5" × 2' 7":—that brick of four dimensions would be a puzzle for mathematicians who assert that there are only three dimensions; and the other nine were also extraordinary bricks, for they measured from 14' to 16 feet in length, some 9' 8" and others 10' 5" broad and 2' 3" to 2' 7" thick! We have seen big bricks of great age, but nothing like the size of these: possibly, however, it is a mistake or rather a series of mistakes, of which the author as well as the printer must share the blame.

We pass from the author's ideas on the representation of the sizes of bricks, to those on Palæontology, which are as strange. At p. 213 he remarks that the “Chhadanta” elephant, so well known in Buddhist mythology, could have been neither a *Mastodon trilopodon* nor *M. tetralopodon*, nor yet an *Elephas* of the *stegodon*, *lucodon* or *enelephas* species, but probably the extinct *Hippopotamus Sivalensis*! This long extinct fossil animal he believes to have been remembered by the early Hindus (p. 153). Are the representations of the Chhadanta Elephant like a Hippopotamus?

¹ From *The Athenæum* of January 24.

² It is nearly a counterpart of the Bodhidharma temple at Pagan which was erected by king Jayasinha, 1204-1227

A.D.—See Crawford's *Embassy to Ava* (8vo ed.), vol. I. p. 117.

³ See *Jour. A. S. Ben.* vol. XXXVII. p. 97.

Some of the illustrations will amuse, if they do not perplex, the reader: thus on plate vii. is given a photograph of the 'Southern Façade of the Great Temple', which is intelligible enough, but the next plate is the same thing "restored." No one looking at it would suppose that the first could ever be *restored* so as to look the least like the second; their proportionate heights to the bottom of the finial (which is destroyed in the first) are as 3 to 5. We turn to the text p. 79, and are told in a footnote that the plate is wrong; "the number of storeys above the terrace should be eight as in the photograph" (the first we suppose) "and not nine." But even this correction would not make the two in any way comparable. The author in trying his hand at a 'restored drawing' has failed to see that both the proportions and the details of the original should be followed; and, not having attended to this, his restoration is a delusion. After comparing these two plates, on looking next at the 'restored Porch of the Great Temple' in plate xix, of which "there is at present no such structure," the reader will have no hesitation in concluding that it never did nor could have existed—unless it had been put up in the 19th century.

This failure to comprehend what is before him, must seriously affect the value of any reconstruction Dr. Rājendralāla may attempt. We doubt if he so understands the elements that enter into the distinction of successive forms of a style, as to be able to judge of the value of a restoration of any building.

From architecture we turn to the sculptures represented in his many tinted plates; and of these we first remark generally that the features given to the figures are not such as are usually met with in Hindu or Buddhist sculptures: the faces are two narrow below, and just such as students from our Schools of Art are most apt to draw if not carefully watched and kept right. In the smaller details also there are errors of carelessness and inaccuracy that the author ought not to have tolerated: some of these we shall remark below.

Dr. Rājendralāla, however, whilst intent on contradicting what he considers the mistakes of General Cunningham, Mr. J. Fergusson and Professor Weber, has but short space to devote to the description and explanation of the details of the sculptures he presents; but being a native of India, and having a great reputation for scholarship, it could hardly be supposed he would make any mistake in mythology. We are sorry, however, to have to point out even in this department such errors as he might surely have easily avoided.

At p. 133 is a very meagre account of the sculpture on plate xx. fig. 3. The central figure is Buddha under the Bodhi tree conventionally represented by two leaves; and round him are some of the principal scenes in the legend of his life. Below, on his right, is a representation of the story told by Hiwen Thsang, of Buddha at Mathurā, when the monkey brought him a pot of honey and then fell into a tank,⁴ and was drowned, but, for this meritorious act, became a man in the next birth. Above this is the well-known incident at Rājagṛīha, in which the elephant let loose to kill Buddha, is represented⁵ kneeling at the feet of the teacher. Above this, again, is the Baṇāras scene of Buddha seated (in the *dharmachakra mudrā*) and first preaching his law. On the opposite side a similar representation is perhaps intended to represent him on his visit to Svarga to teach his mother; and just below it is the scene where Mahā Modgalāyana requested him to descend. Below this is Māyādevī holding the branch of a tree;⁶ and on the lowest compartment is represented, according to custom, the maker of the image. Above all is the *Nirvāṇa* scene. Such slabs are abundant about Buddha-Gaya, all representing the same favourite scenes, none of which need be mistaken. In the Pāṇḍava temple there is a very good example of it where the monkey in the first and the elephant in the second scene are very well cut; also the deer below the Baṇāras scene, and a sāl tree at the head and feet of the *nirvāṇa* figure; while in the Svarga scene a disciple is making *namaṣkāra* to the standing Buddha, as related by Fa-Hien; and the infant Buddha is represented as being born from the side of Māyā—Śakra making music at the great event. Round the nimbus is the formula *Ye dharma hetu*, &c., and on the pedestal is an inscription, dated in the 11th year of King Mahipāla's reign which reads thus:—

[1] तद्वत्वाचार्योपाध्यायमातापितृपूर्वज्जमे कुत्वा
सकलसन्वराक्षरनुत्तरज्ञानावाप्तय इति महा-

[2] मेश्वरपरमभट्टारकपरमसौगतश्रीमन्महीपाल-
देवप्रवर्द्धमानविजयराज्ये एकादशमे सम्वत्सरे अभिलिख्य

[3] पञ्चम्यां तिथौ गन्धकुटीद्वयसहितादृषभहस्ति..
कारित्ताविति ॥

Why has Dr. Rājendralāla passed over all this in entire silence? The details are just what give interest to the sculpture, and the inscription so far fixes its age.

On plate xxx. are represented three figures, which he regards as 'Buddha and attendants or disciples' (p. 135): but if we look at the two

⁴ Here the draughtsman has omitted his tail.

⁵ Here again the elephant's head, represented in the sculpture, is omitted in the drawing.

⁶ This is so badly drawn that no one would recognise even the attitude.

attendants, the *ushnîś* (turban) or top-knot at once indicates that they are not *disciples* (who are never so represented), but all three are Buddhas. On plate xxi. fig. 3 is another similar sculpture which he has failed to explain (p. 135), though it is plainly enough Buddha travelling, with *Indra* the *rāja* of *Swarga* carrying the umbrella over him, and *Nāgārāja* the king of *Pātala* with the *saptaphana* or seven-hooded cobra⁷ and bearing the *kamandalu* or water bottle.

The object in the lap of Buddha (plate xxiii. fig. 2) seems to have perplexed our author (p. 134), yet it is quite common in the later representations of Buddha, and indicates no penance, as he imagines, but is simply the *bhikṣu-pātra*.⁸

With the representations of the Bodhisattvas he seems to be even less acquainted than with those of the Buddhas; for, (on p. 136) he makes out fig. 2⁹ on pl. xiii, with the *chakra chinha* on his hand, to be *Avalokiteśvara*—which it certainly is not. On pl. xx. fig. 1, the figure now worshipped as *Tārā dēvī*, was indeed originally a form of *Padmapāṇi*, though it is hardly ever recognised as such, but is well known as *Sīmaṇṭha Lokēśvara*—easily recognised by the lion which attends him, and on which he is sometimes represented as seated. At the right side of this figure is cut, in letters of the 8th or 9th century, the words *Śrī Buddhānāgasya*, which neither the artist nor the author has noticed. The figure on pl. xxii. fig. 3 may be *Padmapāṇi*, as he says,—but it is much more like *Minaṇṭha* or *Manjughosha*; and that on plate xxiii. fig. 6, is not *Padmapāṇi*, for it has a bell in the one hand and a *jala-pātra* in the other, and is a figure frequently seen in temples of the *Ābhyantera* sect of Buddhists. Figure 3 on the same plate is similarly wrongly called *Padmapāṇi* (p. 136), for it has the northern style of dress, described by *Varāha Mihira* as appropriate to *Sūrya*, with stockings on his feet and two lotus flowers in his hands.

Figure 2 on pl. xxii is the image now worshipped as *Vāgīśvara*. This the author mistakes for *Vajrapāṇi*, whilst it is undoubtedly a form of *Manjuśrī*, the Buddhist god of learning, of which *Vāgīśvara* (*Vāk-īśvara*) is a well known name among the Buddhists of *Nepāl*,—a fact that might have kept the learned author right. The three figures (pls. xxix. and xxvi. figs. 1 and 3) which he calls *Māyādēvīs* (p. 137), we suspect are not so, for what the *Bābu* calls a

tilaka is really a third eye which *Māyādevī* is never represented with;—these are most probably Tantric forms of *Tārā*.

The supposed female Demon, plate xxxi. fig. 2, is *Vasudhārā*,—and here again the *Bābu* perhaps forgot his spectacles when he examined the original, for there are no horses on the stone (as on his drawing) but pigs! This figure is common on the east side of the great tope at *Sānchi*: at least three lay there, not long ago, among the ruins. In *Banāras* there is a fine black stone one with seven hogs below, and an inscription; and there one of the faces of the *dēvī* is also that of a pig.

Again fig. 1 plate xxxi. is another image of *Vasudhārā*, which he “fancies” is “intended for *Sāvitṛī Dēvī*, who has apparently seized an opportunity to have a drive in the chariot of her husband the sun-god *Sūrya*” (p. 132). Where in India did he get hold of such an idea? and the horses!—why, he has indeed represented them by seven horse heads, but the stone has *boars*!

Plate xxxi. fig. 3 represents an image of a *dēvī*, brought from the ruins of the monastery to the north of the temple:¹⁰ by estimation it is about 7½ feet high by 4 broad. This figure with its nine pairs of hands¹¹ is not accurately described by our author, (p. 138) nor does he notice the inscription below it in letters of the 9th or 10th century, which reads,—

[1] देवभर्मोयं प्रवरमहायानयायिनो महाक्षपटलव्यक्तकरणि-
कश्रीशुभकुरस्य यदत्र पुण्यं तद्वत्वाचार्योपाध्यायमातापि-
नृवृक्षमं कृत्वा सकलस-

[2] खराशेरनुनरज्ञानावापये.

What he calls in the text (p. 138) ‘another representation of this *dēvī*,’ but on the plate (xx. fig. 2) ‘a figure of Buddha,’—is a form of *Tārā*.

Figure 2 on pl. xxi. he calls a *Nāgakanyā* (p. 138): why a mother with her child in her lap should be called a *kanyā* or ‘maiden,’ he does not tell us. The dress is sufficient to show that his “devotee,” on pl. xxiii, is only a *śulika* or figure of a laic, such as were often presented by worshippers to the temples.

Even Hindu gods, the author misnames; thus (p. 139) he calls fig. 4 on pl. xx. *Prithvīdēvī*, whereas it is evidently *Yamunā* on the tortoise, as represented again and again at *Elmrā*, at *Udayagiri* in *Bhopal*, and elsewhere. Fig. 4 on pl. xxxi. he has not recognised as the Buddhist *Mahākālā*. The subject represented in plate xxvi. fig. 2 is at the Mahant’s monastery, built into

chakra mudrā: the right hands from below are,—2nd broken; 3rd in the *varā mudrā* (blessing with prosperity); 4th, holds the *khadga* or sword; 5th, in the *tanjantī* attitude, or bidding begone; 6th, holds the *bljapura* or citron; 7th, the *ankuśa*; 8th, the *vajra*; and 9th, the *paraśu*. Of the left, the 2nd is broken, the 3rd holds the *danda*; 4th, the lotus stalk; 5th, the *kalāśa*; 6th, the *pāśa*; 7th, the *chakra*; 8th, the *dhvaja* (?); and the 9th is broken.

⁷ This again is quite misrepresented in the plate.

⁸ It is almost always so represented in the images in *Nepāl*.

⁹ He says “fig. 1,”—but mistakes of this kind are numerous; on p. 139 he refers to fig. 4 on “plate xxx,” when he must mean pl. xxxi. On p. 125 he has *Saka* 1230=1153 A.D.

¹⁰ So an old man informed the writer.

¹¹ The front or proper pair of hands are in the *dharma-*

the south-east corner of the surrounding wall, outside: below is an inscription in characters of the 8th or 9th century:—*Paindāpātikadhīyendrabhadrasya*—“(Gift) of Paindāpātika Dhīyendrabhadra.” The two figures on which the third stands are—Bhūtī under the left foot, and under the right Bhūto: it is a Tantric or secret image of a Bodhisattva,¹² but Dr. Rājendralāla passes it off (p. 139), simply as a figure of Bhairava.

On plate xxxiv. fig. 2 he has a long story (pp. 155-56) to tell, which seems to have little to do with the sculpture except that it relates to a Kinnari: but the Buddhist Kinnaras had human busts and birds' wings and feet. We may refer for examples to the Barahāt tope, early Mathurā, and Māvallipuram sculptures, or the wall-paintings at Ajanta. But the figure here has not a horse's head even (as the Brahmanica legends represent the Kinnaras), it has the short mane and long ears of an ass.¹³ How would it wear the “jewelled coronet” he speaks of? On the inner side of a pillar of the rail of the second stūpa at Sānchi is a similar sculpture where the second figure is a child with three mangoes in his hand, and carried on her side over the rocks by this asinine mother. So here, we suspect, it is her son she holds by the hand. A third example was found recently by Mr. Burgess at Bhājā where it occurs in the midst of a crowd of other figures. It most probably relates to some story of a Gardabhī dēvi, as yet unknown.

Plate xxxv. fig. 5 represents a man sitting in front of a cave on a rocky hill,—not a stone house as the Bābu has it (p. 157). In all sculptures and paintings of the Buddhist period, hills are represented as in this figure.

The “acrobatic performance” (p. 158) in fig. 1 plate xxxvii. is familiar to us in Buddhist sculptures as a *Gandhārvayugmā*, or a Gandharva and Apsara; and fig. 6 is well known as a *kīrttimukha* (also plate xlv. fig. 5).

Plate xliii.—the *Dharmadhātumanḍala* perplexes the learned doctor (p. 143)—he does not even give its name. But in the area of almost every Buddhist temple two or more of these are to be seen of stone or brass or other metal.¹⁴ They vary in details but are all of the same type. The outer band is not “of leaves” as he describes it, but flames, and the circle is called *jāḍīvali*; the 2nd, *vajrāvali*; and the 3rd, *padmāvali*. Inside this is the *jagati* (or surrounding wall) with its four doors, and in the centre is the *dsana* or seat, upon which is sometimes laid a large *vajra*. At the Svayambhunāth tope in Nepāl is a large gilt copper *Dharmadhātumanḍala* and on it lies a huge *vajra* with an inscription of

the time of Pratāpamalla. The Mahāyāna sectaries say that Indra made such a throne for Śākya Muni when he was about to become a Buddha: it closely resembles the *śamavasāra* of the Jains.

More than two pages (160-63) are devoted to prove that General Cunningham was quite wrong in supposing that the figure in plate 1. represents Apollo or Sūrya. Now General Cunningham's account is quite correct—he speaks of the “two attendants” however without stating that they are females. What the Bābu thinks the sculpture does represent he does not make very clear. We hold that it is Sūrya in his chariot; and similar figures may be seen at Elurā and Sānchi, and always with his two wives Chhāyā and Prabhā, shooting at the Rākshasas. Mortal women do not usually shoot or fight for their husbands in Hindu poetry; the goddesses only do so: and this alone is fatal to the idea of its being any rāja or human being who is driven in the chariot.

We have now indicated sufficient mistakes, we think, to show how unscientific Dr. Rājendralāla is in his treatment of these parts of his subject, in which he ought to be most at home, and the reader will be surprised to learn from these specimens that the great native savant of Calcutta should have been guilty of so uncritical a spirit. If those he criticises are liable to occasional errors, he himself is quite as much so. We reserve the examination of the inscriptions for another occasion.

(To be continued.)

Die RĀVANAVALA, Von. S. GOLDSCHMIDT, (1st part,) Strassburg; K. J. Trübner, 194 pp. 4to.

The first instalment of Professor S. Goldschmidt's long-promised edition of the *Rāvanavala* (more generally known as the *Setubandha* or *Setuprabandha*) has recently appeared at Strassburg. It gives the Prākṛit text with various readings and critical notes, a complete Index (Prākṛit and Sanskrit,) and an introductory essay on the materials from which the editor has constituted his text, and the principles of criticism by which he has been guided. A full account of this important publication will be rendered in the *Indian Antiquary* when the second instalment shall have appeared, which will give a German translation, explanatory notes, and a general introduction on the author and his work. Suffice it here to say, that this edition, the outcome of many years of patient labour and research, will be sure to secure for Professor Goldschmidt the thanks of Sanskrit scholars for having so successfully accomplished what may fairly be considered as one of the most difficult tasks in Indian philology. R. R.

¹² A counterpart of this figure may be seen in a plate in Raffles's *Java* (vol. II. p. 56), from a metal cast found near the mountain Dieng or Prabu.

¹³ We always refer to the originals: the plates, as already noted, not being quite trustworthy.

¹⁴ They can be bought in Nepal for Rs. 10 to 12.

THE GANGAI-KONDAPURAM ŚAIVA TEMPLE.

BY COLONEL B. R. BRANFILL.

THE great Śaiva temple at Gaṅgai-kondapuram, in the extreme north-east part of the Trichinopoly district, twenty miles south-west of Chidambaram, and five miles north of the Kollidam (Coleroon), is the finest and grandest Indian temple I have seen, but is simple in design and chaste in ornament. This style of temple, built on anything like this scale, is very rare. The great temple at Tanjore and one other near Kumbakōnam are the only comparable examples I can recall. That it is no common specimen may be gathered from Mr. Moore's *Trichinopoly District Manual*, p. 343, whence the following extracts are taken :—

"In a letter published in the *Pall Mall Gazette*, Dr. Caldwell has remarked that he has reason to hope that future enquiry will firmly establish a supposition formed by him, that this temple is one of the great, if not the greatest of, parent Hindu temples. He believes that the old and splendid temple of Tanjore is probably merely a model of it."

Mr. Moore's description is erroneous in several particulars. The size of the outer court, 584 by 372 feet, is an interior measure for the length, which is actually 610 from wall to wall, and the breadth apparently includes the additional court of the Amman Kōvil (lady-chapel) attached, only that would bring up the width to 400 ft. There is only one Gopura (or "gate pyramid") instead of six as stated, and a wrong impression is given of the ruinous state of the buildings, which is true only of the accessories and minor parts. The principal is in very fair order, but the stone of the inscribed portions is beginning to decay and peel off.

The first object of interest here is the great stone *vimāna* dedicated to Śiva, conspicuous from its situation and size, for many miles around. Roughly speaking, it is a fac-simile, possibly the prototype, of the Great Śaiva temple at Tanjore, which it closely resembles, but it is larger in plan, built of better stone, and less spoilt by stucco and white-wash.

A strict comparison however cannot be made without studying the two temples together by means of comparable photographs, or by visiting them in succession.

The Gaṅgai-kondapuram temple, as it is now miscalled, consists of a nine-storeyed *stūbi* (steeple tower) or *vimāna* over the shrine or sanctuary, 99 feet square at the base,¹ and about 165 feet high. This appears to be larger, though not higher, than any Indian temple of which the size is given by Mr. Fergusson in his volume (*The History of Indian and Eastern Architecture*). The two lowest storeys are vertical, and composed of five towers or compartments on each face; a large one in the centre, with two narrow ones beside it, and two of medium size outside, next to the angles of the building, all of them ranged in the same line forming a side of the square. It would seem more usual in Hindu temples for the central compartment of each face to project or stand forward most, the intermediate next, and the outer, or corner towers, to stand back, or be withdrawn so as to form the angles of a smaller square than would contain the central and intermediate projections. The base of this temple conforms to a precise square. The central tower or compartment of each face is ornamented simply by 16-sided pilasters at the angles, and has a deep niche between half-pilasters in the centre, containing a well sculptured figure or group in full relief or free standing. The blank wall-space, of which there is plenty, is covered with bas-relief scenes in which Rishis bear a prominent part in company with country-folk, herdsmen, and others, in great variety. The abundance of these tableaux is a characteristic of the temple. The intermediate narrow partitions are ornamented in the same manner except that the pilasters are octagonal, whilst the outer compartments or towers at the four corners of the temple have plain square pillars and pilasters. Each storey is marked by a bold projecting cornice of single (convex) flexure, an older form than that of double flexure. Above the second storey, the tower rises in seven steps or terraces pyramidally, each terrace having five small domed cells, which cap the five tower-like compartments before mentioned, diminishing in size to the top of the pyramid. According to Fergusson, these small domed cells may be supposed

to simulate the monks' dormitories that surrounded the many-storeyed halls of the Buddhist *vihāras* or monasteries, of which the monolithic temples of 'Seven Pagodas' are early imitations. These ornamental cells form a more conspicuous characteristic of this temple than is common elsewhere; at Tanjore for instance, where there are thirteen storeys of cell terraces, the cells being only about half the size, are consequently far less noticeable individually. Above the seventh or highest row of cells, there is a roomy terrace occupied by four large bulls *couchant* at the corners, and from the centre rises a comparatively slender neck surmounted by an umbrella or semi-dome crowned by the usual *kalasa* or finial. The entire temple from base to summit is of hard stone, brought from a distance, for there is none in the neighbourhood. On the east side of this great *Stūbi* or *Vimāna* stands the *Velimāṇḍapam* or 'outer hall,' a plain rectangular building 160 feet long by 83 feet wide, with a flat roof supported by four rows of plain stone pillars and without any windows. This grand hall or covered court was evidently designed to be of two storeys in height, and was begun on that scale at the west end, but never completed, being only one storey, eighteen feet high, throughout.

Between the *Velimāṇḍapam*, or outer court, and the great *Vimāna*, there is a three-storeyed building joining them together, called *Māḍamāṇḍapam*, covering the transverse aisle between the north and south entrances by which the shrine is approached.² This portico or transept is designed and completed in keeping with the grand scale and style of the *Vimāna*. The interior is too dark for its arrangements and details to be seen, nor are strangers permitted to enter the doors. A third entrance is provided at the east end of the *Velimāṇḍapam* by a plain rectangular door in the centre, which is approached from without by a double flight of stone steps from the north and south sides, similar to the two other pairs of stairs by which the transverse aisle doors are approached. Gigantic stone warders (*dvādrapālas*) guard each entrance. This triple building (*Vimāna*, portico, and outer hall) stands upon a grandly moulded plinth 5 or 6 feet high, no part of which is flat, and the whole is supported by a basement or

terrace 5 feet above ground level, which projects $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet beyond the plinth and forms a procession-path completely round the building. It is termed in Tamil *āḷḷḍai* = "man-way" or "service-course." The mouldings below the procession-path are very bold and good, the ornament of the vertical or flat portion simulating a range of pillars or palings, alternately plain and sculptured to represent *Yāli*, (conventional lions) and various scroll-enveloped animals.

There is no trace of horizontal rails or of any wooden form of structure below the top of the plinth-moulding which is the floor level of the temple and 10 or 12 feet above the ground. Above that, wooden forms are frequent, as for instance in the projecting beam heads at all the angles, which are only slightly ornamented. The string-course of griffon heads between them, probably, also represents the ends of the intermediate timbers. The whole structure points to a style of architecture in which the basement was of masonry and the superstructure of timber.

The brackets underneath the lower projecting cornice represent rampant animals with attenuated bodies like greyhounds, of a rather wooden pattern.

The panel or flat part of the back wall of each recess, between the projecting tower-like compartments, is ornamented by a vase or some very florid object called *pūṇḍrakambam*. This is a common feature at Tanjore and elsewhere, and seems to correspond to our *cornucopia*.

The rounded plinth-moulding just above the procession-path is covered with inscriptions, mostly in old Tamil. Many of the letters correspond with those on the base of the Tanjore temple as shewn in plate xviii. of Burnell's *S. Indian Palaeography*, but others seem more modern, approximating to those on his plate xix., of the fifteenth century A. D. To the uninstructed, the architecture seems uncommonly good, chaste and archaic compared with the common great Hindu temples of Southern India. The sculptured figures and groups that occupy the niches in the middle of each compartment are very good indeed, both in design and execution. They are carved in very hard, fine grained, light coloured stone, occasionally approaching a cream colour. The figures are representations of Śiva,³ Viṣṇu and Brāhmā, all

² It measures 50 feet by 30.

³ Among some sketches by the writer, is one from a

niche representing Śiva appearing out of the side of the Liṅga, four armed, with *paraśu* and *mṛiga*, and a figure

of them less conventional and more natural than is common elsewhere.

The good state of preservation in which the temple generally now is, may be due to the hardness and durability of the stone, and to the fact that the site is very secluded and out of the busy paths of men, the highways of commerce, and tracks of armies. It has also escaped the fanatic zeal of the bigoted Moslem.

The temple stands in a courtyard 610 feet long E. and W. by 350ft. wide N. and S., which contains several good subsidiary temples,* and the remains of a double-storeyed arcade or cloister which once surrounded it entirely. The *gopuram* proper or gateway tower in the centre of the east wall, is very fine, but quite subordinate to the temple (*vimānam*) itself. It is of good stone throughout, but has begun to fall down, and will shortly be a complete ruin.⁵ In style it assimilates more to that of the *Vimānam* than the Tanjore temple *gopurams* do, they being florid and highly ornate, whereas this is more severe and chaste than its principal. The chief adornment of the temple at Gangai-konda-puram is the repetition everywhere on the cells and cornices of the fan-like window ornament resembling a spread peacock's tail.

The name *Gangai-konḍa-cholapuram* occurs frequently on the inscriptions, and would seem to indicate 'the city of Gangai-konḍa-chōḷa.' There is a place called *Gangai-konḍān* some 24 miles farther north, and the name is believed to occur elsewhere in Southern India. The local legend, perhaps invented to account for the name, states that a pious pilgrim, whose life and strength were spent in fetching water from Banāras for the worship at Rāmēśvaram, had a vision in which it was revealed to him that he should find a spring of Ganges-water at this spot, a result which his great faith enabled him to effect, doubtless to his great material comfort; and a fine well of good water countenances the tradition. 'Gangai-konḍa' might signify something like "a vision of Gaṅgā," or "water;" *gaṅgā* is not uncommon in Southern India with the meaning of *water*, especially for running water, and very many

river names in India and Ceylon have the word *Gaṅgā* affixed to them. *Gaṅgai-konḍa* or *Gaṅgai-konḍān* may mean "he who obtained (or brought) water," a title that might well have been given to, or assumed by, the prince who constructed the Ponnēri-karai, a great embankment in this neighbourhood designed for the storage and distribution of water, no less than 16 miles in length. A supply channel 60 miles long was designed to keep this great reservoir supplied with water from the Kolliḍam river, and it seems likely that the prince who designed this great water project, should have been also the founder of the great temple at the city which was to be benefited by it. The city has disappeared entirely, and the rural population is now scanty and poor, but the great temple and the skeleton of the great irrigation scheme remain to testify to the grand works that could be conceived by the minds and executed by the hands of the former rulers and people of this country. The high road from Madras to Kumbakōnam passes within a mile or so of the spot, but there is a cross road from Chidambaram railway station *via* Mannārguḍi, that leads directly to the place, the distance being about 20 miles.

For the age of this temple consult the *Madras Journal of Literature and Science*, vol. XIII. (1844), the following quotations from which are taken from Captain Carr's Collection of *Descriptive and Historical Papers relating to the Seven Pagodas* (Māvalivaram), where Sir Walter Elliot attributes this temple to Vīra Rājendra Chōḷa surnamed Koppara-Keśarivarman, and cites "inscriptions of this same prince on the magnificent temple at Gangondaram on the north bank of the Caverry, of which he appears to have been the founder," "one of these, in the 5th year of his reign (Ś. 991, A.D. 1069) runs thus; Ko-virāja-kesarivarman named Rājendra Deva, wielding the sceptre, &c. &c." "Son of Rājārāja (Narendra) Chōḷa whom he succeeded in Ś. 986" (= A.D. 1064) . . . From the remoteness of the quarries, the hardness of the stone, the great size of the building, and the vast amount of carving displayed in the basement alone, below the rounded moulding on which the

grovelling in front. This is similar to a figure in the *Dāśa Avatāra* temple at Eluru, only the subordinate figures of Vishnu and Brahmā are wanting in the southern example.—*Ed.*

* Among these are,—on the north side those of (1) Bhadrakṣī Amman, (2) nearly opposite the *Mele*- or *Sandhya*-

mandapa Sandikeśvara's, and (3) opposite the shrine, that of Brahmā Nāyiki or Vada Kailāsa. In the south-west corner is (4) that of Gaṅgā Vināyaka; (5) south of the shrine is Vēvanātha's, or Bakshira Kailāsa; and (6) south of the great *mandapa* is 'Nāriyar Kovil;' while at the east front is the bull Nandi.—*Ed.* ⁵ It measures 75 feet by 40.

inscriptions mostly occur, I do not think it probable that so much of the work could have been done in five years. The whole design was never completed, perhaps because it took longer than the reign of the prince who founded it, and I should think that the Great Vimāna alone must have been nearer 50 years than five in building.

The epoch of Vira Rājendra Chôla A.D. 1064 (to 1079 and later) seems well established, and his identity with the "Koppara-Kesarivarma, also called Uḍaiyār Sri Rājendra Devar" of the Vaishṇava (Tamil) inscriptions at the Seven Pagodas, so that the year 1000 A.D. seems hardly too early for the foundation of this temple.

NOTES ON THE TAṆḌU PULAYANS OF TRAVANKORE.

BY REV. W. J. RICHARDS, C. M. S. MISSIONARY, COTTAYAM.

The men of the Taṇḍu Pulayans (or Pulayans who wear Taṇḍa grass) wear the ordinary lower cloth of the kind worn in this country, but the distinctive name of the tribe comes from the women's dress, which is a very primitive article indeed. The leaves of a certain water-plant are cut into lengths of a foot long, and tied round the waist in such a fashion that the strings unwoven hang in a bushy tail behind, and present the same appearance in front, reaching nearly to the knees. This is accounted for by a tradition that in former days a certain high caste man of that region had been sowing grains and planting vegetables in his fields, but found that his daily work was in some unknown way frustrated; for whatever he planted or sowed in the day was carefully picked up and taken "when men slept." So he set a watch, and one night he saw, coming out of a hole hitherto unknown to him, certain beings like men but quite naked, who set to work destroying his hopes of a crop. Pursuing them, he succeeded in catching a man and woman, and he was so impressed with shame at their condition, that he gave the man his own upper cloth which was hanging on his shoulder, and made him put it on, but not having one to spare for the woman, she (following Mother Eve's example) made herself an apron of grass as above described. These were the progenitors of the

numerous slaves who are found there at this day. They are also called *Kuri*, or Pit Pulayans, from having originated as abovesaid.

Their language is Malayālam. They worship the sun and heavenly bodies, and I have seen among them a little temple about the size of a large rabbit hutch in which was a plank for the spirits of their deceased ancestors to come and rest upon.

The spirits are also supposed to fish in the backwaters, and the phosphorescent appearance, seen sometimes on the surface of the water, is taken as an indication of their presence.

The food of the Pulayans is fish often cooked with arrak and with the liliaceous roots of certain waterplants.

When visited about 11—1 o'clock they are found intoxicated, especially the men.

They live in the Malayālam country, Travankore, south of Cochin, between the backwater and the sea, and another division of them is found more south near Alleppey, who are called *Kanna Pulayans*. These wear rather better and more artistically made 'aprons.' When a girl of the Taṇḍu Pulayans puts on this garment—a sign of maturity—for the first time, there is a ceremony called the Taṇḍa marriage. The state of these poor people is virtually that of slavery, though some of them possess property. I should be glad to see in the *Antiquary* any notes of similarly dressed natives of India.

NOTE ON A ROCK CUT INSCRIPTION FROM RIWĀ.

BY DR. A. T. RUDOLF HOERNLE, PHILOLOGICAL SECRETARY, ASIATIC SOCIETY OF BENGAL.

The inscription under notice was not long ago sent by Mr. M. A. Markham, Collector of Allahabad, to the Asiatic Society of Bengal.¹ It had been discovered by him in a cave near the falls of Keoti Kuṇḍa on a tributary of the

Taṇwas in the Riwā State. Of the locality in which it was found, Mr. Markham gave the following charming description in a subsequent communication: "As the name of the place (Keoti Kuṇḍa) implies, there is a pool, into

which falls a most lovely waterfall. The river Mahânâ, which rises in the Kaimûr hills, and is a tributary of the Tanwas (Tons), here precipitates itself over a perpendicular drop of 336 feet, unbroken even by a crag. The pool or *kuṇḍa* below is almost quite circular, and is hemmed in by the perfectly perpendicular rock of the above height for about two-thirds of its circumference; the stream escaping through the opening and flowing for over two miles through

a canon of perpendicular rocks, keeping the above height almost the whole way. On one side of the 'beetling crag' is a fine old native fort, and on projecting crags on the edge of the fall are picturesque Hindu temples. Altogether it is a most lovely place."

The copy of the inscription which Mr. Markham sent, and which the accompanying woodcut represents, is apparently a mere copy made by eye on the occasion of Mr. Markham's visit to

the cave. The relative size of copy and original is not known. A squeeze or rubbing would have been more satisfactory; still the copy is evidently drawn very carefully. All the letters, with the exception of the 6th, can be easily recognized. The 6th looks, at first sight, like *no*, but there can hardly be a doubt that it is *na*, the upper horizontal stroke having become slightly displaced. The 4th and 14th are a little differently drawn, but they are clearly meant for the same letter; and the only letter that will fit both words in which they occur is *pu*.² On the whole, the letters are very fairly preserved. The inscription apparently consists of two parts; there being an interval after the tenth letter. But the whole forms one connected sentence:

Haritîpulepanî Saunaka kṛitâ pukharinî,
i. e. "the pool (cave) caused to be made by Saunaka the son of Haritî." The language, as in most cave-inscriptions of this kind, is Pāli. The addition of the final anusvāra in *pulepanî*, if it be genuine, follows a well-known Prākṛit rule (see Hema Chandra, I, 27, and compare Kachchhayana, 1, 4, 8). The diphthong *au* of Saunaka changes, as usual in Pāli, to *o*.

Pukharinî,³ or pool, is the name of the cave, which is most appropriately so called, after the pool at the foot of the rock which contains the cave. Such caves were not uncommonly named after conspicuous objects in their neighbourhood. Thus General Cunningham

in his *Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum* (p. 135) mentions a cave called *Vāpīgaka* after a fine large well immediately in front of it.

As the form of the letters shows, the inscription belongs to the period soon after Aśoka. The absence of the *mātras* or heads, and the round form of some of them (as the *p* and *s*) prove that the inscriptions may be assigned to as early a date as B.C. 200.⁴

In the communication already referred to, Mr. Markham thus speaks of another cave in the neighbourhood, containing painted figures, and hunting and battle scenes. "In another cave, near a somewhat similar fall and pool on the Tanwas itself, about 8 miles west of Keoti Kuṇḍa, I found several square yards of very well drawn, or rather painted, figures, and hunting and battle scenes, in a rather bright red. They were certainly not recent, but I could make no guess as to their age. The 'oldest inhabitant' says they were just the same as now when he was born. I wonder if they are of any value. I have no doubt that a close examination of the numerous caves would be rewarded with many inscriptions."

I believe these particular caves have not been noticed hitherto by the Archaeological Survey. Perhaps this notice may serve to direct attention to them. From Mr. Markham's statements they would appear to well deserve careful examination.

² It may be noticed that in the first inscription of the Bharhut Stūpa (see General Cunningham's *Report*, p. 123) the letter *pu* is always written like the 14th; and that *pule-na* is twice spelt with *na*, as here, but once with *na*.

³ The Sanskrit *Pushkarinî*; conf. the many 'Pokhar-nas' or pools.

⁴ See Gen. A. Cunningham, the *Stūpa of Bharhut*, p. 15. [From the length of the strokes for the vowels, I incline to think it somewhat later than the author.—Ed.]

REMARKS ON THE WORD ŚRAMAṆA.

BY REV. SAMUEL BEAL.

General Cunningham (*Bhilsa Topes* p. xii.) seems to conclude finally that Śramaṇa is a Buddhist title. But Colebrooke (*Essays*, vol. II. pp. 203, 204) arrives at another conclusion, that the followers of Buddha are clearly distinguished from Brachmanes and Sarmanes (arguing from Justin, whose opinion, however, is not final as the passage is ambiguous). Colebrooke as a general definition extends the term Samanans to ascetics of various tribes (p. 204).

But to examine Cunningham's argument. He mentions first that Buddha was called Mahā Śramaṇa, but this proves no more than that this term was employed by Buddhists, adopted by them as it was by the Jainas (Colebr. *u.s.* p. 196) and ascetics generally, as is evident from the inscription placed over the remains of the Indian who committed himself to the flames at Athens (Strabo, lib. xv. p. 720 Casaub.).

He then concludes that the Śramaṇas or Germanæ of Megasthenes must be the Buddhists, because it is said that women were allowed to join them (*συμφιλόσοφειν*) on taking vows of chastity (*ἀπεχομένας ἀφροδισίων*), adding that the Buddhists alone had nuns; but here we observe the words in the original do not bear out the assertion that the women were under vows, or became nuns; and we also find the same words used by Neurkhos (Strabo, xv., § 66 ed. Siebenkees) in reference to the Brahmanas (*συμφιλοσόφειν δ' αὐτοῖς καὶ γυναῖκας*), so that this is no corroboration of the theory.

The division of Klitarkhos of the Pramne into ὄρειοι, γυμνηταί, πολιτικοί, προσχεῖροι deserves consideration. The identification of the first with the Arhans will not, I think, prove satisfactory—first as they used the skins of stags for their clothing—studying the art of healing, &c., which does not apply to the condition of the Rahu; and secondly, as we know that at the time when Buddha became an ascetic, there were in the mountains a class of men clothed as these mountaineers are described, in skins of stags, &c. As to the γυμνηταί, it is distinctly said “γύμνους διαζήν,”

which will apply to no portion of the Buddhist community. The πολιτικοί are described as wearing skins, and having their hair bound up, which is equally foreign to Buddhist custom.

With respect to the division of the Germanæ, described by Megasthenes, into ὕλοβιοι, λατρικοί, παῖται—if the ὕλοβιοι be Alobbiya¹ in Sanskrit, it does not seem to refer exclusively to the Buddhists, for there were other ascetics who lived in woods and fed on berries; nor is it so applicable to the Buddhist as to others, for the Aranyaka or Buddhist ascetic was allowed to receive food from the householders (*vid.* Prati-moksha). With respect to the λατρικοί, even if this were a corruption of πατρικοί, how do we account for the description that they were physicians and concerned themselves with women? a thing strictly forbidden to the Buddhist.

On the whole we see no reason to argue the antiquity of the Buddhist community from any of these suggestions.

But observe, on the other hand, that the word Śramaṇa (*i.e.*, Germanæ) is distinguished by Buddha himself from the Brahman, and yet does not refer to his followers. (*Lalita Vist.*, pp. 248, 246). “Bhikshus! there are Brahmanas and Śramaṇas who believe that there is a purity resulting from abstinence,” &c., and so on, in all which passages the same division between Brahmanas and Śramaṇas is observed, which is noticed by the Greek writers above quoted. We argue therefore that this division was one generally accepted, and was equivalent to Brahmanas and non-Brahmanas.

Observe again that Buddha, when on his tour of inspection from the four gates, is described as meeting a Shaman with a joyful face, &c. So that even before he entered on a religious life we read of this sect of Sramaṇas as existing commonly in India, proving (unless we allow a great solecism) that this appellation was applied to others besides Buddhists. If this were once granted, the argument falls to the ground.

¹ Ἀλλόβιοι of Clemens Alexandrinus, *Strom.* lib. I. c. 15. —Ed.

² From *aluttdha*—content, free from covetousness.

SANSKRIT AND OLD-CANARESE INSCRIPTIONS.

BY J. F. FLEET, B.A. C.S., M.R.A.S.

(Continued from p. 103.)

No. LXXVI.

The present copper-plate grant was published originally, with a rough facsimile, by the late Bâl Gaugâdhar Sâstrî in the *Jour. As. Soc.*, Vol. II, pp. 4 and 12; and it has been repeated by Prof. Bhâṇḍîrkar at *idem*, Vol. XIV, p. 16. The lithograph now appears for the first time.

My transcription is from the original plates, which belong to 'Nansa Walad Kansu,' of Nirpuri in the Vigatpurî Tâlukâ of the Nâsik District.¹ The plates are two in number, each about 8½" long by 5¼" broad; the edges of them are raised into rims, and the inscription has been very well preserved. They are connected by two rings. The right-hand ring is a plain copper wire about ¼" thick, bent into the form of a ring of about 1½" in diameter; but the two ends of the wire do not appear to have been ever soldered together. The left-hand ring, which had not been cut when the plates came into my hands, is about ¼" thick and 1½" in diameter; the seal on it is circular, about 1½" in diameter, and has, in relief on a countersunk surface, at the top, the moon, — in the centre, the motto *Śrî-Jayâśraya*, — and at the bottom, a lotus.

It is a Western Chalukya grant of Nâgavardhana, also called Tribhuvanâśraya, the son of Jayasimhavarmanâ², also called Dharmâśraya, who was one of the younger brothers of Pulikêśî II. From the motto on the seal, it is probable that Jayasimhavarmanâ had also the title of Jayâśraya.

The grant is not dated. It records the gift of the village of Balegrâma, in the Gôparâshêtra district, to the establishment of the god Kapilêśvara, or Śiva as the wearer of a garland of skulls. This village has been identified for me by Mr. J. A. Baines, C. S., with the modern Belgaum-Tarâlhâ about twelve miles to the north-east from Vigatpurî.

The doubtful points about this grant are,—1, that it is in the Gûrjara characters; 2, that it gives the title of Satyâśraya to Kirttivarmanâ I; 3, that it allots the horse of the breed called Chitrakanṭha' to Pulikêśî II; and 4, that it

speaks of Pulikêśî II as a *parama-mâhêśvara*. But, on the whole, I am not inclined to question its genuineness. Considering the locality from which the grant comes, — so far to the north from Vâtîpî, which was then the capital of the Western Chalukyas; and comparatively so near to the Gûrjara capital, it is probable enough that the Gûrjara characters would be used, instead of the Western Chalukya. Another result of this branch of the Western Chalukyas being located in so far an outlying part of their dominions may easily have been a want of knowledge as to the exact nature of the genealogical and historical statements recorded in the Western Chalukya grants. Or even a mere act of carelessness on the part of the engraver may have given the title of Satyâśraya to Kirttivarmanâ I, instead of to Pulikêśî II., to whom, by the way, no second title at all is allotted in this grant. And though it is not at all likely that the worship of Mahêśvara, or Śiva, by Pulikêśî II. was ever so exclusive as to justify his being called a *parama-mâhêśvara*; yet the Western Chalukyas undoubtedly encouraged the worship of Śiva quite as liberally as the worship of Brahmâ and Viṣṇu and Jinêndra.

The Nâgavardhana who is mentioned in line 13 must have been the preceptor of Pulikêśî II. Such at least is the strictly correct interpretation of the epithet *Śrî-Nâgavardhana-pâdâvudhyâto*, which is applied here to Pulikêśî II. In some other inscriptions, — e. g., Nos. 3 to 10 of Dr. Bühler's Chalukya grants at Vol. VI, p. 180; and the 'Sihvar' grant of Jayachandra in *The Pandit*, Vol. IV, p. 94, — *pâdâvudhyâto* is used, by itself, to denote the relationship of son to father; and in the two Valabî grants published by Dr. Bühler at Vol. VI, pp. 13 and 17, it is used, in addition to *tesya satâh* and *tesya dâvajâh*, to express the bond of filial and fraternal affection and respect uniting a son to his father and a younger to his elder brother. But, to interpret it otherwise than in its literal sense here, would necessitate our taking Nâgavardhana to be another name of Kirttivarmanâ I; and there is nowhere any corroborative evidence to justify

¹ When Bâl Gaugâdhar Sâstrî published the grant, the plates belonged "to Nansa Bhala Thakûr, formerly of Kavanâi in the valley of Trimbakêśwar, and now of Nând-

gaum in the Northern Koikna."

² For brevity, and convenience of distinction, I shall call him in future notices Jayasimha II.

this. It is plainly used here in the sense in which it occurs in *Sāmi-Mahāśūna-pādānuḍhyāta* in, e.g., line 1 of No. XL, at Vol. VII, p. 161, and in *Bhagavat-pādānuḍhyāta* in line 13-14 of

No. XII, at Vol. V, p. 51, and in line 12-13 of No. XV, at *id.*, p. 155; and it has the same purport as *pādābhakta*, which occurs in line 14 of No. XII, and in line 13 of No. XV.

Transcription.

First plate.

- [¹] Svasti | (||) Jayaty-āvishkṛitām Viṣṇôṛ=vvârâham kshôbhit-ârṇṇavam | dakṣiṇ-ônṇata-
 [²] damṣhṭr-âgrâ-viśrânta-bhuvanam vapuḥ || Śrīmatām sakala-bhuvana-samstīyamāna-Mā-
 [³] navya-sagôṭrāṇām Hārīti-putrāṇām sapta-lôkamâtribhiḥ sapta-mâtribhiḥ
 [⁴] r=abhivardhi³tānām Kārttikēya-parirakṣaṇ-âvâpta-kalyāṇa-paramparāṇām
 [⁵] bhagavan-Nārāyaṇa-prasāda-samāsādita-varāha-lāṇchh⁴(=nichha)n-ôkṣhaṇa⁵-
 [⁶] kṣhaṇa-vaśikṛit-âśôsha-mahābhīṣitām Chalukyānām⁶ kulam=ulamkarishṇôṛ=a-
 [⁷] śvamêdh-âvabhīṣita-snāna-pavitrikṛita-gātrasya satyâśraya-Śrī-Kīrtti-varmma-
 [⁸] rājasy=âtma-jô=nôka-narapati-śata-makuta-taṭa-kôṭi-ghṛishta-charaṇ-âravi-
 [⁹] ndô Mōru-Malaya-Mandara-samāna-dhairyyô-har-ahar=abhi vardhamāna-vara-kari-ra-
 [¹⁰] tha-turaga-padāti-balô manôjav-aika-Kam'haçhit⁷-âkhyah(khya)-pravara-taramga-
 [¹¹] mōn(ṇ)=ôpārjita-svarājya-vijita-Chêra-Chôla-Pāṇḍya-kramâgata-rājya-tra-
 [¹²] yah śrīmad-uttarâpath-âdhipati-Śrī-Harsha-

Second plate.

- [¹³] parājay-ôpalabdh-âpara-nāmadhōyah Śrī-Nāgavardhana-pād-ânu.
 [¹⁴] dhyāta[h*] parama-mâhêśvaraḥ Śrī-Pulakêśivallabhaḥ tasy=ânujô bhṛtâ vijit-â-
 [¹⁵] ri-sakala-pakshô dharâśrayah Śrī-Jayasiṅgha(sc. sīmha)varma-râjas=tasya sūnus=
 tri(tri)-bhuvanâ-
 [¹⁶] śraya[h*] Śrī-Nāgavardhana-rājah sarvvân=êv=âgâmi-varṭtamāna-bhavishyâ[m*]⁸=cha
 narapa-
 [¹⁷] tīn=sāmanudarsāyaty=astu vah samūditām yath=âsmâbhir=Gôparâshṭra-vishay-âmta[h*]-
 [¹⁸] pāti-Balegrāma[h*] sôdraṅgaḥ sa(sô)parikara a-châṭa-bhaṭa-pravêśya â-chamdr-ârkk-⁹
 ârṇṇava-
 [¹⁹] kshiti-sthiti-samakâlina[m*] mâta-pitrôr=uddiśy=âtmanaś=cha vipula-puṇya-yaśô-bhi-
 [²⁰] vṛidhy(ddhy)-arttham Balâmma-Thakkura-vijñaptikayâ Kâpâlêśvarasya Guggula-pûjâ-
 nimitta[m*]
 [²¹] tan-[n*]ivâsi-mahâvratibhya upabhôgâya salila-pûrvvakam pratipâditas=tad=asmad-vam'syai-
 [²²] r=anyair=vv=âgâmi-nripatibhi[h*] śarad-abhra-chamchalam jivitam=âkaly(layy)=âyam=
 asmad-dâṇyô=numantavya[h*]
 [²³] pratipālayitavyaś=ch-êty-uktam bhagavatâ Vyâsēna | Bahubhir=vvasudhâ bhuktâ rāja-
 [²⁴] bhis=Sagar-âdibhiḥ yasya yasya yadâ bhūmis=tasya tasya tadâ phalam=iti | (||)
 [²⁵] Sva-dattām para-dattām vâ yô harêta vasumdharām shashṭīm varisha(sc. varsha)-sahasrâṇi
 viśṭhâyām jâyatô kṛimi[h*] ||

Translation.

Hail ! Victorious is the form, which was that of a boar, that was manifested of (the god) Viṣṇu,—which troubled the ocean, and which

had the earth resting upon the tip of its up-lifted right-hand tusk !

(L. 2.)—The son of the king Śrī-Kīrtti-varmâ, the asylum of truth¹⁰,—whose body

³ The rule of doubling consonants after the letter *r* is sometimes attended to, and sometimes not, in this grant.

⁴ Conf. *pañcha* for *pañcha* in l. 14 of the Hâd Gûrjara grant at *Jour. Bo. Br. R. As. Soc.*, Vol. X, p. 19.

⁵ This form of the *n* occurs only here, and in *sahasrâṇi*, l. 25, and, doubled, in *ârṇṇavam*, l. 1. The second, and more usual, form of *n* used in this inscription, occurs in the doubled form in *ârṇṇava*, l. 18.

⁶ Prof. Bhândârkar reads, and translates, *Châlukyânâm*, with the vowel of the first syllable long ; but he is wrong.

⁷ This, of course, is a mistake for *Chitrakanth*.

⁸ As we have already had *âgâmi*, this use of *bhavishya* is a tautology.

⁹ It is not usual to express the double *kka* by an almost complete repetition of the letter, as is done here and in l. 20. We have an analogous instance to the present one in the word *Karkkâdhyâpaka* in line 88 of Prof. Dowson's first Gûrjara grant at *Jour. R. As. Soc.*, New Series, Vol. I, p. 247.

¹⁰ *Satyâśraya*.

was purified by ablutions performed after celebrating horse-sacrifices; and who adorned the family of the Chālukyas, who are glorious, and who are of the kindred of Mānavya which is praised throughout the whole world, and who are the descendants of Hārītī, and who are nourished by seven mothers who are the mothers of mankind, and who have an uninterrupted continuity of prosperity acquired by the protection of (*the god*) Kārttikēya, and who have had all kings made subject to them on the instant at the sight of the sign of the boar which they acquired through the favour of the holy (*god*) Nārāyaṇa, —was Śrī-Pulakāśīvallabha, the water-lilies of whose feet were scratched by the edges of the diadems of many hundreds of kings; who was equal in steadfastness to (*the mountains*) Mēru and Malaya and Mandara; the army of whose excellent elephants and chariots and horses and footsoldiers was always increasing day by day; who acquired his own kingdom, and conquered the three hereditary kingdoms of the Chēras and the Chōlas and the Pāṇdyas, by means of one horse, as swift as thought, of the breed called Chitrakaṇṭha¹¹; who possessed a second name¹² which he had acquired by defeating the glorious Śrī-Harsha, the supreme lord of the region of the north; who meditated on the feet of Śrī-Nāgavardhana; and who was a devout worshipper of (*the god*) Mahēśvara.

(L. 14.)—His younger brother was the king Śrī-Jayasiṃhavarman, the asylum of the earth¹³, who conquered all the ranks of his enemies.

(L. 15.)—His son, the king Śrī-Nāgavardhana, the asylum of the three worlds¹⁴, issues his commands to all future and present and future¹⁵ kings:—

(L. 17.)—“Be it known to you that, for the sake of (*Our*) parents and in order that We Ourselves may acquire great religious merit and fame, the village of Balegrāma, which lies in the district of Gōparāṣṭra, has been given by us, at the request of Balānma-T'hakkura, with libations of water, and together with the *ulraṅga*

and the *uparikara*, and not to be entered by the irregular or the regular troops, and to endure as long as the moon and the sun and the ocean and the earth may last, for the purpose of the (*rite called*) *Guggula-pūjā*¹⁶ of the temple of (*the god*) Kapālēśvara¹⁷, and, for their usufruct, to the great ascetics who reside at that (*temple*). Therefore this our gift should be assented to and preserved by future kings, whether of our lineage or others, having borne in mind that life is as transient as an autumn-cloud.”

(L. 23.)—And so it has been said by the holy Vyāsa:—Land has been enjoyed by many kings, commencing with Sagara; he, who for the time being possesses land, enjoys the fruits of it! He is born as a worm in ordure for the duration of sixty thousand years, who confiscates land that has been given, whether by himself or by another!

No. LXXVII.

This is another of the Nerūr plates, of which I have spoken at Vol. VII, p. 161. General LeGrand Jacob gave a notice of this grant, but did not publish it in detail. He treated it, in fact, as two separate inscriptions; the first and second plates being given as No. V in his paper, and the third plate as No. VII. It is plain, however, from the measurement of the plates, and from the uniformity of the writing, and from the context of lines 31 and 32 in my transcription, that we have one entire grant, and not parts of two separate grants.

My transcription is from the original plates, which are three in number. The first measures about 8½" long, the ends of the lines being broken off, by 4" broad. The second is entire, and measures 9½" long by 4½" broad. The third plate, again, is only a fragment, the last two or three lines being broken off; it measures 9¼" long by 3" broad. Measuring from the top and the left side of each plate, the ring-hole occupies exactly the same position in plate III as in plates I and II. The edges of the plates are raised into rims to protect the writings. In addition to the parts broken away, plates I, II b, and III are a good deal damaged by rust.

¹¹ See note 7 above. See also para. 4 of the introductory remarks to No. LXXVII.

¹² The second name, however,—that of *Paramēśvara*, or ‘Supreme Lord,’—is omitted.

¹³ *Dharāraya*.

¹⁴ *Tribhuvandāraya*.

¹⁵ See note 8 above.

¹⁶ *Guggula*, *guggulu*, *guggala*, *guggila*, is bdellium, a

fragrant gum or resin, which is burnt and waved before idols in procession. I am told that the rite is confined now to the worship of the god Virabhadra.

¹⁷ *Siva*. The word in the original is *Kapālēśvara*, which must be rendered by ‘the temple or establishment of Kapālēśvara.’ In Old-Canarese inscriptions the name of a god is analogously used in the neuter to signify the temple, instead of only the god itself.

Plate II *a* is better preserved; but here, as elsewhere, many passages would have been very doubtful but for the style followed in this grant being so well known. On the outside of plate III there are the remains of six lines of writing,—probably a later addition to, or variation of, the original grant; detached letters are legible enough here and there, but no connected passage can be deciphered. The ring and seal of this grant have been lost. The characters are those of the usual Western Chalukya alphabet, —very similar to those of No. XXIX, at Vol. VI, p. 85, but not quite so well formed, and with the same slope to the right.

It is a Western Chalukya grant of Vijayāditya, dated Śaka 622 (A.D. 700-1), in the fourth year of his reign. It is issued from his victorious camp at the city of Râsênanagara, and it records the grant of the village of Nerûr itself, bounded on each side by the villages of Ballâvalligrâma and Sahanyapura. The former of these is evidently the modern 'Wullawul' of the Trigonometrical Survey Map, about three miles to the west of Nerûr; but the map does not give any name corresponding to Sahanyapura. I am not able at present to identify Râsênanagara, unless it is Râsîn in the Ahmadnagar District, about twenty-two miles W. by N. from Korti.

I have translated ll. 10 to 15 in such a way as to make the 'horse of the breed called Chitrakanṭha' belong to Vikramāditya I. In this I differ from Mr. Rice, who translates the same passage in the Voktalôri grant (Vol. VII, p. 23) in such a way as to make this horse belong to Satyâśraya or Pulikêśi II. The whole passage from line 8 to line 19, including three generations, is a genitive construction; and it is possible to apply the epithet ending with *vijigîshôh*, l. 11, to *sva-*

gurôh, l. 12, and thus to make the horse belong to Pulikêśi II,—instead of applying it, as I do, to *Vikramāditya*. *Bhaṭṭârakasya*, ll. 14-15.

The only direct statements that we have on this point are,—1, ll. 12-13 of No. XXVIII (Vol. VI, p. 76), where the nominative construction is used, and the horse is specifically stated to belong to *Vikramāditya* I;—2, l. 9 of the spurious grant of *Vikramāditya* I, No. XLV (Vol. VII, p. 219), which follows the construction and language of the preceding;—3, ll. 11-12 of the spurious grant of *Pulikêśi* I, No. XLIV (Vol. VII, p. 211), where the horse is allotted, neither to *Vikramāditya* I, nor to *Pulikêśi* II, but to *Pulikêśi* I;—and 4, ll. 10-12 of No. LXXVI above, where the horse, under the mistaken name of *Kaṇṭhachitra*, is made to belong to *Pulikêśi* II. This last grant may, or may not, be genuine; but it is at any rate one of no particular authority. And the only authoritative statement that remains is that contained in the first of the four grants that I have just spoken of. Looking now to the wording of the present grant,—if the epithet ending with *vijigîshôh* were intended to be construed with *sva-gurôh*, then the natural arrangement would have been to place *aranîpati-tritay-ânturilâm*, 'which (regal splendour) had been interrupted by a confederacy of three kings¹⁸,' after, instead of before, *sva-gurôh*. These words can only have been placed before *sva-gurôh* expressly for the sake of emphasis, and to indicate that the epithet ending with *vijigîshôh* is not to be construed with *sva-gurôh*. I do not know of any extraneous evidence, apart from these inscriptions, bearing on this point; and,—in the absence of such,—on the authority of No. XXVIII, and on the arrangement of the words in the present grant, we cannot but allot the horse to *Vikramāditya* I.

Transcription.

First plate.

- [¹] Svasti [[*] Jayaty=âvishkrîtam Vishṇôr=vvârahaṁ kshô[bhit-â]rṇṇavam dakshin-
ônnata-damshtr-âgra-vîsrâ[nta-bhuvanam va]-
[²] puḥ [[*] Śrîmatâm sakala-bhuvana-saustûyamâna-Mânava-sagô[trâṇâm] Hârîti-
putrâ[nâm sapta-lô]-
[³] ka-mâttribhis=sapta-mâttribhir=abhivarddhîtânâm Kârttikêya-parira[kshaṇa]-prâpta-
kalyâ[ṇa-paramparâ]-
[⁴] nâm bhagavan-Nârâyana-prasâda-samâsâdi[ta-varâ]ha-lânchhan-êkshaṇa-kshaṇa-va[śikrit-
âśêsha]-

¹⁸ Mr. Rice has altogether missed the meaning of these words, which he renders by 'together with that (wealth) inherited for three generations.'

- [⁸] mahābhṛtām Chalikyānām kulam=alaṅka[ri]shṇōr=aśvamēdh-āyabhṛitha-[snāna-pavitrīkṛita]-
 [⁹] gātrasya Śrī-Pula[kō]śi[va]llabha-mahārāj[jasya sō]nūh parākra[m-ākṛānta-Vanavāsy-ā]-
 [⁷] di-para-nṛpati-maṇḍala-praṇibaddha-viśuddha-kṛttilh [Śrī-Kī]rttivarmanma-[prithivīvallabha-mahārāja]-
 [⁶] s=tasy=ātmajas=samara(ātmajanya samara)-saṁsakta-sakal-ōttarāpath-ē[śva]ra-Śrī-Harshavarddhana-parājaya-ōpā]-
 [⁹] tta-paramēśvara-sābdasya¹⁰ Satyāśraya-śrī prithivī[va]lla[bha]-ma[hārāj-ādhirāja-paramē]-
 [¹⁰] śvarasya priya-tanayasya prajñāta-nayasya [kha]ḍ[ga]-mā[tra-sahāyasya Chitraka]-

Second plate ; first side.

- [¹¹] nṭh-ābhidhāna-pravara-turaṅgamēṇ=aikēṇ=aiv=ōtsārit²⁰-āśēśha-vijigīshōr=avanipati-tri-
 [¹²] tay-āntarītūm sva-gurōh śriyam=ātmasāt-kṛitya prabhāva-kulīsa-dalita-Pāṇḍya-Chōḷa-
 [¹³] Kēraḷa-Kaḷabhra²¹-prabhṛiti-[bhū]bhṛid-adabhra²²-vibhramasy =ān-any-āvanata - Kāñchīpati-maku-
 [¹⁴] ṭa-chumbita-pād-āmbujasya Vikramāditya-Satyāśraya-śrī-prithivīvallabha-mahārāj-ādhi-
 [¹⁵] rāja-paramēśvara-[bhātā]rakasya priya-sūnōh pitur=ājñayā Balēndusēkhara[śya]-
 [¹⁶] Tārakārātir-iva daitya-balam=ati-samudhataim trairājya-Kāñchīpati-balam avasṭabhyā ka-
 [¹⁷] ka²³radīkṛita-Kamēra²⁴-Pārasika-Simha[ādi-dvi(dvī)p-ādhipasya sakal-ōttarāpatha-nātha-mathan-ō-

- [¹⁸] pārjīti-ōrjīti-pāḍidhvaj-ādi-samasta-pāramaiśvaryya-chilnasya Vinayāditya-Satyāśraya-śrī-
 [¹⁹] prithivīvallabha-mahārāj-ādhirāja-paramēśvara-bhātārakasya priy-ātmajas-saīsa[va]v=ā]-
 [²⁰] dhi[ga]t-ā[śēśha-āstra]-[śā]strō dakṣiṇ-āśā[vijayi]ni pitamahē samunmū[ḷita-mi]khi-

Second plate ; second side.

- [²¹] la-kaṇṭaka-saṁhatir=uttarāpatha-vijigīshōr=ggurō[r-agra]tā ē[v]=āhava-vyāpāram=ā-
 [²²] charan-arāti-gaḷa-gḷaḷ-āpāṭana-viśṛiyamāṇa-kṛipāya-dhāras=samagra-vigraha-āgrē-
 [²³] saras=sat-sahas-rasikaḷ parām(rā)mu(ūmu)khākṛita-sātra-maṇḍalō Gaṅgā-Yamuna(nā)-
 pāḍidhvaja-pa-
 [²⁴] da(?ḍa)-ḍa(ḍha)kkā-mahāśabda-chilna-māṇikyā-mataṁgaj-ādīn=pitṛisat-kūrvvan-paraiḷ-
 palāyamāmai-
 [²⁵] r=āśādyā katham=api vidhi-[va]śād apanītō-pi pratāpād-ēva vishaya-prakōpan=

¹⁰ The reading here, which is followed also in l. 9 of No. LXXVIII, and in l. 12 of No. LXXIX, is better than the reading in l. 10 of the Vokkalēri grant at Vol. VIII, p. 23.

²⁰ This is the reading also in No. LXXVIII, l. 10-11, and in No. LXXIX, l. 15. The Vokkalēri grant, l. 12-13, reads *ait* = *āśāśha*; but, though the rest of the passage is different, *āśāśha* in the word used in l. 11-12 of the spurious grant of Pulikāṭi l. (No. XLIV, Vol. VII, p. 211). In l. 12-13 of No. XXVIII (Vol. VI, p. 76), the reading is *ait* = *prithivīvallabha-samar-mukhā*. In l. 9-10 of the spurious grant of Vikramāditya l. (No. XLV, Vol. VII, p. 219), the reading is *ait* = *prithivīvallabha-samar-mukhā*. In No. LXXIX (Vol. VII, p. 111). Second Part, l. 34, and Fourth Part l. 74-5, the tracing reads *ait* = *prithivīvallabha-sam* (?) *śrī* (?) *trairājya-Kāñchīpati-balam* = *avasthābh* or *avasthābhita*, &c. as in l. 17 of the present grant, omitting the intervening matter; the MS. Collection copy omits the passage altogether.

²¹ There are several marks over the *ka*, one of which may be an *Anusvara*, or all of which may be caused by rust; but I do not think that there is any *Anusvara*. Nos. LXXVIII and LXXIX do not throw any light on this point, as, in the former, l. 12, the whole word is omitted, and in the latter, l. 17, the first two syllables, *kaḷa*, are omitted. In l. 14 of the Vokkalēri grant, the lithograph does not show any *Anusvara*. In No. XXXVIII, l. 66 (Vol. VII, p. 66), the tracing reads very distinctly *dalita-Pāṇḍya-Chōḷa-Kēraḷa-Kaḍambā-prabhṛiti*, but it is just possible that *Kaḍambā* is a mistake for *Kāḷabhra* or *Kaḷaḷbhra* on the part of the man who made the tracing; this passage in

No. XXXVIII is omitted in the MS. Collection copy, and it does not occur at all in No. XXXIX. In No. XXXIX, l. 16-17 (Vol. VI, p. 86), and No. XXX, l. 16-17 (*id.*, p. 89), and No. XLVIII, l. 15-16 (Vol. VII, p. 301), and in *Pāli, Sanskrit, and Old-Canarese Inscriptions*, No. 16, l. 11-12, the text is different, and only the Chōḷas, Pāṇḍyas, and Kēraḷas are mentioned. In l. 21 of No. XLVIII, where the word occurs in a different context, the lithograph does not show any *Anusvara*, and though Col. Dixon's photograph does show a mark which appears to be meant for *Anusvara*, yet its position is more over the *bha* than the *ka*. Having regard to the fact that the early inscriptions generally follow the correct practice of using, in the middle of a word, the nasal of the class of the following consonant, in preference to the *Anusvara*, the probability is that *Kāḷabhra* is the real word.

²² In No. XXXVIII, l. 66, I have read, and translated *adagra*. This should be corrected, as the tracing, on re-examination, clearly shows *adabha*.

²³ This repetition of the syllable *ka* is a mistake.

²⁴ The reading is quite certain here, and in No. LXXVIII, l. 16. It is equally certain in l. 19 of the Vokkalēri grant, and is there *Kavēra*. In No. XXXIX, Second Part, l. 34-35, and Fourth Part, l. 75, the tracing very distinctly shows *karadīkṛita-Kēraḷa-Pārasika-simha-ādi*; but curiously enough, in the Second Part the MS. Collection copy reads *Kamēra*, instead of *Kēraḷa*. The river Kāvēri undoubtedly takes its name from Kāvēra, as the name of a country or of a people. It is probable therefore that the *Kēraḷa* of the Vokkalēri grant is more correct than the *Kamēra* of this grant and of No. LXXVIII.

- [²⁹] tsârayan=Vatsarâja i[v=ân-apê]kshit-âpara-sâhâyakas=tad-avagrahân=nirggatya sva-bhuj-
âvaslîta-
- [²⁷] mbha-prasâdhi(di)t-âśêsha-vîsvambharah prabhur=akhaṇḍita-śakti-trayatvâch=chhatru-
mada-bhañjanatvâd=udâra-
- [²⁸] tvân=niravadyatvâd=yas=samasta-bhuvan-âśrayas=sakala-pâramaisvaryya-vyakti-hêtu-pâli(li)-
dhvaj-âdy-ujva(ijva)-
- [³⁰] la-prâjya-râjyô Vija[yâditya-Sa]tyâśraya-śrî-prithivîvallabha-mahârâj-âdhirâja-
paramêśvara-bha-
- [³⁰] tâtarakas=sarvvân=ôva[m=âjñâpaya]ti [*] Veditam=astu vô=smâbhir=dvâ-vimśaty-uttara-
shat-chhatêshu Śaka-varshê-
- [³¹] shv=atitêshu pravarddhamâna-vijaya-râjya-samvatsarê chaturthê varta(rtta)mânô
Râsêna²⁵-nagara-

Third plate; first side.

- [²²] m=addivasati vijaya-skandhâvârô Âshâda(dha)-paurṇamâsyâm Nandô²⁶ . . . ya-
vijñâpanayâ Va(?)tsa(?)-
- [²³] sagôtra(trâ)sya(ya) Rêvasvâmi-dikshita-pautrâya Jannasvâminah putrâya Dâsasvâminô
Iridi(?)di)ge(?)pe)-
- [²⁴] vishayô Vili(?)bi)ge(?)bhe)-nadî-tata-sthah Ballâvalligrâma-Sahamyapura-grâmayôr=
mmadhyasthah Nerû-
- [²⁵] r-nnâmâ grâmas=sa-bhôgas=sarvva-bâdha(dhâ)-parihârô dattah [||*] Tad=âgâmbhir=
asmad-vamśyair=anyaiś=cha râja-
- [²⁶] bhir=âyur-aiśvaryy-âdinâm vilasitam=achirâmśu-chaṁchalam=avagachchhadbhir=â-chandr-
ârka(rkka)-dhar-ârṇava-sthiti-
- [²⁷] samakâlam yaśâś-chichishubhis-sva-datti-nirvvisêsham paripâlanīyam=uktañ=cha
bhugavatâ vêda-vyâ-
- [²⁸] sêna Vyâsêna [||*] Bahubhir=vyasudhâ bhuktâ râja[bh]is=Sagar-âdi[bh]i yasya]
yasya yadâ bhûmis=ta-
- [²⁹] [sya tasya ta]dâ phalam [||*] Svan-dâtum su-maha[ch-chhakyam] dukkham=
anyasya pâlanam dânam vâ pâ]la[nam] v=êti dâ[nâ]²⁷.

Translation.

Hail! Victorious is the form, which was that of a boar, that was manifested of (*the god*) Vishṇu,—which troubled the ocean, and which had the earth resting upon the tip of its uplifted right-hand tusk!

(L. 2.)—The son of the Great King Śrî-Pulakêśivallabha,—whose body was purified by ablutions performed after celebrating horse-sacrifices, and who adorned the family of the Chalikyas, who are glorious; who are of the kindred of Mânavya, which is praised over the whole world; who are the descendants of Hârîtî; who have been nourished by seven mothers, who are the mothers of mankind; who have attained an uninterrupted continuity of prosperity through the protection of (*the god*) Kârttikôya; and who have had all kings made

subject to them on the instant at the sight of the sign of the boar, which they acquired through the favour of the holy (*god*) Nârâyana,—was Śrî-Kîrttivarṁâ, the favourite of the world, the Great King, whose pure fame was established in the territories of the hostile kings of Vanavâsi and other (*cities*), that had been invaded by his prowess.

(L. 8.)—His son was Satyâśraya, the favourite of the world, the Great King, the supreme king, the supreme lord, who had acquired the title of 'Supreme Lord' by defeating Śrî-Harshavardhana, the warlike lord of all the region of the north.

(L. 10.)—His dear son was Vikramâditya-Satyâśraya, the favourite of the world, the Great King, the supreme king, the supreme lord, the venerable one,—who was

²⁵ Gen. Jacob's Pandit reads *Râsena*. But the second syllable is certainly *se*, with the vowel long or short; and though the third syllable might be *va* with the lower part corroded away, I consider it to be *na*.

²⁶ One consonant and vowel are quite uncertain here. There seems to be visible the letter *r* subjoined to them.

²⁷ This letter, *nd*, is broken away at the end of the line; and the rest of the plate, containing two or three lines more, has been broken off and lost.

conversant with the art of government; whose only aid was his sword; who was desirous of conquering all people, whom he drove before him by means of only one horse of the breed called Chitrakaṇṭha²⁹; who, having acquired for himself the regal splendour of his father, which had been interrupted by a confederacy of three kings, subdued (*like Indra*), with the thunderbolt which was his prowess, the mighty tumult of the mountains which were the Pāṇḍya and the Chôḷa and the Kêraḷa and the Kaḷabhra²⁹ and other kings; and who had the waterlilies which were his feet kissed by the diadem of the lord of Kāñchî, who had bowed down before no other.

(L. 15.)—His dear son was Vinayāditya-Satyâśraya, the favourite of the world, the Great King, the supreme king, the supreme lord, the venerable one,—who, having at the command of his father arrested the extremely exalted power of the lord of Kāñchî whose kingdom consisted of three (*component*) dominions, just as Tārakârati³⁰ (*at the command*) of (*his father*) Bâlênduśêkhara³¹ did arrest the power of the demons, caused the rulers of Kamêra³² and Pârasika and Simhala and other islands to pay tribute to him; and who was possessed of the *pālīdhraja*³³ and all the other mighty insignia of supreme dominion which he had acquired by crushing the lord of all the region of the north.

(L. 19.)—His dear son, Vijayāditya-Satyâśraya, the favourite of the world, the Great King, the supreme king, the supreme lord, the venerable one,—who even in his childhood acquired a knowledge of all the writings on the use of weapons; who, his grandfather having been victorious in the region of the south, uprooted the thicket of the thorn-bushes which was the assemblage of his foes (*in that direction*); who, following the avocation of war even in front of his father who was desirous of conquering the region of the north, had the edge of his sword worn away by splitting open the foreheads of the elephants of his foes;

who was in the foremost rank in all battles; who was flavoured with the quality of excellent impetnosity; who, drove back the bands of his enemies; who,—while acquiring for his father the tokens³⁴ of the (*river*) Gaṅgâ and the (*river*) Yamunâ and the *pālīdhraja*, and the insignia of the *dhakkâ*-drum and the *mahâ-śabda*, and rubies and elephants, &c.,—having been attacked by his enemies, (*who turned upon him*) while they were fleeing, and having been somehow or other, through the force of destiny, led away (*into great danger*),—by his prowess quelled the anarchical disturbance in his country, and, resembling Vatsarāja in not depending on any other for assistance, came safely through his obstacles and tranquillised the whole world by the support of his own arm; who, being then the lord, became the asylum of the whole world³⁵ by reason of possessing in all their integrity the three constituents of power, and by reason of having broken the pride of his enemies, and by reason of his high-mindedness, and by reason of his blamelessness; and who possesses the *pālīdhraja*, which indicates entire supreme dominion, and the other brilliant (*insignia of*) mighty sovereignty,—thus issues his commands to all people:—

(L. 30.)—“Be it known to you! Six hundred and twenty-two of the Śaka years having expired, and the fourth year of (*Our*) increasing and victorious reign being current, and (*Our*) victorious camp being located at the city of Râsênanagara³⁶,—on the day of the full-moon of the month Âshâdha, the village named Nerûr, situated between the villages of Balâvalli and Sahanyapura on the bank of the river (?) Vilige in the (?) Iridige district, has been given by Us, at the request of Nandô..... ya, with the enjoyment of it and with the relinquishment of all opposing claims, to Dêvasvâmi of the (?) Vatsa *gîtra*, the son's son of Rêvasvâmidîkshita and the son of Jannasvâmi. This (*grant*) should be preserved by future kings, who are desirous of acquiring fame, whether they belong to our lineage or to other families,

²⁹ See para. 4 of the introductory remarks to this grant.

³⁰ See note 21 above. No. XLVIII. compared with No. 16 of *Pāli, Sanskrit, and Old-Canarese, Inscriptions*, shows that Vinayāditya's campaign against the Pallavas, Kaḷabhras, &c., took place in Śaka 615-6.

³¹ Kārttikēya.

³² Śiva.

³³ See note 24 above.

³⁴ I have suggested two possible explanations of *pālī-*

dhraja or *pālī-kētaṇṭ*, at Vol. VII., pp. 111 and 245, but the meaning is still doubtful. The explanation suggested by Mr. Rice in his introductory remarks to the Vokkalêri grant will certainly not hold water.

³⁵ *Paṇḍa*.

³⁶ *Samastabhuvanâraya*. This is, I think, the earliest instance of the use of this title, which became, under the Western Chôlukyas, one of the perpetual titles of the family.

³⁷ See note 25 above.

as long as the moon and the sun and the earth and the ocean last, just as if it were a grant made by themselves, bearing in mind that the charms of life and riches, &c., are as evanescent as the lightning."

(L. 37.)—And it has been said by the holy *ṛyāsa*, the arranger of the *Vēdas* :—Land has been enjoyed by many kings, commencing with *Sagara*; he, who for the time being possesses land, enjoys the fruits of it! It is a very easy thing to give one's own property, but the preservation of (*the grant of*) another is difficult; (*if the question is*) whether giving or preserving (*is the more meritorious act*),—preservation is better than giving!¹⁷

No. LXXVIII.

This is another of the *Nerūr* plates, transcribed and translated in full by General Le-Grand Jacob as No. II. in his paper.

My transcription is from the original plates. They are three in number, about 9½" long by 4¾" broad; the edges are raised into rims to protect the writing. The third plate is eaten through by rust in a few places. The other two plates have not suffered in this way. But the whole inscription was evidently very much injured by whatever process was adopted to clean it for General Jacob's *Pandit*: for the hand-copy

attached to his paper, rough as it is, shews that at that time the whole inscription was very legible indeed; whereas now, though it is sufficiently legible to any one who knows the text from other similar grants, to any one else it would be very difficult, if not impossible, to decipher the greater part of it. The ring, which had not been cut when the grant came into my hands, is about ⅝" thick and 4¼" in diameter. The seal is circular, about 1¼" in diameter, and has, in relief on a countersunk surface, a standing hour, facing to the proper right; a facsimile of it is given on the same plate with the Western Chalukya grant of *Nāgavardhana*. The characters are of the same type as those of the preceding grant.

It is another Western Chalukya grant of *Vijayāditya*, and is dated Śaka 627 (A.D. 705-6), in tenth year of his reign. It records the grant of the village of *Hikṇambha* or *Hikṇāmbha*. I cannot find any name approaching this on the map; but the inscription tells us that it was in the same *vishaya* or district with *Nerūr* itself, the name of which seems to have been *Iridige*, and which, as it is called a *mahāsaptama*, appears to have been one of the districts constituting the Seven *Koṅkaṇas*.

Transcription.

First plate.

- [1] Svasti [1*] Jayaty=āviśhkṛitām Viśhṇōḥ vārāham kshōbhī-ārṇa(rṇa)vaṁ
dakṣiṇ-ōnnata-danūshṭr-āgra-viśrānta-bhuvanām
- [2] vapuḥ [2*] Śrīmatām sakala-bhuvana-saṁstūyamāna-Mānavya-sagōtrāṇām Hārītī-
putrāṇām sapta-
- [3] lōkanāṭṭribhis-sapta-māṭṭribhir-abhivarddhitānām Kārttikēya-parirakṣhaṇa-prāpta-
kalyāṇa-pa-
- [4] raṁparāṇām bhagavan-Nārāyaṇa-prasāda-saṁśādita-varāha-lāñchhan-ōkṣhaṇa-kṣhaṇa-vaśī-
- [5] kṛit-āśēsha-mahābhūṭam Chalukyānām kulam=alaṁkarshṇō(riśhṇō)r=āśvamōdh-āvabhṛitha-
snāna-pavitṛīkṛita-gī-
- [6] trasya Śrī-Pulakēśivallabha-mahārājasya sūnuḥ parākram-ākṛānta-Vānavāsy-ādi-pa-
- [7] ra-nṛipati-maṇḍala-praṇibaddha-viśuddha-kīrtiḥ Śrī-Kīrtivarmanma prithivīvallabha-
mahārājas-ta-
- [8] sy=ātmajas-samara(ātmajasya samara)-saṁ-ukta-sakal-ōttarāpath-ōśvara-Śrī-Harshavard-
dhana-parājaya-ōpātta-pa-
- [9] raṁōśvara-śabdasya Satyāśraya-śrī-prithivīvallabha-mahārāj-Adhirāja-paramēśvarasya
[priya-tanayasya*]
- [10] prajñāta-nayasya khadga-mātra-sahāyasya Chitcakanṭh-ābhidhāna-pravara-turaṁgamēṇ=
nikēṇ-niv=ō-
- [11] [tsā]rit-āśōsha-vijigīśhōr=avanipati-tritay-āntaritām sva-gurō[h*] śriyam=ātmasā[t-kṛi]-

¹⁷ The rest of the grant, including the certificate of the minister in whose office it was written, has been broken off and lost.

Second plate; first side.

- [¹²] *tya* prabhāva-kulīsa-dalita-Pāṇḍya-Chōḷa-Kōraja-prabhṛiti³⁸-bhūbhṛid-adabhira-vibhramasy=
ān-any-āva-
[¹³] nata-Kāñchīpati-makuta-chumbita-pād-āmbujasya Vikramāditya-Satyāśraya-śrī-prithivīva-
[¹⁴] llabha-mahārāj-ādhirāja-paramēśvara-bhaṭṭārakasya priya-sūnōḥ pitur-ā[jī]yā Bālō[ndu-
[¹⁵] śōkharasya Tārakārātir=iva daitya-[ba]ḷa[m=ati-sa]muddhataṁ trainājya-Kāñchīpati-
balaṁ=ava-
[¹⁶] shtabhyā karadikṛita-Kamēra³⁹-Pārasika-Simhala-ādi-dvīp-ādhipasya sakal-ōttarāpatha-nā-
[¹⁷] tha-mathan-ōparijit-ōrjita-pālīdhvaj-ādi-samasta-pāramaiśvaryya-chihnasya Vinayādi-
[¹⁸] *tya*-Satyāśraya-śrī-prithivīvallabha-mahārāj-ādhirāja-paramēśvara-bhaṭṭārakasya priy-
ātmajaś-śai-
[¹⁹] śava ēv=ādihigat-āśēsh-āstra-śīstrō dakshin-āśā-vijayini pitāmahō samunnūlita-nikhi-
[²⁰] la-kaṇṭaka-suhatir=uttarāpatha-vijigīshōr=gu(ggu)rōr=agrata ēv=āhava-vyāpāram=
ācharann=a-
[²¹] rāti-gaja-ghat-āpātana-viśīryamāna-kṛipāna-dhāras=samagra-vigraha-āgrēsaras-sa-
[²²] t-sāhasa-rasikala parānūmukhikṛita-sātra-maṇḍalō Gaṅgā-Yamunā-pālīdhvaja-pada(?da)-
ḍa(dha)-

Second plate; second side.

- [²³] kkā-mānikya⁴⁰-mataṅga-ādīn-pitṛisāt-kurvan-paratī palāyamānair-āsāḍya kathamapi vi-
[²⁴] dhi-vaśād-apanitō pi pratāpād ēva viśaya-prakōpani-a-rājakam-utsārayan-Vatsarāja
[²⁵] iv ān-apēkshīt-āpara-sāhāyakas=tad-aiva-āvagrahān⁴¹-nirgatya sva-bhuj-āvastambha-
prasa(sā)dhi(di)-
[²⁶] t-āsāśha-viśvaubharah prabhur=akhaṇḍita-śakti-trayatvāch=chhatra-mada-bhāṇjanatvād=
udāra⁴²vān-nirava-
[²⁷] dyatvāt yah samasta-bhuvan-āśrayas=sakal-pāramaiśvaryya-vyakti-bōtu-pālīdhvaj-ādya-u-
[²⁸] jva(jjva)ba-prījya-rājyō Vijayāditya-Satyāśraya-śrī-prithivīvallabha-mahārāj-ādhirāja-
[²⁹] paramēśvara-bhaṭṭārakas=sarvān=ēvam=ājñāpayati [i*] Viditau=astu vō-smābhi[l*]
sapta-vimśaty-uttara-sha-
[³⁰] t-chhatrēshu Śaka-varshēshv atīrēshu pravarddhamāna-vijaya-rājya-samvatsarē dāsanō
varttamānē
[³¹] [śrī]mad-Upēndra-vijñāpanayā mahā-saptanō Tridī(?dī)ge(?pe)-vishayē Kumāra(?)⁴³.....
pu(?)ra-grāma-
[³²] yōr-mamāyō Hikuḷāmbi(?mbha)-nāma grāma(mō) vēda-vēdān-ga-pāragēbhyā ashta-
brāhmaṇēbhyō [da]ṭṭah [i*]
[³³] Ètēshān=nāma-gōtrāny-uchchya(chya)ntō [i*] Bhāradvāja-sagōtra-Dēvasvāmi Kauśika-sagō-

Third plate.

- [³⁴] tra-Kurkhasvāmi Bhāradvāja-sagōtra-Yajñasvāmi Kanuḍinya-sagōtra-Nāgammasvāmi
Maulgalya-
[³⁵] sagōtra-Dēvasvāmi Ātrēya-sagōtra-Ga(?)rgga(?)svāmi⁴⁴ [Kāśyapa]-⁴⁵sagōtra-Rudrasvāmi
Vatsa-sasa-⁴⁶
[³⁶] gōtra-Dāsavarmanayō dattah [i*] [Ta]d āgāmibhir=asmad-vamśyair anyais=cha rājabhir=
āyur-ai[śva*⁴⁷]ryy-ādīnān
[³⁷] [vi]lasi(gi)tanu acchirānśu-[chamcha]lan=avagachchhadbhir=ā-[cha]ndr-ārka(rkka)-dhar-
āra(rupa)ya-sthiti-samakālan
[³⁸] yaśāś chieḥ⁴⁸shubhi[l*] sva-datti nirvviśēsha[m paripālaniya*]m=uktam cha bhagavatā
vēda-vyāsēna Vyāsēna [i*] Bahubhi-

³⁸ In No. LXXVII. l. 13, the reading is *Kōraja-Kāḷa-bhira-prabhṛiti*. The *Kāḷabhiras* are omitted here.

³⁹ See note 24 above.

⁴⁰ In No. LXXVII. l. 24, and No. LXXIX. l. 30-1, the reading is *da(chha)lāśmāhāśabhi-chihnas-mānikya*.

⁴¹ In No. LXXVII. l. 26, and No. LXXIX. l. 31, the reading is *tat-āva-āgrahān*, sc. *tasmād-avagrahād*, instead of *tadā āva-avagrahād*.

⁴² Three letters are altogether uncertain here. They

were not read by Gen. Jacob's Pandit also.

⁴³ *Gēggasvāmi* is the reading of Gen. Jacob's Pandit; but the letters are now very doubtful.

⁴⁴ This is the reading of Gen. Jacob's Pandit; but these three letters are now quite illegible.

⁴⁵ This repetition of the letter, *sa*, is a mistake.

⁴⁶ The hand-copy by Gen. Jacob's Pandit shows part of this letter, *sas*, in dotted lines; but it is really omitted altogether.

- [⁸⁰] r=v[v]asudhâ bhuktâ rājabhis-Sagar-ādibhir-ya(yya)sya yasya yadâ bhūmis=tasya tasya
 [⁸⁰] tadâ phalañ [[*] Svau=dātūñ su-mahach-chhakyam duḥkham=anyasya pālanam
 dānam vā pālanam v=ōti dānā-
 [⁸¹] ch=chhrēyō=nupālanam [[*] Sva-dattam para-dattam vā yō harēta vasundharām
 ślashtim varsha-sahasrāñi
 [⁸²] viśtāyām jāyatō kṛimih [[*] Mahā-sāndhi-vigrahika-Nira[va*]dyapunyavallabhēna
 likhitam=i-
 [⁸³] dam śasanam ||*

Translation.

Hail! Victorious is the form, which was that of a boar, that was manifested of (*the god*) Vishnu,—which troubled the ocean, and which had the earth resting upon the tip of its up-lifted right-hand tusk!

(L. 2.)—The son of the great king Śrī-Pulakōśivallabha,—whose body was purified by ablutions performed after celebrating horse-sacrifices, and who adorned the family of the Chalikyas, who are glorious; (&c., as in No. LXXVII),—was Śrī-Kṛtivarṇmā, the favourite of the world, the Great King, (&c., as in No. LXXVII).

(L. 7.)—His son was Satyāśraya, the favourite of the world, the Great King, the supreme king, the supreme lord,—who had acquired the title of 'Supreme Lord' (&c., as in No. LXXVII).

(L. 9.)—[*His dear son*] was Vikramāditya-Satyāśraya, the favourite of the world, the Great King, the supreme king, the supreme lord, the venerable one,—who was conversant with the art of government; &c., as in No. LXXVII.⁴⁷

(L. 14.)—His dear son was Vinayāditya-Satyāśraya, the favourite of the world, the Great King, the supreme king, the supreme lord, the venerable one,—who, having at the command of his father (&c., as in No. LXXVII.)

(L. 18.)—His dear son, Vijayāditya-Satyāśraya, the favourite of the world, the Great King, the supreme king, the supreme lord, the venerable one,—who even in his childhood acquired a knowledge of all the writings on the use of weapons; (&c., as in No. LXXVII⁴⁸),—thus issues his commands to all people:—

(L. 29.)—"Be it known to you! Six hundred and twenty-seven of the Śaka years having expired, and the tenth year of (*Our*) increasing and victorious reign being current,—

the village named (?) Hikulamba, between the villages of Kumāra and pura, in the mahāsaptam⁴⁹ district of (?) Iridige, has been given by us, at the request of the glorious Upēndra, to eight Brāhmanas who are thoroughly acquainted with the *Vēdas* and the *Vēdāṅgas*. Their names and *gōtras* are declared:—It has been given to Dēvasvāmī of the Bhāradvāja *gōtra*, and Karkasvāmī of the Kauśika *gōtra*, and Yajñasvāmī of the Bhāradvāja *gōtra*, and Nāgammasvāmī of the Kauṇḍinya *gōtra*, and Dēvasvāmī of the Maudgalya *gōtra*, and (?) Gargaśvāmī of the Ātrēya *gōtra*, and Rudrasvāmī of the (?) Kāśyapa *gōtra*, and Dāsavarmā of the Vatsa *gōtra*. This (*grant*) [*should be preserved*] by future kings, (&c., as in No. LXXVII)."

(L. 38.)—And it has been said by the holy Vyāsa, the arranger of the *Vēdas*:—Land has been enjoyed by many kings, commencing with Sagara; (&c.)! It is a very easy thing to give one's own property, (&c.)! He is born as a worm in ordure for the duration of sixty thousand years, who confiscates land that has been given, whether by himself, or by another!

(L. 42.)—This charter has been written by Niravadyapunyavallabha, the high minister entrusted with the arrangement of peace and war.

No. LXXIX.

This is the last of the Nerūr plates, noticed by General LeGrand Jacob as No. IV in his paper, but not published in detail by him.

My transcription is from the original plates. They are three in number, about 7½" long by 4½" broad; but a good deal of the first plate, including the whole of the first line, has been broken off and lost. The edges are slightly raised into rims to protect the writing; the inscription, however, is here and there so much worn away as to be very difficult to read. The ring, which had not been cut when the grant

⁴⁷ But omitting any mention of the Kalabhras.

⁴⁸ But omitting the words mahāśubda-chihna.

⁴⁹ Lit., 'the great seventh.'

came into my hands, is about $\frac{1}{2}$ " thick and $3\frac{1}{4}$ " in diameter. The seal is slightly oval, about 1" by $\frac{3}{4}$ ", and has, in relief on a countersunk surface, a standing boar facing to the proper right; a facsimile of it is given on the same plate with the Western Chalukya grant of Nāgavardhana. The characters are of the same type as those of No. XXVIII, at Vol. VI, p. 75. The orthography is very bad; so much so that, coupling this with the peculiarity of style in ll. 38 to 44

and with the omissions in the following lines, I am somewhat inclined to doubt whether this is altogether a genuine grant.

It is an undated Western Chalukya grant, of the time of Vijayāditya, and records the grant of the village of Malavûr by his son Vikramāditya II. This village must probably be looked for somewhere in the Konkan; but I cannot find any name suggestive of it in the Map.

Transcription.

First plate.

- [¹] [Svasti || Jayaty=āviśhkrītaṁ Viṣṇōr=vvārāhaṁ kṣhōblut-ārṇṇavaṁ dakṣhiṇ-ōnnata]-
 [²] [dāmsht-āgra-viśrānta-bh]juvanam vapu[li] [i*] Śr[īmatāṁ sakala-bhuvana-saṁstū]-
 [³] [yamāna-Mānavya]-sagōtra(trā)ṇa(nām) Ha(hā)riti(tī)-putra(trā)ṇām sapta-[lōkamā]-
 [⁴] [tribhis=sap]t[a-mātri]bhīr=abhivaddhi(rddhi)ta(tā)nā[ni*] Kātti(rtti)kōya-parira-
 ksh[āṇa-prāpta]-
 [⁵] kalya(lyā)ṇa-pa[ra]m[ā]para(rā)ṇa(nām) bhagavan-Na(nā)ra(rā)yaṇa-prasa(sā)da-
 sam[āsādita]-
 [⁶] vara(rā)ha-la(lā)ñchhan-ēkṣhaṇa-kṣhaṇa-vaśi(śī)krīta-a(ā)śēsha-mahi(hī)[bhṛi]-
 [⁷] tāṁ Chulukyāna(nām) kulam=ala[m*]karishṇōr=asvamōdh-āvabhṛitha-sna(snā)na-
 [⁸] pavitri(trī)krīta-gātrasya Śrī-Pole⁸⁰kēśivallabha-mahāra(rā)ja[sya*] sūnū(nuh) para(rā)-
 [⁹] kram-a(ā)kra(krā)nta-Vanava(vā)sy-ādi-para-nra(nṛi)pati-maṇḍala-praṇibuddha-viśud[dh]a-
 kī-
 [¹⁰] [rtti] Śrī-K[īrti]t[ivarmma-pri]thivīvallabha-mahāra(rā)ja[s=asya=ātma]jasya samara]-
 [¹¹] [saṁsakta-sa]kal-ōttara(rā)path-ēśvara-Śrī-Hā(ha)rshavarddhana-pa[rājaya-ōpā]-
 [¹²] [tta-pa]ramēśvara-sābdasya Satya(tyā)ś[r]aya-ś[r]i-prithivī]-

Second plate ; first side.

- [¹³] [va]llabha-mahārā[j-ādhirā]ja-paramēśvarasya priya-tanayasya pra[jñāta]-
 [¹⁴] nayasya [khaḍga-mā]tra-sa[hā]jasya Chitrakaṇṭh-ābhīdhāna-pravara-tu[raṅga]-
 [¹⁵] [mēṇ=ai]kō[n=ai]v=ōtsa(tsā)rit-āśēsha-vijigīṣhōr=avanipati-tritay-a(ā)nta[ri]tāṁ sva-gu]-
 [¹⁶] [rōh] Śrī[ja]m=ātmasa(sā)t-kri(kṛi)tya prabha(bhā)va-kulō(li)śa-dalō(li)ta-Pa(pā)ṇḍya-
 Chōla-Kōra-
 [¹⁷] la-[Ka]ṣa[m*]bhra⁸¹-prabhṛiti-bhūbhṛid-adā(da)bhra-vibhramasy=a(ā)n-any-a(ā)vanata-
 Ka(kā)ñchī-pati-ma-
 [¹⁸] kuṭa-chumbita-pād-āmbujasya Vikramāditya-Satya(tyā)śraya-śrī-pri-
 [¹⁹] thivīvallabha-mahāra(rā)j-ādhirā(rā)ja-parama(mē)śvara-bhaṭṭa(tā)rakasya
 [²⁰] priya-sū[nōh] pitur=a(ā)jñaya(yā) Ba(bā)lō(lō)ndusēkharasya Ta(tā)raka(ā)rātir=iva [dai]-
 [²¹] [tya-balam=ati-samu]d[dh]atāṁ trē(trai)ra(rā)ja-Ka(kā)ñchīpati-balam=avashtabhya ka-
 [²²] radikṛita-Kamēra-Pārasika-Siṁ[ha]-ādi-dvi(dvī)p-a(ā)dhipasya sakal-ōttara(rā)pa[tha]-
 [²³] [nātha-mathan-ōpār]jit-ōrjita-pālidhvaj-ādi]-samasta-pa(pā)[ramaiśvaryya]-
 [²⁴] [chihnasya Vinayāditya-Satyāśraya-śrī-prithivīvallabha-mahāra(rā)j-a(ā)[dhirāja]-

Second plate ; second side.

- [²⁵] paramēśvara-bhaṭṭārakasya priy-ātmajaś=śaiśava ō[v=ād]higat-āśēsh-ā]-
 [²⁶] ātra śāstrō dakṣhiṇ-āśā-vijayini pitāmahō samunmūlita-nikh[ila-ka]-
 [²⁷] ṇṭaku-saṁbha[ta]-uttarāpatha-vi[ji][gī*]śbōr=gu(ggu)rōr=agrata ēv=āhava-vyā[param=ā]-
 [²⁸] cha.ann=aru(rā)ti-gaja-[ghat-āpāta]na-viśīryama(nā)ṇa-kra(kṛi)pāṇ-dha(dhā)ra[s=sama]-

⁸⁰ The form of *le* here is the same form that is used in the same word in l. 8 of No. LII (Vol. VIII, p. 44), and in *karileyan*, for *karileyonān*, in l. 5 of No. LVII (*id.*,

p. 285), and in *karileyon* in l. 6 of No. LVIII (*id.*, p. 286). See the remarks at Vol. VIII, p. 237, para. 6.

⁸¹ See note 21 above.

- [³⁰] *graha*⁵²-*vigrah*-a(â)[grô*]*saras*=sat-sa(sâ)ha[sa-ra]sikalî pa[rânm]nkhikṛita-śatru-maṇḍa[lô]
 [³⁰] *Gaṅgā*-*Yamunâ*-pâ[īdhvaja-pada(?ḍa)-ḍa(ḍha)kkâ-mahâśabda-chilna-[mâ]-
 [³¹] *nikya*-*matuṅga*-j-â[ḍin=pitṛisâ]t-kurvan=paraiḥ=paḷa(lâ)yama(mâ)n[air=â]-
 [³²] *sa*(sâ)*dya* *kutbamapi* *vidhi*-va[śâ]d=apa[nitô]=pi *pruta*(tâ)pa(pâ)d=êva *vishaya*-[pra]-
 [³³] *kôpam*=a-ra(râ)*jakam*=utsa(tsâ)*rayan*=*Vatsara*(râ)ja *iv*=a(â)n-apêkshit-a(â)*pura*-sa(sâ)[hâ]-
 [³⁴] [ya]kas=ta[d-ava]grahân=nirggatya *sva*-bhuj-a(â)*vashṭam*bha-lîpra(pra)sa(sâ)dhi(di)t-
 âsê[shu-vi]śvambhara[h]
 [³⁵] [pra]bhur=aka(khu)ṇḍita-śakti-trayatva(tvâ)ch=chhatru-mada-bhamjanatva(tvâ)d=uda(dâ)-
 ratva(tvâ)n=niravadya[trâd=yah]
 [³⁶] *samasta*-bhuvan-a(â)*śraya*-śrî⁵³-s-sakala-pa(pâ)ramê(mai)śvarya-vyakti-hêtu-pa(pâ)līdva-
 (dhva)j-[âdy-nijva]-
 [³⁷] la-pra(prâ)jya-ra(râ)jyô *Vijayâditya*-*Satya*(tyâ)*śraya*-śrî-pri(pri)thivivalla-

Third plate.

- [³⁸] [bha]-*maha*(hâ)ra(râ)j-âdhira(râ)ja-paramêśvara-bhaṭṭa(tâ)rakas=sarvvân=ôvam=âjña(jñâ)-
 payati [i*]
 [³⁹] [Vi]ditam=astu vô=sma(smâ)bhaḥ(bhih) su(sû)nu[h*] *Vikra*[mâ]ditya-*Satya*(tyâ)*śra*[ya*]-
 śrî-pri(pri)thi-
 [⁴⁰] *vivalabha*-*maha*(hâ)ra(râ)j-a(â)dhira(râ)ja-paramêśvara[h*] *sarvva*(rvvâ)[n = êvam=â*]jñâ-
 (jñâ)payati [i*]
 [⁴¹] *Śākānti* *datta* *Maḷuvu*(vû)ra-nâ[ma-grāma]h* *sa*-bhôgaṁ(h) *sarvva*-ba(bâ)ḍha-pari[hârô]
 ḍa[ṭṭah]
 [⁴²] *Kâvu*(sc. kau)ṇḍinya-sagôtra(trâ)ya *Bammanḍasa*(svâ)mina[h*] *putra*(sc. putrâya)
 [⁴³] *dīkshita*-*Sarvva*-*Āditya*(tyâ)[ya*] *bha*(cha)tu(tur)-*vidya*-sama⁵⁴.
 [⁴⁴] *nīya* [i*] [*Bahubhir*=vvasudhâ bhuktâ*] *ra*(râ)jabbhiḥ [*Sagar-ādibhiḥ**] *yasya* *yasya*
 ta(ya)ḍa(dâ) bu(bhû)mi[h*] *tas*[ya]n [*tasya**] [*tadâ* pha]-
 [⁴⁵] *lain* [i*] *Sattu*(sc. sva-dattâm) *para*-*datta*(tâ)[in*] *vâ* *yô* *harê*[ta*] *va*[su*]*udharām*
 [shashṭi-varsha-sahasrâni viśṭâyām*] *jâya*-⁵⁵.
 [⁴⁶] *ma*(tê) *krimi*[h*] [i*]

Translation.

Hail! Victorious is the form, which was that of a boar, that was manifested of (*the god*) Vishṇu,—which troubled the ocean, and which had the earth resting upon the tip of its uplifted right-hand tusk!

(L. 2.)—The son of the Great King Śrî-Polekêśivallabha,—whose body was purified by ablutions performed after celebrating horse-sacrifices, and who adorned the family of the Chalukyas, who are glorious; (&c. as in No. LXXVII),—was Śrî-Kîrtti-varmâ, the favourite of the world, the Great King, (&c., as in No. LXXVII).

(L. 10.)—His son was Satyâśraya, the favourite of the world, the Great King, the supreme king, the supreme lord,—who had acquired the title of 'Supreme Lord' (&c., as in No. LXXVII).

(L. 13.)—His dear son was Vikramâditya-Satyâśraya, the favourite of the world, the Great King, the supreme king, the supreme lord, the venerable one,—who was conversant with the art of government; (&c., as in No. LXXVII).

(L. 20.)—His dear son was Vinayâditya-Satyâśraya, the favourite of the world, the Great King, the supreme king, the supreme lord, the venerable one,—who, having at the command of his father (&c., as in No. LXXVII).

(L. 25.)—His dear son, Vijayâditya-Satyâśraya, the favourite of the world, the Great King, the supreme king, the supreme lord, the venerable one,—who even in his childhood acquired a knowledge of all the writings on the use of weapons; (&c., as in No. LXXVII)—thus issues his commands to all people:—

(L. 39.)—"Be it known to you! By Us, (*Our*)

⁵² This syllable, *ha*, is superfluous.

⁵³ This syllable, *śrî*, is superfluous.

⁵⁴ Four or five letters are quite uncertain here.

⁵⁵ Three or four letters are quite uncertain here.

⁵⁶ Two or three letters seem to have been engraved here but they are quite illegible. If engraved, they were superfluous.

son Vikramāditya-Satyāśraya, the favourite of the world, the Great King, the supreme king, the supreme lord, thus issues his commands to all people:—The village named Maḷavāra has been given⁵⁷, with the enjoyment of it and with the relinquishment of all opposing claims, to Sarvāditya-

dīkshita, of the Kauṇḍinya gōtra, who is the four Vēdas, and who is the son of Bammaṇḍasvāmī⁵⁸,”

(I. 44.)—[Land has been enjoyed] by [many] kings, [commencing with Sigara]; (&c.)! He is born as a worm [in ordure for the duration, of sixty thousand years], (&c.)!

BUDDHIST SYMBOLS, &c.

BY E. THOMAS, F.R.S., CORRESPONDANT DE L'INSTITUT DE FRANCE.

(Continued from p. 66.)

I have lately availed myself of the opportunity of studying the collection of the Amarāvati Marbles, at present in the India Museum at South Kensington, with a view to determine the nature and bearing of the more popular symbols and devices appearing on these sculptures, so closely associated with the old homes of the Āndhras—in the hope of illustrating and explaining the parallel emblems on the series of coins, pertaining to proximate localities, recently under consideration.

My first impression, derived from a very cursory examination of these sculptures, led me to conclude, that whatever extraneous elements might have been introduced from time to time, that the Tope itself had been primarily devoted to the cause of solar worship. The dominant circular pattern indeed was obviously suggestive of such a purpose.

It need not be reiterated that the sun constituted one of the earliest objects of worship among primitive nations, as in the ordinary course it would present itself to the untutored mind, as the “natural selection.” How many races of men intuitively adored the sun, or how many classes of the priesthood have taken “the light of the world” as the basis of their religion, it would be hard to say.

As the Greeks and Romans created many personifications of the sun-god, so the Indian Āryans recognised its leading representative deities by the various names of Sūrya, Savitṛi, Aditya and Vishṇu, besides assigning many of

the attributes of the god of light in reduced gradations to several of the minor members of the Indian Olympus.

In India at large the prevalence, if not universality, in primeval times, of the worship of the sun is attested by the survival of generic names, the concurrent testimony of home tradition and inscriptions, the evidence of travellers, and the more material endorsement of sculpture.

We can roughly complete a goodly circle of geographical proof from the earliest Sauras of Saurāshtra, by way of the Temple of the Sun at Multān, to Gayā and Orissa on the east coast, and back again to the written testimony of the Western copper-plates, and the caste-marks on the foreheads of the women in the oldest painting at Ajantā.¹

To revert to the symbols on the Amarāvati Tope.

THE WHEEL.

The leading and most important device among the objects of worship is what it has hitherto been the custom in modern parlance, to designate as the “Buddhist wheel.” To my apprehension these carvings were not designed to represent the “Wheel of the Law,” or any such fanciful machine, but represent the conventional symbol of the sun, in the form of a wheel, as indicating his onward revolution. At times it is difficult to discriminate the sculptor's intention, as to whether he designed to make the wheel like the sun, or the sun like a wheel,² but one of the most striking examples of the presiding motive is

⁵⁷ *Sikānti*, l. 41, meaning not apparent, unless the word is a chronogram containing the date of 615. Śaka 615, however, was not in Vijayāditya's reign; and I know of no other instance in which a Chalukya date is expressed by this method.

⁵⁸ *sc.*, “Brahmaṇḍasvāmī.”

¹ Burgess, *Arch. Survey: Notes on Ajantā*, 1879, pls. viii, ix, x.

² The earliest Chaldæan type of the sun was formed of a simple ring or circle, like the Indian *Sūrya-maṇḍala*, but it was speedily improved upon by the addition of cross-

lines within its circumference, and these again were superseded by ornamental double lines with a circular centre-boss. (Rawlinson's *Ancient Monarchies*, vol. I. p. 141.) In this latter form it is figured at Bavian, in association with the half-moon and 7 planets (Layard, *Nineveh and Babylon*, 1853, p. 211). In Lajard's *Culte de Mithra* (Paris, 1847), endless varieties of the symbolic forms of the sun are collected, chiefly of more or less ornamental patterns, but in one instance (pl. xxxix. fig. 6), the sun is represented by a simple six-spoke wheel, with the worshipper in front and the half moon to the left on a similar pedestal.

afforded by the parallel figures at Bhilsa. In pl. xliii. Fig. 5, of Mr. Fergusson's work,³ the wheel is ornamented on the outer edge of the felly with a succession of arrow points, reminding one at once of the "arrows of Apollo," or the blaze of the sun's rays,⁴ an addition which, in the solid form, would have sadly impeded the roll of a mundane wheel. At Amarāvati these arrow-heads are replaced by a succession of tridents (pl. xviii. fig. 7), and the multiplicity of the sub-divisions of the wheel itself are far more suggestive of the rays of the sun, than of useful wooden spokes. Again, in one instance of the examples of the various designs of wheels at Sanchi, we find the spokes converted into something very like flames of fire.⁵

The arrow points are still more marked and directly indicative of their purport in the numerous instances of the representations of suns on the coins, especially in the Ujjain series, whose mintage locality is determined by the insertion of the word *Ujjenini*, in Lāt characters. A large number of specimens of these pieces have been collected and figured in *Journal Asiatic Society, of Bengal*, vol. VII. plate lxi. These examples abound in the various symbols and enigmatical emblems of the sun, such as the local imagination delighted to associate with his various powers. The barbed arrow points, in these instances, start from the central wheel and project considerably beyond the felly.⁶ In one case (No. 1) we have confirmatory evidence of the local reverence for the four-fold sun in the repetition of that number of smaller rings, within each of the four circles connected by the cross-lines of the standard *swastika* pattern.

³ Cunningham, *Bhilsa Topes*, pls. xxxi. 1, xxxii. 1.

⁴ The arrow heads are of two kinds, and are made to alternate from the rounded *cutting* point, depicted in Mr. Fergusson's Sanchi plate xxxvi, to the simple unbarbed point represented in the combats in plate xxxviii. See also arrows in the *Rig Veda*, v. i. xvi. Wilson, vol. IV. p. 26.

⁵ Fergusson, *Tree and Serp. Wor.*, pl. xliii. fig. 5. See also Genl. Cunningham, *Arch. Report*, vol. III. pl. xxx. n., and Col. J. Low, *Transactions Royce Asiatic Society*, vol. III. plate 3.

⁶ Nos. 2, 11, 16, 26, 30, &c.

⁷ *Dhammacakkhapaṭi*, Dominion of the Law. The well known phrase *dhammacakkhapaṭi* is usually rendered "to turn the wheel of the law," but that this was its original meaning I consider extremely improbable. *Paratteli* does not mean "to turn" so much as "to set going," "to establish," &c. and *chakka* is probably used in its sense of "domain," or "dominion." It is most important to bear in mind that this famous phrase is used not of the whole period of Buddha's ministry, but *only of his first sermon* in which he "begun" or "set on foot" his religion. Ajātasattu is reported to have said in reply to the priests about the contemplated general council: "It is well, venerable men, you may rely upon me, let mine be the

We must now examine, on the other hand, what title the Buddhists can show to establish their claim to the worship of the wheel, as an essential part of their own system, except in so far as it was borrowed, in the way of an appropriation, from the earlier devisers and legitimate employers of the symbol.

A certain amount of confusion has been introduced into this enquiry by the fact that the *chakra* or 'wheel' was not only supposed to represent the sun or the wheel of the sun's chariot, but it had also a worldly significance of 'universal' sovereignty, or the kingdom of the entire circle of the known world.⁷ It is in this latter sense that Buddha himself is reported to have used the word, when he says "Bury me like a *Chakravartti Rāja*,"⁸ that is as a "king," not as a saint: and, as he contemplated at the time, no worship of his mortal remains, so we may fairly infer that he did not anticipate the imaginary wheel, he merely claimed in virtue of his royal extraction, would be elevated into one of the symbols of the faith he taught.

Burnouf,⁹ Foucaux,¹⁰ and other early investigators were not very clear in their discrimination of the contrasted import of the term *chakra*, but later authorities altogether discard the claims of the legitimate Buddhists to any such piece of machinery as a sacred wheel.¹¹ Spence Hardy, while recognising the *Chakravartti* as a universal emperor, has no such word as a "wheel" in his index.¹²

Mr. Beal, who has consistently rejected any idea of the virtue of a wheel, as an aid to Buddhist faith, sums up the relative bearings of the question in the following emphatic terms:—"I

domain of temporal authority, yours the domain of religion."—Childers' *Pali Dictionary*, 1875; *sub voce*.

⁸ *Journal Asiatic Soc. Bengal*, vol. VIII. p. 1005. Prinsep's *Essays*, vol. I. p. 107.

⁹ Burnouf, tom. II. pp. 308, 387-8, 416.

¹⁰ *Histoire de Bouddha Sakya Muni* (Paris, 1848) pp. lxii, 147, 103, &c. Le trésor de la roue divine apparaît dans la région orientale, avec mille rais, une circonférence et un moyeu, toute d'or, non fabriquée par un charron, et de la hauteur de sept tāsas (cap. iii. p. 15). Bābū Rājendra-lāl, in his translation of *Lalita-Vistara*, is decided in the opinion that "The Legend of the *Chakra ratna*" is no doubt an after-contrivance intended to adapt the title for a Bandha prince," p. 28.

¹¹ I conclude that no one has hitherto ventured to suggest the similitude of *Wheels of the Law*, to the hand-revolving Buddhist praying-cylinders, or to the larger water-power mills which call nature to aid in the performance of the religious rites of entire village communities, in making the prayer-inscribed drum, attached to the water wheel, speed their devotions to heaven. See General Cunningham's *Laddak*, 1854, p. 375.

¹² *Manual of Buddhism*, London, 1853, pp. 30, 126. See also *Eastern Monarchism* (1850), pp. 37, 82.

proceed to make some reference to the scenes of the sculptures on the gates and beams at Sānchi. But before doing so, I would start the query, whether there is any proof to be gathered from the character of these sculptures, that the followers of Buddha worshipped either the Tree or Nāga? If they did, nothing in the world would more effectually destroy the theory of their religion. The Buddhist convert, theoretically at least, acknowledged no superior to himself in heaven or earth."¹³

M. E. Senart, who has more recently gone over the whole ground of Indian symbolical devices, in his *La Légende du Buddha*, expresses his conclusions and convictions in an equally positive way: "Quoiqu'il en puisse être, l'expression *chakrāṇ pravartayitūṇ* forme la partie fondamentale et vraiment significative dans notre formule. Tout nous interdit de séparer son emploi dans la légende du Buddha de son application, précédemment examinée, au Chakravartin. Dans la roue du Chakravartin nous avons sans peine reconnu le disque de Vishṇu et les images empruntées à la roue solaire; la roue du Buddha n'a point à l'origine d'autre sens; c'est en sa qualité de véritable Chakravartin que le Buddha la met en mouvement (*Rig Ved.* viii. 5, 8)."¹⁴

I quote M. Senart, in this instance, on account of his more comprehensive knowledge of Buddhism and Buddhist literature. I have ordinarily sought to form my own independent opinion from the Indian point of view, of questions before us.

¹³ J. P. A. S., (N.S.) vol. V. (1871) page 168. See also *The Travels of Foh Hian*, (London, 1869) pp. 108, 127. Gen. Cunningham, in somewhat the same sense, remarks: "With respect to the title of this last work of Mr. Fergusson, '*Tree and Serpent Worship*,' I submit that it is not borne out by the illustrations: and further, that as serpent worship was antagonistic to Buddhism, such a title is not applicable to a description of the religious scenes sculptured on a Buddhist Stūpa."—*Archæological Reports*, (Simla, 1871), vol. I. page xvi.

¹⁴ *Journal Asiatique*, 1875, vol. VI. p. 116.

¹⁵ Since this was written, my suspicions of the authenticity and good faith of these labels has been signally confirmed. The more important ones are, in many cases, obviously after-insertions, cut in at hazard in any vacant space available. Furnishing, indeed, a new proof of the euekoo propensities of the Buddhists.

¹⁶ The author of the *Tabakāt-i-Nāīri*, in adverting to the partial destruction of the Bhilsa Tope by Altamsh in A. H. 631, A.D. 1233, adds the information that it originally took 300 years to build, and stood at a height of 105 *gaj*.

The passage in the Persian text runs as follows (Calcutta Text, 1864, p. 176):—

وحسن وشهر بهیلسارا بگرفت و بتخانه که سید

Undue importance, I think, has been attributed in later arguments on the subject to the illustrative label attached to one of the scenes at Bārahāt; comprising the words *Bhagavato dharma chakam*. This definition of the purport of the sculpture would, undoubtedly, be of the highest importance, if we could only fix the period of its incision, or if we could pretend to determine how soon after the death of Śākya Muni, the first adaptation and appropriation of "wheel worship" was received into the Buddhist formula.¹⁵

If the Amarāvati Tope took anything like the three centuries to finish, which is claimed for its fellow mound at Sanchi,¹⁶ there was room enough, in all conscience, for the growth and interchange of religious and their authorized symbols. Such an inference would, in a measure, account for the apparent variety of creeds depicted in the several groups of sculpture, and explain, in the plenitude of pilgrim's gifts of "rails and pillars," the reason for the slow progress of, what England irreverently calls, the preaching-up of a church steeple.

The 123 nominal rolls, mostly proclaiming small *danams* or donations collected as a preliminary list in Genl. Cunningham's *Bhilsa Topes*,¹⁷ sufficiently indicates the law of progress in this instance. But we have more direct and material evidence to this end, in the appropriation of a sculptured stone of ancient date by the Buddhists themselves, where they are seen to have taken advantage of the unadorned back of a slab of a much earlier period of art, with an original design of a tree and Vishṇu padas—to

سال بود نا کنوا عمارت می کرد ند و رفعت اوبقدرد
وینچ گز بود خراب کرد

Major Raverty is inclined to consider that it was the Temple at Ujjain, that took 300 years to finish; but the text, under his own interpretation, does not sanction such an inference, even if the great elevation of the structure alluded to by the Muhammadan author, was not altogether opposed to the conclusion. *Translation of the Tabakāt-i-Nāīri* (1875), p. 621; see also Elliot's *Histories*, vol. II. p. 328.

While adverting to the Bhilsa Tope, I desire to advert to an opinion expressed by Mr. Hall of the solar indications associated with the name and the place:

"I have discovered that, in the middle ages, the sun was worshipped in Central India, under the designation of Bhāilla,—from *bha*, 'light,' and the Prakrit termination *illa*, denoting possession. There was a temple to Bhāilla at or near Bhilsa, which I take to be a corruption of bhāilla + isa, or bhāillisa."—Mr. Hall, *Vishṇu Purāna*, vol. II, p. 150. See also *Jour. Asiatic Soc. Bengal*, (1862), p. 112. The transcription of the name as *Bhaglesan*, *Mahā-bhaleswar*, &c. by Reinaud, quoting Albīrūnī, seems to support Mr. Hall's pronunciation. See Elliot's *Histories*, vol. I. p. 59.

¹⁷ *Bhilsa Topes*, London, 1854,—plates xvi, xvii, xviii, pp. 235, &c.

figure on the reverse in finer lines and more elaborate treatment, their conventional representation of the standing form of Śākya Muni.¹⁸

VISHNU PADAS.

The hollowness of the Buddhist pretensions to the origination of this popular symbolic combination, was exposed some fifty years ago by a very competent judge, who examined the consistency of the faith from the point of view presented in extra-Gangetic or Siamese localities. Captain J. Low concludes his observations on the subject in these terms:—"To whatever country or people we may choose to assign the original invention of the *Phrabāt*, (foot of Buddha,) it exhibits too many undoubted Hindu symbols to admit of our fixing its fabrication upon the worshippers of the latter Buddha; of whose positive dogmas it is rather subversive than otherwise, by encouraging polytheism."¹⁹

In somewhat the same sense, our latest commentator on these matters, Dr. Rājendralāla Mitra, freely admits that, "on the whole, the marks on the *Buddha-pād* bear a closer resemblance to Hindu than to Buddhist religion," and I am disposed to accept the authority of the inscription,²⁰ and to believe that the stone, though popularly called the foot of Buddha, was put up by the Hindus."²¹

In this instance, in short, the Buddhists merely acted, as other people, before and since, have had a tendency to do, *i.e.* to follow the sensible, if not inevitable, course of conciliating the local races by incorporating or assimilating the outward signs and symbols of a pre-existing faith.

We learn from the collection of Ujjain coins, arranged by Prinsep, above adverted to (*J. A. S. Beng.* vol. VII. pl. lxi.), that in covering their dies with figures and forms, *dharmas* and *gantras*, the indigenous races admitted in combination many and various devices having reference to the manifest power of the *sun*, and that its

emblems predominated in the general selection, if not to the exclusion, of conflicting symbols. We know what importance has been attached to caste marks in India, from time immemorial, we have seen that the *chinha* of the Jain Tirthaīkaras was of more consequence than the outline of the special statue itself;²² and the question then arises, as to whether these various devices are not merely the discriminating sectarian emblems adopted from time to time, by sub-divisions of worshippers of a common object? This leads on to the consideration of the further query, as to whether all the four or five devices engraved on the soles of "the two feet" may not emanate from one and the same idea, and carry a like significance? The central wheel is many-rayed and sun-like, the closeness of the spokes or rays seeming to indicate quick rotation. The *svastika*—here repeated over and over again, even unto its appearance on the toes—has already been noticed in its connection with the sun, the circle surmounted by the *trishūla* is found to be a near counterpart of the figure of the crude god, in the temple of Jagannāth.²³

It forms a prominent object of devotion placed at the head of a cone, in very many of the sculptures at Amarāvati,²⁴ and it will be remembered that it proves to be identical in form with the ornaments which constitute the outside rays of the wheel of the sun in the same series, taking, in effect, the place of the more pronounced arrow-rays at Sānchi, but a strange confirmation of its import and direct connexion with the sun is afforded by the so-called "Aśoka railing," at Buddha Gayā,²⁵ where the lower compartment is devoted to the chariot and four horses of Sūrya himself, with his attendant archers;²⁶ while the upper storey of the edifice represents a covered niche or shrine in which the ball or circle with the superimposed *trishūla* object stands alone and

¹⁸ Fergusson, *Tree and Serp.* W. & A., pl. lxxviii, figs. 2, 3, page 201; India Museum Slab, No. 50.

¹⁹ Captain J. Low, "On Buddha and the Phrabāt," *Transactions R. A. S.* vol. III. p. 64. (March 20th, 1830). See also *J. R. A. S. (N. S.)* vol. IX. pp. 55 and 163.

²⁰ Sanskrit Inscription, dated 1230 Saka.

²¹ *Buddha Gayā* (1878), p. 127.

²² "The Babylonians are remarkable for the extent to which they affected symbolism in religion. In the first place, they attached to each god a special mystic number, which was used as his emblem, and may even stand for his name in an inscription.

"Further, each god seems to have had one or more emblematic signs by which he could be pictorially symbolized. The cylinders are full of such forms, which are

often crowded into every vacant space where room could be found for them."—Rawlinson's *Ancient Monarchies*, v. 1. III. p. 467.

²³ Gen. Cunningham *Bhilsa Topes*, p. 353; *J. R. A. S.* vol. VI. p. 450.

²⁴ Fergusson, *T. and S. W.*, plates lxxvii. to lxxii. page 192; *Bhilsa Topes*, pl. xxxii. figs. 4, 5, 10.

²⁵ Rājendralāla Mitra, *Buddha Gayā*, (Calcutta, 1878), pl. I., p. 160. I am bound to add to my interpretation of these sculptures, that the Bābā does not see anything "solar" in the leading figure in the chariot, p. 162. He does not seem to have taken any notice of the upper compartment. *J. R. A. S. (N. S.)* vol. III. p. 161.

²⁶ The archers appear to be females. The bows are of the same form as those on our coins.

undivided in its glory,²⁷ and seems to declare itself as the direct crypto-emblem of the more definite embodiment of the god in the associate sculpture.

The fourth prominent symbol in the order of the general combination, of which there are two examples on each foot, consists of a diagram, which may be reduced into the simple alphabetical elements of $\ddot{\text{r}}$ (*rea*) or a possible crypto $\ddot{\text{r}}$ (*eri*). I fear that it would be useless at present to speculate on the meaning of the compound.

It may be the counterpart of a more Chinese-looking device, of a square pedestal or box, surmounted by a T, which figures on the leading class of Behat coins, and which General Cunningham pronounces—he does not say on what authority—to be “an emblem of the sun,”²⁸ a conclusion which is, to a certain extent, supported by the new evidence now adduced of the real import of the combination of the central sun and four surrounding tridents, which symbol is found occasionally to supply its place above the back of the deer.²⁹

In the Assyrian system a nearly similar device constituted the ideograph of “le nom du dieu de l’unction royale,” and at other times stood for the royal sign of Nebo,³⁰ but it would be difficult to establish any direct connexion between the two. My own later impressions were that it was an early conventional type of the Sacred Tree, for which conclusion the appearance, in some instances, of a railing on the lower box seemed to give authority.³¹

Of the minor and subordinate devices which contribute to the filling-in of the general pattern, we may notice the insertion of four dots at the corners of the front *Swastika* near the toes, and the repetition of four flowers similar to those in the centre of the wheel towards the heels of the feet.

There are two examples of these full size

ornamented patterns in the Amarāvati collection in the India Museum. The purely archaic *padas* seem to have been more simple in outline, and the ornamentation is confined to the central figure of a wheel.³² Whereas in after times, we find the *Vaishnavi* Brahmans expanding the number of symbolic signs into nineteen, commencing with the half-moon, but ignoring the more potent sun, except under his typical device of the *Swastika*. The *Skanda Purāṇa* even omits the wheel³³ substituting, perhaps, the discus, but the former leading symbol is invariable in the majority of examples. The multiplication of figures on the sacred foot finally reached the extreme Siamese limit of “108, or more” objects of devotion. It is important to observe how these later adaptations of the normal outline invariably recognised the central wheel as denoting the sun, inasmuch as effect is given to the external flames in the revolving manner already noticed, so that we find Captain Low observing “according to some authorities the Hindū *chakura* was a circular mass of fire, instinct with life, darting forth flames on every side.”³⁴

THE HORSE.

The coursers of Apollo find equine representatives in the mythology of the *Vedas*, but their number is, at times, increased to seven, and, at others reduced to a single steed, who is endued with many of the attributes of Sūrya himself.

“The bright red horse” avowedly symbolizes “the Sun,”³⁵ as in the Persian system “le soleil, souverain, coursier rapide, œil d’Ahura-Mazda; Mithra, chef des provinces,” &c. embodied the same idea.³⁶ Professor Wilson remarks that “the hymns addressed to Dadhikrâ or Dadhikrâvan, contemplate the sun under the type of a horse,”³⁷ and Dr. Muir concurs in such an interpretation where Ushas (the Dawn) is said to bring the eye of the gods, and lead on the bright “and beautiful horse, by which the

²⁷ Genl. Cunningham in Vol. III. of his *Archæological Reports* (1871-2) pl. xxvii. has given an engraving of the lower portion of this column. He does not, however, seem to have noticed the important bearing of the details of the upper portion of the pillar, p. 97. See also Kittoe, *J. A. S. Bengal*, vol. XVI. (1847), p. 337.

²⁸ *Bhilsa Topes*, p. 354.

²⁹ *Journal Asiatic Soc. Bengal*, vol. VII. pl. xxxii. fig. 6; and *Bhilsa Topes*, pl. xxxi. fig. 10.

³⁰ Ménant, *Nous provinces Assyriens*, p. 22.

³¹ *J. R. A. S. (N. S.)* vol. I. p. 481.

³² Fergusson, *T. and S. W.*, pl. lxxviii. fig. 2, India Museum. No. 56.

³³ Rājendralāla Mitra, *Buddha Gayā*, p. 126.

³⁴ *Transactions R. A. S.* vol. III. p. 72. The quotation is from Wilkins's *Bhagavat*. A Dallastype photograph of a

very elaborate copy of the foot-print of Buddha, near Nopphur in Siam, was published by Messrs. Trübner some time ago in their *Record*. This drawing shows the Central Sun with great distinctness. The external flames are made to curve, as in Col. Low's example, as if to indicate the rotatory motion of the luminary.

³⁵ Mac Müller's “*The Sacred Hymns of the Brahmans*,” London, 1869, p. 9, R-V. i. 6, 1.

³⁶ Burnoud *Étude*, p. 351. The Massagetae “worship the sun only of all the gods, and sacrifice horses to him; and this is the reason of this custom; they think it right to offer the swiftest of all animals to the swiftest of all gods.” Herodotus I. 216. Compare Wilson, *Rig Veda* vol. II. pp. 112, 121, and preface pp. xii. et seq.; Wilson's *Collected Works*, vol. IV. pp. ii. 353; and Burgess' *Arch. Reports*, vol. II. (1874-5) p. 37.

³⁷ *Rig Veda*, vol. III. pp. x. 119.

sun seems to be intended."³⁵ The late Prof. Goldstucker also, in commenting on the faculties of the Āswins, observed: "Their very name, it would seem, settles this point, since *āśva*, the horse, literally 'the pervader,' is always the symbol of the luminous deities, especially of the sun."³⁶ In the *Purāṇas* "the sun in the form of a horse," is said to have appeared to Yâjñavalkya, and the version of the *Vishṇu Purāṇa* goes on to state, "accordingly the sun imparted to him the texts of the *Yajus* called *Ayātayāma*, and because these were revealed by the sun, in the form of a horse, the Brâhmanas who study this portion of the *Yajus* are called Vâjins (horses)."³⁷ The sacred horse is represented in the Amarâvati sculptures in various attitudes, but always guarded or overshadowed by the conventional imperial *chhatra*, and ordinarily depicted as revered or worshipped by the bows and *salâms* of the surrounding attendants. In his free form, as issuing from gateways, in associate processions,³⁸ his mission might be taken to indicate the mere arrogance of an Āśwamedha sovereign. But when he is found to have special medallions or circular frames in the sculptures exclusively devoted to his representation, and those bosses are made to occupy the apparent place of honour, *above* the fellow-circles containing the seated figure of a saint,³⁹ it would seem that the intention of the artist pointed at higher things than the led-horse of an Indian Râja. It is very possible, as has been suggested by Mr. Fergusson,⁴⁰ that the reverence of the horse was derived from the same aboriginal source, as that which has led the Gonds to retain his form in their crude worship to this day.

There is, however, one peculiarity in this reappearance of the horse on southern soil, which has apparently escaped Mr. Fergusson. That is, that we find the animal so closely associated with the rites of the worship of the sun and the moon, as intuitively preserved among many sections of the aboriginal forest tribes.

Mr. Hislop⁴¹ incidentally alludes to "Bâd u

De wa (the great god), who, in other districts, is called Budhâl Pen (the old god) * * * or Burâ Pen," the chief god among the Khonds, who is identified in a note⁴² with the sun-god. Some of the outside aboriginal races (the Kurs or Kuls) are described as having for the "chief objects of their adoration," the sun and the moon, "which take the outward form of wooden pillars, with horse, sun and moon set up before the houses of married people."⁴³

This association of the two symbols may perhaps serve to explain the juxtaposition of the Wheel and the Horse's head in the Amarâvati sculptures, in plates xciii. and xcv. fig. 3, regarding which Mr. Fergusson remarks,⁴⁴ the horse "is introduced in mid air alongside the wheel as an object of equal reverence; and on a piece of sculpture where the wheel just above him is the especial object of worship."⁴⁵

It is worthy of remark that the horse retained his fixed place as the symbol of the 3rd Jaina Tîrthaṅkara, and though recognised incidentally as a power in theology, he is altogether ignored in the different Paurāṇik lists amid the amplified nineteen authorized marks on Vishṇu's feet.⁴⁶

P. S.—Since this note on the Horse symbol was written, General Cunningham's work on the Bârahât Tope has been published in England.

In the new examples of old devices now contributed, it will be seen that the Horse takes an unusually prominent position, even to the addition of the Wings of the Pegasus of Western mythology. On the votive table, in front of the shrine of the Sun, in the lower compartment of the sculpture (Pl. xiii. a), may be traced the exact representation of the head of the Gond clay-horse, who was "offered in lieu of the living sacrifice," above adverted to.⁴⁷ And further, in the same dedicatory position may be traced votive flowers—pure and simple—together with clay reproductions of the symbols of the Sun under the various forms of lotus-leaves, wheels, and the marked coincidence of *Swastikas* enclosed in the simple orb circle of the aboriginal Sol.

³⁵ *Sanskrit Texts*, vol. V. p. 157. See also *J. R. A. S. (N.S.)* vol. II. p. 5.

³⁶ *Journal R.A.S. (N.S.)* vol. II. p. 15. and vol. IX, p. 228.

³⁷ *Vishṇu Purāṇa*, Hall's edition, vol. III. p. 57.

³⁸ *Tree and Serpent Worship*, Pl. xxv. p. 135; xcv. fig. 3; xcvi. and p. 223.

³⁹ Pls. lxxi., lxxvii.

⁴⁰ Page 203.

⁴¹ *Aboriginal Tribes of the Central Provinces*. Edited by Sir R. Temple.

⁴² P. 14 note f; *Calcutta Review*, vol. V. p. 55; and *Church Mission Intelligencer*.

⁴³ P. 26, quoting Mr. Bullock.

⁴⁴ *Tree and Serpent Worship*, n. 215.

⁴⁵ Mr. Hislop observes, in a Note at p. 26:—"The Scythian origin of Kûrs and Gonds might perhaps be inferred from Kodo Pen and earthen horses, which are offered instead of living sacrifice."

⁴⁶ *Rajendralâla Mitra's Buddha Gayâ*, p. 126.

⁴⁷ Note 48 above.

MISCELLANEA.

PROPER NAMES.

Since my note on proper names given to children whose elder brothers have died, was published in the *Indian Antiquary* for November 1879 (Vol. VIII, p. 321), I have been in communication with Dr. Rājendra Lāla Mitra, who has kindly furnished me with some interesting information on the parallel custom in Bangāl. In Bangāl a woman, who has lost several children immediately after their birth, is called *madānichē podh*, and two common names for the children subsequently born are *Bhuto* "The Ugly One," and *Gobardhan*, "Dung-made."

The corresponding generic word in Maithilī (Terhuti) for a child whose elder brothers have died is *machhai*, which Dr. Mitra has identified with Skr. *mṛita vatsa*, and with the Bangālī *marchhai*: a synonym, however, of *machhai* in Maithilī is *marachhēd*, which I am inclined to recognize as Sk. *Mṛita Śāvaka*. A Maithilī proverb runs, मरैक माय पुत्र सोग सहे, "The mother of a *machhai* has to bear the pangs of losing her sons."

There is, moreover, a noteworthy custom in Bangāl, which Dr. Mitra first brought to my notice; it is that of giving away the children subsequently born immediately after birth, and then buying them back again from the donee at a small cost. The price varies from one to nine cowrie shells, omitting the even numbers, and the children are named according to the price paid; thus: *Ekkaundī*, one shell; *Tikkaundī*, three shells; *Pañchkaundī*, five shells; *Satkundī*, seven shells; and *Nakaundī*, nine shells. Such names are very common in Bangāl, and are invariably due to this custom.

In Mithilā (Tirhut) the custom of sale, as above described, does not obtain, but the above mentioned names are all used. Moreover, in Mithilā the number of shells is not confined to odd numbers, e. g. one of the commonest of these names is *Ohhakaundī*, six cowries. I am informed, however, that in south Bihār, south of the Ganges, the custom of sale does obtain.

The Bangālī meaning of the word *Gobardhan* "Dung-made" is curious. In Mithilā it is a name of good repute amongst Vaishnavas, who use it with reference to the famous hill near Vṛindāvana. Dr. Rājendra Lāla Mitra writes with reference to this word that, in Bangāl, when used as a proper name it is generally but not invariably explained to mean a dung-hill, but that a good Vaishnava may use it with reference to the hill near Vṛindāvana. As a common word it means the hill.

Finally, may I ask any one who has any further information to give on this curious custom of

nomenclature, to kindly publish it in the pages of the *Indian Antiquary*.

GEORGE A. GRIERSON, C.S.,
Madhubani, Darbhanga.

METRICAL TRANSLATIONS FROM THE
MAHABHARATA.

By JOHN MUIR, D.C.L., LL.D., C.I.E.

KINGS SHOULD NOT BE TOO JOCLAR AND GOOD
NATURED.

The following is a free translation of *Mahābhārata* xii. 2033ff. The picture is, possibly, drawn from the life, from something that the writer had observed at the court of an Indian prince; and the like of which may often have been witnessed since:—

When kings are weak, and love to joke,
They quickly men's contempt provoke.
Their courtiers show them no respect,
And all proprieties neglect,
With jibes to beard the prince presume,
And even against him rage and fume.
They make impertinent requests;
Delay to do the king's behests;
His secrets all contrive to steal,
And then to all the world reveal.
His master's orders none obeys;
They make a jest of all he says.
When he is wroth, they only laugh,
And hold his favours cheap as chaff.
They play with this poor silly king,
As with a bird held by a string.
Even when their master holds a court,
They still pursue their wanton sport;
"In this thou failed'st, king," they say,
"In that thou wentest far astray."
And these presumptuous courtiers boast
That they're the men who rule the roast.
They fain would all the world convince
They've power to influence the prince;
"In all affairs our help you'll need;
"The docile prince obeys our lead."
If charged with public trusts, like knaves,
These men, of gold the greedy slaves,
With selfish views their power abuse,
No proffered bribes will e'er refuse,
Will edicts forge to gain their ends,
And benefit themselves and friends;
Will endless webs of falsehood weave,
And so the simple prince deceive;
And thus with ruin overwhelm,
His hapless, poor, misgoverned realm.

The following is a prose translation: "And thou shouldst not laugh too much with thy servants, O chief of kings. Hear what evil results from this. 2034. From [such familiar] contact, his dependents despise their master and do not keep their proper place, but transgress the limits of propriety (*latvatah*). 2035. When sent as messengers, they

hesitate (*vikalpante*); they reveal secrets; they ask for things that should not be asked for, and eat [the king's] food. 2036. They grow angry, and incensed against the king their master; and by bribery (or receiving bribes,) and deceit, they cause affairs to miscarry. 2037. By forged edicts they bring ruin on the king's realm. They attach themselves to the guardians of the women¹ and adopt the same attire. 2038. In the prince's presence they spit. Devoid of shame, they repeat the king's words. 2039. When the prince is jovial and good-natured, they mount the horse, or elephant, or car, which he likes. 2040. In the assembly his friends speak thus: 'This, king, was difficult for thee; that was a wicked act of thine.' 2041. And when he is angry they laugh; and are not at all delighted when he shows them honour, and they are jealous of each other. 2042. They betray his secrets, and reveal what (he) does wickedly, and contemptuously make sport of his orders. . . . 2044. They are not satisfied with their income and seize what should be given to the king.² 2045. They seek to play with him as with a bird held by a string,³ and tell people that the prince

can be led by them. 2046. These and other evils become manifest when the king is mild and jovial."

A MODEL MAN.

The following lines are selected from a number describing the men who "overpass all evils":—

Mahabh. xii., 4056 ff.

That man no evil needs to fear
To whom all other men are dear.
Who ne'er abuse in kind requites,
Nor struck, again the smiter smites,
Who neither fears, nor fear inspires.
Who nurses no unblest desires,
Who can himself endure neglect,
But pays to others all respect.
Who, though himself by want oppress,
Ne'er envies those by fortune blest,
Who even in straits, would scorn to lie,
And sooner, far, would dare to die,
And thus from every weakness freed,
Ne'er sins in thought, or word, or deed—
A model man, who nobly lives,
'To all a bright example gives.

BOOK NOTICE.

BODHIA GAYĀ, the Hermitage of Sākya Muni. By Rājendralāla Mitra, LL.D., C.I.E., &c. &c. Published under orders of the Government of Bengal. Calcutta, 1878.

Second Notice.

We have in the previous notice dealt with the portions on mythology, and briefly with the architectural and artistic features of this book, and have found them far from satisfactory: we now proceed to the *inscriptions*. Dr. Rājendralāla Mitra has a reputation for reading inscriptions, and it may seem a bold step to question his authority in such a field. His first inscription in this volume, however, must attract attention. It is in the Maurya character, and is found repeated on six different pillars of the old rail—four in the monastery, and two in the enclosure of the great temple, and is read by the author (p. 182)—

Agaye Kurangiye dhanam.

After a long analysis, the Calcutta savant renders this,—“gift to (the person named) Kuragi,” or “gift to the eater of boiled rice?”—A very odd inscription indeed! But let us examine it. In the sharpest example, the *ra* of the second word is expressed by a wavy line as at Girnar, and beside it is a distinct *anusvāra*, whence we read—
dydye Kurangiye dhanam.

¹ With the view of gaining an entrance into their apartments.—Commentator.

² *Rājendragāṇ, chechhūṅga*.—Commentator.

³ The commentator explains this thus: *Kṛdītum rājāṇa saha chechhūṅga kṛdītum kṛdītum sasūtreṇa buddhena pakṣiṇā chechhūṅga dhanam nirodhaḥ*, i.e. “They wish to engage in sport, hunting, &c., with the king. As with a

Now as *dyā* is the Prākṛit for *dyā* (fem.) and *dyāye* is, in Sans. the sixth case, *dyāyāḥ*, while *Kurangi* is a feminine name meaning a Deer (Doreas), the inscription can only be read as—
“The gift of the noble (lady) Kurangi.”

If we may trust to the text given in General Cunningham's *Reports* (vol. III. pl. xxvi) the second inscription is surely of later date than the first, which ought to have been pointed out. The third is correctly read by General Cunningham, but not so by Dr. Rājendralāla. It is in the Museum at Calcutta. The word *Tabapanaka* with which he has so much difficulty is no great variation from *Tambapanaka* (with the *anusvāra* inserted) — Sans. *Tāmaparnaka*—a native of Ceylon.

No. 4 (p. 191) is given by Cunningham (vol. III. pl. xxviii.) in letters of the fourth or fifth century A.D. at earliest—not of the second as the author would lead the reader to suppose.

Of his transcript of No. 5 (p. 192) we can make no sense: it is evidently misread or misprinted. The first line of the original reads,—*Kṛito yatra vajrāsambhrihadgandhakutīprāsāde*,—“made where. . . in the Vajrāsambhrihadgandhakutī temple,” i.e. in the great temple; *gandhakutī* is a temple in which is

bird fastened by a string, with a hawk, controlling the king.” I have taken the sense to be, not that they accompany the king in his sports (if this is how the Commentator understands it), but that they make him the object of their sport, as if he were a bird held by the leg with a string. The words of the original are *Kṛdītum tena chechhūṅga pakṣiṇā*.

an image,—not ‘a receptacle for aromatics’ as Dr. Rājendralāla supposes. In inscription No. 8 (p. 195), the word again occurs, and also in another at the Mahant’s Monastery, in which we read—*gena gandhakutī pratimatrāyānvita vihita*,—“who made a Gandhakutī with three images,”—where this word must mean a temple.¹

In No. 6 the first śloka must be incorrectly copied, for it gives no sense, and this can hardly be the fault of the original engraver, for it is beautifully cut. His reading is—

इदमतितरं चित्रं सर्वसत्त्वानुकम्पने । भवनवरमदाराजितमाराय-
पतये सु [शु] द्वात्मा कारयामास बोधिमार्गगतो यतिः । बोधिषे
[से] णो [नो] तिबिख्यातो दत्तगणनिवासकः भवबन्धविमुक्त्य-
र्थं पिबोबन्धुजनस्य च । तथोपाध्यायपूर्वणा माहवाग्रनिवासिनां ॥
र्त्त ॥

We read it thus, printing the syllables he has misread in heavier type :—

इदमतितरं चित्रं सर्वसत्त्वानुकम्पने । भवनं वरमुदारं जित-
माराय मुनये । शुद्धान्मा कारयामास बोधिमार्गगतो यतिः ।
बोधियेष इति ख्यातो दत्तगणनिवासकः भवबन्धविमुक्त्यर्थं
पिबोबन्धुजनस्य च तथोपाध्यायपूर्वणा माहवाग्रनिवासिनाम्

And we translate,—

“This most ornamental, excellent and lofty temple, constructed for the Muni compassionating all sentient creatures, and the vanquisher of Māra, by him named Bodhishepa, a monk, pureminded, delighting in the way of perfect wisdom, an inhabitant of Dattagalla, for the (purpose of) unloosing the fetters of the world, of his parents and also of relations and his teachers, &c. inhabitants of Ahavāgra.”

Let any one compare this with the author’s version on p. 193 and see the difference.

No. 7, now also in the Calcutta Museum, he says, records the consecration of a bull in Sam. 781 ‘by Śrī Suphandi Bhaṭṭāraka son of Bhimaka-ullā for the purpose of securing progeny.’ His reading is—

*E samva 781 Vaiśākha vadi 9 sharudhya grāma-
va . . . thama Bhimaka ullāsutena Śrī Suphandi
Bhaṭṭāraka a(?)grā(?)thama-tyā . . . a tnanāpātga-
hetoh vishabhahāṭṭāraka-pratishthiteti*]

We read it—

*Om samva. 917 Vaiśākha vadi 14(12?) Jara-
dhyagradinavastaya . .
thama himakaullāsutena Śrī Supākshi-bhaṭṭāraka
grato māt-
tā pīṭvātmana(h) punya heto vishabhahāṭṭāraka
pratishthiteti.*

¹ See *Abhidhānapadīpikā* or ‘Pāli Synonymes,’ where ‘Gandhikutī’ is defined as a ‘Jina’s abode.’

² The second syllable of this word, Supākshi or Surpākshi, may be *shā*, making it . . . the local pronunciation, Sukhāshi; both forms may be used, as local names of Siva, beside whom this bull was placed.

³ Thus in śloka 1, line 1, for श्रीमात्र इति read श्रीमात्र इति; In śl. 4, l. 4 for ‘दनल्पसद्शात ख्यातो महीभृत्क’ read

‘Samvat 917 Vaiśākha vad 14 (or 12?) an inhabitant of the village Jarudhya . . . the son of Himakaulla, consecrated an image of Vṛisha near to Supākshi’ (or Sushākshi) Bhaṭṭāraka (i.e. Siva) for the merit of his mother, father and self.’

The facsimile plate xl. of inscription No. 8 is a very good one, but the transcript (pp. 194-5) is hardly in perfect accordance with it,³ and the translation is unsatisfactory.

Inscription No. 9 (pp. 197ff) is not grappled with: in the first place the transcript is erroneous, and then in the original the engraver has arranged it on the different facets of the stone in a way that is somewhat perplexing at first, but if we read it as the sense requires, we find that though the language is not quite grammatical, it can be made out with the exception of some portions which are chipped away. Dr. Rājendralāla makes nothing of it. We propose to read it thus :—

Ye dharmaheta Sr.

*Sindhava chchhindārayajo vallabharājah śrīgāt
(pū)stasasya pulvottha Deśarājastasyāyichchhet-
tatsatoh śrīmadu . . . khyāto . . .*

. *payastasyaiva susaigatah saṅghah*]

. *siddhāparatā śrīmadu*]] *tasya satoh*

śrī dharmoh śrī sāmāntastaditmajastasya] *śrī
pūrnabhaṭṭārakamā . . . pūrnabhaṭṭārakamā . . .
kīrt-
ti*] *draksho* *tasya pūrnabhaṭṭārakamā . . .
gandhakutī*]]

Āchārya Jayasena *Kumārāsena* *samantatoh* *śrī-
matī Uddandapura gena*

*genājagatī kṛttikapūjā pūrnabhaṭṭārakamā
gāt
gandhakutī pratimātrāyānvita vihita nyastam
śubhaṭṭāraka mbodhīlābhakriyagatah
trīsaraṇakṛitān prastān nandanta samantatoh
suddhigah*

which may be rendered,—‘Born in the Ghinda family, of the Sindh country, was the illustrious Vallabharāja; his son was Deśarāja; his son Ayichcha (Āditya); his son, well known in the world, wealthy (as) his son the beloved Sangha illustrious his son the illustrious Dharma; his son the illustrious Sāmanta;—his son was named Śrī Pūrnabhaṭṭāraka, whose glory is like the full moon, from whose lotus-like mouth came the grapes of (his) Āchārya Jayasena, brightening the throne of Kumārāsena, by whom, in the prosperous Uddandapura whose glory was like a mountain, made this temple (gandhakutī) with three images: may the merit of it be for the attainment of supreme knowledge to

‘दनल्पसद्शात ख्यातो महीभृत्क’; in śl. 7, l. 7 for रपितचित्र योनि’ read र्गोपितचित्रयोनि— in śl. 7, l. 8 for प्रभासते read प्रभासरो; in śl. 9, l. 9 for कामिनीवदनपङ्कज’ read यथाधिना वदनपङ्कज’; in śl. 9, l. 10 प्रथितः पृथिव्याम् is not the reading of the facsimile; in śl. 9, l. 10 for द्विर्भूतानवरत’ read द्विर्भूतानवरत; in śl. 10, l. 17 for दिवादिदेश read दिवाभिराम.

the whole world. . . . This eulogy 'Trisāraṇa made, may the learned approve of it.'

The next inscription No. 11 (pp. 109 ff.) is from the *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal* vol. VI. p. 657 ff., but the author has not improved on the first version, which can hardly be considered satisfactory, seeing all the proper names in it are misread.

Then passing over the Burmese ones we come to No. 15 (p. 211), of which again we have to find fault with the transcript, as not being at all correct. It is an important one, and worth translating anew.

It is in modern Nāgari character and the carelessness with which it has been transcribed may be seen from the following revised copy⁴ :—

[¹] नमस्तस्मै भगवते अरहते सम्यक्सम्बुद्धाय ॥ बोधिमूले जिनाः सर्वं सर्वज्ञातो तथा अयं जयंते धर्मराजोपि बोधिप्रसादतेजसा । पश्यावर्त्तन्त्येकः । अयं हि महाधर्मराजा अनेकश्वेतेभ्यः प्रतिच्छदन्तगजराजस्वामिः । अनेकशतानां आदित्यकुलप्रानां पितृपितामहः । अयं कपिलवस्तुकादि महाधर्मराजं सम्यक्दि-

[²] टिकानं । धार्मिकानं प्रवरराज्यं शान्तिमेव असम्मिनः (१) क्षेपित्वं शजो । सत्ताशौलायनेकगुणगणाधियासो । शान्तागोष्ठसन्तोषमानसो । धार्मिको धर्मगुरु धर्मकेतु धर्मध्वजो बुद्धादिरतनत्रये सन्तं समितं निषण्णपद्धारहदयो । नानाविधानि । शारिरिकपरिभोग उद्दयकचैत्यानि नानाप्रकारेण नन्दति माने—

[³] ति पूजति संकरोति । मारजयनक्षेत्राधिपस्य सत्त्वधर्मविचारन [था] नभूतं महाबोधिधर्म । अभिप्रसादेन पुनः पुनं मतिं करोति । विमं सति परिप्रच्छति ।

कलेशरभेतो शून्यमवपञ्चदिके गते ॥

वत्सरेव बभूवुर्धर्मविद्वैतमाभिधः ।

पुरा कपिलवस्तुनः मायादेव्या सुधोदनी ।

[⁴] निशमित्वा श्वेतमूले अमुंजि अमृतं पदं तेन सुदेशितो धर्मो संघो चास्यानुशासितो दिश्यते यापि लोकसि बोधिवृक्षस्य न दिश्यते ।

इति हि पुराण तन्वागतानुशयं महाधर्मराजभनासि करोन्तो विमन्सन्तो परिप्रच्छन्तो पितामहच्छदन्त गजराजस्वामि महाधर्मराज काले मध्यमदेशगतं वाणिज्यं ब्राह्मणं योगिह च यथारथ-

[⁵] प्रोक्तं मगधराष्ट्रे गयाशीघ्रप्रदेशे नया नीरजनाय तीरे सुसमे भूमिभागे वनपतिभूत्वा प्रतिष्ठितभावं अङ्गैरकन्धशाखा प्रमाणेन हस्तशतविरताराध्वेधभावं लंकाद्वीपाभिहारार्थं गृह्णन् कालं यथाधिष्ठानदक्षिणमहाशाखा स्वयंमेव छिन्नाकारवृक्षमात्मना बोधिपद्ममेखानवजासनस्थाने गिरिधर्मासोक-

[⁶] नाम सकलजम्बुद्वीपधर्ममहाराजा कृतचैतन्यस्य विद्यमानभावं पूर्वं षडशततसवर्णणास सकराजे भेतभजेन्द्रमहागजेन तं चैतन्यमभिसंखरित्वा मरम्भभासाय सेलक्षरपमितभावं च शुत्वा तदेतद्वक्षन् अनेकतन्त्रागतवचनेन संसंहतिं समोति । यथा तं गङ्गोदकेन यमुनोदकं युक्तायुक्तं विचारयि-

[⁷] त्वा । अवश्यमेव भगवतो सहजातो महाबोधीति निःसंशयं सज्जानमकासि । यथावत्पानविशेषनियमिते हि मनुष्यान् क्षेत्रवस्त्वादिकर्म करणं दानतो यथानुक्रममुत्पन्नं भावेन सहवी-पुमेधे अष्टराजकरीषमात्रविस्तारो केपुप्रमाणान्मि-

तिष्ठानमविसृष्टे समन्तातिष्ठलनता-

[⁸] गच्छगुच्छवनततीनं प्रदक्षिणावर्षाभिमुखं परिवारिते रजतवर्णवालकाविप्रविकीर्णे भेरितलमिवसमे भूमिभागे बोधि-पण्डसङ्गतस्य वजासनपङ्क्तस्य अपस्सयफलकमिव स्वच्छन्वा संखा पण्णेहि मणिछत्रमिव पट्टिछादेत्वा महाबोधिपुष्पः प्रतिष्ठति तस्मिन्पुनर्वजासनपङ्क्तं अनेक-

[⁹] तत्रेपि काले सर्वेपि असङ्ख्या सम्यक्सम्बुद्धा आणा प्राण-चतुस्त्रिंशत्तानपादकं छत्रिच्छास्कोटि शतसहस्रविमस्सना ज्ञाणसङ्घातं महावजासणं भावेत्वा अयं मार्गपदज्ञानसर्वज्ञताज्ञां प्रसिल्लभिसु-तथाहि सो सणहन्ते कल्पे प्रथमं सण्वहितो विनाशन्ते पि[?]— विपद्यन्तो अचलपदेषो पृथुविसारो बो-

[¹⁰] धिमण्डो नाम होति । एवं अतिचरियमन्वभरियं महाबोधिपुष्पकसतो विदित्वा अभिप्रसादमानसो यथा कालिगच्छकवर्त्तिसिरिधर्मासोको पस्सेनहि कोसलो मरुध्यव तस्सो महाबोधि-मणिपूजेसुं तथापूजेतुकासो सिरिपवरसुधम्ममहाराजाधिराजामूल-भासाय श्रीवरधम्मप्रवरधार्मिक राजारहनाममल-

[¹¹] भूतो अनेकधेतिभप्रतिसरदकुमुदकन्ददुप्रभासमान-वर्णछदन्तगजराजस्वामिमहाधर्मराजा पुरोहितं महाराजिन्द अग्ग-महाधम्मराजगुरुमिधानं भूमिन्दभारिकामात्यं च महाराजाधि-रूप रूपसागरसुरनामकं अनेकशतपरिजेनेहि द्विसहस्रत्रिंशत्-पञ्चषष्टिशासनवर्ष एकसहसरे-

[¹²] कशत व्याशीति सकराजे कार्तिकमासशरदक्षतुं । स्वविजित रत्नकेशानुसारजलजस्थलज मार्गेण पेषेत्वा सि-रिपवर महाराजेन्द्रा रत्तनदिवी नामिकाय । अग्गहेसिया सद्धि महाबोधिमूले बुद्धचरणं भगवन्तं मुदेष्य दक्षिणोदकं पातेन्तो इमं महापृथु विसासि कृत्वा महाधर्म-

[¹³] हिसाण्णैराण्यमाणिक्यविचित्रैः लाजा छत्रध्वजपयो-तकलश मालाङ्गुलैः महाबोधि मणिपूजितं संसारोघ निर्मुग्ग सत्वगणार्थेपि बुद्धत्व पथनमकासि । मातापितृपितामहअय्य-कपाय्याकादिनं पिसत्तानं पुन्यगागमदासि । यावन्मेरु रवि-ससि यावत्क्षपा तिष्ठति तथापीदं सेलक्षरं तिष्ठतं अनुमोदयति इदमेकध्वतीम प्रतिच्छदन्तगजराजस्वामि महाधर्मराजपत्नी-पुन्यसेलक्षरं महाजियसहस्यनामेन पण्डितामात्येन बन्धितं । इदं सेलक्षरं सिरिजेन्द्र महाराज गुरुनामिकेन पुरोहितेन नागरिले-खाय लिखितं ॥

Were it worth while occupying the space, we might add still very largely to the already lengthy catalogue of errors in this volume. Enough has already been adduced to show in how unscholar-like a way the book has been produced. Even in so simple a matter as in quoting (p. 167) from a translation of Strabo, he garbles the passage where it is opposed to his own theory, and mentions the "walls" of Palibothra but omits the emphatic statement that they were "wooden" wall,—because his theory requires that they should have been of stone.

We cannot understand how the Government of Bengal, in a work published at the public expense, should allow the author to make it to so large an extent the vehicle of unqualified attack on men of the highest eminence in antiquarian research, while on the other hand, all else in the volume is so inaccurate and worthless.

⁴ The words in heavier type are wrongly transcribed by Dr. Rajendralala

THE SŪTRA CALLED NGAN-SHIH-NIU, i.e. "SILVER-WHITE WOMAN."

[Translated from the Chinese, the second part of the Volume indicated by 卷二
(Buddhist Tripitaka). 1st Sūtra.]

BY REV. S. BEAL, B.A.

THUS have I heard. On a certain occasion Bhagava was residing in the country of She-wei (Śrāvastī) in the garden of Jeta, the friend of the orphan, with 1250 great Bhikshus.

At this time the world-honoured one addressed the Bhikshus in these words:—"Oh Bhikshus! if men only knew the merit (*religious merit*) of giving their goods or property in charity, and the reward (*phalaṇi*) of so doing as I know the matter—so that at the time of eating, whether it be the first or the last mouthful taken, this feeling of charity were always uppermost, and if not present so as to make men ready to give all away, then no food were to be taken at all, [*—then there would be great profit—*]. At which time the world-honoured one uttered the following verses:—

"If only men of every kind
Acted in accord with Buddha's words,
And kept back somewhat from their food for
charity,
Then the result would be a great reward.
But whether at the first mouthful
Or at the last mouthful
If charity be not uppermost in the mind,
Then a man should not eat at all!"

At this time the world-honoured one having uttered these stanzas, addressed the Bhikshus, and said:—"Oh Bhikshus! at a certain time innumerable ages (*kalpas*) ago there was a certain Royal capital: the king of which was called Padma: there was a woman of that city called "Silver-colour," who having all she required at home, went forth to visit other houses to see how the occupants thereof were faring. Now this woman was exceedingly beautiful, with all the distinguishing marks of loveliness, and her body of a most dazzling whiteness [*and hence her name*]. At this time, approaching a certain residence, she entered it, and having done so, she found within it a woman just delivered of her first-born child; this child was very fair to look upon, and of a colour surpassingly beautiful. And now she saw this newly-delivered woman seize the child in her hand with a view to

devour it. At this time the woman called "Silver-colour" in haste addressed the mother, and said: "Sister! what are you going to do?" She replied "I am famished! I have no life left in me! I have not what to eat! I must devour my child!" Then Silver-colour asked her, saying, "Sister! stop a while, this thing is impossible! Sister! is there not in all the house a morsel of any food fit for you to eat?" She replied at once: "Sister! I had at one time stores of food which I kept hoarded up with niggard care! and therefore am I now left without a morsel to eat." Then Silver-colour said: "Sister! stop a while, I will run to my house and bring you some food." She replied: "Sister! my ribs are breaking, my back is rending in twain, my heart is palpitating without a moment's rest, the world seems all dark about me, before my sister reaches her home I shall be dead!" Then Silver-colour thought thus with herself: "If I take the child and go, then this poor woman will perish; if I do not take it when I leave, she will devour it—what expedient is there then by which I can save these two lives?" She asked therefore: "Sister! is there a knife in the house I can use?" She answered "There is," and taking a knife she gave it to Silver-colour: whilst she on her part, holding the knife with her own hand, cut off her two breasts for the woman to eat; then addressing her, she said: "Here—eat these two breasts of mine:" and when she had eaten them, Silver-colour again enquired—"Sister! are you now satisfied?" She replied "I am," Then Silver-colour continued: "Sister! now this child redeemed with my own flesh is mine! I will take it, and keep it as my own; and in my own house feed it and nourish it as it requires." Saying these words, the blood flowing down over her person, and leaving its traces along the ground, she departed and came to her house. Then her relations and friends beholding her thus, flocked around, and asked her saying, "Who has done this?" Silver-colour replied "I with my own hand have done it." Then they asked

¹ Silver-White, or Silver-colour, is probably a corruption or supposed derivation from Sivi, and this Sūtra is therefore the Northern form of the *Sivi Jātaka*. The derivation

would be from the last root [वि to shine, from which comes श्वेत "white."

again, "And why have you acted thus?" Then Silver-colour replied, and said: "I have resolved to cultivate a heart full of compassion, and never to give it up, for thus I seek to arrive at perfection (*anuttara samyak sambodhi*)."¹ Then all her relatives answered, and said: "Though you give your body thus in charity, and afterwards repent of what you have done, all this will not tend to the completion of the *Paramita* you desire to accomplish (*viz.*, of *dāna*)."² And they asked her again: "When you thus mutilated yourself, had you inward satisfaction, or did you do it with regret?" Then Silver-colour said: "When I had resolved and vowed to cut off my breasts, there was no feeling of regret in my mind, my mind wavered not for a moment"—and then in proof she said: "and now in virtue of my vow let my breasts be restored as they were at first." Having made this vow, lo! her breasts were restored again as at first.³ At this time all the Yakshas and so on, in the city of Padma raised a great cry, and said: "The lady Silver-colour has now with her own hands cut off her breasts!" Then the earth-Devas (*gods*) hearing this cry took it up, and repeated it in the air. The Devas hearing the cry repeated it in the higher worlds, till the news spread even to the Brahma lokas. At this time the Divine Śakra rāja reflected thus: "This indeed is an unprecedented event, that this woman Silver-colour from her pity to all flesh should thus with her own hands cut off her breasts. I will go now, and enquire of herself respecting it." So he immediately changed himself into the form of a Brāhman, holding in his left hand a golden pitcher, and bearing a golden begging dish in his right hand, and provided with a golden staff, he went thus to the Royal City of Padma. Having arrived, he gradually approached the house in which Silver-colour dwelt, and taking his stand without the door he sang the wonted words of those who begged for food. Then Silver-colour having heard the chant of one who begged for food outside the gate, immediately took a dish, and filling it up with food she went forth. At this time the Brāhman addressed her, and said: "Sister (lady): stop a while, I need no food;" on which she replied—"Why not?" The Brāhman then said, "I am the Divine Śakra,

and I have some doubt in my mind about your conduct. I have come therefore to enquire further of you, answer me then, I pray you." Silver-colour replied in these words: "Great Brāhman! you need but ask as you think best, and I will answer you truly." Then the Brāhman asked her and said, "Lady! is it true that you cut off your breasts to give as an act of charity to another?" She replied—"It is true, great Brāhman!" The Brāhman then said: "And what led you to do it?" Silver-colour answered—"My great compassion, and my aim to accomplish the condition of perfect wisdom." The Brāhman replied, "This is a very difficult matter, this so-called perfection—for if there be the least vestige of regret mixed up with the deed, then it can never lead to the (completion of the) *Paramita* (*of charity*). Tell me, then, when you performed the act, did your heart feel happy or not, and when you felt the anguish consequent on the infliction of the wounds, had you no desire to change your purpose?" Silver-colour replied: "Kausika! I swear that I have never faltered a moment in my purpose to obtain the condition I have named, in order that I may save the world, nor did I falter either when I cut off my breasts, and in proof that I felt no particle of regret, let me now, if what I say be true, be changed from a woman to a man." Then Silver-colour having made this oath, was changed forthwith into a man, and her heart was filled with joy unutterable and delight without measure.⁴

And now as in this changed form he wandered forth from place to place—he came to a certain tree, and sitting under it he fell asleep. Now at this time the king of Padma died, and as he was childless, there was great distress in the country. Then the great ministers went from tree to tree, from village to village, from town to town, from capital to capital, everywhere seeking one possessed of royal marks whom they might choose as their king. And whilst thus in search they said: "How shall we ever obtain a proper king to reign over us?" At this time there was a certain powerful minister, who, being worn out with the excessive heat, entered a tank covered with flowers to bathe, and whilst thus occupied he saw there beneath a tree a man asleep of surpassing beauty, and distinguished by all the necessary signs of Royalty,

¹ Vide *Sacha Kartya*, *Eastern Monachism*, p. 273.

² Vide as before, an explanation of the *Sacha Kartya*, Hardy, *East. Mon.* p. 273.

and he observed that although the sun was declining fast, that the shadow of the tree still remained protecting him. Then the great minister in a moment caused him to wake, and when he woke he took him to the "Royal City" (Rājagriha, *i.e.* the capital), and performing the accustomed tonsure, he clothed him in the kingly vesture, and put on his head the jewelled crown, and accosted him thus :—"Now you are king, act and govern us accordingly!" To whom he answered : "I am indeed unable to act as your king." The minister answered : "But indeed you must;" to which he replied : "If indeed you take me to reign over you, then on your parts you must take on yourselves the ten religious (*virtuous*) vows (*vetts*)—to which when they agreed, he undertook to govern them as a king in righteousness (*religion*), and he was called again "Silver-colour." Now at this time the age of the people reached to 70,000 *nakatas* of years, so that the king having reigned thus for hundreds and countless thousands of years, at length came to die—and when about to die he repeated these words :—

"All things that exist are transitory,

They must of necessity perish and disappear,
Though joined together, there must be separation,

Where there is life, there must be death,

All depends on *contact*,

Whether good, or whether bad,

All things born,

Are unstable and inconstant."

Now, after that king's death he returned again to be born in the same kingdom, the Royal capital of which was Padma. He was born as a nobleman's child, very beautiful and fair. At eight years of age with 500 other youths he entered school; whilst there he enquired of those elder ones who had already finished their school course, why they had gone to school, and on their replying they had gone to learn their letters, he said "What profit is there in learning letters, only one thing is necessary, and that is to aim at the unequalled and unsurpassed condition of heart known as *Anuttara-samyak-sambhodi*." And what is the meaning of that condition, they said. To which he replied, "you must above all things aim at the accomplishment of the six *Paramitas*." And what are the six :—"the *paramita* of charity (*dāna*), of moral conduct (*śīla*), of patience (*kṣanti*), of perse-

verance (*virya*), of contemplation (*jñāna*), and of wisdom (*prajñā*)." Then having heard this they said, we will aim at this. Thus that child having led his companions into this condition he reflected thus, "Now I desire to do some small act of charity, whether it be for man (*biped*) or beast (*quadruped*)." Having so thought he repaired to a public place of burial (*śītavana*), and forthwith taking a pocket knife (*li-lih*, "*a knife for use*") he began to cut his body till the blood gushed out, and then smeared himself all over with the blood and dust, and lying down in the midst of the cemetery, he sang out the following words : "Come now from far and near, ye two-footed and four-footed creatures all, come here and eat, oh come and eat my flesh from my body." Now among the birds that frequented that place there was one whose name was *yeon-shen* ("having a hand"), this one coming to the hermit perched above his forehead and pecked at his right eye, and having pecked at it, he let it go again. Then the hermit said : "Why do you peck at my right eye, and then let it go!" The bird replied "of all parts of a man's body I think the eye most beautiful (*to the taste?*)." Then the hermit addressed the bird, and said : "Though a thousand times over you pecked at my right eye and still let it go, yet should I feel no anger or resentment in my heart." Then that bird pecked out both his eyes, and the rest of the birds, all assembling in the cemetery, came and devoured bit by bit the hermit's flesh, till naught but the bleached bones were left.

Having quitted this body he immediately came back, and was reborn in that Royal city of Padma as the child of a Brāhman, very beautiful to behold, and incomparable for grace. Having completed 20 years of age, his parents addressed him, and said : "My son (*Mānava*), you must now get a home of your own." Then the young man answered his father and mother, and said : "What reason is there for me to have a house of my own, I have no desire for a family residence, my only wish is to be allowed to enter the deep mountains as a recluse." His parents having given their consent, he left his home, and found a home amidst the mountains and the forests; whilst wandering thus he saw amid the mountain woods two aged Brāhmins, who were Rishis; coming to them, he asked what they did dwelling there; to which they

answered—"Mānava! we dwell here in order to benefit living creatures, practising all kinds of austerities." He then enquired further: "I also with the same desire to benefit all living creatures am come here to reside and to suffer all kinds of painful austerities." Then that youth passed on to different places amid the forest glades, making the earth his dwelling place (*living in holes!*) and whilst thus practising himself in religious austerities, he obtained, in virtue of his meritorious conduct, the eyes of a Deva (*heavenly sight*). Then looking round about on the place and its neighbourhood, not far off he saw a tigress who dwelt there, and just about to bring forth her young. Then the youth having observed this, began to think thus with himself: "this tigress not long hence will bring forth her young, and having done so, then perhaps she will die of hunger, or in her famished state desire to eat her young." Having thought thus he then returned and asked the two Brāhmanas, and said, "Which of you will divide his body, and give it in food to this tigress?" They answered him: "Neither of us is ready to divide his body for food to give the tigress." Having received this reply, after seven days the tigress was delivered, and having brought forth her young she carried them in her mouth to the den, and again came out. The youth having observed this proceeding forthwith went to the place where the two Rishis dwelt, and addressed them thus: "Great Rishis, the tigress has brought forth her young: if now ye indeed seek to benefit all that lives, and for this purpose are suffering austerities—now is your opportunity—ye may now cut up your

body, and give your flesh to the tiger-mother to eat." On this those two Brāhman Rishis immediately went to the place where the tigress was, and having come they began to think thus—"who can patiently endure such pain as this in practising charity? Who can cut off the flesh from his body that he loves to give to a starving tiger?" Having reflected thus, that newly delivered tiger-mother began to follow them at a distance, seeing which they were filled with fear, and mounting into the air, flew away.

At this time the Mānava youth looking around him spoke to those Brāhmanas, and said: "Is this your vow and your oath?" Having said this he forthwith vowed, and said: "I now give my body to feed this tiger—oh would that in consequence of this sacrifice I may obtain the unsurpassed and perfect condition of being." Having made this vow, he took a knife, and himself cut flesh from his body, and gave it in charity to the tiger mother! "And now, Oh Bhikshus, entertain no doubt in your minds, it is from compassion to you that I declare this—look no further, but accept my words—it was I who was born in Padma as that Silver-colour who cut off her breasts to rescue and save that child who was no other than Rahula. It was I who gave my body in that *Sitavana* to feed the birds. It was I who cut off my flesh to feed that hungry tiger, whilst you were the Brāhmanas, and because of my self-denying charity in bearing sorrow for others, I have now attained Perfection of Being."

The Bhikshus hearing these words were filled with great joy and exulted mightily.*

SUCCESSION OF BUDDHIST PATRIARCHS.

BY REV. S. BEAL, B.A.

It is well known that there is an assumed succession of Teachers who presided over the Buddhist Church from the death of the Founder, down to Bodhidharma, the last and twenty-eighth in the succession, who flourished in South India about 525 A.D. The list of these Patriarchs is preserved with some degree of accuracy on Chinese and Tibetan works, and it is possible that a complete examination of the subject might result in establishing some useful

chronological data. The following account is compiled chiefly from Tārānātha's *History of Buddha*, and some Chinese fragments scattered through various books:—

1. Śākya Buddha.
2. Kaśyapa, presided over the Church for ten years, Wassilief, *Bouddhisme*, § 42.
3. Ananda, presided for forty years.
4. Sanakavasa, or Sanavasika; he lived at Śrāvastī and at Varānasi; at this time the

* The *Seri Jātaka* is pictured in Cave XVI. at Ajanta, and perhaps another version also in Cave IX.; they are much injured in both cases, but appear to agree more

closely with the Sinhalese form of the *Jātaka*, than the Chinese. The latter however may throw light on these and perhaps other wall-paintings at Ajanta.—ED.

number of mendicants became so numerous that there was a dispersion of the community, and Madyantika, with 10,000 Rahats, proceeded to the north and finally settled in Kashmir. There was a great conversion of the followers of King Sudanu, the grandson of Ajātaśatru, under this patriarch.

5. Upagupta; contemporary with Kālaśoka, 100 A.D. Under him the first great division of the Church took place, and the second council was held.

6. Daitika, or Dhitika.

7. Kala; according to Tārānātha, this patriarch was principally concerned in the conversion of Ceylon and Orissa.

8. Sudarsana, engaged principally in the conversion of Sindh, and South India.

9. Katyayana.

10. Mahaloma.

11. Pārsvika, or Pārśva, principally instrumental in the conversion of Āśvagosa. (Tārānātha speaks of this patriarch under the name of Nandi.)

12. Mahatyaga (sometimes spoken of as Funa-ya-shi, *Wong Puh*, 197).

13. Āśvagosa, a very celebrated patriarch (*vide* his Life, translated by Wassilief, *Ind. Ant.* vol. IV. p. 141).

14. Kabimara (Kia-pi-mo-lo).

15. Nāgārjuna, supposed to have been contemporary with Vikramāditya.

16. Āryadeva, or sometimes simply Deva. He was a disciple of Nāgārjuna, and an interest-

ing anecdote of his zeal is recorded by *Wong Puh*, § 188.

17. Ragurata.

18. Sangamandi.

19. Goyasheta (placed 74 B.C. by *Julien*, vol. II. p. 346).

20. Kumarila.

21. Jayata.

22. Vasubhandu, generally placed as contemporary with Vikramāditya.

23. Manura.

24. Haklena.

25. Sitsi, driven out of Kashmir and north India by the cruel persecution of Mahirakula. This king is the Mehrkul of the *Ajia Akbari*, vol. II. p. 145. He is placed by Cunningham about 500 A.D., and made contemporary with Balāditya (*Arch. Rep.* vol. I., p. 12). But Fergusson places him in the second century (*Tr. and Serp. Wor.* p. 165), which is more probable.

26. Bashiasita.

27. Putnamitta.

29. Bodhidharma, who arrived in China A.D. 526.

The succession in China was kept up until A.D. 713, when it was finally lost. The names of the Chinese Patriarchs were these:—

1. Bodhidharma.

2. Hoei-kho-ta-szu ...died 592 A.D.

3. Seng-lin-ta-szu..... „ 606 „

4. Tao-tin-ta-szu „ 651 „

5. Houng-jin-ta-szu ... „ 675 „

6. Hoei-neng-ta-szu ... „ 713 „¹

THE ŚAIVA PARIKRAMĀ.

BY BHAGVĀNLĀL INDRAJI PANDIT.

Round the old Śivālayas or great temples of Śiva we often find a number of smaller shrines. Thus round the Vimāna of the great temple of Kailāsa at Ellurā, there are five such shrines on the same platform. They are now empty, and the visitor may be at a loss to know their designations. At the back of the great hall there is a door near each corner leading out upon the platform on which these temples stand, and which forms a *pradakshinā* or ambulatory passage round the great shrine of the *līnga*. Passing out by the door at the right or south end of the back wall, the first shrine was dedicated to the Mātṛis, whose seven seats are arranged along

the back, with Kārtikswāmi or Śiva at the left side, and Gaṇapati with Bhṛīngi at the right. The next, on the south-east corner, was dedicated to Chanda, whose image is one of the most disgusting in the Hindu Pantheon; he is represented nude, in lustful excitement, either with two or four hands, holding the *trishula* and a jug or a *damru*, with Śiva's third eye in his forehead, and his hair in the *jatā* or Yogi's style. The refuse of the offerings in a Śaiva temple were thrown to him. Behind the great shrine, or on the east side, the small shrine is for Pārvatī, whose place is just behind her lord's. The fourth on the north-east is for Bhairava

¹ From *The Oriental*, Sept. 25, 1875.

or Rudra; and the fifth, on the north side, properly belongs to Gaṇeśa. Through the north wall of the great shrine comes the channel for the water which has been used in washing the great *liṅga*, and falls into a trough: this outlet is called the *Somasūtra*, which it is unlawful for the worshipper to pass in performing his ritual. For the *parikramā* or *pradakṣiṇā* of Śiva is not properly performed by going round and round the temple, as in the case of the other gods, but in accordance with the śloka:—

*Ṛṣhaṃ Chandaṃ ṛṣhaṃ chaiva
somasūtraṃ punarṛṣhaṃ
Chandnī cha Somasūtraṃ cha
pūnasekhaṇḍaṃ punarṛṣhaṃ.*

That is—the worshipper must first go to the Nandī, which is always in front of the *liṅga* shrine, and standing behind it perform his

namaskāra to Śiva; thence he goes along the *pradakṣiṇā* passage to the right, to the shrine of Chanda, and pays his worship there; returns to Nandī and again worships the *liṅga*; then round as before, but past the shrine of Chanda to the *Somasūtra*, where he touches his eyes with the water used for washing the god, and worships. Next he returns to Nandī and a third time worships the *liṅga*; he returns a second time to Chanda, and having performed his *namaskāra* to him he advances as far as the *Somasūtra*, and looking up he worships the flag on the spire; then returning to Chanda he again does *pūja* to him, and comes back to Nandī to make another *namaskāra* to Śiva.

This is the full *parikramā*; but the custom has almost fallen out of use all over the peninsula of India, and no figure of Chanda is to be found in any temple of modern times.¹

ARCHÆOLOGICAL NOTES.

BY M. J. WALHOUSE, LATE M.C.S.

(Continued from p. 73.)

No. XXV.—*Rag-bushes in the East.*

One of the most universal of superstitious customs is that of tying bits of rag to bushes or trees at spots held to be sacred or haunted by any supernatural presence. In vol. VIII. of the *Indian Antiquary*, at page 219, the wandering trader or pedlar caste, called Banjāris or Lambādies, in travelling from Bastar to the Godāvari Tālūqās are said “to fasten small rags torn from some old garment to a bush in honour of Kampalamma, (*kampa* = a thicket.)” On three or four occasions, when going up from the Koinbatūr plain to the Maisūr frontier by the Gazzalhatti or Kavēripuram passes, once famous in the wars with Haidar and Tipu, but now for three quarters of a century hardly traceable tracts through wild stony jangal, I have seen a thorn-bush rising out of a heap of stones piled round it, and bearing bits of rag tied to its branches; these deserted passes are frequented by Lambādies carrying salt-fish, grain, &c. by means of large droves of pack-bullocks and asses; whether of the same race as the Bastar people I do not know. I have more than once encountered their encampments in the Kāvēri jangals, and am now led to con-

nect the rag-bushes I saw there, and of which I could not at the time get any account or explanation, with them. In the southern districts of Madras there is also a prickly shrub, the botanical name of which I do not know, but the prickles have a stinging quality, which induces fever, and its branches are sometimes seen stuck all over with bits of rag by way of propitiation¹. In Wales the prickly furze is held to fence off evilly-disposed fairies, being there in such matters held protective rather than injurious. Once near Dindigul in the Madura district I saw a solitary mimosa tree by a pool in the middle of a wide barren *māidān* with a great many bits of rag and cloth tied to its branches, and was told that a traveller unknown had some years before been found dead by the pool, that his spirit had become a malignant demon, which haunted the spot, and that the rags were tied to the tree as offerings to prevent it injuring the herd-boys and cattle pasturing on the plain. Closely analogous must be the custom amongst the Gāros of the deep jangals on the Āsām border of raising a bambu arch decorated with tufts of cotton over each path leading into a village to propitiate the deities;

¹ At the temple of Śiva-Gangā Kondai, in Tinniveli, there is a small shrine of “Ślendiswara,” which is perhaps the same as Chanda. See p. 119.

¹ Sir Walter Elliot informs me he has repeatedly seen rags tied to bushes in the Dakhan, most frequently on the Bēr tree (*Zizyphus*).

all who enter or quit the village must pass under these arches; they are also placed over the doors of houses in cases of sickness.

All over India the tombs of Musalman Saints and holy men are surrounded with upright rods and canes to which are attached streamers of many-coloured rags. Mrs. R. Burton, in her entertaining book *Arabia, Egypt, India*, notices that near Bombay "the burial-grounds were full of little flags or pendants like those on a lance" (p. 128)². Cossack graves around Moscow are distinguished in the same way, and so are the Turcoman tombs between the Caspian and Merv. In Persia the prevalence of the custom has long attracted the notice of travellers. Mr. James Morier, in his *Second Journey through Persia, Armenia and Asia Minor*, in 1810-20, writes (page 239):—"Close to the burial place of a Persian saint grew a small bush, upon the branches of which were tied a variety of rags and remnants of garments. The Persians conceive that those rags from their vicinity to the saint acquire peculiar preservative virtues against sickness, and substituting others, they take bits away, and, tying them about their persons, use them as talismans." This recalls the "handkerchiefs and aprons," brought from the body of St. Paul, which banished diseases and evil spirits (*Acts* xix. 12). Brand in his *Popular Antiquities* refers to a prayer of the Roman Church used for "the blessing of clouts in the way of curing diseases."

In further illustration of Morier's account, Mr. Eugene Schayler, in his recent work *Turkistan*, observes of the tomb of Zang-ata, the patron saint of Tashkend, that "it looks shabby from the ramshorns and long bits of dirty rags which every pilgrim has felt it necessary to tie there on some stick or tree. These are symbols of sacrifice" (vol. I. 138). Mr. J. Romilly Allen has also informed me that in 1874, he observed by the Khorzam Pass on the Elburz Mountains, at a height of 7000 feet, a great number of thorn-bushes covered with rags of every colour, which had been left by the muleteers. In Afghanistan our troops have noticed that it is the custom to tie rags to bushes by the graves of those who had died violent deaths

—no unfrequent objects there. The Missionaries Hue and Gabet describe the *Oboes*, or immense cairns, they encountered in Tartary and Tibet as surmounted with branches hung over with bits of cloth on which verses are inscribed: these are propitiatory offerings to the mountain-spirits. In China Governor Davis speaks of the whole population of towns trooping out to the cemeteries at periodical times of mourning, "leaving behind them long streamers of red and white paper to mark the fulfilment of the rites. Whole ranges of hills sprinkled with tombs may at that season be seen covered with these testimonials of attention to the departed fluttering in the wind and sunshine" (Davis's *Chinese*, vol. I. chap. 8)³. The foregoing instances demonstrate the wide prevalence of the custom in Asia, alike amongst Muhammadan, Hindu, and Buddhist populations. Antiquaries know it was equally prevalent over Europe, where it is indeed now far from extinct. It is there chiefly associated with holy wells celebrated for healing qualities. Bushes by such wells are covered with bits of clothing tied there by persons who had derived benefit from bathing or drinking, just as in Persia devotees tie rags to bushes near holy tombs. The custom still exists in Wales and Ireland, and I have seen instances of it near Boulogne in France and in the Black Forest. Widely spread as are the rag-bushes, rag-trees and their variants are more universal still. Over both the Americas from the far north to the extreme south the custom may be traced. In the remote regions of British Columbia fragments of the blankets and clothing of departed Indians wave over their graves by the Fraser River. Sir John Franklin describes the sacred tree of the Cree Indians hung all over with strips of buffalo flesh and pieces of cloth. Mr. Taylor gives an account of the great cypress tree in Mexico, its branches covered with hundreds of locks of coarse hair, bits of coloured cloth, rags, and morsels of ribbon, "probably so decorated long before the discovery of America," and Mr. Darwin notices the remarkable single-standing sacred tree in Patagonia, revered by all the Indians with numberless offerings "such as cigars, meat, pieces of cloth," &c. suspended

² "On the top of the Giant's Mountain near Constantinople is the tomb of a dervish called Joshua's Tomb. It is held very sacred, and the railings round it are covered with tiny bits of rag, hung there by the superstitious as a sure preventive against fever and ills of every kind."—*Sun-*

shine and Storm in the East, by Mrs. Brassey, p. 73.

³ The Rev. A. Williamson in his *Journey in Northern China*, describes near Taiyuanfu an aureia dating from the T'ang dynasty (7th to 10th century) covered with votive tablets.

to its branches. In Africa Mungo Park encountered a great tree called *Neema Taba*, "decorated with innumerable rags or scraps of cloth, which none presumed to pass without offering something," and the same custom has lately been reported from Madagascar*. Similar observances prevailed amongst the Esthonians in Livonia, and are reported by Sir John Lubbock to be not yet extinct⁵. Confining ourselves, however, to Asia, perhaps the earliest notice of the sort is the story of Herodotus, that Xerxes, when marching on Greece, encountered in Lydia a plane tree so beautiful that he caused golden robes and ornaments to be hung over it, and placed a guard to watch it. The historian says this was "on account of its beauty," but it was probably also a sacred tree, such as was familiar to the Persians in their own land. Tabari, the Arabian annalist of the 9th century, relates that the people of Najrân in Yemen every year, on a certain day, assembled round a large date tree outside the city, hung it with rich garments, and offered prayers. In our own times Captain Conder (*Tent Work in Palestine*, vol. II. p. 233) says of the sacred oaks and terebinths named after the Sheikhs their owners, that "they are covered all over with rags tied to the branches, which are considered acceptable offerings"⁶. Sir John Chardin, the traveller in Persia of the 17th century, often mentions the sacred trees met with everywhere in Persia, called *dirakht-fâzel* = excellent trees,—stuck all over with nails for fastening on bits of garments. One very ancient plane he saw in the king's garden at Shiraz, to which the people used to come to pray under its shade, and hang amulets and shreds of garments on its branches. Mr. Schuyler, at the passage above referred to, also observes:—"Old trees, especially old mulberry trees, seem greatly venerated throughout Central Asia, and the older and deader they are the more bits of rag they have stuck on them." In remoter northern Asia Strahlenberg describes the idols of the Ostiaks on the rivers Irtysh and Obi as "roughly hewn pieces of wood hung over with rags," and the Jakuti of Eastern Siberia as "hanging all manner of nick-

nacks on their sacred trees," and Zaleski, in his *Life on the Kirghiz Steppes*, gives an account of a tree that strikingly recalls the solitary Patagonian rag-tree. He says that on the steppes between the Sea of Aral and the confluence of the Tchoni and Yatch rivers, a distance of 310 miles, there is only one tree, a species of poplar, highly venerated by the Kirghizes, who go several miles out of their way to hang an article of their clothing on its branches, hence it is called *Sinderich-agatch*, i.e. rag-tree. In the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. III. p. 35, I have mentioned what seems to me a variant of the custom where the Champaka and other trees round the ancient shrine of the Trimurti at the foot of the Anaimalai Mountains in Coimbatore, Madras Presidency, are thickly hung with sandals and shoes, many of huge size, evidently made for the purpose, suspended by pilgrims as thank-offerings, or in token of vows accomplished. Another more ghastly variant seems to be the practice of the Nâgâs of Eastern India of hanging the skulls of enemies on the great trees in their villages. In China, pieces of gilt paper are hung upon trees in sacred places, and silken streamers are reported to be tied to trees in Lamaseries in Tibet.

This almost universal custom of tying rags to trees and bushes may be due to the desire of making some offering or recognition to an apprehended supernatural power or presence, and in its homeliest form is probably a survival of the gold robes and such costlier offerings as were made by Xerxes and the ancient Arabians. In many primitive nations it was customary to offer splendid gifts at funerals, and bury them with the dead, but such observances have always a tendency to change and lessen in value, and at last to be continued in imitations and temporary substitutes. Thus inferior pottery, evidently made for the purpose, is frequently found buried in barrows of a period when much better earthenware was made, and the Chinese, who once offered gold ornaments at ancestral tombs, are now content to make them in gilt paper. So rags and shreds may have taken the place of

* On the East Coast of Africa most settlements have near them large ancient trees much venerated by the natives, who drive votive nails in them and suspend rags. European residents call them *evil-trees*. It is held highly dangerous to injure them. Capt. R. Burton tells a story of an English merchant who cut down one, and died soon after as well as four others of his family.

⁵ I have not met with any form of the custom in Aus-

tralia or the South Sea Islands, unless there be something analogous in the consecration by *tabu*, "which is generally marked by small white flags stuck about tabued property."

—Jarvis's *Sandwich Islands*, p. 56.

⁶ "Abraham's Oak," which figures much in middle age romance, was similarly decorated; it grew near Hebron, and was affirmed to be green since the days of Abraham. —Vide Lucan's *Pharsalia*, vol. I. p. 136.

worthier gifts. Moreover, spots of reputed sanctity or haunted by supernatural beings, whether wells, tombs, shrines, or trees, often occur in waste and deserted places, and travellers or pilgrims passing by, or journeying from long distances to the spot, might have little that could easily be spared to offer, and yet be unwilling to pass without some recognition, however trifling, and a shred torn from a garment would afford the readiest means of satisfying the impulse. Supernatural beings and ghosts, especially of persons who have died by violence, are amongst many peoples believed to expect food and clothing, and to be malignant if neglected, so once a year the Chinese observe a ceremony called "appeasing the burning mouth," and lay out stale cakes and worn-out clothes with invitations on placards above to the "Honourable Homeless Ghosts," that is, those who have left no relatives, or whose kindred are too poor to provide the usual offerings, but who are apt to cause epidemics and work mischief if quite passed over. So in many instances it is conceivable that the rags tied to a bush may be survivals and substitutes for the garments once left for the shivering angry spirit. On this point the chapter headed 'Presents,' in Herbert Spencer's recent work *Ceremonial Institutions*, may be referred to.

The traces of a form of this custom may perhaps be discovered even in prehistoric times, and still existing. Explorers have often been surprised at the immense amount of broken pottery found mixed with the mould in cairns and barrows. Canon Greenwell, in his exhaustive work *British Barrows*, often refers to this, remarking on the very large quantities of potsherds met with, which certainly could not have found their way into the barrows accidentally, but seem as if they had been scattered about when the mound was being built. Such pottery is always broken, apparently made for the purpose, and, he thinks, must have symbolised some

religious ideas. Perhaps some light may be thrown on this by a passage in Mr. Stanley's march *Across the Dark Continent*, where when nearing the western coast, at a spot never before visited by Europeans, he writes (vol. II. p. 453): "Close to our camp was a cemetery of a village of Mbinda. The grave mounds were neat, and by their appearance I should judge them to be not only the repositories of the dead, but the depositories of all the articles that had belonged to the dead. Each grave was dressed out with the various mugs, pitchers, wash-basins, tea-pots, glasses, spirit and beer-bottles. The various articles thus exhibited, especially the useful articles, had all been rendered useless,"—like the broken pottery of the barrows and the shreds of the rag-bushes; some analogy between the customs does not seem too far-fetched. Curiously, too, this possible European and modern African variant of the custom exists in Central and Northern Asia. Mr. Seeborn, speaking of the remote regions of Central Siberia, says that there, after a funeral feast, the drinking vessels are broken and thrown upon the grave; and the correspondent of the *Daily News*, describing the customs of the Turcoman population on the Caspian borders, says that in the cemetery at Hasan Kouli and Tchikislar the graves are marked by poles to which linen bands and morsels of cloth are attached, and water jars and earthen teapots, tributes to the departed, are accumulated at the head of the grave. He does not remark whether they too were always broken, but adds that whilst a man who dies in battle is buried in his clothes, any one who dies of old age or sickness has his clothes hung on the pole surmounting the grave, and his friends or relations come occasionally to brush and clean the garments, and sometimes replace them with new. This institution is named *Loguavushket*. These grave-poles may also be regarded as variants of the rag-bushes.

ACCOUNT OF EXCAVATIONS MADE NEAR MANIKYALA, IN THE PANJAB.

BY W. SANDFORD, SECUNDERABAD.

The excavations, of which a description follows, were made in the vicinity of Manikyala, a spot well known from the published accounts of the explorations of Generals Court and Ventura of the Sikh service, and those of General Cunningham, R.E., Director General of the Archaeological Survey of India.

The work was done under the personal

direction and at the expense of Mr. Alexander Grant, M.L.C.E., Director of State Railways, Western System, and by the consent of the head of the Archaeological Survey.

A general description and map of the vicinity will be found in the second volume of the *Reports of the Archaeological Survey of India*.

The village of Manikyala stands about a

mile north of the Trunk Road, near a point, on that road, fifteen miles south-east of Rawalpindi. The village is therefore about midway between the rivers Jhilam and Suhan (the latter an affluent of the Indus). The entire neighbourhood is studded for miles with topes and mounds, several of which have, as is well known, been explored. The only structure, however, which in any degree retains its original appearance is the huge masonry stupa known as Ventura's tope, which General Cunningham considers was originally built during the reign of Havishka (one of the Seythian princes whose sovereignty succeeded that of the Bactrian Greeks), about the commencement of the Christian era, but to have been repaired, and made as it now stands, about A.D. 720, by a king of Kanoj (*Arch. Reports*, Vol. V, page 78). Not far off are the remains of another large tope, known as Court's, which was built by Kanishka of Kashmir in A.D. 18. Another tope, which was opened by General Cunningham, (that at Sonala Pind), he dates *circa* 70 B.C. (*Arch. Reports*, Vol. II, p. 168).

The remains generally about Manikyala are therefore of considerable antiquity, ranging, at least, from the first to the eighth centuries, and prove that the neighbourhood was the seat of a large and important religious community of Buddhists.

The Khangah Mound.

The first excavation undertaken by Mr. Grant was at the site known as the Khangah Mound. This mound is General Cunningham's No. 19 (*vide* map in the *Arch. Reports*, Vol. II, p. 153), and is described by that officer as "a large, low, mound, 1,500 feet N.N.E. of the village of Sâgari, and just half a mile to the south-east of General Court's tope." Its present name is derived from the grave of a Muhamadan Pir, named Janul, who is stated, by the fakîr in charge of the place, to have met a violent death, by means not explained, during the reign of Sikandar Lodi of Delhi (A.D. 1488-1517).

A plan of the mound is given in the accompanying plate. It is roughly square, with sides of 120 feet; it does not rise much above the prevailing level, and is nearly covered with trees and shrubs. There is an artificial pond of water, measuring 43 feet by 38 feet, forty-eight feet south of the mound, and another pond,

about the same size, (not shown in the plan,) stands about 150 yards to the north-east of the fakîr's hut. A rough track, leading from the village of Sâgari to the katcha road running from Rawat Sarai to Kalar, runs along the south and west sides of the mound.


The plan renders unnecessary any very detailed description of the mound, but as an elevation drawing of it, and sections, cannot be given for want of space, it may be mentioned that the steepest side of it is the north, where the ground rises, by two platforms, from the fakîr's hut to the pir's tomb. This tomb stands on a small square platform of its own, resting against a low stone wall. On the east and west sides the ground falls, with varying graduation, to the prevailing level of the fields; on the south side the descent is stepped (as will be seen from the remains of retaining walls shown on the plan), as is also the case at the south-east and south-west corners.

The surface of the mound is covered with the remains and traces of walls, and with blocks and fragments of stone. There are two Muhamadan graves, besides that of the pir. The highest part of the mound lies east of, and close to, the pir's tomb, and is about 12 feet above the level of the pond to the south, but the whole of the mound south of the wall against which that tomb rests, being an area of about 60 feet from north to south and 90 feet from east to west, is not much lower.

The excavations did not disclose anything of interest, but they confirmed General Cunningham's previous supposition that the site is the ruins of a Buddhist monastery. The walls disclosed are not arranged, so far as can be seen, as those of monasteries frequently are, that is, a series of cells around a rectangular open court (*vide* those of Takht-i-Bahi, Sarnath near Banaras, and the rock-cut examples at Ajantâ and elsewhere), and therefore do not serve to explain the squareness of the mound. As the pir's grave is on one side, the mound cannot have been raised, dressed, and provided with retaining walls for it, nor are there walls everywhere below which could, in the course of ages, have assisted to raise a mound of such regular form. It is only evident that the mound is of much later date than the walls disclosed by the excavations.




There is no direct evidence of the age of the

PLAN OF THE
KHANGAH MOUND
 near Manikyalā in the Panjab
 SHOWING THE EXCAVATIONS MADE
 in February 1878.



MANIKYALA EXCAVATIONS.

Ind. Antiquary.






10




Ancient walls shown thus
Ancient floors shown thus
Edge of Excavations
Modern walls shown thus
Muhammadian graves thus

Sketch Plan
of the
Chambrá Nound
at Manikyala

Scale about 6 1/4 feet-1 inch



W. Smedley fecit





Plan of the top



Section

12



deep masonry remains opened out. There is an entire absence of ornament, even of the simplest kind. Two of the three copper coins found were partly legible, and are described further on, but they do not show that the masonry was of that age, though of course it may be so without any stretch of probability.

The excavations consisted of five cuts. One of them is a large and a regular one, commencing in the west side of the mound and running completely into the centre of it. Of the other four, two were merely pits in the body of the north-east quarter of the mound; the remaining two being cuts, in continuation of each other, from the south side of the mound towards the centre.

The first mentioned of these cuts was the only one which repaid the trouble and expense, as it will be seen, from the plan, that it everywhere opened out walls and floors, and disclosed, more or less completely, four chambers (which are marked A, B, C and D on the plan). This cut was commenced 9 or 10 yards from the fakir's hut, and over the four-inch drain near the south corner of chamber A, and was suggested by an older excavation (made by the present fakir in search for stones), which had discovered several large blocks of stone (among them being one of two supposed door-pivot slabs shown in the plan). Carrying the excavation along the long south wall of chamber (A), another rectangular chamber (B), 10' 5" \times 9' 3", was found in the centre of the mound, with steps leading out of it, on one side, eastward.

The following are the details of each of these chambers, and the pavements near them—premising that the sandstone masonry is mostly a coursed rubble, which in solidity and regularity is almost like block-in-course, and is laid dry, without mortar.

Chamber A.—The west, or outside end of this chamber, was about four feet below the surface of the mound; the rest about three feet. It is 5 feet wide and (possibly) 36 feet long. [This qualification as to its length is given, because only one end of the interior was cleared out, though the exterior of the southern side wall was disclosed for a length of 40 feet.] The north and west walls are 3' 10" thick; the south 2' 0" thick. All are stopped at one level, about 2 feet above the base. Outside the north wall,

and close to it, is a drain 4 inches deep. This drain was lined with large blocks of stone, and was more than 18 inches deep; (it was not cleared to its full depth). Beyond (north of) this drain a rough stone floor was partly exposed.

On the south side of chamber A another 4 inch drain was found, but this, instead of being close under the wall (as in the foregoing case), runs diagonally up the middle of a paved passage (5 feet wide) leading to chamber B (though chamber B has no door where that passage meets it). This drain was also more than 18 inches deep.

It is impossible to say what chamber A was, but it resembles that opened up by General Cunningham at Sarnath, near Banaras, in 1835 (*Arch. Reports*, Vol. I, p. 120), being similarly without doors. It also resembles the long chamber in the Ionic monastery at Shah-kidheri figured by Fergusson (*Indian and Eastern Architecture*, p. 176), though the latter is too large to afford so good a parallel as the Sarnath example.

The absence of doors to chamber A points to the remains found being merely the foundation of a superstructure of wood. The walls are cut off, quite smoothly, at an uniform level, and are not of an irregular height and rough top surface, as they would have been had a masonry superstructure been rudely thrown down.

Chamber B.—This is in the centre of the mound, 40 feet from the west outside edge of chamber A, its centre being 64 feet from the southern edge of the mound. It has a door on one side, with two steps leading eastward, and its dimensions are 10' 5" \times 9' 3". The walls are of an uniform thickness of 2' 6", cut off level 2' 6" from the floor. The second of the two 4 inch drains runs diagonally through it.

Chamber C.—This was probably 12' \times 11' and is roughly paved inside and outside.

Chamber D.—The walls of this chamber (2' 6" thick) are much ruined and are 3 feet high on the north side and 8 inches on the west side. One of the stones in its west wall is 8' long by 2' 6" broad. Outside the chamber is a flagged floor (of which one of the stones is 4' 3" \times 3' 4") which was cleared out for 10 feet westwards.

The floors of all the chambers are nearly at one level, which may be, say, 4 or 5 feet above

the level of the water of the pond on the south side of the mound.

With the exception of the wall (3 feet thick) found in the pit nearest the pir's grave, the above were all the remains of ancient masonry found. In the other excavations there were merely loose rough stones.

The articles found in the mound were as follows:—

Three copper coins.

A small earthen pot (plate, fig. 2).

Some fragments of earthen saucers (fig. 3).

A fragment of pottery ware (fig. 4).

The coins are $\frac{1}{2}$ " in diameter and all much oxidized. One resembles figures 19 and 20 Plate xi. of Wilson's *Ariana Antiqua*, and possesses on the obverse an indistinct coated figure facing to the left, with two illegible characters, and on the reverse a mutilated erect figure with the monogram ॐ . It may therefore possibly be of the Indo-Scythian Prince Kanerki of Kabul, of whom other coins have been found at Manikyala. The second coin has on the obverse a coated figure, and on the reverse Śiva and the bull Nandi, but both sides are very indistinct, and it would be rash to attempt to identify it. The third coin is indistinguishable.

These articles were found from four to five feet below the surface. The saucers (fig. 3) and fragment of pottery (fig. 4) were found in chamber A. The former resemble the saucers found in the Śarnath tope near Banaras, many of which were still lying about there in December 1877.

The Chaotra Mound.

This is 1500 feet north of the Khangah mound, and about the same distance from the important stupa known as Court's tope. It has been briefly described by General Cunningham (*Arch. Reports*, Vol. II, pp. 169–70), being figured in the map (p. 153 *ibid.*) as mound No. 20.

The mound is quite bare except for three small trees, and a number of Muhammadan graves. Gold coins are said to have been found in it. A plan of the mound is given in fig. 5.

The mound, which is roughly rectangular, measures 200 feet from north to south, and 120 east to west, while it rises from 12 to 14 feet, if not more, above the prevailing level of the surrounding fields.¹ At first it falls rather

suddenly from this height, but towards the edges the descent is gradual.

The more important excavations made were in the form of a St. Andrew's cross, or two lines intersecting each other diagonally in the highest part of the mound. Walls were opened out everywhere, two cells or chambers being excavated completely.

The masonry was much of the same kind as that in the Khangah mound, that is, of kalkar and sandstone, in courses, often of square blocks, and laid dry. There was not a fragment of ornament of any kind on the walls, and the work altogether was disappointingly uninteresting in its results.

If the walls discovered are of the same age as the neighbouring topes, General Cunningham's inference (before the excavations were made) that they are the remains of a Buddhist monastery is almost inevitable, as their arrangement is not roomy enough for any known lay purpose, and it is difficult otherwise to explain the existence of these massive isolated groups of cells over so many square miles of country, near well known topes. General Cunningham has shown that there was no town in this neighbourhood (Manikyala itself, two miles off, having apparently never been much more than a large village affording accommodation to devotees), of which this and other isolated ruins might have formed part.

The various chambers and other parts of the excavations are lettered on the sketch-plan fig. 5, A to P, and the following notes follow the order of these letters:—

(A)—A shallow excavation from one to three feet deep, with a wall at one end.

(B)—This excavation averaged 7 feet deep. It was of small rough stones and human bones (probably Muhammadan) down to the bottom; some of the skeletons were complete, being protected at the sides and top by slabs of stone. Some fragments of a metal vessel (like a bowl); a corroded piece of bar iron; an ornamental brick (shown in fig. 6); and several shallow saucers (like those found in the Khangah mound), were found.

(C)—This was a brick-paved cell, without door, the floor of which was 7 feet from the original surface of the mound, and, being high above all the other masonry work, may have been

¹ These dimensions differ from General Cunningham's.

much more recent in date. The style of work was however identical with that deeper down. The sketch section given as fig. 7, shows the position of this cell. The dimensions of the cell were 10' 8" \times 11' 6", the floor being of red bricks, each 9" \times 9" \times 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ ". The floor was opened, but nothing was found below it to a depth of two feet. About the floor were found several pieces of corroded rod iron, some fragments of red and black pottery (none sufficiently perfect to enable a sketch to be made), and many human bones (certainly Muhammadan, the centre of the mound being thickly occupied by graves). The floor of the chamber is six feet above the bottom of the excavation J and chamber L.

(D).—This cut was carried down 5 feet through stiff clay, stones, (some large ones,) and human bones (probably Muhammadan).

(E and F).—These are the ends of two chambers, abutting on each other, 9 feet wide from north to south; the base of the massive walls was not reached at 9 feet from the surface; excavated mostly in stiff clay.

(G).—An excavation from 3 to 9 feet deep. Very few stones, and those rough and small.

(H).—This excavation, a shallow one, from 1 to 2 feet deep, disclosed the corner of a chamber. In it were found the articles figured in plate as Nos. 8 and 9. No. 8 is like a potter's moulding tool, and is solid, of red brick. No. 9 is a small earthenware vessel. The wall bounding this chamber on the east side was at a level 2 feet higher than that at the south west corner, the ground rising suddenly from H to D.

(I).—This cut was carried from 7 to 10 feet from the surface, through stiff clay mixed with human bones and large stones. It was a continuation, at a lower level, of excavation D, and with D seems to have been a passage between chambers F, E, and H.

(J).—This excavation, 8' 3" wide between the walls east and west, was carried down 9 feet, nearly to the foot of the west wall of chamber K. A copper coin (figured in the plate as No. 10) was found at a depth of 7 or 8 feet. It resembles some of the coins of the Scythian princes of the Panjab, circa A.D. 400.

(K).—At this spot a shallow excavation disclosed a chamber, in which the human bones, found elsewhere, were deposited, and then covered over.

(L).—This chamber, excepting part of the floor, was completely opened out, and was 9' 0" \times 18' 4". The walls were 2 feet thick and 5 feet high, with a projecting plinth 2 feet high above the floor. The walls were stopped off at an uniform level. The floor, where got at, was 8' 6" from the surface, and was hidden beneath two or three feet of hard clay, mixed with human bones. These bones, from their position, can scarcely have been Muhammadan. An illegible copper coin was found at a depth of 7 feet.

(M).—A shallow excavation, which disclosed part of a wall.

(N).—Another shallow excavation, which turned up a rude stone platform with a raised edge.

(O and P).—Shallow excavations not carried on. The gold coins are said to have been found in O.

In this mound were also found two copper coins and the circular stone shown as fig. 11. The coins were apparently Hindu, but have not been identified. They have a lion on one side, and an elephant on the other. The coins are probably Rajput, similar to those figured in plate xi, figs. 11 and 12, of Wilson's *Ariana Antiqua*. The circular stone was 16 inches in diameter, and looked like the lid of a box. A square stone box, in which General Court found a relic casket, is still lying in the village of Gura not far off. The writer was not present when these coins and the circular stone were found, and was unable to discover where they were met with.

Patri-ki-dheri mounds.

These two mounds have been fully described by General Cunningham (*Arch. Rep.* Vol. II, pp. 168-9), and are marked No. 16 on that officer's map. The mound on which the fakir's tomb stands was only partly uncovered. The other, close at hand, said to be a monastery, was completely opened out, but the writer was not present and cannot furnish details. The only article found was a small copper coin, which turned up at a depth of 4 feet. On one side can be traced a long coated figure, in boots, like those on the Indo-Scythian coins so numerous found in the Punjab, but the other side is illegible, and the coin cannot be identified.

These were all the excavations undertaken.

The following notes are added regarding two

localities which are known, but have not, apparently, been described.

The first of these is *Kotera-ki-dheri*, about a quarter of a mile south-east of mound No. 3, and about a mile from the village of Syudon-ka-mora, the intervening space being a series of rugged fissures and denuded ridges of sandstone. The spot is General Cunningham's No. 14, and was examined by General Court, who found some coins in it. The remains consist of a tope and monastery, the latter being a large rectangular walled enclosure, in good preservation, which the people about call a fort. A plan appears in the plate, fig. 12.

The tope is now roughly conical, not allowing for the partial removal of the upper core by General Court, but apparently had a square basement. The mound of the tope rises 15 to 20 feet above the level of the pathway, which runs between the tope and monastery.

The facing of part of the tope still remains, and looks, at a distance, nearly perfect.

The walls of the monastery are nearly perfect except at one corner, and they are about 100 feet above the ravine on the north. The work is constructed of large blocks of sandstone, without mortar, and, so far as it goes, is more perfect than anything about Manikyâla,

Ventura's tope only excepted. The masonry is in courses, with the interstices filled in regularly with smaller stones. Some of the blocks of stone are rather large—one being 4' 10" long × 1' 7" high.

Khamla-ka-dhera, the other place, is about a mile in a south-eastern direction from Kotera-ki-dheri, a nala being crossed between them. It is a plateau 200 feet long by 80 or 90 feet broad, and is the highest point in the entire neighbourhood, being conspicuous, with its survey cairn, from the village of Syudon-ka-mora. It is surrounded, from 150 to 200 feet below, by harsh ridges and ravines, and is covered with fragments of pottery more thickly than the vicinity of Ventura's tope. Numbers of coins are said to have been found without excavation. In a few minutes the writer found a small illegible copper coin, a fragment of another, some beads of coral and lapis lazuli, and several pieces of black and red pottery. The only remains of masonry are those of two rude buttresses. The site is apparently however not ancient, as General Cunningham, who afterwards examined it, considered there had been a modern hamlet there; it is therefore probably not worth examination. There is a fine view of the Pûnch hills from the plateau.

ON MAHĀVĪRA AND HIS PREDECESSORS.

BY PROF. HERMANN JACOBI, PH. D., MÜNSTER.

In the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. VIII, p. 311, a paper on the *Six Tirthakas* by James d'Alwis was reproduced with notes by the editor. One of these heretical teachers, Nīgaṇṭha Nātaputta, has lately become of great interest, as he has been identified with Mahāvīra, the supposed founder of the Jaina sect. The proof of this identity is conclusive. For the Bauddhas and Jainas agree not only in the name of the sect, viz., Pāli,—Nīgaṇṭha, Niggaṇṭha, Nigandha; Sanskrit,—Nirgrantha, and Prākṛit,—Nīyaṇṭha Niggaṇṭha; Sanskrit,—Nirgrantha,—respectively; and in the name of the founder Pāli,—Nātaputta, Nātaputta, Sanskrit,—Jñātiputra, and Prākṛit,—Nātaputta, Nāyaputta; Sanskrit,—Jñātiputra, Jñātiputra respectively, but also on the place of Jñātiputra's death, the town Pāvā; see my edition of the *Kaṭhasūtra*, pp. 4 sqq. Yet there remain some anomalies in the forms of these names and some obscure points in the

doctrines of the Nīgaṇṭhas as defined by the Bauddhas. To account for, and clear up, these is my purpose in the first part of this paper.

The word Nīgaṇṭha in Pāli books, and Nīyaṇṭha in Jaina *Sūtras* (e.g. the *Sūtra-kīrtiṅga* and *Bhagavatī*) are neither Pāli nor Jaina Prākṛit. For its Sanskrit prototype, Nirgrantha, current with the Jainas and Northern Buddhists, would in both dialects have regularly become Niggaṇṭha, which form, indeed, is the common one in Jaina Prākṛit, but not so in Pāli. The form Nīgaṇṭha was almost certainly adopted by both sects from the Māgadhī dialect; for it occurs in the Aśoka inscription at Dēhli, separate edict 1. 5 (*Ind. Ant.* vol. VI. p. 150 note). This hypothesis becomes a certainty for the word Nātaputta. As translated in Sanskrit it is Jñāta or Jñātiputra, the regular Pāli derivative would be Nātaputta with a palatal ñ. The dental in its stead is a Māga-

dhism. For, in the Māgadhi inscriptions of Aśoka, we read *nāti*, *añna*, etc. = Sanskrit *jñāti*, *anya*, etc., which words become *ñāti*, *añna*, etc. in Pāli and in the dialects of the Aśoka inscriptions at Gīrnār and Kāpurādigiri. The palatal *ñ* appears in Pāli in the first part of the name when used as the name of the Kshattriya clan to which Mahāvīra belonged. For I identify the *ñātika* living near Kōṭigāma mentioned in the *Mahāvagga Sutta* (Oldenberg's edition p. 232), with the Jñātaka Kshattriyas in Kuṇḍagrāma of the Jaina books. As regards the vowel of the second syllable, the different sources are at variance with each other. The Northern Buddhists spell the word with an *i*; Jñātiputra in Sanskrit, and *Jo-thi-tsen* in Chinese (*tsen* means 'son'), the Southern ones with an *a* Nātaputta, as do the Jainas, though Jñātiputra is not unfrequent in MSS. The form Nāyaputta proves nothing, for the syllables *ya* and *ra* are interchangeable in Jaina Prākṛit. M. Eug. Burnouf, commenting on the name in question, says: "J'ignore pourquoi le Pāli supprime l'*i* de *Djñāti* ;¹ serait ce que le primitif véritable serait *Djñāti* et que le *Djñāti* en serait un prākṛitism correspondant à celui du Sud *nāta*, comme *djēla* correspond à *djētri* ?" That M. Burnouf was perfectly right in his conjecture, can now be proved beyond a doubt. For the occasional spelling of the word with a lingual *l* Nātaputta shows an unmistakable trace of the original *ṛi*. The Sanskrit for Nigaṇṭha Nātaputta was therefore in all probability Nirgrantha Jñātiputra, that of the Kshattriya clan Jñātika (Pāli—*Nātika*, Prākṛit—*Nāyaga*). It is perhaps not unworthy of remark that Nigaṇṭha Nātaputta must have made part of the most ancient tradition of the Baudddhas, and cannot have been added to it in later times as both words conform, not to the phonetic laws of the Pāli language, but to those of the early Māgadhi.

We shall now treat of the opinions which the Buddhists ascribe to Nātaputta and to the Nigaṇṭhas in general, in order to show that they are in accordance with Jainism. One of its most characteristic features is the unduly extended idea of the animate world; not only are plants and trees endowed with life, and accordingly are not to be wantonly destroyed, but also particles of earth, water, fire and wind. The same doctrine was,

according to James d'Alwis, held by Nigaṇṭha Nātaputta: "He held that it was sinful to drink cold water: 'cold water,' he said, was imbued with a soul. Little drops of water were small souls, and large drops were large souls." In Buddhaghosa's commentary on the *Dhammapadam* (Fausbøll's edition p. 398), the 'better Nigaṇṭhas' who go about naked, say that they cover their almsbowls lest particles of dust or spray, imbued with life, should fall into them. Compare *Kalpasilā*, Sāmācārī § 29, where a similar rule is given. These naked Nigaṇṭhas need not have been of the Digambara sect, for according to the *Achārāṅga Sūtra* it was considered a meritorious, not a necessary, penance for an ascetic to wear no clothes.

In the *Mahāvagga Sutta*, vi. 31, 1, Nigaṇṭha Nātaputta is said to hold the *kiriya-vāda* opposed to the *akiriya-vāda* of Gotama Buddha. The *kiriya-vāda*, or the belief in the activity of the soul, is one of the cardinal dogmas of the Jainas, and is found in their creed in the first chapter of the *Achārāṅga*.

James d'Alwis proceeds after the above quoted passage: "He [Nātaputta] also declared that there were three *daṇḍas* or agents for the commission of sin, and that the acts of the body (*kāya*), of the speech (*vāc*), and of the mind (*man*) were three separate causes, each acting independently of the other." Compare the subjoined passage from the third *udhaka* of the *Sthānāṅga*, in which the term *daṇḍa* in its relation to mind, speech and body occurs: *taṁ daṇḍa paṇṇatta, taṁ jāhā: man-daṇḍe, vā-daṇḍe kāya-daṇḍe*. "There are declared three *daṇḍas*, namely, the *daṇḍa* of the mind, the *daṇḍa* of the speech, the *daṇḍa* of the body." Thus far all agrees with Jainism. James d'Alwis's account of Nātaputta's doctrines concludes: "This heretic asserted that crimes and virtues, happiness and misery, were fixed by fate, that as subject to these we cannot avoid them, and that the practice of the doctrine can in no wise assist us. In this notion his heresy consisted." As the Jaina opinions on these points do not materially differ from those of the Hindus in general, and as the doctrines defined above are inconsistent with the *kiriya-vāda* and with ascetic practices I do not doubt that the Baudddhas committed an error, perhaps in order to

¹ Preserved however in *nātika*, if my conjecture about the identity of that word with the first part of Nātaputta be right.

stigmatise the Niganthas as heretics, who in their turn have misstated the Bauddha doctrine of the *nirvāṇa*, saying that according to the Saugata's opinion the liberated souls return to the *Samsāra* (*punarbhava* "circular"). This misstatement occurs in Śīlāṅka's commentary on the *Āchārāṅga Sūtra* (867 A. D.), and can have no reference therefore to the Lamas and Chutuktus of the Northern Buddhist church, as I formerly opined, for they were not yet in existence in Śīlāṅka's time.

We pass now to the outline of Nātaputta's system in the *Samamāphala Sutta*, (Grimblot *Sept Suttas Palis*, p. 126). It may be remarked that, according to Mr. Rhys Davids (*Academy*, September 13th, 1879, p. 197) the passage in question is not commented upon in the Commentary *Samāyāgala Vāṇī*. Mr. Gogerly translated it thus: "In this world, great king, the Niganthas are well defended in four directions, that is, great king, the Niganthas in the present world by general abstinence (*from evil*) restrain sinful propensities, weaken evil by controlling it, and are ever under self-government. They are thus well defended on all sides, and this is called—being arrived at perfection, being with subjected passions, being established in virtue" (*ibidem*, p. 173). All this might as easily have been translated from a Jaina *Sūtra*, and it would be difficult to tell the difference, but unfortunately this translation cannot be reconciled with our text. M. Burnouf's translation is more literal, but less intelligible; it runs thus: "En ce monde, grand roi, le mendiant Nigantha est retenu par le frein de quatre abstentions réunies. Et comment, grand roi, le mendiant Nigantha est-il retenu par le frein de quatre abstentions réunies? En ce monde, grand roi, le mendiant Nigantha est entièrement retenu par le lien qui enchaîne; il est enveloppé par tous les liens, enlacé par tous les liens, resserré par tous les liens; voilà de quelle manière, grand roi, le mendiant Nigantha est retenu par le frein de quatre abstentions réunies. Et parce qu'il est, ainsi retenu, grand roi, il est nommé Nigantha, c'est-à-dire libre de toute chaîne, pour qui toute chaîne est détruite, qui a secoué toutes les chaînes," (*ibidem*, p. 204). And in a note he adds: "Mais quand la définition dit qu'il est enlacé dans tous les liens, cela signifie qu'il obéit si complètement aux règles d'une rigoureuse abstention, qu'il semble que tous ses mouve-

ments soient enchaînés dans les liens qui le retiennent captif, &c." The general drift of this definition, especially the stress laid on control, savours of Jainism; but luckily we are not confined to such generalities for our deduction. For the phrase *chāturyāma saṁvara-saṁvato*, translated by Gogerly "well defended in four directions," and by Burnouf "retenu par le frein de quatre abstentions réunies" contains the distinct Jaina term *chāturyāma*. It is applied to the doctrine of Mahāvīra's predecessor Pārśva, to distinguish it from the reformed creed of Mahāvīra, which is called *pañchayāma dharma*. The five *yāmas* are the five great vows, *mahāvratāni*, as they are usually named, viz. *ahiṁsā* not killing, *sāvṛita* truthful speech, *asteya* not stealing, *brahmacharya* chastity, *aparigraha* renouncing of all illusory objects. In the *chāturyāma dharma* of Mahāvīra *brahmacharya* was included in *aparigraha*. The most important passage is one of the *Bhagavatī* (Weber, *Fragment der Bhagavatī*, p. 185) where a dispute between Kālāsa Vesiyaputta, a follower of Pārśva (Pāsāvahechejja, i. e. Pārśvapatyeya) and some disciples of Mahāvīra is described. It ends with Kālāsa's begging permission: *tujjhaṁ añti chātujjāmaṁ dhammaṁ pañchamahavratāyaṁ sapadikkamaṇaṁ dhammaṁ nevaṇipajjitaṁ naṁ viharitvā*: "to stay with you after having changed the Law of the four vows for the Law of the five vows enjoining compulsory confession." In Śīlāṅka's Commentary on the *Āchārāṅga* the same distinction is made between the *chāturyāmadharma* of Pārśva's followers and the *pañchayāma dharma* of Vardhamāna's *tīrtha* (Ed. Cal. p. 331). These particulars about the religion of the Jainas previous to the reforms of Mahāvīra are so matter-of-fact like, that it is impossible to deny that they may have been handed down by trustworthy tradition. Hence we must infer that Nirgrantha already existed previous to Mahāvīra,—a result which we shall render more evident in the sequel by collateral proofs. On this supposition we can understand how the Buddhists ascribed to Nātaputta the *chāturyāma dharma*, though he altered just this tone; for it is probable that the Buddhists ascribed the old Nirgrantha creed to Nātaputta, who then took the lead of the community, and of whose reforms, being indeed only trifling, his opponents were not aware. And though it looks like a logical trick, the testimony of the Bud-

dhists on this point might be brought forward as an argument for the existence of Nirgranthas previous to, and differing in details from, the *tīrtha* of Mahāvīra. But we have not to rely on so dubious arguments as this for our proposition. The arguments that may be adduced from the Jaina *Sūtras* in favour of the theory that Mahāvīra reformed an already existing religion, and did not found a new one, are briefly these. Mahāvīra plays a part wholly different from that of Buddha in the histories of their churches. His attainment to the highest knowledge cannot be compared to that of Buddha. The latter had to reject wrong beliefs and wrong practices before he found out the right belief and the right conduct. He seems to have carved out his own way,—a fact which required much strength of character, and which is easily recognised in all Buddhist writings. But Mahāvīra went through the usual career of an ascetic; he seems never to have changed his opinions nor to have rejected religious practices, formerly adhered to. Only his knowledge increased, as in the progress of his penance the hindrances to the higher degrees of knowledge were destroyed until it became absolute (*kevala*). His doctrines are not spoken of in the *Sūtras* as his discoveries, but as decrees or old established truths, *paññattas*. All this would be next to impossible if he had been like Buddha the original founder of his religion; but it is just what one would expect to be the record of a reformer's life and preaching. The record of the fourteen *pūrvas* points the same way; for these books, which were lost some generations after Mahāvīra's *Nirvāṇa*, are said to have existed since the time of the first Tīrthakara Rishabha or Ādinātha; they must therefore be considered as the sacred books of the original Nirgranthas previous to Mahāvīra's reforms. But all these arguments are open to one fatal objection, viz., that they are taken from the Jaina literature which was reduced to writing so late as the fifth century A.D. During the preceding ten centuries, an opponent will say, the Jainas modelled everything in their sacred books on the preconceived theory of the uninterrupted existence of their faith since the beginning of the world. On this supposition the whole of the *sūtras* would be a most wonderful fabric of fraud; for everything is in keeping with the theory in question, and no trace of the contrary

left. I place much confidence therefore in the Jaina *Sūtras*, being of opinion that they are materially the same as they were in the early centuries after Mahāvīra's *Nirvāṇa*, as may be proved to be the case with the *Āchārāṅga*, the present disposition of which is already followed in Bhadrabāhu's *Niryukti*. Yet we must confirm the above suggested opinions by evidence from another quarter, open to no objection. If the sects of the Bauddhas and Jainas were of equal antiquity, as must be assumed on the supposition that Buddha and Mahāvīra were contemporaries and the founder of their sects, we should expect either sect mentioned in the books of their opponents. But this is not the case. The Nirgranthas are frequently mentioned by the Buddhists, even in the oldest parts of the *Piṭakas*. But I have not yet met with a distinct mention of the Bauddhas in any of the old Jaina *Sūtras*, though they contain lengthy legends about Jamālī, Gosāla and other heretical teachers. It follows that the Nirgranthas were considered by the Bauddhas an important sect, whilst the Nirgranthas could ignore their adversaries. As this is just the reverse position to that which both sects mutually occupy in all after-times, and as it is inconsistent with our assumption of a contemporaneous origin of both creeds, we are driven to the conclusion that the Nirgranthas were not a newly-founded sect in Buddha's time. This seems to have been the opinion of the authors of the *Piṭakas* too; for we find no indication of the contrary in them. In James d'Alwis' paper on the *Six Tīrthakas*, the "Digambaras" appear to have been regarded as an old order of ascetics, and all of those heretical teachers betray the influence of Jainism in their doctrines or religious practices, as we shall now point out.

Gosāla Makkhaliputta was the slave of a nobleman. His master from whom he ran away, "pursued him and seized him by his garments; but they loosening Gosāla effected his escape naked. In this state he entered a city, and passed for Digambara Jaina or Bauddha, and founded the sect which was named after him." According to the Jainas he is originally a disciple of Mahāvīra, but afterwards set himself up for a Tīrthakara. In the *Mahāvīra-charitra* of Hemachandra, he defends the precept of nakedness against the pupils of Pārśva, and "gets beaten, and at last killed by the women

of a village in Magadha, because he is a naked Śramaṇa, or mendicant.”—Wilson, *Works*, vol. I. p. 294, note 2.

Purāṇa Kāśyapa declined accepting clothes “thinking that as a Digambara he would be better respected.”

Ajita Keśakambala believed trees and shrubs to have a *jīva*, and that “one who cut down a tree, or destroyed a creeper, was guilty as a murderer.”

Kakudha Kātyāyana also “declared that cold water was imbued with a soul.”

The preceding four Tīrthakas appear all to have adopted some or other doctrines or practices which make part of the Jaina system, probably from the Jainas themselves. More difficult is the case with Sañjaya Belāṭṭhaputta. For the account of his doctrines in the *Sāmaññaphala Sutta* has been so differently translated by M. Burnouf and by M. Gogerly as to suspend decision. According to the former Sañjaya's doctrine, which is called *anathamaṇvārahā*, would coincide with the *syālvāda* of the Jainas; but according to the latter it denotes no more than perfect indifference to all transcendental problems, not the compatibility of one solution with its contrary. All depends on the interpretation of the two words *ma no* in the text, about which it is impossible to form a correct opinion without the help of a commentary.

It appears from the preceding remarks that Jaina ideas and practices must have been current at the time of Mahāvīra and independently of him. This, combined with the other arguments which we have adduced, leads us to the opinion that the Nirgranthas were really in existence long before Mahāvīra, who was the reformer of the already existing sect. This granted, it is not difficult to form a tolerably correct idea of the relation between Buddhism and Jainism. The former is not an offshoot of the latter; for Buddha rejected the principal dogmas and practices of the Nirgranthas; it is rather a protest against it. All that has been said to maintain that Buddhism stands in a closer connection with Jainism, is to no effect from lack of proof. The proposed identification of Mahāvīra's disciple, the Gautama Indrabhūti with the Gautama Śākyamuni, because both belonged to the *gotra* of Gotama, has been refuted by Profs. Wilson, Weber and others. It can only be maintained on the principles of

Fluellen's logic: “There is a river in Macedonia; and there is also, moreover, a river in Monmouth. It is called Wye at Monmouth, but it is out of my prains what is the name of the other river. But 'tis all one: it is so like as my fingers to my fingers; and there is salmons in both.”

Little better is the second argument, that there were twenty-four Buddhas who immediately preceded Gautama Buddha. These twenty-four Buddhas have been compared with the twenty-four Tīrthakaras of the Jainas, though their names have little in common. As Buddharejected the last Tīrthakara at least as an heretic, he could only have recognised twenty-three. The only inference which can be made from the twenty-four Tīrthakaras and twenty-five Buddhas in texts of recognised authority is that the fiction in question is an old one. Whether there be any foundation for this Buddhistical theory, it is not for me to decide; all authorities on Buddhism have given their verdict to the contrary. But it is different with the Jainas. For, since we know that Jainism was not founded by Mahāvīra, it follows that somebody else was the real founder of the sect, and it is possible that many reformers preceded Mahāvīra.

It is the opinion of nearly all scholars who have written on this question that Pārśva was the real founder of Jainism. The Rev. Dr. Stevenson says in his Preface to the *Translation of the Kālpasūtra*, p. xii: “From Mahāvīra upwards, indeed, to the preceding Tīrthakara Pārśvanāth, we have no list of head teachers, but we have only an interval of 250 years, while the term of Pārśva's sublunary existence is still bounded by the possible number of a hundred years The moderation of the Jains, up to the time of Pārśvanātha, is the more remarkable as after that they far outstrip all their compeers in the race of absurdity, making the lives of their Tīrthakars extend to thousands of years, and interposing between them countless ages, thus enabling us to trace with some confidence the boundary between the historical and the fabulous.” Whatever may be thought of this argument, it is at least favourable to the opinion that Pārśva is an historical person. This is rendered still more credible by the distinct mention of his followers and his doctrines in the Jaina *Sūtras*. That self-same doctrine, the *chāturyōdha dharma*, is mentioned by the Buddhists, though ascribed to Nātaputta.

But there is nothing to prove that Parśva was the founder of Jainism. Jaina tradition is unanimous in making Rishabha the first Tīrthakara. Though he is stated to have lived 840,000 great years, and have died something less than 100,000,000 oceans of years before Mahāvira's *Nirēdya*, yet there may be something historical in the tradition which makes him the first Tīrthakara. For the Brāhmins too have myths in their *Parāṇas* about a Rishabha, son of king Nābhi and Meru, who had a hundred sons, Bharata and the rest, and entrusting Bharata with the government of his kingdom, adopted the life of an anchorite. — Wilson, *Vishnu Parāṇa*, vol. II., p. 103 sqq. All these particulars are also related by the Jainas of their Rishabha; and from the more detailed account in the *Bhāgavata Parāṇa* it is evident that the fabulous founder of the Jaina sect must indeed be meant (*ibid.*, p. 104, note 1). But what value belongs

to these myths of the *Parāṇas* about Rishabha, whether they are founded on facts, or were merely suggested by the legendary history of the Jainas, it is wholly impossible to decide.

Of the remaining Tīrthakaras I have little to add. Sumati, the fifth Tīrthakara, is apparently identical with Bharata's son Sumati, of whom it is said in the *Bhāgavata* that he "will be irreligiously worshipped, by some infidels, as a divinity" (Wilson, *ibid.*).

Arishṭanemi, the 22nd Tīrthakara, is connected with the Kṛṣṇa-myths through his wife Rāgimati, daughter of Ugrasena.

But we must close our researches here, content to have obtained a few glimpses into the prehistorical development of Jainism. The last point which we can perceive is Pāśva: beyond him all is lost in the mist of fable and fiction.

Münster, Westphalia, 18th March 1880.

INSCRIPTIONS FROM NEPÁL.

BY PANDIT BHAGVĀNLĀL INDRAJĠ, AND DR. G. BÜHLER, C.L.E.

No. 1.—*An Inscription of Mānudeva, dated Sāmvat 386.*

This inscription is incised on the lower part of a broken pillar placed to the left of the door of the temple of Chāngu-Nārāyaṇa,¹ about five miles to the north-east of Kātmāṇḍu. The pillar is about twenty feet high without the capital. Its lower half is square, half of the upper part is octagonal, higher up it becomes sixteen-cornered, and finally round. Originally it was crowned by a lotus-capital surmounted by a Garuḍa, about four feet high, shaped like a winged man kneeling on one knee. The workmanship is very good, and the figure shews the flowing locks, which occur frequently on the sculptures of the Gupta period. The weight of the Garuḍa seems to have been the cause of the pillar's fall from its old base, which is still to be seen just opposite the door of the temple, where numerous fragments of its capital and of the Garuḍa also are lying about. After its

fall, a royal physician, called Chakrapāṇi, about twenty years ago, had a new capital made for it, consisting of a gilt lotus and a *Chakra*, and put it up in its present position. In doing so the Vaid neglected to add a new base. He simply planted the pillar in the ground. Hence a portion of the inscription, which is incised on three sides of the square lower part of the pillar, has been buried in the earth. In spite of my entreaties the priest at Chāngu-Nārāyaṇa would not allow me to lay the buried lines bare. I have, therefore, been obliged to present the inscription in a mutilated form.

The form of the letters agrees exactly with that of the Gupta inscriptions. They are clearly and sharply incised. With the exception of the first part the preservation is good. The language is Sanskrit, and excepting the two first lines, the whole is in verse. The numbers of the verses are marked by the ancient figures.

Transcript. Part I.

[¹] संवत् ३८६ ज्येष्ठमासे शुक्लपक्षे प्रतिपदि १
[²] [रि]हिणीनक्षत्रयुके चन्द्रमसि मुहूर्ते प्रशस्तेभिजिति

¹ This temple stands in the centre of a small village on a spur of the hills, at the base of which flows the river Manmati. Chāngu, literally 'he with a bill,' is in the Nepāl language a name of Garuḍa, and the 'compound' means therefore Garuḍa and Vishnu. The temple contains an

old composite image of Vishnu riding on Garuḍa. The present building is new, having been erected in Nepāl Sāmvat 814, or 1694 A.D. by Queen Riddhi-Lakshmi, as is shewn by an inscription: see also below.

- [³] [श्री]कृताङ्कितदीपचारुविपु[ल]प्रोदुत्तव[क्ष]स्थलः
 [⁴] - वक्ष - नपश्रवाहु[रुचिरः] स्म[र्त्तु]प्रवृद्धोत्सवः [I]
 [⁵] [त्रै]लोक्यध्रमयन्त्रव - - - - - व्यासङ्गनित्योव्ययः
 [⁶] [दो]लद्रौ निवसज्जयत्यनि[मि]षैरभ्यर्च्यमानो हरिः [II] १ [II]
 [⁷] - - - - - यप्रतापविभ[वैर्वा]यामसंक्षेपकृत
 [⁸] [राजाभू]द्वयदेव इत्य[नुपमः] सत्यप्रतिज्ञोदयः [I]
 [⁹] - - - सवितेव दीपकिर[जैः] सम्यग्धृ[तैः] स्वैः सुतैः
 [¹⁰] [विद्व]द्विर्बहुगार्वितैरच[पलैः] ख्यातै[र्विनीतात्मभिः] [II] २ [II]
 [¹¹] [त]स्याभूत्तनयः समृद्ध[विष]यः सङ्ख्येभ्यजेयोरिभिः
 [¹²] [राजा] शङ्करदेव इत्यप - - - तिप्रदः सत्यधीः [I]
 [¹³] - - - विक्रमदानमानवि[भै]र्लब्ध्वा यशः पुष्कलम्
 [¹⁴] - - - - - ररक्ष गामभि[मैतैर्भू]यै[र्भूगे]न्द्रोपमः [II] ३ [II]
 [¹⁵] [त]स्याप्युत्तमधर्मकर्मय - - - - - विद्वार्मिकः
 [¹⁶] [ध]र्मा[त्मा] विनयेप्सुरुत्त[मगुणः] श्रीध[र्म]देवो नृपः [I]
 [¹⁷] [ध]र्मेणैव कुलक्रमागत - - - - - राज्यं महत्^a

Part II.

- [¹] देवी राज्यवती तु तस्य नृपतेर्भार्याभिधाना सती^b
 [²] श्रीरेवानुगता भविष्यति तदा लोकान्तरासङ्किनी [I]
 [³] यस्याज्जात इहानवद्यचरितः श्रीमानदेवो नृपः
 [⁴] कान्त्या शारदचन्द्रमा इव जगत्प्रह्लादयन्सर्वदा [II] ७ [II]
 [⁵] प्रत्यागय सगद्गदाक्षरमिदन्दीर्घं विनिश्चस्य च
 [⁶] प्रेम्णा पुत्रमुवाच साश्रुवदना यातः पिता ते दिवं [I]
 [⁷] हा पुत्रास्तमिते तवाद्य पितरि प्राणैर्वृथा किम्मम
 [⁸] राज्यपुत्रक कारयाहमनुयाम्यद्यैव भर्तुर्गतिम् [II] ८ [II]
 [⁹] किम्मे भोगविधानविस्तरकृतैराशामयैर्बन्धनैः
 [¹⁰] मायास्वप्रनिभे समागमविधौ भर्त्ता विना जीवितुम् [I]
 [¹¹] यामीत्येवमवास्थिता खलु तदा दीनात्मना सूनुना
 [¹²] पादौ भक्तिवशान्निपीड्य शिरसा विज्ञापिता यन्नतः [II] ९ [II]
 [¹³] किम्भोगैर्मम किं हि जीवितसुखैस्त्वद्विप्रयोगे सति
 [¹⁴] प्राणान्पूर्वमहज्जहामि परतस्त्वं यास्यसीतो दिवम् [I]
 [¹⁵] इत्येवमुत्पद्गुजान्तरगतैर्नेत्राभ्युमिश्रैर्दृढम्
 [¹⁶] वाक्शशैर्विहगैव पाशवशगा बद्धा ततस्तस्थुषी [II] १० [II]
 [¹⁷] सन्पुत्रेण सहौद्वेदेहिकविधिं भर्तुः प्रकृत्यात्मनः

Part III.

- [¹] अस्त्रापास्त्रविधानकौशलगुणैः प्रज्ञातसत्त्वोरु[भिः]^b
 [²] श्रीमचारुभुजः प्रमृष्टकनकश्लक्ष्णावदातच्छविः [I]

^a Nine lines following line 17 are buried in the ground.^b L. 1, read अभिधानात्. L. 8, the causative कारय is improperly used for the simple verb. L. 14, readप्राणा^c. L. 15, read दृढम्. L. 17, the भ of भर्तुः looks like क. After line 17, seven lines have been lost.^c L. 1, read सत्त्वोरिभिः.

[illegible]

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ १ ॥
 श्रीकृष्णार्जुनसंवादे अर्जुनस्य
 वचनम् ॥ १ ॥ दृष्ट्वा तु पाण्डु
 प्रवृत्तमात्मनो ॥ २ ॥
 मया दत्तकृपाया ॥ ३ ॥
 तस्य धर्मकृतं स ॥ ४ ॥
 विलोक्य निवृत्त ॥ ५ ॥
 अर्जुन उवाच ॥ ६ ॥
 द्रुपद उवाच ॥ ७ ॥
 भीष्म उवाच ॥ ८ ॥
 धर्मक्षेत्रे कुरुक्षेत्रे ॥ ९ ॥
 समवेता यः पण्डितः ॥ १० ॥
 कुरुक्षेत्रे समवेता ॥ ११ ॥
 युयुत्सुः पाण्डुपुत्रः ॥ १२ ॥
 भीष्म द्रुपद उवाच ॥ १३ ॥
 अर्जुन उवाच ॥ १४ ॥
 द्रुपद उवाच ॥ १५ ॥
 भीष्म उवाच ॥ १६ ॥
 धर्मक्षेत्रे कुरुक्षेत्रे ॥ १७ ॥
 समवेता यः पण्डितः ॥ १८ ॥
 कुरुक्षेत्रे समवेता ॥ १९ ॥
 युयुत्सुः पाण्डुपुत्रः ॥ २० ॥

[illegible]

- [³] पीनांसो विकचासितोत्पलदलप्रस्पद्मानेक्षणः
 [⁴] साक्षात्काम इवाङ्गवान्नरपतिः कान्ताविलासोत्सवः [11]१३[11]
 [⁵] यूपैश्चारुभिरुच्छ्रितैर्वसुमती पित्रा ममालङ्कृता
 [⁶] क्षात्तेणानिमखाश्रयेण विधिना दीक्षाश्रितोऽहं स्थितः [1]
 [⁷] यात्राम्प्रसरिसङ्ख्याय तरसा गच्छामि पूर्वान्दिशम्
 [⁸] ये चाज्ञावशवर्तिनो मम नृपाः संस्थापयिष्यामि तान् [11]१४[11]
 [⁹] इत्येवञ्जननीमपेतकलुषां राजा प्रणम्योचिवात्
 [¹⁰] नाम्बानृण्यमहन्तपोभिरमलैः शक्नोमि यातुष्पितुः [1]
 [¹¹] किन्त्वाप्तिन यथावदस्त्रविधिना तत्पादसंसेवया
 [¹²] यास्यामीति ततोम्बयातिमुदया दत्ताभ्यनुज्ञो नृपः [11]१५[11]
 [¹³] प्रायात्पूर्वपथेन तत्र च शठा ये पूर्वदेशाश्रयाः
 [¹⁴] सामन्ताः प्रणिपातबन्धुरशिरःप्रभ्रष्टमौलिखजः [1]
 [¹⁵] तानाज्ञावशवर्तिनो नरपतिः संस्थाप्य तस्मात्पुनः
 [¹⁶] निर्भीः सिंह इवाकुलोत्कटसटः पश्चादुवञ्जग्मिवान् [11]१६[11]
 [¹⁷] सामन्तस्य च तत्र दुष्टचरितं श्रुत्वा शिरः कम्पयन्
 [¹⁸] बाहुं हस्तिकरोपमं स शनैः स्पृष्ट्वाब्रवीद्वाङ्मवत् [1]
 [¹⁹] आहूतो यदि नैति विक्रमवशादित्यसौ मे वशं
 [²⁰] किं वाक्यैर्वहुभिर्विधातृगदितैः संक्षेपतः कथ्यते [11]१७[11]

Translation.

On the first day of the bright half of the month Jyeshtha of Sainvat 386, while the moon stood in the constellation Rohini, in the excellent Muhūrta called Abhijit.⁶

1. Hari conquers, whose resplendent, beautiful, broad and high chest is marked by the Śrīvatsa, . . . whose lotus-arms shed radiance, who increases the happiness of his worshippers, who is constantly busy with . . . the machine for moving the three worlds, who is eternal, who dwells on Dolādri,⁷ and who is worshipped by the immortals.

2. There was a king called Vṛishadēva, who . . . by his valour and wealth lessened trouble,⁸ who was incomparable and prosperous because he kept his word. As . . . the sun is encircled by brilliant rays, so he was surrounded by his learned, proud, constant, famous and obedient sons.

3. His son was truthful king Śankaradēva, whose country prospered, who was

inconquerable in battle by his enemies, and who gave Through valour, presents, honours bestowed (on his servants), and riches, he gained great fame; comparable to a lion he protected the earth through approved ministers

4. His son also, illustrious king Dharmadēva, who knew the most excellent doctrine, works, who was righteous, virtuous, fond of modesty, possessed of excellent qualities according to the hereditary law a great kingdom.

7. But that king's faithful wife, Queen Rājyavati by name, was doubtless heavenly Śrī, who had followed (her husband into this world).⁹ From her was born illustrious king Mānadēva, whose course of life in this world is unblamable, and who always gladdens the world by his beauty, like the autumnal moon.

8. Approaching and sighing deeply, her eyes filled with tears, she (Rājyavati) affec-

⁶ L. 19, read 'देवत्यसौ'.

⁷ The day is divided into fifteen Muhūrtas, the eighth of which is Abhijit.

⁸ Dolādri or Dolaparrata is the name of the hill on which the temple of Chāngunārāyaṇa is situated.

⁹ The meaning of this mutilated pāda probably is that his wealth and valour made his enemies keep quiet, and thus his own and his subjects' troubles were small.

¹⁰ Probably the preceding verse 6 contained an identification of the king with Viṣṇu.

tionately spoke to her son, with faltering accents: "Alas, my child, thy father is gone to heaven. As thy father is now dead, why preserve my useless life! Reign thou, dear son; to-day, even, I follow my husband on his road."

9. "Of what use are the fetters of hope that arelengthened forenjoyment"¹⁰, and that bind me to a widowed life in a world similar to a lying dream? I will depart." While thus, forsooth, she was resolved, her sad son reverentially pressed her feet with his head, and anxiously spoke thus to her;

10. "What are joys to me, what the pleasures of life when I am parted from thee! First I will give up my life; thereafter thou mayst go hence to heaven." Thus she stood like a snared bird, firmly bound by the word-bonds, that, mixed with tears, lay in (*his*) mouth.

11. Then together with her virtuous son she performed the last rites for her own husband

13. The prince (*Mānadvēva*), whose strength is known to (*his*) enemies, though they are clever in the use of weapons of offence and defence,—whose arm is beautiful and lovely,¹¹ whose complexion is pure and bright like burnished gold,—whose shoulder is strong,—whose eyes rival (*in beauty*) full-blown blue lotuses,—who is visibly an incarnation of Cupid, a festival of dalliance for the fair ones, (*spoke thus to his mother*):

14. "My father adorned the earth with beautiful, high rising (pillars of victory, resembling) sacrificial pillars. Here I stand initiated in the rites of the battle-sacrifice offered by Kshatriyas. Quickly I shall depart on an expedition to the East, to crush my foes. (*There*) I shall instal those princes who will remain obedient to me."

15. Thus the king (*spoke and*) bowing to

his mother, whose sorrow fled, he continued (*in this wise*): "Mother, I cannot pay the debt due to my father by puro austerities; but I shall attain this end, worshipping his feet by true and rightly performed feats of arms."

Then the king received the consent of his overjoyed mother;

16. And he set out on the road to the East. Having reduced to obedience those roguish feudal chiefs of the East, from whose heads bent in prostration the diadems fell, the prince, like a fearless lion with thick and bristling mane, (*returned*) thence, and marched to the Western districts.

17. Hearing there of the evil doings of a chieftain, shaking his head and slowly touching his arm that (*in strength*) resembled an elephant's trunk, he proudly spoke (*thus*): "If he does not come at my command, then he will be conquered by my valour. What is the use of saying much? Shortly I tell (*him*) in the words of the Creator

No. 2.—*An inscription of Jayavarman, incised during the reign of Mānadvēva Samvat 413.*

This inscription is incised on a square stone, which originally formed the base of a Linga, and is placed opposite the northern door of the temple of Paśupati.¹² At present the stone supports a composite trident, about twenty feet high, which according to the *Vanīśavalī* was dedicated by Śankara deva, the grandfather of Mānadvēva.¹³ It would seem that Jayavarman's Linga somehow or other was destroyed, and that at one of the restorations of the temple, Śankara deva's Trisūla was transferred to its place.

The letters of the inscription belong to the Gupta period. Owing to the daily ablutions of the Trisūla they have suffered very considerably. The language of the inscription is Sanskrit.

¹⁰ Rather 'that are lengthened by enjoyments.'—G. B.

¹¹ Rather 'whose beautiful arm holds the goddess of Fortune.'—G. B.

¹² The famous temple of Paśupati is situated in Devapattana, an old town, mostly in ruins, on the river Vāgmatī, three miles north-east from Kātmāndu. The present temple has three stories fifty feet high, and is built in the modern Nepalese style. It is of brick and wood. According to tradition Queen Gaṅgā erected it in Nepāla Samvat 705 or 1585 A.D. The temple consists of an adytum, surrounded by cloisters, and has four doors. In the centre of the *garbhagṛha* stands a Linga of hard sandstone, about three feet and a half high, from which four faces and four pairs of hands jut forth. Each right hand holds a

Devatrāksha Mālā, and each left a *Kaṇḍalū*. Similarly ornamented Lingas, dating from the Gupta period, are found in Mathurā and in Udayagiri near Bhilsa. The base of the Linga is about a foot and a half high, and four feet in diameter, and covered with thick silver plates. Ordinarily the Linga is concealed under a mass of gold and silver ornaments, which are taken off at the time of worship. In the court of the temple stand many statues of other deities, as well as of kings and private persons, who gave endowments to the temple. The name for these figures is *Sālīka*. There are also many modern inscriptions, which, I regret, I neglected to copy.

¹³ Wright, *Nepāl*, p. 123, and below.

Transcript.

[¹] संवत् ४१३ श्रीमानदेवनृपतेश्वरणप्रसादात् भक्त्या विगुहमतिना जयवर्मनाम्ना लिङ्गञ्जयेश्वर-
मिति प्रथितं नृलोके

[²] संस्थापितं सनृपतेर्जगतो हिताय १ भगवतोस्य लिङ्गस्य कारणपूजा[यै] ----- तायस्व
----- यनार्थन्दत्तमक्षय[नीवी-]

Translation.

Saṃvat 413. By the favour of the feet of the illustrious king Mānadēva, a pure-minded (man) called Jayavarman has erected a Liṅga, known in the world of men, as Jayośvara for the welfare of the people and of the king. A permanent endowment¹⁴ has been assigned for (defraying the expenses) of the occasional worship¹⁵ of this worshipful Liṅga.

No. 3.—An inscription of King Vasantasena, dated Saṃvat 435.

This inscription is incised on a narrow oblong slab of sandstone about six feet high with a semi-circular top, adorned by a relieve showing a Chakra and two Śankhas. The stone lies near the sanctuary of Lugal Dēvi, not far from the temple of Jaisi, Lagantol Kātmāṇḍū.

The inscription originally contained twenty-three lines, the greater part of which has however been destroyed by the influence of rain and weather. The remaining letters are very distinct and well cut, and show the forms of the Gupta period. The language is Sanskrit.

Transcript.

[¹] उँ स्वस्ति मानगृहात्प[रमदै]वतवपभ-
[²] दारकमहाराजश्रीपादानुध्यातः श्रुतन-
[³] [यदया]दानदाक्षिण्यपुण्यप्रतापविकसितसि-
[⁴] तकीर्त्तिर्भट्टारकमहाराजश्रीवसन्त-
[⁵] सेनः [कुशली] --- व्यधिकरणेषु धर्म-
[⁶] स्या[न] --- नेकाश्चकुश-
[⁷] --- विदितमस्तु वो मया
[⁸] --- लिङ्गल
[⁹] --- कूथर

¹⁴ The expression *akshayanīya*, a permanent endowment, is very common in the Buddhist inscriptions of the Western Caves, see Bhāṇḍārkar, *Nasik Inscr.*, *Transact. Or. Congress* 1874, *passim*.

¹⁵ *Kāraṇapūjā*, translated by 'occasional worship,' is probably an equivalent for *naimittikapūjā*, and refers to the worship on the days of the new and full moon and other festive seasons.

¹⁶ The first five and the last four lines only admit of a translation. The few words readable in the middle

[¹⁰] ----- रणाय
[¹¹] ----- दारक-
[¹²] ----- शप्येत्तेषान्त्र-
[¹³] ----- दिकार्येषु सद्भि-
[¹⁴] ----- मयापितेषां
[¹⁵] ----- (मो) चित ---
[¹⁶] -----
[¹⁷] ----- त्पादोपजीविभिरि-----
[¹⁸] ----- यश्चेमामाज्ञामुलं [ध्य] -----
[¹⁹] ----- द्वा तस्याहं दृढं मर्या -----
[²⁰] इति समाज्ञापना संवत् ४३५ [आश्व]
[²¹] युजि शुक्ल दिवा १ दूतकः सर्वदण्डना-
[²²] यक्रमहाप्रतिहाररविगुप्त इति
[²³] ब्राह्मुडि च महीशाले व्यवहरतीति.

Translation.

Om. Hail! From Mānagrīha,¹⁶ the lord and great king, the illustrious Vasantasena, who meditates on the feet of the illustrious lord and great king Bappa (an incarnation of) the supreme deity,¹⁷—whose brilliant fame has expanded as a flower through his learning, policy, compassion, liberality, affability, holiness and valour,—being in good health.
This is the order; Saṃvat 435, on the first day of the bright half of the month Āśvayuja. The executive officer (*dūtaka*) is the chief prefect of police and great chamberlain Ravigupta. He is transacting business in Brāhmuṅg Mahīśīla.

permit the inference that the inscription recorded a grant to somebody. Mānagrīha, from which all the inscriptions of the kings of this dynasty are dated, appears to have been the capital or the royal residence.

¹⁷ I am unable to say who this Bappa Bhattāraka was. But I think that it is a general title used by chief priests; for the Valabhi kings and those of Vengī (*Jour. Bo. Br. R. A. S.* XI. 355) also declare their devotion to the feet of this Bappa Bhattāraka. Āchāryas or chief priests frequently bear the same titles as crowned kings.

No. 4.—An inscription dated Sainvat 535.

On a broken slate-slab, lying in a street of Lagantol, Kâtmânḍu, near to some stones smeared with red paint.

Characters,—Gupta, but the curved stroke

of the medial *i* is drawn deeper down between the lines, and the left hand stroke of the *va* becomes round. Preservation bad. Greater part defaced, and seven or eight lines at the top are lost.

Transcript.

- [¹] ----- देव -----
 [²] ----- पुण्यो -----
 [³] ----- रायावः प्रसादीकृतः] -----
 [⁴] ----- ज्ञः पृथूक्षेत्रम् पूर्व -----
 [⁵] ----- लस्य क्षेत्रम् ततो भरतश्च -----
 [⁶] ----- तुलाक्षेत्रम् ततस्तेग्वलप्रा -----
 [⁷] ----- आदित्यगुप्तस्य क्षेत्रम् । पूर्वद -----
 [⁸] ----- [क्षेत्रम् ततस्तेग्वलनारायण -----
 [⁹] ----- स्तेग्वल् प्रदीपगौष्टिकानाम् तस्या भूमेर्दक्षिण -----
 [¹⁰] ----- [दक्षिण]राजकुलस्य दक्षिणपश्चिमेन -----
 [¹¹] ----- पञ्चालिकानाम् पश्चिमेन पर्वत -----
 [¹²] ----- [पश्चि]मोत्तरेण पर्वतभूमि -----
 [¹³] ----- [परि]क्षितेयं भूमिरित्यवगम्य न कैश्चिदप्य] -----
 [¹⁴] स्मत्पादोपजीविभिरयं प्रसादन्यथा करणीयो यः -----
 [¹⁵] ----- माज्ञामनादृत्यान्यथाकुर्यात्कारयेद्वा तमहमुत्पथ[गा]
 [¹⁶] [मि]नं नियतमनुशासितास्मि भविष्यद्विरपि भूपति[भि]
 [¹⁷] ----- कृतप्रसादानुवर्तिभिरेव भवितव्यमिति दूत[कौ]
 [¹⁸] न राजपुत्रविक्रमसेनः संवत् ५३५ श्रा[व]
 [¹⁹] [ण] शुक्ल दिवा सप्तम्याम् ॥ × ॥

A translation of the main part of this inscription is impossible. It appears, however, that it refers to the grant of a piece of land, the boundaries of which were accurately described. In the last lines, which are tolerably well preserved, the king declares his determination to punish persons interfering with the donees. We also learn from lines 17 and 18 that the Dûtaka or executive officer was Prince Vikra-

masona, and the date the seventh day of the bright half of Śrāvaṇa Sainvat 535.

No. 5.—An inscription of Śivadeva.

On a broken slab of hard sandstone fixed in a wall near a bell at Buddha Nilkanṭh,¹⁸ near the Śivapurī hill, five miles north of Kâtmânḍu. The characters closely resemble those of the preceding inscription. The language is Sanskrit.


Transcript.

- [¹] स्वस्ति मानगृहाच्छुतनयविनयशौर्यधैर्यवीर्यादशेष-
 [²] सद्गुणगणाधारो लिच्छविकुलकेतुर्भट्टारकमहाराजश्रीशि-
 [³] वदेवः कुशली ----- पिता नरसिंहो भय -----

¹⁸ Buddha Nilkanṭh is a small tank about forty feet square, fed by a spring which passes through three stone *Sunkhs* held by three images, which are placed in its north-eastern corner. In the middle of the tank lies an image of Vishnu; 'reclining on the waters,' made of black stone. According to the chronicle of Nepāl this image was dedicated by king Harivarman of the Solar race. But from its appearance and workmanship I conclude that it is not so old. As

the name of the place is Buddha Nilkanṭh, i. e. 'submerged Śiva,' and as the name of the brook which flows from the tank is Rudramatī, it seems probable that the tank originally contained a Linga, and that one of the later Vaiṣṇava kings placed the image of Vishnu in it. In support of this conjecture I may also adduce the fact that the neighbouring village is called Śivapurī.





ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್
 ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್
 ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್
 ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್
 ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್
 ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್
 ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್
 ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್
 ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್
 ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್ ಸಮಿಪಾ ಕರ್ತೃತ್ವಾತ್

- [⁴] निवासिनो यथाप्रधानज्ञामकुटुम्बिनः कुशलमाभाष्य
 [⁵] समाज्ञापयति विदितम्भवतु भवतां यथानेकपृथुस-
 [⁶] मरसम्पातविजयाधिगतशौर्यप्रतापापहतसक-
 [⁷] लशत्रुपक्षप्रभावेन सम्यक्प्रजापालनपरिश्रमोपार्जि-
 [⁸] तशुभ्रवशोभिष्याप्तदिग्मण्डलेन श्रीमहासामन्तांशुवर्म¹⁹
 [⁹] णा युष्मद्वितविधानाय विज्ञापितेन मया तद्गौरवा
 [¹⁰] -----न्यधिकृतानां समुचित-----

Translation.

Hail! From Mânagriha. The illustrious lord and great king Śivadeva—who is the abode of all good qualities such as learning, policy, modesty, bravery, constancy and heroism, who is the banner of the Licchavi race, being in good health, addresses greeting to all the cultivators residing in . . . according to their rank, and gives (*these*) orders: Be it known to you that I, being advised for your welfare by the illustrious great feudal chief Anśuvarman, who has destroyed the power of all (*my*) enemies by his heroic majesty, obtained by victories in numerous hand-to-hand fights,—whose brilliant fame gained by the trouble of properly protecting (*my*) subjects, pervades the universe . . . ²⁰

No. 6.—Inscription of Anśuvarman, dated

Śrīharsha Sūvat 34.

This inscription is incised on a slab of sand-

stone in the neighbourhood of a large village, called Bungmatī, four miles to the south of Kātmāṇḍu between the rivers Nyekhn and Vāgmatī. Its sculptured top shows Buddha symbols, viz., 'the wheel of the law' between two deer. The stone lies ordinarily buried in a field to the east of the village, and is taken out every twelve years on the occasion of a great festival (*rathayātrā*) of Avalōkī-
 tēśvara²¹ at Bungmatī. The reason of this custom is not known. I found considerable difficulty in obtaining a sight of the stone, though I had an order from the Nepalese Government.

The characters are the same as those of the preceding two inscriptions. Regarding the era in which it is dated, an explanation will be given below.

Transcript.

- [¹] स्वस्ति कैलासकूटभवनाद्भगवत्पशुपतिभट्टारकंपादा-
 [²] नुगृहीतो वप्पपादानुध्यातः श्रीमहासामन्तांशुवर्मा कुशली
 [³] बुगायूमीया[म]निवासोऽगता[न] कुटुम्बिनो यथाप्रधानकुश-
 [⁴] लमाभाष्य [समा]ज्ञापयति विदितम्भवतु भवतांकुक्कटसू-
 [⁵] कराणा ----- नां मत्स्यानाञ्चावाधनेन परितुष्टैरस्माभि-
 [⁶] र्भ ----- प्रसादः [कृ]तो युष्माभिरप्ये-
 [⁷] ----- यदा च पुनर्धर्मसङ्कराणि
 [⁸] ----- [त]दा राजकुलं स्वयम्प्रविचार-
 [⁹] ----- प्रसादोऽस्मत्प्र-
 [¹⁰] ----- विलङ्घयान्यथा
 [¹¹] ----- नो नियतम्पुष्कला मयादा ब-
 [¹²] ----- भिः पूर्वराजकृतप्रसादा-

¹⁹ L. 8 read गामन्तां⁰—

²⁰ From the epithet given to Anśuvarman it appears that he occupied the position of a *major domus*, who wielded the real power, while the king was ruler only in name.

²¹ The temple of Avalōkīteśvara, called Matsyendranātha by the common people, is situated in the centre of the village. The image which it contains is made of mud and covered with silver plates. It remains half the year only in this temple; during the other six months it is kept at Lalitapattana.

[13] ----- दूतकश्चात्र महासर्वा-
[14] -- यकविक्र -- संवत् ३४ ज्येष्ठ शुक्ल दशम्याम्²²

Translation.

Om. Hail! From the palace, (called) Kailā-sakūṭa.²³ The illustrious great feudal chief Aṁśuvarman, who is favoured by the feet of the lord, the divine Paśupati, and meditates on the feet of Bappa, being in good health, addresses greeting to the inhabitants of the village of Bugāyūmī according to their rank and issues (these orders): Be it known to you that We rejoicing at the preservation of the cocks, pigs and fishes.
The executive officer is here.

Vikra (masena). . . . On the tenth day of the bright half of the month of Jyeshtha, Sainvat 34.

No. 7.—An inscription of Aṁśuvarman, dated Śrīharsha Sainvat 39.

On a slab of slate, standing near a small temple of Gaṇeśa, in the high street of Devapāṭana, not far from the temple of Paśupati. It bears at the top the representation of a reclining bull, facing the proper right.

The characters are like those of the preceding inscriptions.

Execution and preservation good.

Transcript.

- [1] उँ स्वस्ति कैलासकूटभवनानिनिशि निशि चानेकशा-
[2] स्त्रार्थविमर्शावसादितासद्वर्शनतया धर्माधिका-
[3] रस्थितिकारणमेवोत्सवमनतिशयम्मन्यमा-
[4] नो भगवत्पशुपतिभट्टारकपादानुगृहीतो बप्प-
[5] पादानुध्यातः श्रयंशुवर्मा कुशली पश्चिमाधिक-
[6] रणवृत्तिभुजो वर्तमानान्भविष्यतश्च यथार्ह-
[7] कुशलमाभाष्य समाज्ञापयति विदितम्भव-
[8] तु भवताम्पशुपतौ भगवाञ्छूरभोगेश्वरोस्मद्भ-
[9] गिन्या श्रीभोगवर्मजनन्या भोगदेव्या स्वभर्तु राज-
[10] पुत्रशूरसेनस्य पुण्योपचयाय प्रतिष्ठापितो
[11] यश्च तदुहित्रास्मद्भागिनेय्या भाग्यदेव्या प्रतिष्ठा-
[12] पितो लङ्घितमहेश्वरो यश्चैतःपूर्वजैः प्रतिष्ठापि-
[13] तो दक्षिणेश्वरस्तेषामधःशालापाञ्चालिकेभ्यः प्रतिपा-
[14] लनायातिमृष्टानामस्माभिः पश्चिमाधिकरणस्याप्र-
[15] वेशेन प्रसादः कृतो यदा च पाञ्चालिकानां यत्किञ्चन
[16] कार्यमेतद्व्रतमुत्पत्स्यते यथाकालं वा नियमितं व-
[17] स्तु परिहापयिष्यन्ति तदा स्वयमेव राजभिरन्तरा-
[18] सनेन विचारः करणीयो यस्वेतामाज्ञामतिक्रम्यान्वया
[19] प्रवर्तिष्यते तं वयन्न मर्षयिष्यामो भाविभिरपि भूप-
[20] त्रिभिर्धर्मगुरुतया पूर्वराजकृतप्रसादानुवर्तिभि-
[21] रेव भवितव्यमिति स्वयमाज्ञा दूतकश्चात्र युवरा-
[22] जोदयदेवः संवत् ३९ वैशाख शुक्ल दिवा दशम्या

²² L. 14 read ज्येष्ठ.

²³ Kailāśakūṭa is at present the name of a large mound about forty feet high situated to the north of Paśupati's

temple. It is covered with ruins, and no doubt is the site of the palace mentioned in this inscription and the following ones.



ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥

No. 8, INSCRIPTION OF ANSUVARMAN, DATED SAMVAT 45.

ਸੰਤਨ ਪ੍ਰਭੁ ਦੇਖਸੁਤੁ
ਸੁੰਦਰ ਪੁਤ੍ਰ ਅਧਿਕਾਰ: ਪ੍ਰਭੁ ਰਿਵਾਜੇ
ਭੀਨ ਸਪੁਰਾਖੀਯੰ ਗਨੈ ਕਰਿ ਰਾਖਿਯੁ -

Translation.

Om. Hail! From the palace, (called) Kailāsa-kūṭa.

The illustrious Añśuvarman, who has been favoured by the feet of the divine lord Paśupaṭi, and meditates on the feet of Bappa, who, having destroyed his (former) false opinions by pondering day and night over the meaning of various *Sāstras*, considers the proper establishment of courts of justice²⁴ his greatest pleasure, being in good health, addresses greeting to the present and future officials of the Western (province) according to their rank, and issues (these) orders: "Be it known to you that the (three *Līṅgas*), viz., the divine Śūrabhogēśvara dedicated at (the sanctuary of) Paśupati by our sister Bhogadevī, the mother of the illustrious Bhogavarman for the increase of the spiritual merit of her husband prince Śūrasena, Laṭitamahēśvara²⁵ dedicated by her daughter Bhāgyadevī, our niece, and Dakṣiṇēśvara dedicated by her ancestors, have been made over for protection to the Adhahśūlā-Pāñchālikas,²⁶ and that we have favoured them by forbidding the interference of the officials of the Western (province); and when any business referring to these (*Līṅgas*) arises for the Pāñchālikas, or when they neglect to do in time anything appointed (for them to do), the king himself shall privately investigate (the case). But we shall not suffer it that any one violates this order and acts otherwise. Future kings also, as they are teachers of justice, should continue the favour shown by their predecessors (to the Pāñchālikas). (This is our) own order, and

the executive officer here is the Yuvarāja Udayadeva. On the tenth day of the bright half of the month of Vaiśākha Sainvat 39.

No. 8.—*Vibhuvārman's inscription, dated Śrīharṣa Sainvat 45 (?)*.

On the side of the mouth of the spout of a watercourse, on the road from Kātmāṇḍu to the Residency near the Rāñpōkhri tank. The place is called Sataḍhārā, (i. e. *saptadhārā*.) because the water issues from the spring in seven streams.

Characters as those of preceding inscriptions. Preservation good.

Transcript.

- [1] संवत् ४५ (?) ज्येष्ठ शुक्ल ---
[2] श्र्यंशुवर्मप्रसादेन पितुः पुण्यविवृद्धये
[3] कारिता संप्रणालीयं वर्त्तेन विभुवर्मणा

Translation.

On of the bright half of the month *Jyeshṭha*, Sainvat 45,²⁷ by the favour of the illustrious Añśuvarman, this conduit has been built by Vārta Vibhuvārman²⁸ for the increase of his father's spiritual merit.

No. 9.—*Jishnugupta's inscription, dated Śrīharṣa Sainvat 48.*

On a slab of black slate placed upright in the ground near the temple of Mummara or Chhinna-mastikā Devī in the Tavjhā Mahalla (ward) of Lalitapattana.²⁹ The letters are well cut and the inscription well preserved. The characters if compared with those of Añśuvarman's inscriptions, show slight changes. The medial *i* goes down a little deeper, the *pa* shows an ornamental notch in the lower line.

Transcript.

- [1] ईं सस्ति ----- भट्टारकमहाराज-
[2] श्रीध्रुवदेव[स्य] ----- प्रजाहितैषी निरवद्यवृत्तः
[3] पुण्यान्वयादागतराज्यसम्पत्समस्तपौ[राश्रि]तशासना यस्स कैलासकूटभ-
[4] वनाद्भगवत्पशुपतिभट्टारकपादानुगृहीतो वप्पपादानुध्यातः श्रीजिष्णुमुत्तः
[5] [कु]शली धम्मूगाङ्गुल्लमूलवाटिकाग्रामेषु निवासमुपगतान्कुटुम्बिनः कुशल-

²⁴ The translation hardly covers the entire meaning of *dharmaśāhikāra*, which includes both the civil and criminal courts, and the authorities dealing with religious and charitable institutions.

²⁵ *Laṭitamahēśvara* is the northern form for *Lalitamahēśvara*. Neither the Nepalese nor the Kashmirians possess or can pronounce the southern लट्; they always substitute ला for it.

²⁶ The word *Pāñchālika* seems to be a technical expression corresponding to the southern Pāñchakulika and the modern "Panch." At present also temples and endow-

ments of temples in Nepāl are administered by committees called *guthā*.

²⁷ The second figure is doubtful.
²⁸ *Vārta* I take to be the name of a family, as a count called Vārta is mentioned in the *Mahābhārata*. It is however, he also derived from *vr̥tti* 'livelihood,' and dieato that *Vibhuvārman* held a *vr̥tti* from the king.

²⁹ *Lalitapattana* or *Pātana* is situated one and a half miles east of Kātmāṇḍu. Its Nepālī name is *Tinyala*, on the road (to) Kātmāṇḍu (*Tinya*), because in ancient times the road from Bhātgām to Kātmāṇḍu went *Lalitapattana*.

- [⁶] [मा]भाष्य समाज्ञापयति विदितमस्तु भवताम्भद्वारकमहाराजाधिराजश्र्यंशु-
 [⁷] वर्मपादैर्युष्मदीयग्रामाणामुपकाराय योसौ तिलमक आनीतोभूत्प-
 [⁸] तिसंस्काराभावाद्दिनष्टमुद्दीक्ष्य सामन्तचन्द्रवर्मविज्ञतैरस्माभिस्तस्यै-
 [⁹] व प्रसादीकृतस्तेन चास्मदनुज्ञतेन युष्मद्ग्रामाणामेवोपकाराय
 [¹⁰] [प्र]तिसंस्कृतोस्य चोपकारस्य पारम्पर्याविच्छेदेन चिरतरकालोद्ध्वना-
 [¹¹] य युष्माकं वाटिका अपि प्रसादीकृतास्तदेताभ्यो यथाकालम्विण्ड-
 [¹²] कमुपसंहृत्य भवद्भिरेव तिलमकप्रतिसंस्कारः करणीय एतद्ग्राम-
 [¹³] त्रयव्यतिरेकेण चान्यग्रामनिवासिनाञ्च केषाञ्चिन्नेतुं लभ्यतेस्य च
 [¹⁴] प्रसादस्य चिरस्थितये शिलापट्टकशासनमिदन्दत्तमेवैवेदिभिर्न
 [¹⁵] कैश्चिदयमप्रसादोन्यथा करणीयो यस्वेतामाज्ञामतिक्रम्यन्यथा तिलम-
 [¹⁶] [क]न[ये]तस्यावश्यन्दण्डः पातयितव्यो भविष्यद्विरपि भूपतिभिः पूर्वरा-
 [¹⁷] [ज]कृतप्रसादानुवर्तिभिरेव भवितव्यमिति अपि चात्र वाटिकानामुद्देशः
 [¹⁸] [थंनू]ग्रामस्य दक्षिणोद्देशे पूर्वेण रामवि मा २ तिलमकस्य पश्चिमप्रदेशे मा १
 [¹⁹] --कुलं पूर्वेण मा ४ मूलवाटिकाग्रामस्योत्तरतः अशिक्षाप्रदेशे मा ८
 [²⁰] ---प्रदेशे मा १ गाङ्गुल्यामं पश्चिमेन कडम्पिङ्गप्रदेशे मा ४ कङ्कुलप्रदेशे
 [²¹] मा ४ स्वयमाज्ञा संवत् ४८ कार्तिक शुक्ल २ दूतको युवराजश्रीविष्णुगुप्तः

Translation.

Om. Hail
 (of the) illustrious lord and great
 king Dhruvadeva
 The illustrious Jishnugupta, who desires the welfare of his subjects, who is of pure conduct, who, sprung from a virtuous family, has obtained a prosperous kingdom, whose orders are obeyed by all citizens, who has been favoured by the feet of the divine lord Paśupati, and who meditates on the feet of Bappa, sends greeting from the palace (called) Kailāśakūṭa to the cultivators residing in the villages Thambū, Gāngul, and Mūlavāṭikā, and issues (these) orders: Be it known to you that, seeing the water-course,³⁰ which the illustrious lord and great king Aśuvārmān led to your villages for your benefit, destroyed through want of repairs, we being addressed by the feudal chief Chandravarmān, have presented it to him; that he, with our permission, has repaired it for the benefit of your villages; and that in order to ensure the constant continuance of the benefit we have presented the irrigable fields (mentioned below) to

you. Wherefore you shall pay an assessment³¹ for these (fields), and repair the watercourse (hereafter). The inhabitants of other villages except of those three (mentioned above) shall not lead this watercourse elsewhere; and in order to ensure the long continuance of this grant, this edict, engraved on a stone tablet, has been promulgated. Nobody, who knows this, shall alter the grant. But he who, violating this order, leads the watercourse elsewhere, shall certainly be punished. Future kings also ought to act in accordance with the grant made by their predecessors. Moreover, a brief description of the irrigable fields (is given) herewith: south of the village of Thambū, east of the field³² of Rāma two māś,³³ west of the watercourse one mā, east of . . . four māś north of Mūlavāṭikā on the site called Aśin ko eight māś, on the site . . . one mā; west of the village of Gāngul, on the site called Kaṇḍampriṅg, four māś, on the site called Kaṅkulām four māś." (This is) our own order. On the second day of the bright half of Kārttika, Śaṇvāt 48. The executive officer is the illustrious Yuvārāja Viṣṇugupta.


³⁰ The word *tilamaka* is not found in any dictionary. But it seems certain, from the context, that it must be some kind of watercourse. Probably it denotes a channel which leads the water from the hillside over the fields which rise in terraces one above the other.

³¹ *Paṇḍaka*, which is a synonym of the more common


grās, seems to denote a share of the produce of the field, see below, No. 11, line 15.

³² *Vibh* is probably a *Nepālī* word, and corresponds with the modern *vua* 'a field.'

³³ *Mā* is probably an abbreviation of *māna*, intended for some measure. The present *Nepāleso* measure is the *rova*, see also below.



[illegible]



No. 10.—*An undated inscription of
Jishnugupta.*

On a slab of black slate, standing near a
temple of Viśṇu, called Mīna-Nārāyaṇa,

close to the Bhairava-ḍhokā or southern gate of
Kāṭmāṇḍu. Its top is decorated by a *chakra*.
Parts of the inscription have peeled off. The
remaining portions are in good condition.

Transcript.

- [¹] उँ देवा ----- यावस्थितो -----त्मा पौरस्ययन्त्र-
[²] तिमुख ----- डेराविम् एतच्चान्यच्चिरहस्वयि परवश-
[³] न्दनीयो ----- लैर्वः स्वकरमपहरन्त्य[द्वि]जा सेश्वरा[श्रीः]³⁴
[⁴] स्वस्ति मानगृ[हा] ----- दितचित्तसन्ततिलिच्छविकुलकेतुभट्टारक-
[⁵] राजश्रीधुवदेवपुरस्सरे ----- सकलजननिरूपद्रवोपायसंविधानार्पित[मा]-
[⁶] नसः ----- कैलासकूटभवनाद्भगवत्पशुपतिभट्टारकपादानुगृहीतो बप्प-
[⁷] पादानुध्यातः श्रीजिष्णुगुप्तः कुशली दक्षिणकोलीग्रामे गीटापाञ्चालिका -
[⁸] ----- गान्कुशलेनाभाष्य समनुदर्शयति विदितम्भवतु भवताम -
[⁹] ----- स ----- विधिज्ञानादुपात्तायती रूपेणानुपमो गुणी
[¹⁰] ----- इत्येवम्प्रथितेऽपि यः प्रियहितम्प्रत्याद -
[¹¹] ----- बलवतः शत्रून्बभञ्ज स्वयमित्थं -
[¹²] ----- स्मदनुमोदितेन तदात्वायति -
[¹³] ----- व्याप्रियमाणो ----- नुग्रहप्रवृत्तचेतसा महासा[मन्त]
[¹⁴] ----- देवेन यथायन्तिलमको भवतामन्येषाञ्चापकारायाक -
[¹⁵] ----- पिण्डकदम्बाभागम्प्रत्याकलय्य भवद्विरेवोपसंहर्तव्यः -
[¹⁶] ----- लेश्वरस्वामिनः पूजा पाञ्चालीभोजनञ्च दिवसनिधमेन -
[¹⁷] ----- य तिलमकप्रतिसंस्कारश्च कालानतिक्रमेणैव कार्य इत्येषो-
[¹⁸] स्य पुण्याधिकारो व्यवस्था चास्मत्प्रसादोपजीविभिरन्यैर्वा न कैश्चिदप्य]
[¹⁹] न्ययाकरणीया यः कश्चिदेतामाज्ञामतिलङ्घ्यान्वथा कुर्यात्कारयेद्वा
[²⁰] ----- क्रमकृतावश्यमेव दण्डो विधातव्यो येष्यस्म -
[²¹] ----- संभविष्यन्ति तैरप्यात्मीय इव ----- धिकारेस्मत्कृतव-
[²²] ----- स्य रक्षायामनुपालने च ----- हितैर्भवि[तव्य]
[²³] ----- स्य देव -----
[²⁴] ----- न्न इति -----

Abstract.

The first three lines probably contained a verse in honour of Lakṣmī and Viśṇu. On this supposition it is necessary to read *abdhijā* instead of *adrijā*. The fact that a *Chakra* adorns the top of the stone makes it probable that the grantee was a Vaishṇava. Lines 4-8 contain the preamble of the grant, and show that Jishnugupta acknowledged Dhruvadeva, of the Licchavi race, who resided at Māṇagrīha as lord paramount. Unfortunately the word following Dhruvadeva's name, which has been ren-

dered in the transcript by *purassare* is not certain. Jishnugupta dates from the Kailāsakūṭa palace, and addresses his edict to the *Gītā-Pāñchālikas*, apparently a committee thus named, residing in the village of Dakṣiṇakoṭī. The contents of the body of the inscription (ll. 9-24) seem to have been very similar to those of No. 9. They refer to the repairs of a watercourse (*tilanaka*) which had been first dug by some person whose name ended in . . . *deva* (line 14), and the cultivators using it are ordered to pay an assessment (*piṇḍaka*) of one-tenth of the pro-

duce (line 16), to feed the Pāṇchālī or Panch worshipping a deity whose name ended in . . . *leśvarasvāmīn*, and to provide for the repairs of the watercourse.

No. 11.—*An undated inscription of Jishnugupta's reign.*

On a stone supporting a parasol over an image of Chāṇḍeśvara,³⁵ which is placed on a quadrangular base in the south-eastern corner of the enclosure of the great temple of Pāśupatī. The inscription originally consisted of thirty lines, twenty-five of which have been preserved.

Characters as those of the preceding inscriptions.

Transcript.

- [¹] सम्यग्ज्ञानादियुक्तः सक-
 [²] [ल]गुणगणं क्षोभयित्वा प्र-
 [³] [धा]नम् ब्रह्मादिस्थावरान्त-
 [⁴] जगदिदमखिलं योमृज-
 [⁵] द्विश्वरूपम् आजीव्यं सर्व-
 [⁶] पुंसां गिरितरुगहनं यः करो-
 [⁷] त्येकरूपम् पायात्सोद्य प्रस-
 [⁸] न्नः स्मरतनुदहनच्छत्रच-
 [⁹] ण्डेश्वरो वः ॥ स्वस्ति श्रीजिष्णुगुप्त-
 [¹⁰] स्य प्रवर्द्धमानविजयराज्ये आ-
 [¹¹] चार्यभगवत्प्रनर्दनप्राणकौ-
 [¹²] शिक्रेन भगवतश्छत्रचण्डेश्वरस्य
 [¹³] कूपामे प्रणालिकायाश्च ख-
 [¹⁴] ण्डस्फुटितसमाधानार्थमुदि-
 [¹⁵] श्य मुण्डशृङ्खलिकपाशुपताचा-
 [¹⁶] र्यपर्वदि वाराहस्वामिधर्म-
 [¹⁷] - - - - - सोमखड्गुकानाञ्च अशी-
 [¹⁸] [ति] - पिण्डक्रमानिकानां भूः प्रतिपादि-³⁶
 [¹⁹] ताः [ता]सां प्रदेशा लिख्यन्ते पिबू-
 [²⁰] ग्रामे मा १० सामानोदूलके मा २०

- [²¹] पागुमके मा ५ पोग्रामे मा २ खू-
 [²²] लप्रेङ्गामे मा ९ भूयो मा १५ वि-
 [²³] - - कथेते अथान्याश्चतुर्विङ्कतिकया
 [²⁴] - - यच्चके - - - अत्र विंशतिमानिका
 [²⁵] - - - - - शेषाः शृङ्खलिकपा-
 [²⁶] - - - - - [वाराहस्वामि]प्रभृतिभि-

Translation.

May Chhattra-Chāṇḍeśvara graciously protect you, he who burned the body of Cupid, who is possessed of true knowledge and so forth, who, stirring the primary germ which contains all the (three) fetters, produced this whole multiform creation from Brahmā to inanimate objects, who uniformly (*everywhere*) creates the forests and the mountains on which all men live.

Hail! During the prosperous and victorious reign of the illustrious Jishnugupta Āchārya, the worshipful Pranardana-prāṇa-ka-uśika³⁷ has given to Vārāhasvāmīn, Dharma and to the Somakhaḍ-ḍukas³⁸ in the congregation of the Muṇḍa-śṛinkhalika³⁹ Pāśupata Āchārya, fields of eighty measures for repairing (*the sanctuary of*) the divine Chhatrachāṇḍeśvara and the spout of the watercourse in Kūgrāma. The sites of the fields are described (*as follows*): In the village of Pikhūten māś, in Samānodūlaka twenty māś, in Pāguma-ka five māś, in the village of Potwo māś, in the village of Khūlapreṅg nine māś, further fifteen māś.

No. 12.—*Inscription of Śivadeva, dated Śrīharsha Samvat 119.*

On a slab of black slate, leaning against the wall of a small modern temple of Viṣṇu, situated in Lagantol Kāṭmāṇḍu. The top of the stone shows a well carved relievo, which represents Nandi reclining on Kailāsa.

Characters as those of preceding inscription. Execution and preservation in general good.

Transcript.

- [¹] उं स्वस्ति श्रीमत्कैलासकूटभवनात् लक्ष्मीलतालम्बनकल्पपादयो
 [²] भगवत्पशुपतिभट्टारकपादानुगृहीतो बप्पपादानुध्यातः परमभट्टार-


³⁵ It was an ancient custom to place an image of Chāṇḍeśvara to the south or south-east of Śaiva temples, and to offer to it the remainder of the materials used for the worship of Śiva. The images of Chāṇḍeśvara resemble those of Śiva and hold the same attributes. In addition they show the same peculiarity as the Greek deity Priapos. Hence the common people call them Kāmadeva. Such images are also found in the mounds at Mathurā.

³⁶ Line 18, read भूः—

³⁷ The meaning of this name seems to be equivalent to the modern Śivaprasāda or Śivalāla, and to mean 'dear as life to the roarer' (Rudra).

³⁸ Khaḍḍuka occurs on other inscriptions as a name of certain priests of Śiva.

³⁹ Muṇḍaśṛinkhalika literally "wearing a chain of skulls" is the name of a sub-division of the Pāśupatas.



- [³] कमहाराजाधिराजश्रीशिवदेवः कुशली । वैद्यग्रामके प्रधानाग्रेसरान्सकल-
 [⁴] निवासिकुटुम्बिनो यथाहङ्कुशलमभिधाय समाज्ञापयति विदितमस्तु भव-
 [⁵] तां यथायङ्गमः शरीरकोट्टमर्यादो[पयुक्त]श्चाटभटनानामप्रवेश्येनाचन्द्रार्का-
 [⁶] वनिकालिको भूमिच्छिद्रन्यायेनाग्रहारतया मातापित्रोरारामनश्च विपुलपु-
 [⁷] ण्योपचयहेतोरस्माभिः स्वकारितश्रीशिवदेवेश्वरं भट्टारकान्निमितीकृत्य⁴⁰
 [⁸] तदेवकुलखण्डस्फुटितसंस्कारकारणाय वशपाशुपताचार्येभ्यः प्रति-
 [⁹] पादितस्तदेवमवगतार्थैर्भवद्भिः समुचितदेयभागभोगकरहिरण्यादि-
 [¹⁰] सर्वप्रत्यायानेषामुपय[च्छ]द्विरेभिरेवानुपात्यमानैरकुतोभयैः स्वक-
 [¹¹] र्मानुविधायिभिरितिकर्तव्यताव्यापारेषु च सर्वेष्वमीषामाज्ञाश्रवणविधे-
 [¹²] यैर्भूत्वा सुखमत्र स्थातव्यं सीमा चास्य पूर्वेण बृहन्मार्गो दक्षिणपूर्वतश्च
 [¹³] शिवी प्रणाली तामेव चानुसृत्य स्वल्पः पन्था दक्षिणतश्च तेङ्गूः पश्चिमे-
 [¹⁴] नापि तेङ्गूः उत्तरतस्यामपि चिशिमण्डातिलमकः उत्तरपूर्वतश्चापि सहस्र-
 [¹⁵] मण्डलभूमिस्ततो यावत्स एव बृहन्मार्ग इत्येवं सीमान्तभूतेस्मिन्नग्र-
 [¹⁶] हारे भोट्टविष्टिहेतोः प्रतिवर्षं भारिकजनाः पञ्च ५ व्यवसायिभिर्घ-
 [¹⁷] हीतव्याः ये खेतामाज्ञाभ्यतिक्रम्यान्वथा कुर्युः कारयेयुर्वा तेस्माभिर्भूशन्न
 [¹⁸] क्षम्यन्ते ये चास्मदूर्ध्वभूभुजो भ[विष्यन्ति तेपि प]रस्वहितापेक्षया पूर्वराज-
 [¹⁹] कृतोयं धर्मसेतुरिति तद[वगल] --- रवा --- संरक्षणी-
 [²⁰] यस्तथा चोक्तं पूर्वदत्तां द्विजातिभ्यो यत्नाद्रक्ष युधिष्ठिर महीं महीम-]
 [²¹] तां श्रेष्ठ दानाच्छ्रेयोनुपालनं ॥ षष्ठि वर्षसहस्राणि स्वर्गं मो[दति भू-]
 [²²] मिदः आक्षेपा चानुमन्ता च तान्येव नरके वसेत् ॥ इति स्वयमा-
 [²³] ज्ञा दूतकश्चात्र राजपुत्रजयदेवः संवत् ११९ फाल्गुन शुक्ल-दिवा दशम्याम्

Translation.

Om. Hail! From the famous palace (called) Kailâsakûta! The supreme lord and great king of kings, illustrious Śivadeva, who resembles a tree of Paradise to which the creeper, Fortune, clings, who has received favour from the feet of the lord, the divine Paśupati, and meditates on the feet of Bappa, being in good health, sends due greeting to the headman and cultivators residing in the village of Vaidyaka, and issues (these) orders:— “Be it known to you that this village, including the land, the sky above and the nether regions below, together with . . . has been given by us in order to gain much spiritual merit for our parents and ourselves, as an Agrahâra to the Vaisâpâśupatiâchârya for the sake of the lord Śrīśivadevêśvara, dedicated by ourselves, in order to repair his temple, the village being not to be entered by our police officers,⁴¹ and

the grant to last as long as the moon, the sun, and the earth endure. You, understanding this, giving to him (the Âchârya) all the income, viz., the proper share (of the produce) and the taxes in gold and so forth, being protected by him (the Âchârya) alone, fearlessly following your occupations, and obeying him in respect to all work that may have to be performed, shall live there in peace. And the boundaries of this (village are as follows): To the east the high-road, and to the south-east the Śivî water-conduit and the little footpath along it, and to the south Tenkhû, to the west also Tenkhû, further to the north the Chiśimandâ watercourse (tilamaka); and further to the north-east the Sahasramandala field, thence as far as the (above-mentioned) high-road. From this Agrahâra, which is enclosed by the abovementioned boundaries, the authorities shall take annually five (5) load-carriers for the Thibet service.

⁴⁰ L. 7, read “देवेश्वरम” ; L. 8, read वंशपा” ; L. 21, read षष्टि.—

⁴¹ I take chûlabhûta to stand for chûlân prati bhata, lit. ‘soldiers against robbers,’ and to be a name of the royal police, see also the Kumârâpâlacharita.

But those, who violating this order act otherwise, or incite others to act otherwise, will certainly not be pardoned. And the kings who may come after us should protect this grant, understanding that it is 'a bridge to heaven' built by a former king for his own and others' welfare. And it has also been declared (*in the Mahābhārata*), 'Oh Yudhishthira, zealously protect the (*grants of*) land given to Brāhmanas by former kings; oh best of kings, protecting is better than giving. The giver of land rejoices in heaven during sixty thousand years; he who interferes (*with a grant of land*), and he who permits it, will dwell in hell for as long a period.' (*This is my*) own order, and the executive officer here is prince J a y a d o v a. On the tenth day of the bright half of Phālguna Sainvat 119."

No. 13.—*Inscription of Śivadēva, dated Śīharsha Sainvat 143.*

This inscription is incised on a stone just outside the southern gate of the enclosure of the temple of Paśupati. I have not seen it myself. A friend in Nepāl, who used to go about with me, and to assist me in my work, sent me the

impression from which the photozincograph has been prepared.


The inscription is very badly mutilated. But it is clear that it contained a grant of a village, which was given to the fraternity of Buddhist monks residing in the Śivadēva-vihāra. The name of the donor in line 3 is not distinct. It seems to me, however, that the faint traces of letters visible point to the reading given in the transcript. In favour of this reading the following additional reasons may be adduced.


Firstly, the letters exactly agree with those of the preceding inscriptions. Secondly, the grant is in favour of a monastery founded by Śivadēva. Thirdly, that the *dūtaka* (l. 36) is called Śivadēva-bhaṭṭāraka, the lord Śivadēva. The epithet *bhaṭṭāraka* is only given to a king or to a high priest. It is not known that a priest ever exercised the office of *dūtaka*, while we have several instances where the king is his own *dūtaka*.

As to the date, the figures for 100 and for three are, though faint, distinctly visible. The middle figure I take to have been 40. But I will not dispute that it may not have been 20 or 30.

Transcript.

- [¹] -- भद्राधि -- -- -- -- -- [प] गुपतिभट्टारकपादानु-
[²] गृहीतो वप्पपा[दनुध्यातः] -- -- -- -- -- परममहेश्वरपरमभट्टा-
[³] रक्रमहारजाधिरा[जश्री शिवदेवः कुशली] -- भतग्रामे प्रधानपुरस्तरा-
[⁴] न्तर्वकुदुम्बिनः कुशल[माभाष्य] -- -- -- -- -- गुप्तवभु -- -- -- धयि-
[⁵] ड्रामो भगवत्पुपतौ सु-रितसु -- -- -- -- -- न सर्वे विना -- -- --
[⁶] मनुरोधार्थ
[⁷] ह्यपरः -- -- -- -- -- वि-
[⁸] छिरहितो -- -- -- -- --
[⁹] भयच -- -- -- -- --
[¹⁰] पञ्चापराधकारिणां -- -- -- -- -- राजकुलानाम् -- -- -- कल्पत्रादि सर्व -- य-
[¹¹] स्यार्यसङ्घस्य -- -- -- -- -- शिवदेवविहारचतुर्द्विगार्यभिभुसङ्घायास्मा-
[¹²] भिरतिसृष्टः सीमा चास्य पूर्वोत्तरेण श्रेष्ठिनुल्लू -- श्रीगुप्तमध्यमाली तस्याः किञ्चित्पू-
[¹³] र्णेण वृहदाल्या दक्षिणमनुसृत्य [वृह] द्रा -- मिपूर्वदक्षिणेन[वे]ष्टयित्वा -- -- -- म-
[¹⁴] मार्गस्तदक्षिणमनुसृत्य सरलवन [ग्राममार्ग] स्त -- -- -- -- -- सृत्य -- -- --
[¹⁵] लिङ्गक्षेत्रपश्चिमकोणादक्षिण[पश्चि]ममनुसृत्य श्रीविदूरिकविहारस्य सन्धौ
[¹⁶] मरिमक्षेत्रपश्चिमाल्या दक्षिणङ्ग[त्वा] -- -- च्छम्भूदक्षिणेश्वराम्बतीर्थक्षेत्राणां सन्धिः
[¹⁷] -- -- -- -- -- दक्षिणकोणात्किञ्चिपश्चिमङ्गत्वा मित्तम्भूमे --
[¹⁸] दक्षिणमनुसृत्य तत्पूर्वदक्षिणाल्या ५ पश्चिमङ्गत्वा किञ्चिदुत्तरञ्च ततः पश्चिम-
[¹⁹] मनुसृत्य च निम्भूदक्षिणपश्चिमकोणादक्षिणङ्गत्वा लेपिङ्गामकगौष्ठिकक्षेत्रम्





- [²⁰] दक्षिणकोणात्किञ्चित्पश्चिमङ्गत्वा ह्युप्रिपाञ्चालिकक्षेत्रम् --- ल्या दक्षिणमनुसृत्य
 [²¹] --- राभूमेरुत्तरपूर्वकोणे ह्युप्रिग्रामी बृहत्पथस्तस्य[श्चिममनु]सृत्य ह्युप्रि ---
 [²²] --- स्त - रोधेनुसृत्य मेकणि - [स्ति]लमकस्तद्वाम --- मधिरुह्य ---
 [²³] --- कसारेणोत्तरपश्चिममनुसृत्य - नी ---
 [²⁴] --- [श्च]रक्षेत्रं पूर्वदक्षिणाण्याः पश्चिमङ्गत्वा लोप्रि --- तक्षेत्रन्ततः
 [²⁵] --- स्तस्योत्तरञ्च बृहदारामस्य पूर्वमुखे महापथः --- ङ्गत्वा बृह -
 [²⁶] --- कोणादधोवतीर्य वनपर्यन्तमुपादाय --- तस्त -
 [²⁷] --- स्तस्रोतोनुसारेण श्वधतीर्य --- गम्ब - र्थ
 [²⁸] --- दाराग्रानुसारेण श्रेष्ठि --- लिन्या
 [²⁹] --- ग्रहारो यदि कदाचिदार्थसङ्गस्य शि --- र्यस ---
 [³⁰] तदा प - मा --- वारणीयमापणकराधिकमा --- एवा-
 [³¹] र्यभि --- त्वेवमवगतार्थैरस्मत्पादोपजीविभिरन्यैर्वीर्यप्रसा[दिन्य]था न
 [³²] --- माज्ञामुलङ्घ्यान्यथा कुर्यात्कारयेद्वा --- स्सुतरान्न मर्षणीयो
 [³³] धे --- भूमिपालास्तैरप्युभयलोकनिरवद्यमुत्वार्यभिः पूर्व-
 [³⁴] राजविहितो विशिष्टः प्रसाद इति प्रयत्नतस्तस्यैवपरिपालनीय एव यतो
 [³⁵] धर्मशास्त्रवचनम्बहुभिर्वसुधा दत्ता राजभिस्तगरादिभिः यस्य यस्य यदा भूमि-
 [³⁶] स्तस्य तस्य तदा फलमिति । स्वयमाज्ञा । दूतकश्चात्र भट्टारकश्रीशिवदेवः ।
 [³⁷] संवत् १ [४] ३ ज्येष्ठ शुक्ल दिवा त्रयोदश्याम् ।

No. 14.—An inscription dated Śrīharsha
Sainvat 145.

Location : a stone placed near a water conduit close to the temple of Manjughosha or Minanātha Lalitapattana. It is very badly mutilated, and has lost a great many lines at the top. It would seem that it refers to the repairs and to the right to use a water-course (*tilamaka*).

The name of the king who issued the edict has been lost. The *tilaka* is the Yuvarāja, or heir apparent, the illustrious Vijaya deva, and the date, the third day of the bright half of Pausa, Sainvat 145. The letters closely resemble those of the preceding inscriptions, and leave no doubt that it most probably belongs to Śivadeva himself.

Transcript.

- [¹] ---
 [²] --- स्वान्तरेप्यमुं जानद्विरस्माकमन्यथा ---
 [³] --- प्यमुपलपनं च कुमार्या प्रसादं वि --- सास ---
 [⁴] --- यूपग्रामे यूचि --- मा प्रतिपादित ---
 [⁵] --- ज्ञात्योरगनस्तस्यान्तरे चागूतग्वनेत्पत्तिका चाघाटा ---
 [⁶] --- पिध --- मपराधं कृत्वा प्रपलायितः कोट्टस्थानम् ---
 [⁷] --- निवेद्य यथापूर्वमनुष्ठातव्यं तिलमकसमीपे च ---
 [⁸] --- त्रौ दिवा चा --- त्कैश्चित्पत्परिपन्थिभिरन्यैर्वा न विरोधनीयस्तद्विरोधक ---
 [⁹] --- [द्विरेवं] गृहीत्वा राजकुलमुपनेतव्याः तिलमक --- कार्यञ्च यदुत्पद्यते ---
 [¹⁰] [ते] नैव विचार्य निर्णेतव्यं तिलमकश्च सप्तधा विभज्य परिभोक्तव्यो गिग्वल्पाञ्चालिकैरे[को भा-]
 [¹¹] [गः] द्यासाञ्जापाञ्चालिकैरेको भागस्तेग्वल्पाञ्चालिकैरेको भागो यूग्वल्पा[ञ्चालिकैस्त्रयो भागा]
 [¹²] --- पाञ्चालिकैस्त्रयो भाग इत्येवमवगतार्थैर्भवद्विरनुमन्तव्यमेतच्छासन --- [म-]
 [¹³] नागपि न लङ्घनीयो ये लेतामस्मदीयामाज्ञामतिक्रम्यान्वया कुर्युः कारयेयु[र्वी].

[¹⁴] [स्मा]भिर्दृढं [न क्ष]म्यन्ते ये चास्मदूर्ध्वमवनिपतयो भवितारस्तैरपि पूर्वराजस्थितिपरिपाल-
 [¹⁵] [ने] व्यवहितमनोभिर्भाव्यं [य]था चाह ये प्राक्तनावनिभुजां जगतीहितानां धर्म्या स्थितिं
 स्थितिकृताम्]

[¹⁶] नृपालयेयुर्लक्ष्म्या समेय सुचिरन्निजभार्ययैवा प्रेयापि वासवसमा दिवि ते वसेयुरिति[शुभमस्तु]

[¹⁷] दूतको युवराजश्रीविजयदेवः । संवत् १४५ पौष शुक्ल दिवा तृतीयायाम् ।

No. 15.—*Inscription of Jayadēva, dated Śrīharsha
 Saka 153.*

Location : a slab of black slate 4' 4" by 3' 4" placed behind the bull or Nandi, opposite to the western door of the temple of Paśupati. The

stone is ornamented with a lotus and buds.

The character is a modified form of the Gupta alphabet.

Execution : good. Preservation : in general excellent.

Transcript.

- [¹] व्यक्षस्वय्यव्ययात्मा त्रिसमयसदृशस्त्रिप्रतीतस्त्रिलोकीत्राता त्रेतादिहेतुस्त्रिगुणमयतया व्यादिभिर्व्व-
 णितोलं । त्रिस्रोतोधौतमूर्द्धा त्रिपुरजिदाजितो निर्व्विबन्धत्रिवर्गो य[स्यो]नुक्त्रिगुल-
 [²] त्रिदशपतिनुतः — तापनोभूत् ॥ [१] राजद्रावणमूर्द्धपङ्क्तिशिखरव्यासक्तचूडामणिश्रेणीसङ्गति-
 निश्चलात्मकृतया लङ्कापुनानाः पुरीं । — द्व[न्य]पराक्रमा — — —
 [³] — — — सङ्गताः श्रीबाणासुरशेखराः पशुपतेः पादानवः पान्तु वः ॥ [२] सूर्याङ्गप्रपौत्रान्मनुरथ
 भगवाञ्जन्म लेभे ततोभूदिक्षाकुश्वक्रव[र्ती] नृपतिरपि ततः श्रीविकुक्षि[र्बभूव] ।
 [⁴] जात — — — विदितो भूमिपः सार्वभौमो भूतोस्माद्विश्वगन्धः प्रबलनिजबलव्यासविश्वान्त-
 रालः । [३] राजाष्टोत्तरविहङ्गतिक्षितिभुजस्तस्माद्यतीत्य क्रमात्सम्भूतः सगरः पतिः — — —
 [⁵] — — — [साग]रायाः क्षितेः । जातोस्मादसमञ्जसो नरपतिस्तस्मादभूदङ्गुमान्स श्रीमन्तमजी-
 जनन्नरवरो भूपं दिलीपाह्वयं [४] भेजे जन्म ततो भगीरथ इति ख्यातो नृपौत्रान्तरे भूपाला — — —
 [⁶] — — — [जातौ] रघोरप्यजः श्रीमनुङ्गरथस्ततो दशरथः पुत्रैश्च पौत्रैस्तमं राज्ञोष्टावपरावि-
 हाय परतः श्रीमान्भूलिच्छविः ॥ [५] अस्येव क्षितिमण्डनैकतिलको लोकप्रतीतो महाना —
 [⁷] — — — प्रभावमहताम्मान्यः सुराणामपि । स्वच्छं लिच्छविनाम विध्रदपरो वंशः प्रवृत्तोदयः श्रीमच्च-
 द्रकलाकलापधवलो गङ्गाप्रवाहोपमः ॥ [६] तस्मालिच्छवितः परेण नृपतीन्हित्वा प-
 [⁸] — — — रं श्रीमान्पुष्पपुरे कृतिः क्षितिपतिर्जातः सुपुष्पस्ततः । साकं भूपतिभिस्त्रिभिः क्षितिभृतां त्य-
 क्तान्तरे विंशतिं ख्यातः श्रीजयदेवनामनृपतिः प्रादुर्बभूवापरः ॥ [७] एकादशक्षिति-
 [⁹] — — — [त्य]क्तान्तरे विजयिनो जयदेवनाम्नः ॥ श्रीमान्बभूव वृषदेव इति प्रतीतो राजो-
 त्तमः सुगतशासनपक्षपाती ॥ [८] अभूत्ततः शङ्करदेवनामा श्रीधर्मदेवोष्पुदपादि तस्मात् ।
 [¹⁰] श्रीमानदेवो नृपतिस्ततोभूत्ततो महीदेव इति प्रसिद्धः ॥ [९] वसन्त इव लोकस्य कान्तः शान्तारि-
 विग्रहः । आसीद्वसन्तदेवोस्माद्वान्तसामन्तवन्दितः ॥ [१०] अस्यान्तरेप्युदयदेव इति क्षितीशाज्जा-
 तास्त्रयो-
 [¹¹] दश [तत]श्च नरेन्द्रदेवः । मानोभूतो नतसमस्तनरेन्द्रमौलिमालारजोनिकरपाङ्गुलपादपीठः ॥ [११]
 दाता सङ्गविणस्य भूरिविभवो जेता द्विषत्संहतेः कर्ता बान्धवतोषणस्य
 [¹²] यमवपाता प्रजानामलं हर्ता संश्रितसाधुवर्गविपदं सत्यस्य वक्ता ततो जातः श्रीशिवदेव इत्यभिमतो
 लोकस्य भर्ता भुवः ॥ [१२] देवी बाहुबलाढ्यमौखरिकुलश्रीवर्मचू-
 [¹³] डामणिख्यातिहेपितैरिभूपतिगणश्रीभोगवर्मोद्भवा ॥ दौहित्री मगधाधिपस्य महतः श्यादित्यसेनस्य
 या व्यूढा श्रीरिव तेन सा क्षितिभुजा श्रीवत्सदेव्यादरात् ॥ [१३]

- [14] तस्माद्भूमिभुजोप्यजायत जितारतेरजय्यः परै राजश्रीजयदेव इत्यवगतः श्रीवत्सदेव्यात्मजः ॥ यागी मानधनो विशालनयनः सौजन्यरत्नाकरो विद्वा[न्सक्त]चिराश्रयो
- [15] गुणवतां पीनोऽस्वक्षस्थलः ॥ [१४] माद्यदन्तिसमूहदन्तमुसलक्षुण्णारिभूच्छिरोगौडोद्गादिकलिङ्ग- कोसलपतिश्रीहर्षदेवात्मजा ॥ देवी राज्यमती कुलोचितगुणैर्युक्ता प्रभूता
- [16] कुलैर्येनोढा भगदत्तराजकुलजा लक्ष्मीरिव क्षमाभुजा ॥ [१५] अङ्गश्रिया परिगतो जितकामरूपः काञ्चीगुणाढ्यवनिताभिरूपास्यमानः कुर्वन्सुराष्ट्रपरिपालनकार्यचिन्तां यः सार्व-
- [17] भौमचरितं प्रकटीकरोति ॥ [१६] राज्यं प्राज्यसुखोर्जितद्विजजनप्रत्यर्पिताज्याहुतिज्योतिर्जात- शिखाविजृम्भणजितशेषप्रजापदुजं । विभ्रत्कण्टकवर्जितं निजभुजावष्टम्भविस्फूर्जितं
- [18] शूरत्वात्परचक्रकाम इति यो नाम्नापरेणान्वितः ॥ [१७] स श्रीमाञ्जयदेवाख्यो विशुद्धबृहदन्वयः ल- ष्धप्रतापः सम्प्राप्तबहुपुण्यसमुच्चयः ॥ [१८] मूर्त्तिरिष्टाभिरष्टौ महयितुमनुलैः
- [19] स्वैर्दलैरष्टमूर्त्तेः पातालादुत्थितं किं कमलमभिनवं पद्मनाभस्य नाभेः । देवस्यास्यासनायोपगतमिह चतुर्वक्त्रसादृश्यमोहाद्विस्तीर्णं विष्टरं किं प्रविकसितसिताम्भोजमम्भोज-
- [20] योनेः ॥ [१९] कीर्णा किम्भूतिरेषा सपादि पशुपतेर्नृत्यतोत्र प्रकामं मौलीन्दोः किम्पयूखाः शरद- मभिनवां प्राप्य शोभामुपेताः । भक्त्या कैलासशैलाद्विमनिचयरुचः सानवः किं
- [21] समेता दुग्धाब्धेरगतः किं गलगरसहजप्रीतिपीयूषराशिः ॥ [२०] राज्ञः ॥ देवं वन्दितुमुद्यतो द्युतिमतो विद्योतमानद्युतिः किं ज्योत्स्नाधवला फणावलिरियं शेषस्य सन्दृश्यते ।
- [22] अन्तर्दूरसातलाश्रितगतेर्देवप्रभावश्रिया [ः] किं क्षीरस्नपनं विधातुमुदिताः क्षीराण्णवस्यो- र्मयः ॥ [२१] विष्णोः पातालमूले फणिपतिशयनाक्रान्तिलीलासुखस्थादाज्ञां प्राप्योत्प-
- [23] तन्यास्त्रिपुरविजयिनो भक्तितोभ्यर्चनाय । लक्ष्म्याः संलक्ष्यते प्राकरतलकलितोत्फुललीलासरोजं किं वेतीयं वितर्कास्पदमतिरुचिरं मुग्धसिद्धाङ्गनानाम् ॥ [२२] नाली नालीकमेतन्न खलु समु- दितं राजतो
- [24] राजतोहं पद्मा पद्मासनाव्जे कथमनुहरतो मानवा मानवाभे पृथ्व्यां पृथ्व्यान् मादृग्भवति हतजगन्मा- नसे मानसे वा भास्वान्भास्वान्विशेषं जनयति न हि मे वासरो वा सरो वृ ॥ [२३] इतीव
- [25] चामीकरकेसराली सिन्दूररक्तद्युतिदन्तपङ्कजा । राजीवराजीम्प्रति जीवलोके सौन्दर्यदर्पणादिव स- प्रहासं ॥ [२४] एषा भाति कुलाचलैः परिवृता प्रालेयसंसर्गिर्गर्भिवेदी मेरुशिलेव काञ्चनमयी देवस्य
- [26] विश्रामभूः । शुभैः प्रान्तविकासिपङ्कजदलैरित्याकलय्य स्वयं रौप्यं पद्ममचीकरत्पशुपतेः पूजार्थमत्यु- ज्वलम्¹² ॥ [२५] राज्ञः ॥ यं स्तौति प्रकटप्रभावमहिमा ब्रह्मा चतुर्भिर्मुखैः यञ्च श्ला-
- [27] घयति प्रणम्य चरणे षड्भिर्मुखैः षण्मुखः । यन्तुष्टाव दज्ञाननोपि दशभिर्वक्त्रैः स्फुरत्कन्धरः सेवां यस्य करोति वासुकिरलं जिह्वासहस्रैः स्तुवन् ॥ [२६] ख्यात्या यः परमेश्वरोपि वहते वासो
- [28] दिशाम्मण्डलं व्यापी सूक्ष्मतरश्च शङ्कुरतया ख्यातोपि संहारकः । एकोप्यष्टतनुः सुरासुरगुरुर्वी- तत्रपो नृत्यति स्थाणुः पूज्यतमो विराजति गुणैरेवं विरुद्धैरपि [२७] राज्ञः ॥ तस्येदं प्रमथा-
- [29] धिपस्य विपुलं ब्रह्माब्जतुल्यं शुभं राजद्राजतपङ्कजं प्रविततं प्रान्तप्रकीर्णैर्दलैः । पूजार्थं प्रविधाय्य तत्पशुपतेर्यत्प्रापि पुण्यमया भक्त्या तत्प्रतिपाद्य मातरि पुनः संप्राप्नुयान्निर्वृतिम् ॥ [२८] राज्ञः ॥
- [30] किं शम्भोरुपरि स्थितं ससलिलं मन्दाकिनीपङ्कजं स्वर्गोद्धिन्ननवांजुजेषणधिया सम्प्राप्तमम्भोरुहम् । देवानां किमियं शुभा सुकृतिनां रम्या विमानावली पद्मं किं करुणाकरस्य करतो

- [³¹] लोकेश्वरस्यागतम् ॥ [२९] राज्ञः ॥ खेतःस्वर्गापगायाः किमिदमवतरल्लोलकलोलरम्यं किं ब्रह्मोत्पत्ति-
पयं तलकमलवरप्रेक्षणायोपयातं । सम्प्राप्तं चन्द्रमैलेरमलनिजशिरश्चन्द्रबिम्बं किमत्रेलेवं
- [³²] यद्वीक्ष्य शङ्कां वहति भुवि जनो विस्मयोत्फुल्लनेत्रः ॥ [३०] श्रीवत्सदेव्या नृपतेर्जनन्या समं समन्तात्प-
रिवारपयैः रौप्यं हरस्योपरि पुण्डरीकं तदादरैः कारितमत्युदारम् [३१] पुण्यं पुत्रेण दत्तं शशिकर-
विमलं
- [³³] कारयित्वाब्जमुख्यं प्राप्तं शुभ्रं शुभञ्च स्वयमपि रजतैः पद्मपूजां विधाय । सर्वं श्रीवत्सदेवी निज-
कुलधवलाञ्चितवृत्तिन्दधाना प्रादात्कल्याणहेतोश्चिरमवनिभुजे स्वामिने स्वर्गताय [३२]
कुर्यान्कु-
- [³⁴] लजः पुमान्निजगुणश्लाघामनिर्हीच्छया राज्ञा सत्कविनापि नो विरचितं काव्यं स्ववंशाश्रयं । श्लो-
कान्यञ्च विहाय साधुरचितान्प्राज्ञेन राज्ञा स्वयं स्नेहाद्भुजि बुद्धकीर्तिरकरोत्पूर्वामपूर्वामिमाम् ॥
[३३] योगक्षेमविधानबन्धुरभु-
- [³⁵] जस्तं वद्व्यन्वान्धवान् स्निह्यन् पुत्रकलत्रभृत्यसहितो लब्धप्रतापो नृपः दीर्घायुर्नितराभिरामयवपुष्नि-
त्यप्रमोदन्वितः पृथ्वीपालयतु प्रकामविभवस्कीतानुरक्तप्रजाम् ॥ [३४] संवत् १५३ कार्तिक
शुक्ल नवम्याम् ॥

Translation.

1. He is the three-eyed one, the three *Vēdas* are his imperishable essence, he remains the same in the three (*divisions of*) time, he is felt in the three conditions (*of waking, sleep, and dream*), he is the protector of the three worlds, he is the primary cause of the triad (*of sacred fires*); he is fully praised by the three (*deities Brahman, Vishnu and Rudra*) and others, because he contains the three fetters (*goodness, passion and vice*); his head is laved by the tripartite stream, (*Gaṅgā*), himself unconquered he conquered (*the demon*) Tripura, through him the three objects (*of human life—merit, wealth and pleasure*) are accessible. He wields the mighty trident, he who is worshipped by the lord of the thrice ten gods (*Rudra*) became the destroyer of

2. May the particles (*of dust*) from Paśu-pati's feet protect you, which sanctify Laṅkā's town, because they firmly cling to the multitude of glittering crest-jewels fastened to the top of Rāvaṇa's row of heads⁴³ and which form a garland on famed Bāṇā-sura's head.

3. Now from Sūrya, the great grandson of Brahman, was born divine Manu, from him sprang Ikshvāku, from him king Vikukshi. A king who ruled over the whole earth was born from him; his son

was Vishvagaśva, who with his mighty host overran the universe.

4. Twenty-eight (*other*) kings passed by, then Sagarā, the lord of the earth was born. His son was king Asamanjasa; from him descended Amśumat. That best of princes begot an illustrious king, called Dilīpa.

5. From him Bhagiratha, a famous lord of men, drew his origin. Then kings (*ruled*). From Raghū, Aja was born, from him Daśaratha, who rode on a lofty chariot. After eight other kings together with their sons and grandsons had passed, illustrious Lichchhavi was born.

6. A new great race, famous in the world, the chief ornament of the earth, increasing in prosperity, brilliant like the beautiful full moon, and similar to Gaṅgā's flood, which is to be honoured even by the gods that are great in majesty, and which bears the pure name Lichchhavi exists even now.

7. kings following after that Lichchhavi are passed over; then an illustrious holy prince, called Supushpa was born in Pushpapura⁴⁴. No account is taken of twenty-three kings succeeding him; then another famous king called illustrious Jayadeva arose.

8. After the victorious Jayadeva eleven

⁴³ This line refers to the Paurāṇic story, according to which Rāvaṇa shook Kailāsa, taking it into his hand, and

afterwards received a boon from Śiva.

⁴⁴ i. e. Pāṭaliputra or Patna.

kings are passed over. Then came a famous king, a follower of Sugata's doctrine, known as the illustrious Vṛishadêva.

9. From him was born Śankaradêva, from him also Dharmadêva sprang. Then his son, the illustrious Mānadêva, became king, after him he who is known as Mahidêva.

10. From him descended Vasantadêva, dear to the people like spring (*vasanta*), who finished the wars with his enemies, and was praised by his subdued feudal chiefs.

11. Afterwards came thirteen (*rulers*), sprung from king Udayadêva, and then Narēndradêva, who was proud, and whose footstool was covered with the dust from the row of diadems worn by numerous prostrated kings.

12. Then illustrious Śivadêva, honoured by men, became the husband of the earth, he who gave wealth in charity, possessed great riches, conquered his numerous enemies, gladdened his relatives, like Yama protected his subjects, greatly relieved the sufferings of pious men depending on him, and spoke truth.

13. That prince respectfully took illustrious Vatsadêvī to be his queen, as if she were Fortune, her the daughter of illustrious Bhogavarman, who was the crest-jewel of the illustrious Varmanas of the valorous Maikhari race, and who by his glory put to shame (*all*) hostile kings, and the grand-daughter of great Ādityasena, the illustrious lord of Magadha.

14. The son of that prince, the subdner of his foes, and of illustrious Vatsadêvī is known as illustrious king Jayadêva, unvanquished by foes. Liberal he is and keeps honour as his only riches, far sees his eye. Ho is an ocean of politeness, he loves and long protects virtuous men. His chest is strong and broad.

15. That king wedded, as if she were Fortune, queen Rajyāmātī, possessed of virtues befitting her race, the noble descendant of Bhagadatta's royal line and daughter of Śriharshadêva, lord of Gauḍa, Oḍra, Kalinga, Kosala and other lands, who crushed the heads of hostile kings with the club-like tusks of his rutting elephants.

16. He, clothed in beauty, surpassing Cupid, worshipped by females adorned with beautiful girdles, and giving his mind to the duty of protecting his beautiful kingdom, lives the life of a universal emperor.

17. He holds a kingdom where all the subjects' misfortunes are conquered by the spreading flames rising from the offerings made by Brāhmaṇas who have received great happiness (*from him*), which is free from internal enemies, and which has been extended in consequence of the support of his arm, and by reason of his heroism he has received a second name Parachakrakāma (*greedy of the kingdoms of his enemies*).

18. That prince named Śrī-Jayadêva is descended from a pure and great race, has obtained greatness and acquired a large store of spiritual merit.

19. "Has a new lotus risen from the nether regions in order to worship with its eight petals the eight bodies of eight-formed (*Śiva*)? Or has the broad lotus-seat of lotus-born Brahman come from the navel of Viṣṇu to be the throne of this deity (*Paśupati*), because it mistook him for four-faced (*Brahman*)?"⁴⁵

20. "Have the ashes (*covering*) Paśupati's (*body*) been scattered, while he violently danced according to his heart's desire? Or has autumn returned imparting brilliancy to the rays of the moon on Śiva's crest? Or have the table-lands glittering with masses of snow, leaving Kailāsa's mountain, collected here out of devotion (*to Śiva*)? Or has a flood of Amṛita lovingly come from⁴⁶ the milk ocean out of affection for its kindred, the poison on Śiva's throat?"

(*The above verse is* the king's (*own composition*).

21. "Does the resplendent row of heads, brilliant like moon-light, belonging to shining Śeṣha, who dwells in the furthest recesses of the nether world, and has risen to worship divine (*Śiva*), appear here? Or do I see the waves of the milk-ocean that have come up to bathe in milk the majestic beauty of the Lord?"

22. "Or is it the full blown toy-lotus formerly held by the hand of Lakṣmī, who, with the permission of Viṣṇu, enjoying his ease in Pātāla on the couch formed by the king of serpents, is hastening up devoutly to worship the conqueror of Tripura?" Thus (*uttering various*

⁴⁵ This and the following verses contain a description of the gilt lotus dedicated by Jayadeva in Paśupati's temple. The Linga of Paśupati has, as stated above, four faces, and might, for that reason, be mistaken for Brahman.

⁴⁶ The poet wishes to describe the brilliancy of the lotus dedicated by Jayadeva, and compares it with various substances, possessing or supposed to possess extraordinary 'whiteness' as the Hindu poets say.

questions) the young wives of the Siddhas (made the lotus) a pleasant object of their guesses.

23. "Forsooth this is not a lotus composed of (common) fibres; I am made of silver by the king. How, oh men, can the two lotuses of Śrī and of Brahman, which do not possess a fresh brilliancy, rival me? On the broad earth not one (flower) like to me is found, neither in the delighted hearts of men,⁴⁷ nor in (lake) Mānasa; neither the brilliant sun, nor the day nor the lake produces any difference in me."

24. Thus the lotus spake as if it were proud of its beauty, showing, in derision, its golden stamina, comparable to a row of teeth dyed brilliant red with minium, to all lotuses in this world.

25. "Thinking that this throne on which the deity rests, golden like Mount Meru, was surrounded by the imperishable (seven) primeval mountains covered by snow (the king) himself caused an exceedingly resplendent silver lotus with brilliant, wide-opened petals to be made for the worship of Paśupati."⁴⁸

(The above verse is) the king's (own composition.)

26—27. "That most worshipful Sthānu, whom Brahman, possessed of manifest glorious majesty, lauds with his four mouths, whom six-faced (Kumāra) bowing at his feet, praises with his six mouths, whom ten-headed (Rācāṇa) even glorified by hymns from his ten mouths, whom Vāsuki with glittering necks worships devoutly, singing his praise with a thousand tongues, shines even through qualities that are opposed to each other. For though, according to report, a supreme lord, he wears the sky as his garment, he pervades (the universe), and (still is) exceedingly small; though praised as the giver of welfare, he is the destroyer (of the world); though he is one, he possesses eight bodies; and though he is revered by gods and demons, he dances shamelessly."

(The last of these two verses is) the king's (own composition.)

28. "May I obtain salvation, as I have caused to be made in honour of that Lord of the

Pramathas, this great, beautiful, brilliant silver lotus, which resembles the lotus forming Brahman's seat, and wide extends its expanding petals, and as out of devotion I have given to my mother that merit, which I obtained (thereby) from Paśupati."

(The above is) the king's own (composition.)

29. "Is this a lotus from Gaṅgā's stream, which was growing in the water on Śambhu's head? Or (is it) a lotus that has come desiring to see the water-roses, newly opened in heaven? Or is it a beautiful, lovely row of cars of the blessed gods? Or is it the lotus descended from the hand of compassionate Lōkeśvara (i.e. Acalāhiteśvara)?"

(The above verse is) the king's (own composition.)

30. "Is this the descending stream of heavenly Gaṅgā, beautiful on account of its restless waves? Or is it the lotus from which Brahman sprang, come to see the best of earthly lotuses? Or has the pure moon placed on Śiva's forehead approached this spot?" Such doubts arose in the minds of the people, when they gazed on it with wondering wide-opened eyes.

31. This very precious silver lotus, placed over Hara's (Līṅga),⁴⁹ together with the lotuses, which on all sides surround it to do it honour, has been dedicated by illustrious Vatsadêvî, the mother of the king.

32. The merit (which her son gained) by dedicating the chief lotus, that is resplendent like the rays of the moon and presented to her,⁵⁰ as well as the merit which she herself obtained by worshipping the lotus with (gifts of) silver, illustrious Vatsadêvî, who is pure in thought as becomes her race, has presented to her husband, the deceased king, for his welfare.

33. What man of noble race would shamelessly praise his own virtues? Though the king is a true poet, he has not composed the verses in honour of his own race. With the exception of five verses, which the clever prince himself composed right well, Buddhakīrti, out of affection for the king, wrote the above original (eulogy).

⁴⁷ Hindus always speak of the lotus of the soul, which has five petals of various colours, symbolical of the passions.

⁴⁸ The poet tries to prove that the lotus resembled the shrine of Paśupati. As the latter is of gold, so the centre also of the lotus is golden, and as the temple is surrounded by snowy mountains, so the petals of the lotus are made of silver.

⁴⁹ At present, too, a large silver lotus in a square frame is suspended by a chain from the ceiling just above the Līṅga of Paśupati. In shape it exactly resembles the picture at the head of the inscription. It is possible that it dates from Jayadeva's time, and is identical with the one described in this inscription.

⁵⁰ See above v. 28.

34. May the king who is able to ensure security and welfare, who takes care of his relatives, who is surrounded by loving sons, wives and servants, and who has obtained greatness, long protect, in good health and joyfully the country where subjects are rich according to their desire, and loyal.

On the ninth day of the bright half of Kârttika, Sainvat 153.

No. 16.—An inscription of Jyotinalla, dated Nepála Sainvat 533.

A slab of sandstone to the left of the western door of Paśupati's temple, inside the court.

Characters Nivâri. Ornaments on the slab a trident between two Nandis. Preservation good, but lower portion damaged. Language very incorrect Sanskrit, and towards the end Nivâri. Nivâri portion not copied.

Transcript.

श्रीश्रीनेपालखण्डे सकलमलहरे व्यापिनं पुण्यभूमौ शंभुं श्रीवत्सलेशं परमपशुपतिं पञ्चवक्त्रस्वरूपं ।
श्रीवाग्मत्यास्तटाले वरुणदिशि वरे वासुकीनागपूज्यं [तं चाहं] नौमि नित्यं मुनिजनसकलैर्वदितं
पादयुग्मं ॥ [१] ॥

श्रीसूर्यवंशप्रभवः प्रतापः श्रीपट्टवन्तः स्थितिमल्लदेवः ।

राजलदेव्याः पतिरिन्दुमूर्तिस्तस्यात्मजः श्रीजयधर्ममलः ॥ [२]

विद्वज्जनाम्भोजविकाशभानुर्विपक्षराजोन्नतचित्तहारी ।

श्रीवीरनारायणमूर्तिरेष श्रीधर्ममल्लो युवराजसिंहः ॥ [३]

तस्यानुजो गुणनिधिः सुकृतैकसिन्धुश्चिन्तामणिः क्षितिरुहोपमदर्शनानाम् ।

भूदेवदेवपरिपूजनसाभिलाषो भ्राता तु मध्यजवरो जयजोतिमलः ॥ [४]

तस्यानुजो मदनरूपसमानदेहः सत्सुन्दरीहृदयपङ्कजभानुमूर्तिः ।

सन्मानदानगुणलक्षणभूषिताङ्गो भ्राता कनिष्ठरुचिरो जयकीर्तिमलः ॥ [५]

उद्दण्डक्षितिपालमण्डनमणिः सन्नीतिरत्नाकरो धर्माधर्मविवेकचारुचतुरः श्रीशंभुभक्तः सदा ।

पुण्यानामभिलाषचित्तसततं वाञ्छाप्रदो धार्मिको देवश्रीजयजोतिमलनृपतिः संसारदेवीपतिः ॥ [६]

स्वस्तिश्रीश्रीपशुपतिचरणकमलधूलिधूसारिताशिरोरुहश्रीमन्मानेश्वरीवरलब्धप्रसादितप्रणमदवनिपति-
मुकुटक्रोष्टिपत्राङ्कुररुचिरचरणपल्लवचानक्यप्रभृतिविद्यावदातसमस्तराजनीतिरत्नाकरनिखिलगान्धर्वविद्यागु-
रूपरममाहेश्वररघुकुलकमलवनप्रकाशनैकभास्करदेवद्विजगुरुचरणाराधनैकस्वभावपुण्डरीकनाराधनैकचित्त-
सकलार्थिजनकल्पतरुसर्वगुणैकनिधानदैत्यनारायणावतारश्रीपद्माचलशिखरोपरिधर्म्मालयस्थानाश्रितश्रीधर्म-
धातुवागीश्वरमूर्तिस्वयंभुचैयभ्रमस्थापनमहाकीर्तिभारनतविविधविरुदावलीसमलङ्कृतश्रीश्रीरघुवंशावतंसमहा-
राजाधिराजपरमेश्वरपरमभट्टारकश्रीमत्श्रीश्रीजयजोतिमलदेवेन लक्षाहुतिमहायज्ञपूजाभिर्गणगुरुमातृगणदे-
वताः समाराधयित्वा श्रीदेवपट्टनमहास्थाने श्रीश्रीपशुपतिभट्टारकस्य प्रासादोपरि सुवर्णकलशावरोपणप्रतिष्ठा
कृता ॥ तस्य राज्ञः ॥

जामातः जयभैरवेति नृपतिर्भूपालचूडामणिर्नानाशास्त्रविचारणैकनिपुणः सद्धारतीभूषितः ।

दाता धैर्यगुणेन भूषिततनुः सत्येन भीष्मोपमो लोके प्रीतिकरः परार्थरसिकः श्रीजीवरक्षापतिः ॥

श्रीजोतिमलहृदयनन्दनयक्षमलः सर्वाङ्गसुन्दरवपूरातिमञ्जुवाणिः ।

भक्तापुरीनगरवासितसौख्यकारी दुर्भिक्षदुःखभयहारणदेवमूर्तिः ॥

जयलक्ष्म्याः सुतः श्रीमान् सुनयः पुण्यवत्सलः ।

जयंतराजोति विख्यातो जयलक्ष्मीपतिः सुधीः ॥

अनेन पुण्येन च तस्य भूयात्सहस्रवर्षायुरहार्यकीर्तिः ।

नरेश्वरः श्रीजयजोतिमलः सत्पुत्रपौत्रैः सहभृत्यवर्गैः ॥

संवन्नेपालकाख्ये त्रिभुवनदहने कामनाणे प्रयाते

माघे शुक्ले च कामे तिथि—विदिते प्रीतियोगे च पुण्ये

वारे पूषाभिधाने मकररविगते युग्मराशौ शशाङ्के

शम्भोः प्रासादगृहे कनकमयध्वजं तत्र संरोहणं स्यात्

संवत् ५३३ माघ शुक्लत्रयोदशी पुनर्वसुनक्षत्रे प्रीतियोगे आदित्यवारे

Abstract.

I. Invocation addressed to Paśupati.

II. *Vaiśādevī, Sāryavaiśa* :—

Sthitimallā married to RĀJALLADEVĪ

YAYADHARMAMALLA,	JOTIMALLA	KIRTIMALLA
Yuvarāja	md. Samsārādēvī	

Yakshamallā		daughter
Protector of	Jayantārāja	Jivarakshā
Bhaktapurī		married to
(Bhātgam)		Bhairava

III. Description of Jyotimallā.

The ornament of the race of Raghu, supreme king of great kings, great lord and sovereign, the illustrious, famous Jyotimallā, who is adorned by the various honorific titles (*virulādevī*), viz., 'he whose head is covered by the dust of glorious Paśupati's lotus feet', 'he who has obtained favour through a boon granted by glorious Mānāsvarī',⁵¹ 'he whose tender feet are made resplendent by the crests of the diadems of bowing princes', 'he who is an ocean of all kingcraft (*tough*) by Chāpakya and other learned men', 'he who is master of the whole science of music', 'he who is the ardent devotee of Śiva', 'he who is the only sun able to unclose (*the flowers*) of the lotus-thicket of the race of Raghu', 'he who is

intent on worshipping the feet of Brāhmaṇs', gods, and of his Gurus', 'he who is exclusively engaged in studying the six kinds of philosophy', 'he who is a tree of Paradise for needy men', 'he who is the only vessel of all virtues', 'he who is an incarnation of Nārāyaṇa for (the destruction of) the Demons', 'he who is bending under the load of fame gained by the restoration of the Tope of Svayambhū and of the image of glorious Dharmadhātuvāgīśvara'⁵² (Manjuśrī) placed in the sanctuary on the top of famous Padmāchala'.⁵³

IV. *Object of grant*: to record the dedication of a golden *Kalāṣa* on the temple of Paśupati at Devapattana, on which occasion a *Kot-yādhuti* was offered to Gaṇeśa and to the Mothers (*Mātrīyaṇa*).

V. *Date*: Nepāla Samvat 533 (*tribhuvana dahana, kāmabāpa*) on the 13th lunar day (*Kāmatithi*) of the bright half of Māgha, on a Sunday, under the constellation Punarvasu, while the sun stood in Makara (Capricorn) and the moon in Gemini, during the conjunction called Prīti.

No. 17.—An inscription of Siddhinriśintha of Lalitapattana, dated Nepāla Samvat 757.

A slab in the wall of a temple of Rādhā and Kṛishṇa, standing opposite the palace in Lalitapattana. Characters Nepalese.

Language Sanskrit, and in the last portion Nivāri. Preservation good.

Transcript.

ॐ नमो गोपालाय ॥

ब्रह्मत्वे सृजते विश्वं स्थितौ पालयते हरे । रुद्ररूपाय कल्पान्ते नमस्तुभ्यं त्रिमूर्तये ॥ १ ।

प्रावीण्यप्रायितः प्रतापमथितप्रत्यर्धिपृथ्वीपतिप्रोदामप्रमदौघलोचनपयःप्रारब्धवारांनिधिः ।

जातः श्रीहरिसिंहदेवनृपतिर्दाताऽवदातान्वये सम्प्राप्तः पृथुना नृपेण समतां यो वृत्तिदाता सताम् ॥ २ ।

यस्यान्वायजलधावुदियाय राजचन्द्रो महेंद्र इव तत्र महेन्द्रमलः ।

येनार्थिकल्पतरूणा गुणसागरेण राजन्वती वसुमती महती भूध्रुव ॥ ३ ॥

यत्प्रौढप्रभवप्रतापपतिताः प्राकम्पिताः शत्रवो भेजुः शैलदरां विहाय नगरीं त्यक्त्वा पुरे सुन्दरीम्

⁵¹ i. e. Tulajādevī, who seems to have been Jyotimallā's Kuladevī.

⁵² A sanctuary of Manjuśrī is found behind the Tope of Svayambhū, on a small separate head of the hill.

⁵³ Padmāchala is a hill to the south-west of Kātmāndu, on which the Tope of Svayambhū is situated, see Wright, *Nepal*, p. 23.

यस्याचारविचारपौरुषरवव्यग्रास्तमग्रा गिरस्तस्य क्षोणिपतेः प्रसिद्धमहसः केनोपमेयं यशः ॥ ४ ॥

अस्यात्मजोजनि महीतलकल्पवृक्षो राजा विराजितयशः शिवसिंहदेवः ।

भूमीभुजा समरसीम्नि महाभुजेन क्षेमं क्षणेन रिपवो बहवो विनष्टाः ॥ ५ ॥

येन क्षोणिभुजा प्रयाणसमये पादातैस्त्योच्छलदूर्लीजालसमुत्थितेन तमसा व्योमान्धकारीकृतम् ।

कूर्मो मर्मणि चूर्णितोपि नितरां धत्ते कथंचिद्धरां शेषः शेषदशाञ्जगाम सहसा सर्वसहा निःसहा ॥ ६ ॥

तनयोस्य विनयपूर्णो बभूव कर्णोपमो भूमौ । हरिहरसिंहनरेन्द्रो वसुधाचन्द्रो बभूव[सौ] ॥ ७ ॥

अरीणान्निहन्ता यशःपारगन्ता सुशीलः समन्ताज्जयन्ताधिकश्रीः ।

स्वतानुनुरूपोति तेजःसुरूपो बभूवानीमण्डले चण्डरोचिः ॥ ८ ॥

इन्द्राणीव सुरेश्वरस्य दयिता पद्मेव पद्मापतेः वैदेहीव रघूत्तमस्य गृहिणी गौरीव गौरीपतेः ।

तस्य क्षोणिपतेर्बभूव महिषी भव्या भवानीसमा राज्ञी लालमती सती गुणवती प्रायो रतिर्भारती ॥ ९ ॥

पौरन्दरी दिगिव नूतनभानुबिम्बं सौन्दर्यकाननगजाननमम्बिकेव ।

पुत्रं पवित्रमथ सिद्धिर्नृसिंहमलं सा राजपुत्रतनया जनयाम्बभूव ॥ १० ॥

येनाकारि विपक्षपक्षमलदृशां दृग्वारिभिर्वारिधिर्येनाधारि जगत्त्रयोपरि शरच्चन्द्रावदातं यशः ।

बाल्योपक्रम एव विक्रमवत्स्नस्याधुना पौरुषे श्रीमत्सिद्धिर्नृसिंहमलनृपतेर्युद्धे समर्थो हि कः ॥ ११ ॥

यद्भूमीपालगङ्गाजलविमलयशः पूरुर्धूरपूरैः ब्रह्माण्डे पाण्डुरेऽस्मिन्समजनि रजनीनायको निष्कलङ्कः ।

तर्कि भूसेवकोभूमुखकमलमिषादेव यस्यामृतांशुः सोयं दीर्घायुरास्तामधिधरणिमणिः सिद्धिपूर्वो नृसिंहः ॥ १२ ॥

दानाल्पीकृतकल्पवृक्षगरिमा सीमा च तेजस्विनां श्रीमान्दुतकीर्तियुक्तमहिमा भीमानुजः साहसे ।

दोर्हण्डद्वयचण्डिमान्तगलितप्रत्यर्थिपृथ्वीपतिः श्रीमत्सिद्धिर्नृसिंहमलनृपतिर्वर्त्ति सर्वोपरि ॥ १३ ॥

प्रातिष्ठयं च युधिष्ठिराधिकतरं निष्ठा वसिष्ठाधिका कीर्तिः कार्तिककृतिकापतिमतिस्तस्याधिका वर्त्तते ।

वाणी व्याससमा रमा स्थिरतमा रामाभिरामाकृतिः श्रीमत्सिद्धिर्नृसिंहमलनृपतेः किञ्चाम यन्मादुतम् ॥ १४ ॥

कदाचिदेतेन महोन्नतेन मठः कृतः कोपि धनैरनेकैः ।

श्रीबालगोपालविरामभूमिर्विकुर्वतामर्त्यधरा जगत्याम् ॥ १५ ॥

यो मेरुमन्दरमहेन्द्रहिमाद्रिविन्ध्यकैलासशैलशिखरभ्रममातनोति ।

किञ्चास्य हेमकलशानवलोक्य देवैः सन्दिह्यते कनकधामनि पर्वतेन्द्रे ॥ १६ ॥

हर्षान्नेपालवर्षे स्वरशरतुरगैरङ्किते फाल्गुनीये पक्षे प्राप्ते वलक्षेमरगुरुदिवसे शङ्करर्क्षे दशम्याम् ।

चक्रे जाम्बूनदीयैर्गुह्यतरकलशैर्भास्वरैरेकविंशैः नेपालक्षोणिपालः प्रथितभुजबलो भूषणं तन्मठस्य ॥ १७ ॥

युद्धारम्भः कृतोस्मिन्नपि शुभदिवसे शत्रुभिर्युद्धशौण्डैर्दुष्टैः कोटोवरुद्धः कुटिलनृपभटैरुद्धैः कोटिसंख्यैः ।

लीलामात्रेण शत्रूनपनयत तदा पार्थिवः पार्थतुल्यः कोटं निर्मोचयित्वा नमुचिरिपुराविवानन्दसन्दोहमाप ॥ १८ ॥

राजसूय इवारब्धो मध्यस्थेन महीभुजा । विशिष्य शेषनागोपि न शक्तो यस्य वर्णने ॥ १९ ॥

आचार्यो यत्र मर्यादाधैर्यौदार्यदयानिधिः । विश्वनाथ उपाध्यायो विश्वनाथ इवाभवत् ॥ २० ॥

यो मेरुभूधर इवातिगुरुर्गरिष्ठा योसौ महार्णव इवातिमहान्महिम्ना ।

यो व्यासवद्विविधवैदिकमन्त्रपाठे यो निष्ठया भुवि वसिष्ठमहर्षिकल्पः ॥ २१ ॥

यात्रायाता मञ्जुर्यतः कियन्तो विद्यावन्तो जञ्जपूम्नायिवन्तः ।

नानादिग्भ्यः पण्डितैरभ्युपेतं सत्रे तस्मिन्मण्डपं मण्डितं यैः ॥ २२ ॥

द्वास्थातारौ कल्पितौ तत्र सत्रे द्वौ द्वौ द्वारि द्वारि देवर्षितुल्यौ ।

भूषाभूतो विद्विषां दर्पहन्ता नेता तेषां विश्वनाथो मनीषी ॥ २३ ॥

आरब्धे शिबिना नृपेण विधिना सत्रे पुरा गौरवादमेः खाण्डवखण्डनं समतनोक्ताण्डवीकोदण्डवान् ।

अस्मिन्सिद्धिर्नृसिंहमलनृपतेः सत्रे घृताजीर्णतः किम्भूयासमितीव मुञ्चति शिखी बाष्पानि धूमच्छलात् ॥२४॥
चत्वारिंशद्दिनान्यासीन्महासोमो महोत्सवः ।

श्रीमत्सिद्धिर्नृसिंहेन कलिकर्णेन कारितः ॥ २५ ॥

सम्भारः सर्ववस्तूनां राजसूये यथा श्रुतः ।

तथैव तत्र सत्रेपि जातस्तदधिकोपि वा ॥ २६ ॥

रत्ने स्वर्णे गवादौ करितुरगधने भूषणे दिव्यवस्त्रे दासीदासे निवासे विविधरसमये भक्ष्यमात्रे पवित्रे ।

चत्वारिंशद्दिनानि क्षितिपकुलमणेरस्यपये प्रसन्ने वाणी तत्रोल्लास प्रतिपलमधिका देहि देहीति मात्रा ॥२७॥

पामरीवलयकुण्डलादिकं दृष्टपूर्वमपि येन न क्वचित् ।

तेन तत्र नृपतेः प्रसादतो भिक्षुणा निजतनौ समर्थितम् ॥ २८ ॥

दिष्यान्यव गृहाणि दिव्यवसुधारत्नानि दिव्याम्बरं दिव्यान्येव विभूषणानि सदयो दिव्यानि रत्नानि च ।

नेपाले प्रचरन्ति यानि वसुधावस्तूनि दिव्यान्यसौ श्रीमत्सिद्धिर्नृसिंहमलनृपतिः सर्वाणि तान्यार्पयत् ॥ २९ ॥

यानि दृष्टानि वस्तूनि न श्रुतानि कदाचन ।

तानि दत्तानि सर्वाणि तत्र सत्रे महीभुजा ॥ ३० ॥

येनाकारि जगत्त्रयोपरि मठो होमोपि कोऽद्याहुतिर्दत्ता स्वर्णशतद्वयी प्रतिदिनं किञ्चिन् दत्तं धनम् ।

दत्तस्तण्डुलपर्वतोपि विधिना कल्पद्रुमोप्यदुतः श्रीमत्सिद्धिर्नृसिंहमलनृपतिः कर्णवतारो ध्रुवम् ॥ ३१ ॥

विद्यावन्तः क्रियन्तो विविधगुणभृतः केपि विद्याविहीना नानादिभ्यः समेताः श्रुतनृपतिगुणा भिक्षवो
लक्षसंख्याः ।

तषामन्त्रैः सुवर्णैः सुललितवसनैर्भूषणाद्यैर्द्वनौघैर्द्वारिद्र्यं वारयित्वा नृग इव मुमुदे देवकल्योवनीन्द्रः ॥ ३२ ॥

कर्णः कन्यातनूजो बलिरपि विदितः किन्तु दैतेयजन्मा पाषाणो देवतानां मणिरजनि महादारु देवद्रुमोपि ।

भ्रान्तो राजा नृगोपि प्रथितभुजबलो भार्गवो मातृहन्ता कोन्यो धन्यो वदान्यो जगति विजयते सिद्धिपूर्वा-
नृसिंहात् ॥३३॥

नृत्यानि गीतानि मनोहराणि वाद्यानि हृद्यानि च कौतुकानि ।

अन्त्रानि वस्त्राणि विभूषणानि सर्वाणि जातानि च तत्र सत्रे ॥ ३४ ॥

यावच्चंद्रदिवाकरावुदयतो यावन्महीमण्डलं

यावत्पर्वतनन्दिनी पशुपतेरुत्सङ्गमालिंगति

यावज्जन्हुकलिन्दयोरपि सुते तावद्वरीवर्त्ततं

श्रीमत्सिद्धिर्नृसिंहमलनृपतेरेषा यशोवल्ली ॥

॥ अथ नेपालभाषा लिख्यते ॥

सम्बत् ७५७ फाल्गुणमासे शुक्रपक्षे दशम्यां तिस्रीं आर्द्रापरपुनर्वसुनक्षत्रे आयुष्मान्योगे बृहस्पति
शसरे थ्व कुन्हु कोऽद्याहुति यज्ञ याडन देवता स्थापन याडन नियछपु गजुरि छास्यं देवो दयकाव आय-
प्रत्याय दुंता । रोव ७ पोवि वूं रोव न्हेस पविखं ३ खोरागार वूं रोव श्लेपि १४ तवधर वूं करख छि १
यंथ छे बाटिका थ्व तेया वरसानन नित्यपूजा निश्रावहोम याडन वुंसाधन वुगांयातन इंद्रयातन मतं छोपके
स्वन्हंतो धारे कार्तिक लछि घेर १ छि धारेण जलदेवा छोयके कृष्णाष्टमी कुन्हु चाक्रमतं छोयके थ्वते
जुलो भूय रोव गुं ९ यंयाको वूं रोव च्या ८ काका पलि रोव श्लनछि १२ गुस्तलको वूं रोव न्हेस ७
खोमोर वूं थ्वत वूया वरसानन फाल्गुनशुक्रपूर्णमासीप्रतिपत्संधिस दोलयात्रा ज्येष्ठ शुक्रपूर्णिमा कुन्हु स्नान-
यात्रा आषाढ शुक्रद्वादशी कुन्हु शयनपूजा देवशयन याचके श्रावण शुक्रद्वादशी कुन्हु पवित्रारोहण
श्रावण कृष्णाष्टमी कुन्हु जन्माष्टमी पूजा चाक्रमतं छोयके कार्तिक शुक्रद्वादशी कुन्हु उत्थान पूजा वारी

ब्राह्मनेयातं दंशं गुये फं ९० जाक्य विय थ छपतक जुरो । भूय रोव श्लंखू १६ थे वूं रोव स्व थां वूं
थतेय वरसानन कार्तिक लछि चैकन कुड पुन ६ । श्लंछिनियपात १२० चाक्रमतं च्छोयके माल भूय
निश्राव दछिना यात मगाडाव तंडा रोव डा ५ न्यंखुवं-

Abstract.

I. *Invocation to Gopāla* (vs. 1.)

II. *Vaṇśāvali* (vs. 2-14.):

Harisimha

|

Mahendramalla

|

Śivasimha

|

Hariharasimha married to Lalāmati

|

Siddhimsimha [N.S. 757

A.D. 1637]

III. *Object of inscription*, to record the dedication of a temple (*maṭha*) of Bāla-Gopāla (Kṛishṇa), which was ornamented by twenty-one spires and *kalāśas* (vs. 15-17). On the day of the dedication the king fought certain unnamed enemies, who besieged the fortress (of Lalitapattana), and drove them off (vs. 18). These two auspicious events were celebrated by offering a *Kotiyāhuti* (Tāntrika) sacrifice (vs. 31) under the superintendence of Viśvanātha, the king's Upādhyāya (vs. 20), and by giving daily two hundred gold mohars (*svaṛṇa*) (vs. 31) during forty days (vs. 25) to the priests, by presenting 'a mountain of rice'⁵⁴ (*taṇḍulaparvata*) and 'a tree of paradise'⁵⁵ (*kalpadruma*), food and other gifts to Brāhman and beggars. The Nivāri portion mentions the details of the grants and allowances made to the temple, viz.:

1. A field (*vaṇ*) called *Pori* of seven *Ro* or $\frac{1}{3}$ of a *Bīga*,⁵⁶ about one-third of an acre.

2. A field (*vaṇ*) called *Pavikham* of seven *Ro*.

3. A field (*vaṇ*) called *Khorājār* of three *Ro*.

4. A field (*vaṇ*) called *Thacadhara* of 14 *Ro*.

5. An irrigable field of inferior quality (*kharakha chhi vātika*) called *Thanthachhe* for the purpose of the daily worship of the Nīśrāva homa, of illuminating the temple (*chākramatam*) for three days every year on the occasion of the festivals (*yāṇa*) of Matsyendranātha and Indra, of burning daily one *Pala* (*pla*) of

Ghī during the month of *Kārttika*, of illuminating the temple on the Krishṇajānmashtamī (*Śrāvaṇa vāli* 8).

Further;

1. A field (*vaṇ*) called *Yampyāko* of nine *ro*.

2. A field (*vaṇ*) called *Kākāpali* of eight *ro*.

3. A field (*vaṇ*) called *Gustalako* of twelve *ro*.

4. A field (*vaṇ*) called *Khomor* of seven *ro*, for the purpose of swinging the image of Kṛishṇa (*dotayātrā*) in the interval between the 15th lunar day of the bright half and the 1st of the dark half of Phālguna (March), of bathing the image (*snānayātrā*) on the full moon of Jyeshṭha, of putting the image to bed and of worshipping his bed (*sayanapūja*) on the 12th of the bright half of Āshāḍha, tying the *Pavītas* or three hundred and sixty threads round the neck of the image on the 12th of the bright half of Śrāvaṇa, of worshipping and of illuminating the temple on the Krishṇajānmashtamī, and of giving to the Brāhman who perform the ceremony of *Uthāna* (waking the god) on the 12th of the bright half of *Kārttika* ninety *phani* (or *pāthās*)⁵⁷ of rice.

Moreover:

1. A field (*vaṇ*) called *Thve* of 16 *ro*.

2. A field called *Thvām* of 3 *ro*, for the purpose of expending in the month of *Kārttika* daily six *kadās* of oil, and of providing with that quantity 20 lamps;

Finally, a field called *Nyakhu* of 5 *ro*, for the purpose of giving fees and food to the Brāhman.

IV. *Date*, the tenth lunar day of the bright half of Phālguna, Nepāla Samvat 757 (A.D. 1635), a Thursday, conjunction *Āyushman*, under the Ārdra and Punarvasu constellations (vs. 17 and beginning of Nivāri portion).

No. 18.—An inscription of Pratāpamalla of Kātmāṇḍu, dated Nepāla Samvat 769.

On a slab in the wall near the southern door of a temple of Vishṇu close to the royal

⁵⁴ Hemādri, *Dānakhaṇḍī*.

⁵⁵ See *Matsyapūrāṇa* adhyāya 277.

⁵⁶ At present the Nepalese reckon 25 *Ro* to a *Bīga*, but in olden times a *Bīga* contained 16 *Ro* only.

⁵⁷ The Nepalese *pāthās* holds, according to Wright (p. 298), 8 pounds avoirdupois, according to Pandit Bhagvānlāl 3 shers of 56 tolas each.

palace in Kâtmânḍu. This temple is apparently the building mentioned in the inscription. It is an octagon, and has three stories.

Characters Nepālī; language Sanskrit, and Nivārī in the concluding portion, which has not been copied.

Transcript.

आसीच्छ्रीसूर्यवंशे रघुनृपकुलजो रामचन्द्रो नृपेशः तद्वंशे नान्यदेवोऽनिपतिरभवत्सुतो गङ्गादेवः ।
 तत्पुत्रोभून्नृसिंहो नरपतिरतुलस्तः सुतो रामसिंहस्तज्जः श्रीशक्तिसिंहो धरणिपतिरतो भूपभूपालसिंहः ॥ १ ॥
 तस्मात्कर्णाटचूडामणिरिव हरयुत्सिंहदेवोऽस्य वंशे भूपः श्रीयक्षमल्लो नरपतिरतुलो रत्नमल्लोऽप्यमुष्मात् ।
 तस्माच्छ्रीसूर्यमल्लो ह्यवनिपतिरभूत्तत्तनूजो मराख्यो मल्लोभूत्तस्य पुत्रो रिपुगणविजयी श्रीमहेन्द्राख्यमल्लः ॥ २ ॥
 तस्माच्छिवसिंहोभूद्भरिहरसिंहस्तुतस्तस्मात्
 तस्मालक्ष्मिभूत्सिंहो नरसिंहपराक्रमः - - - ॥ ३ ॥
 तस्मात् श्रीमत्प्रतापो नरपतिरभवद्भूपभालावलीषु न्यस्यत्पादारविन्दद्वयसविलसद्रेणुभिर्भूषणानि ।
 योऽकर्षीः कूतिखासाकिरमिति स्ववंशे भोटभूपस्य देशाज्जगद्देवावदीनं प्रतिदिनमपरे यं भजन्ते नरेशाः ॥ ४ ॥
 भक्तग्रामनरेशमल्लनृपतिर्दत्तेभमेनं भिया भजेतौ वसुधां जहार सुदृढं सं [धार्य] दुर्गं पुनः ।
 श्रीमद्भुम्बरशाहभूपतिर्बलं विध्वस्य हत्वा बलं श्रीमत्सिद्धिभूत्सिंहमल्लनृपनेर्जयाह दुर्गावलीम् ॥ ५ ॥
 आस्ते काप्यमरावती विलसदन्तीन्द्रदिव्यांगना युक्ता स्वर्णमयी विहारनगरी सा राजधानी परा ।
 श्रीमच्छ्रीकमलाधिका मधुपतेरिन्द्रेण तुल्यस्य च प्रसथिन्नजनिर्जितस्य नवपुन्नारायणस्यापि च ॥ ६ ॥
 लक्ष्मीनारायणस्तस्माद्वीरनारायणस्ततः
 पुत्री रूपमती तस्य प्राणनारायणः सुतः ॥ ७ ॥
 तेयं रूपमती सती गुणवती स्वर्णद्युतिः सन्मतिर्माद्यकुञ्जरगामिनी प्रणयिनी साक्षात्परा रुक्मिणी ।
 आसीत्सर्वगुणा पितुर्नरपतेः श्रीमत्प्रतापस्य सा पत्नी प्राणसमा यथा जलनिधेः पुत्री जगत्पायिनः ॥ ८ ॥
 कर्णाटी रङ्गाघाटी कुचकनकघटी कामलालैकवाटी स्वर्णालङ्कारकोटी हरिसदृशकटी चारुदेहा नुपाटी ।
 नाम्ना राजमती महारसवती भूपप्रतापस्य सा भूता भोगवधूटिका किल हरेर्भामिव जीवाधिका ॥ ९ ॥
 स्वर्गाय कृतवान्प्रतापनृपतिः सद्योषितोरेतयोः प्रासादं वसुपत्रपद्मसदृशं शृङ्गाष्टकैः शोभितम् ।
 नानाचित्रविराजितं सममिदं सदैवजयन्तेन वै होमाद्यैरकरोच्छ्रुतिस्मृतिमत्तैरस्य प्रतिष्ठाविधिम् ॥ १० ॥
 संवत् ७६९ फाल्गुन शुक्ल षष्ठ्यां तिथौ अनुराधानक्षत्रे हर्षणयोगे बृहस्पतिवासरे.

Abstract.

I. *Vamśāvali*. In the Solar race, in the family of Raghū, was born RĀMA. In his family was born—

Nānyadēva
 |
 Gangadēva
 |
 Nṛsiṁha
 |
 Rāmasiṁha
 |
 Śaktisiṁha
 |
 Bhūpālasīṁha
 |
 Harasiṁha

In his family was born—

Yakshamalla
 |
 Ratnamalla
 |
 Sūryamalla
 |
 Amaramalla
 |
 Mahendramalla
 |
 Śivasīṁha
 |
 Hariharasiṁha
 |
 Lakshminṛsiṁha
 |
 Pratāpa

married to Rūpamati and Rājamati.

¹⁰ The name is given as Harayutsiṁha, which for metrical reasons has probably been used for Harasiṁha.

Pratāpa took (vs. 4-5) the province of Kūt-khāsākiram from the Bhotās, i.e. the Thibetans, he took E v ā v a d ī n prisoner. The king of Bhāt-gām N a r e ś a m a l l a (Narendramalla) presented him with an elephant. He defeated the army of D a m b a r a s h ā h, an ancestor of the present Nepālese Gorkhā line, who ruled over Gorkhā in 1633-42 A.D. He defeated the army of S i d d h i n r i s i m h a (inscr. XVII.) of Lalitapattana, and took his fortresses. R ā p a m a t ī was descended from the Rājās of Vihāra (Behār), whose line is given as follows:

Nārāyaṇa

Lakshminārāyaṇa

Viranārāyaṇa

Rūpamati

Prāṇanārāyaṇa.

Pratāpa's second wife R ā j a m a t ī came from a Karpāṭa family.

II. *Object of the inscription*, to record the consecration (*pratishthā*) of an octagonal (*vasupatrapādmasaṁdṛṣa*) temple with eight Śikharas (*śrīṅga*) built for the sake of the two queens, on which occasion the usual *Homās* were offered.

III. *Date*, the sixth lunar day, of the bright half of Phālguna (Nepāla) Sainvat 769, a Thursday, under the constellation *Anurādhā*, conjunction *Harshana*.

No. 19.—*An inscription of Pratāpamalla, dated Nepāl 778.*

On a slab of black stone, 4' 9" by 2' 6", standing in the court of the temple of Paśupati, decorated by a *trīśūl* between two Nandis. Letters Nivāri, language Sanskrit. Preservation good.

Transcript.

श्री ३ भवानीशङ्कराभ्यां नमः

नत्वा गिरीन्द्रतनयां प्रलयानलाभां भालोलसललितचन्द्रकलाभिरामाम् ।

महप्रतापनृपतिः स्वकुलप्रकाशां वंशावलीं सुतनुते विमलां कवीन्द्रः ॥ १ ॥

श्रीविष्णोर्नयनाम्बुजाक्षिजगतीपापान्तकर्ता रविः प्रोद्भूतस्त्रिमिरौघवारणघटाविद्रावणः केसरी ।

कालाकाशदिशां प्रकाशनिपुणः कन्दर्पदर्पापहस्सानन्दं सरसीरुहेषु तनुते मैत्रीं विचित्राकृतिः ॥ २ ॥

गाम्भीर्यादिगुणेन सागरसमो धैर्येण विन्ध्याचलः सौन्दर्यप्रकरेण देवदलनो रूपेण कामोपमः ।

जातो भूमितले ततोतिविमले वैवस्वताख्यो मनुर्मर्यादा रचिता सतां समुचिता कीर्त्तिप्रदा येन वै ॥ ३ ॥

तद्वंशे विमले बभूव धरणीचन्द्रो दिलीपो हि यो देवेन प्रमथाधिपेन तुलनां प्राप प्रचण्डे रणे ।

यस्य प्रौढतरप्रतापदहने नित्यं द्विषः शेरते दृष्ट्वा तस्य कलेवरं सुविमलं कामेन दग्धं वपुः ॥ ४ ॥

जातस्सन्नृपसत्तमो रघुरिति ख्यातस्ततो वीर्यवान् बाणाग्रैः परिमथ्य शक्रहृदयं कीर्त्तिः स्थिरा स्थापिता ।

यस्य प्रौढतरप्रतापतपनवासेन सद्यः कृता वृष्टिस्तेन धनेश्वरेण ललिता कार्त्तस्वरी भूतले ॥ ५ ॥

जातो भूपवरस्ततः कलिहरः श्रीमानजो वीर्यवान् दुष्टानां किल दर्पणहारणविधौ प्रोद्भूतकण्ठीरवः ।

सिद्धानां प्रतिपालकः प्रतिपलं दानैः — — ममनः पश्चादिन्दुमतीवियोगजनितक्लेशाधिमध्येपतत् ॥ ६ ॥^{११}

जातो देवतनुस्ततो दशरथः कन्दर्पदर्पापहः सेनापत्यमुपेत्य पङ्कपतितः शत्रुः कृतो निर्भरः ।

क्रूरः कोपरतः प्रसारितभयो भेत्तुं गतः कृत्तिकां पापे संसक्तचित्तः शनिरपि सहसा स्तम्भितो येन मार्गे ॥ ७ ॥

जातस्सत्तनयो महानययुतो रामोभिरामाकृतिः दानेन प्रचुरेण वद्वितगुणः ख्यातस्त्रिलोकीतले ।

बद्धा यो जलधिं निशाचरभटान्निर्जित्य लङ्कापुरीं गत्वा रावणराक्षसं कलिकरं हत्वा वशे लब्धवान् ॥ ८ ॥

तन्पुत्रः सूर्यतुल्यो लव इति विदितो प्रोलसद्दानपुण्यैर्जातो भूचक्रशक्रः प्रथितगुणचयः सम्मतस्सज्जनानाम् ।

शत्रूणां शासकोसौ प्रबलरणधराधारादत्तभारो धर्मात्मा देवतुल्यो दशरथतनया निर्जिता येन संख्ये ॥ ९ ॥

जातः श्रीहरिसिंहदेवनृपतिः प्रौढप्रतापोदयः तद्वंशे विमले महारिपुहरे गाम्भीर्यरत्नाकरः ।

कर्त्ता यः सरसामुपेत्य मिथिलां संलक्ष्य लक्षप्रियो नेपाले पुनराव्यवैभवयुते स्वैर्यं विधत्ते चिरं ॥ १० ॥

मानिक्यप्रतिमप्रतापपटलैरादीपलोकत्रयो मुक्तापंक्तिः सहस्रशोभनयशोवृन्देन संशोभितः ।

पक्षयाकृतिकर्णवारणगिरिग्रामावनव्याकुलः पारावारमिवेह यः परिहसत्याधाय चित्तेच्युतं ॥ ११ ॥

तत्पुत्रो यक्षमलः प्रबलरिपुहरः कर्णतुल्योवनीशः सर्वासां नागरीणां नयनमुखकरस्तत्सर्वदस्सज्जनानाम् ।
प्रोद्यद्दोर्दण्डचण्डाद्वतनिशितमहाखड्गपातैर्विपक्षान् क्षित्वा सद्यः क्षितीशान्क्षितितलविषये प्रोत्सङ्की-

र्तिचन्द्रः ॥ १२ ॥

तस्माच्छ्रीरत्नमलः समजनि विबुधाराधने दत्तबुद्धिर्दन्तीनां दानकर्त्ता प्रबलरिपुकुलोत्सादने पार्थतुल्यः ।

यद्दानाम्बुप्रवाहप्रकरविकसितां बाहिनीं वीक्ष्य नूनं गंगासंगात्प्रवृद्धं प्रचलजलनिधिः प्रेमगर्वम्भुमोच ॥ १३ ॥

योनु प्रौढतरान्निहय धरणीपालान्महासङ्गरे गत्वा कान्तिपुरं चकार विमलं राज्यन्तु स्वर्गोपमम् ।

श्रीसिद्धिर्हरपूर्विका हरवधूराधनैस्तद्वशे जाता भावद्वता मुदा सुविदिता चाद्यापि या तिष्ठति ॥ १४ ॥

प्रोद्यद्प्रौढप्रतापप्रचुरपरिलसत्कुं कुमशोदपूर्णः कीर्णः पाटीरपकैः सितकरकिरणाकारकीर्त्तिप्रतारः ।

मित्राणामत्र शैल्यं किमपि विरचयन्पूर्वपूर्वागतानां तत्पुत्रः सूर्यमल्लो नरपतितिलकः प्रादुरासीत्प्रवीरः ॥ १५ ॥

तस्मान्मल्लनरेन्द्रनामधरणीपालो बभूव प्रभुः प्रोद्भूतप्रबलप्रतापदहनज्वालावृताहस्करः ।

यस्यारातिनितम्बिनी सुचकिता शुष्यन्मुखाम्भोरुहा स्थित्वा पर्वतगव्धरेतिविषमे दैन्यं सदाभ्यस्यति ॥ १६ ॥

पुण्यौघैर्जपयज्ञदानविपुलैर्गौरीपदार्चाफलैः संजातस्तु महीन्द्रमल्लवसुधारत्नं ततस्तत्सुतः ।

येनेमां किल काश्यपीं वसुमतीं रत्नेन पूर्णां वरां दत्त्वा भूमिसुराय स्वर्गभवने कीर्तिः स्थिरा स्थापिता ॥ १७ ॥

श्रीग्रामे भक्तपूर्वे विनिहितसहसावासरूपप्रतिष्ठां भावैर्निर्जित्य देवीं कलिकलुषहरीं दैत्यदर्पापहन्त्रीम् ॥

आनीय स्वर्गतुल्ये रचितगृहवरे स्थापिता येन पूर्वं नित्यं तत्पूजनेन त्रिभुवनललितां सिद्धिमुग्रामवाप ॥ १८ ॥

तस्माच्छ्रीशिवसिंहनामनृपतिर्जातो धरामण्डले नेपालक्षितिपालभालतिलकः कन्दर्पदर्पापहः ।

संसारार्णवतारणाय सततं नित्यं भवानीपदध्याने दत्तमनाः समस्तविदुषां मध्ये प्रसिद्धक्रियः ॥ १९ ॥

वैरिस्त्रीनयनाब्जनिर्गतजलैर्धाराम्बुभिर्येन वै शस्ताः सान्द्रकवन्धरन्ध्ररुपिरेन्दवः समासादिताः ।

तासां संगमसंभवं सुविमलं तीर्थं प्रयागाद्वयं । यत्र स्नानकृता प्रलब्धमनिशं शत्रुक्षयं सत्कलम् ॥ २० ॥

तस्माद्वरिहरसिंहो नरपत्तिर्सिंहो बभूव भूपालः ।

गच्छति जलनिधिपारं कीर्तिरेकाकिनी यस्य ॥ २१ ॥

धत्ते गैरिकरागविभ्रमभयं यस्य प्रतापोत्करो यत्कीर्तिर्गुणपर्वतोपरिसरी कौतूहलं यच्छति ।

एतेनैव तु हेतुनास्य तु गुणान्विजाय शैलोत्तमान् दूरस्थाः प्रपलाय्य सन्निधिममी कुर्वति यद्वैरिणः ॥ २२ ॥

श्रीलक्ष्मीनरसिंहनामनृपतिस्तस्मादभूत्सन्मतिः बाणाग्रैः परिपन्थिमन्यनकरः कारुण्यपुण्याकरः ।

यन्दृष्ट्वा खलु वैरिर्वग्वनिता कम्पाकुला सर्वदा प्राणेशस्य सुजीवितं मम विभो देहीति संयाचते ॥ २३ ॥

अष्टाशास्त्रपि यत्प्रतापतपनस्यालोक्य निखोदयं दीप्तान्मापि दिवानिशं भृशमहो विश्वान्धकारापहम् ।

आत्मानं सहसा समीक्ष्य मनसा हीनं हठाद्गर्हयन् सायं सायमयं स्वयं दिनमणिर्दीनोम्बुधौ मज्जति ॥ २४ ॥

श्रीलक्ष्मीनरसिंहभूपतिदिवप्रस्थानकालोद्यते देवैः शंखमृदंगभेरिपटहध्वानैर्दिशः पूरिताः ॥

प्रौढाः शूरतराः प्रदारितरिपोर्ब्रह्माण्डचण्डोलसन्मार्गणेव विनिर्गताः सुयमिताः प्राणास्त्रयोस्यामलाः ॥ २५ ॥

तत्पुत्रोसौ कवीन्द्रः क्षितिपतितिलकः श्रीप्रतापाभिधानः संग्रामे वैरिर्वग्वप्रबलतरलसहर्षदावानलाभः ।

तर्कालंकारकोशादिकसकलमहाशास्त्रमार्गप्रवीणो नानागद्यानवद्यामुललितकवितानर्त्तकीरङ्गभूमिः ॥ २६ ॥

मेदिन्यामुदधौ सरस्सु सरिताम्भये गिरौ कानने यस्य प्रौढतरप्रतापतपनस्यालोक्य दीप्तिं पराम् ।

अन्युत्कर्षमेवेक्ष्य संप्रति समासाद्यपि कर्षाश्चिरादैर्वैः खर्वयशाः प्रशाम्यति हठान्मग्नः समुद्राम्भसि ॥ २७ ॥

दृष्ट्वा तस्य प्रतापं तपनमनुपमं हन्त दृष्टो विवस्वानेने ब्रह्माण्डभाण्डभ्रमणविधिकृतं श्रान्तमेव श्रमौघम् ।

⁹⁹ Vs. 11 read माणिक्य^०; — Vs. 13, दन्तिनां is the recorrect form which the royal poet probably found inconvenient on account of the metro.

व्यस्ताहोरात्रिभेदं त्रिभुवनभवनं व्यासमेनं विदित्वा किञ्चायं चक्रवाकः शिथिलयति शुचं सर्वतः
सर्वबीजं ॥ २८ ॥

शस्त्रे शास्त्रवरे सदा सुखकरे सङ्गीतविद्यावरे सानन्दं किल केलिकर्मकुशलव्यापारकण्ठीरवः ।

स्वर्गे भूमितले तथा दशदिशाम्प्रान्ते गिरौ कानने कोप्यस्तीति निगद्यते मम समो राजेन्द्रचूडामणिः ॥ २९ ॥

नेपाले संवत्सेस्मिन्हयगिरिमुनिभिः संयुते माघमासे सप्तम्यां शुक्लपक्षे रविदिनसहिते रेवतीऋक्षराजे ।

योगे श्रीसिद्धिसंज्ञे रजतमणिलसस्वर्णमुक्ताप्रवालैरेकीकृत्य प्रदत्तं हयशतसहितं येन दानं तुलाख्यम् ॥

इति श्रीमहाराजाधिराजश्रीश्रीराजराजेन्द्रकवीन्द्रजयप्रतापमलदेवविरचिता निजवंशावली समाप्ता

माधुर्यादिविचित्रताखिलपदन्यासैर्मनोहारिणी संक्षिप्तेन कवीन्द्रभूमिपतिना वंशावली निर्मिता ।

प्रत्येकं किल कीर्तिशौर्यनिखिलप्रौढप्रतापादिकं भूपानां रचितुं विमृश्य निपुणं शक्तो न वा वाक्पतिः ॥ ३० ॥

Abstract.

I. *Invocation to Bhavānī and Śaṅkara.*

II. *Vaiśāvalī*, composed by king Pratāpamalla, a prince of poets:—

Vishṇu

Śūrya

Manu Vaivasvata,

From him were descended :

Dilīpa

Raghu

Aja

Dāśaratha

Rāma

Lava.

In his race were born :

Harisinhā,

who dug tanks in Mithilā and settled Nepāla.

Yakshamalla,

(falsely here called his son)

Ratnamalla

Śūryamalla

Narendramalla

Mahīndramalla

who brought an image of Devī from Bhātgam

Śivasinhā

Harīharasinhā

Lakṣmīnarasinhā

Pratāpamalla.

III. *Object of inscription*, to record the presentation of a *Tulāpuruṣa*, i. e. the king's own weight in gold, silver and pearls, together with one hundred horses.

IV. *Date*, the 7th day of the bright half of Māgha, Nepāla Sāhvāt 778, a Sunday, constellation *Revatī*, conjunction *Siddhī*.

No. 20.—*An inscription of Riddhīlakṣmī, dated Nepāla Sāhvāt 810.*

On a slab in the wall of a great temple of Śiva, close to the palace in Kātmāṇḍu. On the upper portion of the stone is found a hymn to Śiva, in the Bhojanga metre, composed by Śrī-Śrī-jaya Bhupāleन्द्रमाला, who describes himself as follows :

Śrīmat paśūpatichāraṇakamalaadhārdhāsari-
tāsīroruḥa — śrīmatāśvarīśṭadevatāvaratubha-
prasāda — dēdīpyamānamānānānāśrīraghuvai-
śāvatāra — racikulatīlaka — kāmāddhīraja —
nepāleśvara — mahārājāthīrāja, — sakalarājach-
krādhāśvara.

Letters Nivārī, language Sanskrit. Preservation good.

Transcript.

देवी श्रीऋद्धिलक्ष्मी विमलकुलभवा नीतिमार्गे निविष्टा शिष्टाचारैकभूमिस्त्रिभुवनजननीपादलब्धप्रसादा ।

शंभोरम्भोजजन्मप्रभृतिभिरमरैः सेवितस्याति — — विख्याता व्यक्तकीर्तिर्जगति विजयते सत्प्रजानन्द-

दात्री ॥ १ ॥

नेपालक्षितिपालभान्तिलको विद्वद्गुणालङ्कृतो दानोद्रेककृतातिरेकमहिमः प्रौढप्रतापोन्नतः ।

देवो यत्तनयो नयोदयलसत्कीर्त्तिप्रचारः श्रिया भूपालेन्द्र इति प्रथामुपगतो भूपो वरीवर्त्तते ॥ २ ॥
नेपालाब्दे गगनधरिणीनागयुक्ते किलोर्जे मासे पक्षे विधुविरहिते सुद्वितीयातिथौ सा ।
कृत्वा देवालयमपि रवौ ऋद्धिलक्ष्मी प्रसन्ना चक्रे देवी सुविधिविदितां शङ्करस्य प्रतिष्ठाम् ॥ ३ ॥

Abstract.

I. *Object of inscription* : to record the consecration of a temple of Śiva, built by Queen Riddhila-kshmi, the mother of king Bhūpālendramalla.

II. *Date*, the 2nd lunar day of the dark half of Kārttika, Nepāla Samvat 810 (1690 A.D.).

No. 21.—*An inscription of Śrīnivāsa, dated Nepāla Samvat 792.*

On the lintel of the door⁶² of the temple of Avalokiteśvara in Bungmatī (see above No. 6).

Letters Nivārī, language Sanskrit. Preservation good.

Transcript.

श्रीलोकेश्वराय नमः

मत्स्येन्द्रं योगिनां मुख्याः शाक्ताः शक्तिं वदन्ति यम् ।

बौद्धा लोकेश्वरं तस्मै नमो ब्रह्मस्वरूपिणे ॥ १ ॥

नेपालाब्दे लोचनच्छिद्रसप्ते (३)

श्रीपञ्चम्यां श्रीनिवासेन राज्ञा

स्वर्णद्वारं स्थापितं तोरणेन

सार्द्धं श्रीमलोकनाथस्य गेहे.

Translation.

"Praise to (that Deity), bearing the form of Brahman, whom the Śāktas, best of ascetics, call Matsyendranātha and the Bauddhas Lokesvara!

On the fifth day of the bright half of Māgha (*Śrīpachamī*) king Śrīnivāsa placed a golden door and torana in the temple of glorious Lokanātha."

No. 22.—*An inscription of Princess Yogamatī, dated Nepāla Samvat 843.*

On a stone in the inner wall of a small temple of Rādhā and Kṛṣṇa situated close to the palace in Lalitapattana.

Letters Nivārī, language corrupt Sanskrit.

Transcript.

यन्नाभीसरसीरुहे समजनि ब्रह्मा जगत्सृष्टिकृत् य-
त्पादाम्बुजतो ववाह जगतामालम्बिनी स्वर्णदी ।

येनाधारि धरा वराहवपुषा दंष्ट्राग्रकेनोच्चकैः प्रत्यु-
द्यन्त्रवनीरदायिरुचये तस्मै परस्मै नमः ॥ १ ॥

सजलजलदनीलः कामिनीप्रेमशीलः कलितभुवन-
लीलः कंसचानूरकालः ।

सुललितवनमालः मोक्षमार्गैकसालः भवतु मम मुदेसौ
सर्वदा नन्दबालः ॥ २ ॥

आसीत्सिद्धिर्नृसिंहमल्लनृपतिः सूर्यान्वये कीर्त्तिमान्ने-
पाले ललिताभिधाननगरे पौरान्सदा पालयन् ।

गोपीनाथपदारविन्दमधुषो वाचस्पतिर्द्वीवरः संसारं
जलबुद्बुदोपममसौ हित्वा गतो जान्दवीम् ॥ ३ ॥

तस्यात्मजो भूपतिरेष जातः श्रीश्रीनिवासो ननु
श्रीनिवासः ।

तापानलो वैरिमहीरुहाणां स राजतेतीव सुधाकरेव
॥ ४ ॥

तस्यात्मजो योगनरेन्द्रमलोमलेन्द्रचानूरहतुल्यवीर्यः ।
कन्दर्पसौन्दर्यविनिन्दिरूपः रराज भूमविव देवराजः
॥ ५ ॥

दोलपर्वतमसावभिगम्य तत्र विष्णुभवने सह ताभिः
एकविंशतिसतीभिरगच्छत् केशवं प्रति समाहि-
तचित्तः ॥ ६ ॥

तस्यात्मजा योगमती बभूव निमेषचिह्ना लक्ष्मी-
रिवापरा ।

सुवर्णकुम्भापुता नागहस्त यज्ञान्तरे स्नानकृतेन
पूता ॥ ७ ॥

तस्याः प्रसूत इव शक्तिधरोम्बिकायाः लोकप्रकाश-
नृपतिर्विरराज धीरः ।

विष्णोः पदं स गतवान्नमणीयरूपः हाहेति लोक-
मसकृज्जननीं विहाय ॥ ८ ॥

⁶² The door is made of gilt brass plates, and adorned by reliefs. The arch or torana above the door, which is

likewise made of brass, encloses three images of Lokesvara.

लोके किञ्च विलक्ष्यतेऽद्भुतरुचिः प्रासादराजो महा-
न्नानातोरणमोहनावलिभरो जमूतलेहायुतः ।

भृङ्गं हेममयैर्मनोज्ञकलशैः सज्जवालयेवो --

--- वसमीरणेन च भुवि शृङ्गं सुमेरोर्बभौ ॥ ९ ॥

अब्दे रामप्रजेश्वरास्यवसुभिर्माघे सिते पक्षके
शूले चोत्तरफाल्गुने शशधरे वारे द्वितीयातिथौ ।

[पुत्रार्थं] कुरुते सुधांशुवदना पाषाणदेवालयम्
कृष्णं राधिकया सहाय --- कृत्वा प्रतिष्ठाकरोत्

Abstract.

I. *Invocation to Vishṇu—Kṛishṇa.*

II. *Vaṃśāvalī,*

Siddhinṛisimhamalla, king of Lalitapattana, became an ascetic, and went to dwell on the banks of the Gangā (Benares).

|
Śrinivāsa

|
Yoganarendramalla went with his

twenty-one wives to Dolapārvata, and died in the temple of Viṣṇu.

|
Yogamatī

|
Lokaprakāśa, died before his mother.

III. *Object of the inscription, to record the consecration of a temple of Rādhā and Kṛishṇa, built by Princess Yogamatī in memory of her son.*

IV. *Date, 2nd lunar day of the bright half of Māgha, Nepāla Sāmvat 843 (1723 A.D.), a Monday, constellation—Uttaraphālguna, conjunction—Śūla.*

No. 23.—*An inscription of Queen Lalitatripurasundarī, dated Vikrama Sāmvat 1878.*

On a pillar, supporting a Nandī, placed opposite the western door of a temple of Tripurēśvara on the road from Kaṭinaṇḍu to Lalitapattana, close to the river Vāgmatī.

Letters Devanāgarī, language Sanskrit. Preservation good.

Transcript.

श्रीगणेशाय नमः

स्वस्ति श्रीमन्निराजचक्रचूडामणिमरीचिनीराजितचरणतलतुहिनगिरिवरासन्नमहाचीनसीमपर्य-
न्तनेपालदेशजयजनितयशोराशिर्विशदिताशामण्डलस्य श्रीगोरक्षाचलाधीशश्रीमन्महाराजाधिराजश्रीपृथ्वी-
नारायणशाहदेवस्य पौत्रो दयादाक्षिण्यादिप्रधानगुणनिधानश्रीमन्महाराजाधिराजश्रीसिंहप्रतापशाहजनयो
निखिलमहीपालमौलिमालालालितपादपीठः श्रीमन्महाराजाधिराजश्रीरणबाहादूरशाहदेवः शतरुद्रास्वर्ण-
वतीतरङ्गिणीपर्यन्तवारुणैन्द्रदिग्भागसाम्राज्यविख्यातमूर्तिरभूत्पूर्वः समजनि
युद्धे रुद्रः प्रतापे रविरखिलभुवो रक्षणे वासुदेवः स्यागे कर्णः क्षमायां क्षितिरखिलजनानन्दने पूर्णचन्द्रः ।
सत्ये धर्मः सुरूपे रतिपतिरपथस्थायिनां दण्डधारो नानादेवस्वरूपो जयति रणबाहादूरशाहो नृपेन्द्रः ॥

तस्य पुत्रः सकलगुणगणाभिरामप्रजारञ्जनानुकृतरामावतारः श्रीमन्महाराजाधिराजश्रीगीर्वाणयुद्धवि-
क्रमशाहदेवः प्रतीतस्तदात्मजे सकललक्षणशोभिते श्रीमन्महाराजाधिराजश्रीराजेन्द्रविक्रमशाहदेवे मेदिन्यां
पालयति श्रीमद्रणबाहादूरशाहदेवपट्टराज्ञ्या श्रीमललितत्रिपुरसुन्दरीदेव्या स्वभर्तुः स्वर्गसाधनप्रयासोत्कया
वेदविद्यानिपुणनानाविद्वन्मण्डलीप्रार्थनासञ्चारितविचारनिश्चितधर्मप्रधानशिवालङ्कादिदेवप्रतिष्ठादिकर्मत-
या स्वपौत्रानुमत्या तत्कार्यनिर्वाहानुगुणसामग्रीसम्पूतिसम्पादनक्षमं भीमसेनं मंत्रीवरं तत्साधने नियोज्य
सांप्रतं तत्कर्मविधानं नियुक्ताः श्रीगुर्वादिब्राह्मणा वेदसप्तगजेन्दुमिते १८७४ वैक्रेमे शाके शुचिशुक्लनवम्यां
सोमान्वितायां शिलाधिवासनः कर्म यथाविधि सम्पाद्य तस्मिन्नेव शके भाद्रकृष्णनवम्यां शुक्ले शिलाप्रवेशं
विधाय बाणस्तरनागभूमिते १८७५ शके माघमासि तृतीयायां गुरौ शिवालङ्कादिदेवप्रतिष्ठाकर्म समाचरन्
मध्ये श्रीत्रिपुरेश्वरो यमदिशि द्वारे स्थितो भैरवो ज्ञेयाः शङ्करभागतो हरिरविद्वैमातुराम्बास्ततः ।
दक्षादिक्रमकौणगा अथ महाकालादिनन्दी तथा भृङ्गी श्रीगणपश्च दक्षिणदिशो देशक्रमाद्विगताः ॥ १ ॥
तद्वाद्ये स्वदिशि सिताः सुरपतिर्विन्दुर्यमो निर्ऋतिः पाशो वायुकुबेररुद्रविधयो नागाधिपोतः क्रमात् ।

ईशाने बलिशेषभागभिमतश्चण्डेश्वरः पश्चिमे विख्यातो वृषभश्च मण्डलगता देवा इमे सम्मताः ॥ २ ॥
 रम्भापत्रफलप्रसूनललिते सत्तोरणाच्छादने नानावर्णपताककेतनलसम्पान्ते महामण्डपे ।
 कुण्डानां नवकं विधाप्य विधिवद्विप्रैर्विधानक्षमैः कर्माश्चर्यकरं समापयदिदं श्रीरङ्गनाथो गुरुः ॥ ३ ॥
 दोषोन्मेषवृषप्रघोषदिविषःसन्तोषपोषक्षमः संयातातुलतीर्थभूतपरिषत्स्तकारकल्पद्रुमः ।
 नानामङ्गलदिव्यवस्तुनिभृतस्तौर्यत्रिकोलासितो ह्यद्यः कोपि स वासरः समभवल्लोकप्रभोदोज्ज्वलः ॥ ४ ॥
 ततस्तस्मिन्नेव शके मार्गकृष्णपञ्चम्यां बुधे देवालयप्रतिष्ठामकुर्वन्
 दिग्भागान्तरसन्निवेशितचतुर्द्वारः सुखारोहणः पश्चाद्रम्यसुवर्णकेतनतलन्यस्तत्रिशूलोन्तरः ।
 सौवर्णोज्ज्वलकुम्भपत्रपटलप्रोद्भासिताशामुखः प्रासादो दिशतादभीप्सितसदावासप्रसक्तो मुदः ॥
 ततः पाताललोकवसुवसुमती शके ज्येष्ठकृष्णदशम्यां रवौ श्रीवाग्मतीजलावतारसोपानारामघण्टाधर्म-
 शालाप्रतिष्ठाकर्म समापयन् ।
 सोपानालिरियं विदग्धरचनासुश्लिष्टचित्रोपला रम्या वायुसुताधिवासविहितप्रोद्दामविघ्नावलिः ।
 सम्पाद्याह्निकसक्तलोकविहितस्वेच्छावकाशस्थला स्नानध्यानहिता सुधाधवलितप्रान्ता चिरं राजताम् ॥ १ ॥
 नानादेशप्रभवसुफलव्रातभारातिनम्रवृक्षश्रेणीनियमखचितः शालसंगुप्तमध्यः ।
 आरामोऽयं सुरभिसुमनोराजिसंराजमानः नानावीरुललितसरणिः पूर्णकामः सदास्ताम् ॥ २ ॥
 घण्टानिनादकृतकर्णसुखातिधीरा पूजाविधानविहितध्वनिमादधाना ।
 देवप्रसादपरिपूरितहीनभागा सर्वोपचारपरिचारकृतां विधेयात् ॥ ३ ॥
 शालेयं विविधप्रवासिमनुजप्राज्योपकारक्षमा रथ्याचत्वररम्यकोष्ठविलसद्वातायना श्लक्ष्णभूः ।
 नानादेशसमागतान् पथिपरिश्रान्तान्नसद्भाहिणो नित्यं भोजनवासदानविधिना कामानुगन्तोषयेत् ॥ ४ ॥
 तदिदं साङ्गोपाङ्गकर्म सम्पूर्तिम्प्रापितं यजमानसङ्कल्पसिद्धिं सम्पादयति चिरं श्रीशिवादिदेवप्रीतये भूयात्
 धर्म्मोऽयं कुलसन्ततिप्रचयदो लोकद्वयानन्दनस्तद्रोधे निरयादिदुःखजनका दोषाः परं कीर्तिताः ।
 ज्ञानैवं नृपमन्त्रिप्रमनुजैरेतस्य संरक्षणे नालस्यं श्रयणीयमित्यभिहितं रक्ष्यं श्रुतेः शासनम् ।

शुभभूयात्

Abstract.

I. Invocation to Ganesa.

II. Vaisādevī,—

Prithvīnaragana Shā,
 lord of the Gorakshā hill (*Gorkhā*), master
 of all Nepāl up to the frontier of Mahāchīna.

Simhapratāpa Shā

Ranabāhādūr Shā,
 who ruled in the west up to the Śatarudra
 river (*Kālī*?) in the east up to the river
 Svarṇavātī.

Gīrvāṇāyuddhavikrāna Shā

Rājendravikrama Shā

III. Object of the inscription, to record the
 consecration of a temple of Śiva, built by Queen
 Lalitatripurasundarī, the widow of
 Ranabāhādūr Shā, in memory of her de-

ceased husband. She employed, with the per-
 mission of her grandson, the minister Bhīma-
 sena, the Upādhyāya and other Brāhmaṇs for
 the execution of this work and for the ceremonies
 incidental thereto. On the 9th of the bright half
 of Āshāḍha, Vikrama Samvat 1874, a Monday
 the *śilādhivāsana*, the worship of the stones for
 the building was performed. The cornerstone
 was laid (*śilāpravṛtti*) on the 9th day of the dark
 half of Bhādrapada of the same year, and on
 the 3rd day of the bright half of Māgha, of
 the following year, a Monday, the *Līṅga* of Śiva
 was consecrated. At all these ceremonies the
 royal Gurn, Ranganātha, presided. On the 5th
 day of the dark half of Mārgaśīrsha V. S. 1875
 the temple itself was consecrated, and finally on
 the 10th of the dark half of Jeth 1877 a Dhar-
 māśālā and a staircase leading to the river Vāg-
 matī a garden and a bell were made over to the
 temple.

THE BUDDHIST INSCRIPTION AT KEU-YUNG-KWAN.

BY REV. S. BEAL, B.A.

Attention has elsewhere been drawn to the ancient Buddhist inscription at¹ Keu-yung-kwan, a small village about five miles to the north of the Nankow Pass. This inscription is engraved in the characters of six different nations, viz., Mongol or Bâshpah, Uîghûr, Nyuchih, Chinese, Devanâgarî, and Tibetan. On examination it is found to contain certain Buddhist *dhâranî* or incantations, which in the paper alluded to (*Jour. R. A. Soc.*, vol. V. pp. 14ff.)² have been translated by Mr. Wylie and Dr. Haas for the benefit of the English reader. These *dhâranîs* are found in various Buddhist works, and are supposed to represent the highest and most potent charms which words proceeding from the top of the illustrious diadem (*châda*) of Buddha's head are able to convey. This "honoured diadem of Buddha's head" refers to the well known conceit of the Buddhists that from the top of the cranium of their master proceeded an elongated excrescence (*ushnîsh*),³ the top of which reached to the highest heaven. In all probability this imaginary formation is pictured in the Amarâvati sculptures as the "pillar of glory surmounted by Om" proceeding from the throne supposed to be occupied by Bhagavat (see particularly pl. lxxi, figs. 1 and 2, *Tree and Serpent Worship*). These pillars of light are also referred to by Spence Hardy (*Manual of Buddhism*, 1st ed. pp. 180, 207), and perhaps originated in the idea of the Lînga and its worship. Be this as it may, it is curious to trace as far back as we can the origin of such a peculiar idea; and for this purpose we have appended the translation of a *Sûtra* attributed to the Shaman Buddhavara (*Fo-to-po-li*) of the Yang dynasty.

The Sûtra of the Dhâranî of the Illustrious Diadem of Buddha's Surmounting Head.

"Thus have I heard. At one time Bhagavat was residing at Śrāvastî, in the garden of Jeta, the friend of the orphans, together with 1250 great Bhikshus, his disciples, and with upwards of 12,000 great Bôdhisattwas and priests. At this time there was amongst the Dêvas of the Trayastrihâsas Heavens, one in

the Assembly of the Saddharma Hall, called Shen-chu. This Dêva, whilst wandering to and fro in the celestial gardens, with the company of Dêvis who attended him, had heard a voice proceeding from space, and warning him that in a few days hence he should be called to give up his heavenly estate and be born in hell, after which he should receive a succession of births all more or less miserable and painful. On this, the Dêva hastened to Śâkrarâja, and with doleful voice and many tears laid the case before him, asking and beseeching for advice and escape. Then Śâkrarâja, having heard the words of Shen-chu, at once entered into a state of profound abstraction, and, perceiving that the case was to be with Shen-chu even as the voice had declared, he resolved at once to repair to the place where Buddha was residing, even to the garden of Jeta, and there having presented him with suitable gifts, to seek his counsel and advice on the point. Accordingly having done so, and having saluted the foot of Bhagavat and seven times circumambulated him, he stated the circumstances of Shen-chu's destiny, and humbly asked the advice of the World-honoured one."

Then Buddha caused to proceed from the top of his head every kind of glorious light, which spread itself from world to world through all space. Then this light again returned to the presence of Buddha, and having revolved around him three times entered through his mouth. Then the World-honoured gave a gentle smile, and addressed Śâkrarâja as follows:—"Heavenly king, there are certain *dhâranî* called the 'honoured diadem of Buddha's head,' which are able to deliver from every kind of evil birth, and to destroy every possible sorrow. If a man once hears these, and if they once pass through his ears, then all the evil deeds he has ever done shall be cancelled and their punishment remitted; if he writes them on a wall, or reads them, so written, to others, then shall the same consequences follow and full deliverance be obtained."

On this Śâkrarâja entreats Buddha to repeat these charmed words, on which he did so.

¹ It belongs to the Mongol age, cir. 1345 A.D.

² See also *Wylie's Manu's Poets*, vol. I, pp. 29, 444.—Ed.

³ The word *ushnîsh* usually means a 'turban,' but is used by the Buddhists as a technical term for the top-knot

on Buddha's head, by which all figures of him are distinguished; he is never represented in Indian sculpture with any sort of covering on his head.—Ed.

The *dhdrani* are much shorter than those on the Keu-yung gate, but contain the same leading words; we do not repeat them, our object being merely to show the purpose of their being placed on this barrier gate, through which Mongols and Tibetans must enter the

empire, and doubtless were glad to be so easily assured of deliverance by the repetition of the words.

"Śākrarāja, having heard these words, thankfully received them; and having saluted the World-honoured one, forthwith departed."²

NOTES ON THE KURRAL OF THE TAMIL POET TIRUVALLUVAR.

BY REV. G. U. POPE, D.D., M.R.A.S., AND OF THE GERMAN ORIENTAL SOCIETY, AND FELLOW OF THE MADRAS UNIVERSITY.

(Continued from Vol. VIII. p. 309.)

No. III.

It may seem strange that the introductory Chapter on God is followed by one on Rain. The connection, however, seems evident, as in *Arts* xiv. 17: 'Nevertheless He left not Himself without a witness, in that He did good, and gave us rain from heaven, and fruitful seasons, filling our hearts with food and gladness.'

In the *Bhagavatgītā*, III. 14: 'All things which have life are produced from bread which they eat. Bread is produced from rain: rain from divine worship, and divine worship from good works.' The title of the second chapter, or collection of 10 *Kurraḷs*, is 'vān śirappu,' the specific qualities, or excellence of rain. The words for 'rain' in the chapter are *vān* and *maṛai*; those for 'cloud' are *puyal*, *erili*; those for 'sky' are *vānam*, *viṇ*, *viṣumbu*.

A consideration of these words will prepare the way for the analysis of the chapter.

1. *Vān* and *vānam* are the same word. By apocope *vān* is used for *vānam* in poetry, and may signify 'the sky,' or the rain that falls from it. In common Tamil *vānam* is often pronounced *mānam*: thus, a district which, having no rivers, is wholly dependent on casual rains, is called *mānam pārtta śīmai*, 'a district that looks to the sky.' 2. *Viṇ* is, I suppose, a poetical variation of the same word. 3. *Viṣu-bu* (S. *viśva* + *bu*; the postfix *bu* is added to many stems in Tamil to form a noun, with an idea of 'existence.' S. *bhu*. means 'coelum' and by metonymy 'a cloud.' 4. *puyal* (also *puśal* and *piśal*) = 'nimbas'. 5. *erili* (from *er*, 'arise': Lat. *o*) is 'that which arises.' 6. *maṛai* is the ordinary word for 'rain.' It appears also as *māri*; and *vāri* = 'water' (comp. S. *varish* and *vāri*). I regard the cerebrals in Tamil (and especially *r*) as resulting from

the attempt to pronounce a compound consonant such as *rs*.

The chief words for 'rain' or 'sky' in the Drāviḍian dialects are—

Tel.	Kan.	Mal.	Tam.
vān.	bān.	vān-am.	vān-am, viṇ.
varsha-mu	varsha.	varsha.	varsha.
	ma-le.	maṛa.	maṛai, māri.

(Tuda: mīā)

I cannot help thinking that the Drāviḍian *vān* (both as a verbal root = 'fashion' and as a noun = 'sky,' 'rain') is connected with S. *Varuṇa*, 'the investing sky' in the old Āryan mythology.

Couplet I.

vānindr | *ulagam* | *varangi* | *varuthalāḷ*
tānam, *ṛtham* | *endr*, *ṇparat* | *nāṭṭu*.¹

Scanning :

temā | pūlīmā | pūlīmā | pūlīmāngāi |
kūvilāngāi | kūvilāngāi | kūśū.²

"Since by the continued existence of rain the world preserves its course, it (rain) is to be regarded as partaking of the nature of nectar."

The idea of this couplet is an obvious one: 'rain gives life to all creation, revives the dying vegetation, restores life to what seems dead.' Any one who has seen an Indian district suffering from drought, and noted the instantaneous change in the aspect of all living things when the long-expected rain has fallen, will understand the poet's feeling: 'rain is the elixir of immortality to the whole earth.'

Nindru (= having stood) is past adv. participle of R. *nīl*. [con. S. *nī* in *nishṭita*.] *vānindru* is, in fact, a nominative absolute.

Ulagam, Tam. form of S. *lōka*, Comp. Ch. I. 1.

Varangi, 'having gone on its way.' In Tamil a very large group of words from stem *var* is

¹ *The Orient*, Oct. 9, 1875.

² 'over a letter indicates omission of a letter according to

the Tamil laws of euphony. *th* is always flat in Tamil, as in 'this.' ³ *ū* indicates a very short *u*, hardly audible.

found. Some of these are here given. (1). *rag-akku, rag-akkam*, 'custom.' This is also written with *p* for *r*. It is probable that *rag* and *pag* are only variations of the same stem. (2). *rag-angu*, 'be in use,' 'pass current,' this is the word in the stanza. The transitive is not found; but *pag-akku* 'to habituate, render common,' take its place. This has an intransitive, *pag-aga*. (3). *ragi*, 'a road, way, what is old, antiquity, succession.' Here we find *raga*, 'old'; and *pagi*, 'guilt,' the transmitted liability to punishment, a man's *old* sin. *Pagam* is 'fruit,' 'result of actions.' I suggest that *rag* = *pag* = S. *phal*. The cognates would be Gr. *ῥαλ*; Lat. *flor*; Goth. *blom*. In ancient Kanarese this is *hila*. Here may be an example of the law which I spoke of in my Dravidian notes, No. I. vol. V, p. 158. Other forms of the same stem are *pal*, *paṇ*, *paṇḍ*, illustrating the important fact that in the Dravidian languages cerebrals are interchangeable; and the original seems to be *l*.

Tamiḷ possessed originally very few stems, and these were mostly, if not altogether, monosyllabic. These the poets, and especially the Jainas, (who were anxious to obliterate all traces of Sanskrit; to introduce a new language, as they had introduced a new religion,) varied in every possible manner, especially softening every harsh sound, and promoting assonance. Tamiḷ and Telugu are, therefore, highly artificial languages, and in them the Āryan element has been designedly put out of sight.

Varuthalat: here final *l* is followed by initial *t* (dental), and both are changed into palatal *ṭ*. This is in accordance with the law that 'two consonants coming together must be of the same organ,' and assimilation: dental *t* becomes palatal, and *l* is assimilated. *Vā*, in inflection *var* or *van* = 'come' or 'go', 'proceed.' *varuthal*, is a verbal noun = 'the proceeding,' and *āl* is the sign of the instrumental ablative: = 'by the proceeding,' 'because it proceeds.' *l* or *ḷ* added to a stem, with or without euphonic insertions, form a Dravidian noun. This is sometimes changed into *n*, and equals *r* and *s*, in Āryan languages. *Vā* is in Kanarese *bā*. We may compare Oscean and Umbrian *ben* with Latin *ven*. *tān* is 'ipse.' *Sa* is the root of the reflexive pronoun in Āryan languages. Tamiḷ would write this *ta*, having no sibilant and using *t* for *s* habitually.

Amiṛtham (S. *amṛita*, Gr. *ambrosia*) = 'immor-

tal'; 'a medicine prolonging life,' 'the food of the gods.' Other forms are *amiratham*, *amir-tham*, *amirṭha*, *amirṭha*, and *amirṭhu*. Thus the S. *pi* is rendered by *pen*, *pe*, *ig*, and *u*. The Kan. has also *amarda*.

The use of *cara* for Gr. *holi*, *his* has been referred to before.

Uṇar al = 'the perceiving, understanding.' This is a verbal noun, or infinitive mood, though very often the final *l* is omitted. The Latin suffix is *r* or *s* (see Roby), the Greek *ν*, or *σθ*. The verb-stem *unar* is from *uḷ* or *up* = 'within,' 'internal,' *ar* = 'know,' as a separate verb *arri*. *uḷ* is much used (in cognate dialects = *ul*, *ol*). It is a case inflexion = 'in,' 'inter.' It is also the root of a verb = 'to be, exist.' It forms a verb *un* = 'eat,' 'suck in.' It forms a noun *un-mai* = 'reality, truth.' The equivalent root in the 'Ursprache' is *rd* (see Fick).

Pāṭṭu is a form like *muthattu* in Ch. I. 1. (see Notes on Kurral II, vol. VIII, p. 307).

The noun is *pāl* (for *pag al*: S. *bhag*: Fick.) = 'essential property.'

Couplet II.

tuppārkku | tuppāya | tuppārkkī | tuppārkku |
tuppāy | a thū un | mārai.

Scanning :

tēmāngūi | tēmāngāi | tēmāngāi | tēmāngāi
tēmā | pūḷimā | mālār.

"For the eaters sweet food making,
To the eaters itself food becoming: such is rain."

The root *tu* = (1) 'eat,' (2) 'enjoy,' (3) 'experience.' Hence the noun *tuppu* = (1) 'food,' (2) 'enjoyment,' 'sweetness.' [S. *dak* = (1) milk, (2) enjoy].

There is a peculiarity in old Tamiḷ, which is called *aḷabḷḍi* (-lengthening), by which in this case, short *u* is lengthened to *ū*, and then a short *a* is added, making *āū* out of *ū*. Thus *āthūm* is made *āthūūm*. Hiatus is here allowed. This resembles the process by which Ionic Greek writes *hēlios* for *helios*.

The idea is here, that rain causes fertility and is itself necessary to quench the thirst of men and cattle. The punning and alliteration are thoroughly in accordance with Tamiḷ ideas.

• Couplet III.

Viṇṇindru | poypṇin | virinir | viyanulagal |
tuṇṇindr' | uḷattum paṣi.

Scanning :

tēmāngūi | tēmā | pūḷimā | kārū viḷāngāi |
tēmā | pūḷimā | mālār.

"If the cloud standing (*in the sky*) deceives (*by not falling in rain to the earth*), hunger will afflict, standing in the midst of the wide-spread earth, though (*this earth is*) surrounded by an expanse of waters."

The clouds charged with moisture stand over the hills, big with the promise of fertilizing showers. If they remain there, and do not fulfil their promise, the earth, though surrounded by vast oceans of water, must fall a prey to all the miseries of famine.

During the late disastrous droughts, each day heavy clouds collected and hung over the Maisûr province; but winds arose and bore them away in the direction of the sea, leaving the broad fields dry and parched, and abandoning multitudes to misery and death by famine. Those who have seen this can understand the force of the poet's lines.

The compound *vīri-nār-vigan-utagam* is interesting. It is verb + noun + adjective + noun, extend + water + broad + world. 'The wide world around which the ocean spreads.' Observe the alliteration. Perhaps Sanskrit grammar would divide this into two compounds (1) *Vigan-utagam*, being a 'Karmadharaya,' where *vigan* (S. *vīga*) is descriptive of *utagam* = *the wide world*; (2) *vīri-nār* being a 'bahuvrīhi.'

Vīri is the stem, or crude form, used in Sanskrit (Comp. S. *vīri*, *vī-vīri*, *vī-vīṭa*.) The Tamil grammarians see here only ellipsis (*logai*) of inflectional particles and of connecting words.

Complet IV.

ērīn | *uṇḍar* | *uṇḍar* | *pugalennam*
vāri | *vaḷam kaṇḍri* | *kāl*.

Scansion :

tēmā | pūlīmā | pūlīmā | pūlīmāṅgāy
tēmā | pūlīmāṅgāy | nōl.

"The ploughmen plough not with the yoked oxen when the abundance of the cloud-deluge is diminished."

Agriculture is the great employment of the Tamil people; it ceases when the rains are not abundant.

The roots *ēr* and *uṇ* are very remarkable.

ēr is 'the plough with its yoke of oxen.' It is found in all the S. Indian languages. *ēr-uthu* is 'a bull,' *er-vuṇṇi* is 'a buffalo,' *ērnu* is 'the male of any animal.' (Tuḍu, *er* and *esht*.) Here we may compare A. S. *car-ian*, (Eng. *car*), Lat. *ar-are*,

aratum. (See Fick), 'arman,' Lat. *ar-mentum*. (See also Fick, *arāya*).

The Kanarese has *uḷ* for *uṇ* (cerebrals interchangeable) and *hūd*. The Tamil has *puṇ-ndhi* for 'pulverised earth,' this in ancient Kan. is *hūdi*.

It is curious that the Sanskrit and Telugu words for 'plough' are quite different from each other, and from Tam., Kan., Mal., &c.

Complet V.

Kēḍuppathāum | *ketṭārēku* | *śārcāy māl* | *āṅgē*
eḍuppathāum | *ellā* | *maṇai*.

Scansion :

kārūvilāṅgāy | tē māṅgāi | tē māṅgāi | tēmā
kārūvilāṅgāy | tēmā | mālār.

"That which will ruin, that which will uplift, becoming a help to the ruined: all this is rain."

The destructive violence of tropical storms, and the life-giving effects of the first burst of the monsoons in India, are referred to here.

Kēḷ = 'destroy,' 'perish.' [S. *skad*. *chid*. Fick. another form is *keru*.]

Śār *ru* = 'support.' The root *śar* = 'lean, recline against.' Hence the idea of 'prop,' 'support.' *śēr* = 'approach.' Comp. S. *char*.

Eḷu = 'take up,' 'lift,' 'assist.'

Roots with *ḷ* as the significant letter, preceded by what seems to be a prefix, are many in Tamil. Thus :

1. *ko-ḷu* = 'give.'
2. *i-ḷu* = 'give,' 'place.'
3. *vi-ḷu* = 'leave.'
4. *ā-ḷu* = 'move about.'
5. *pu-ḷu* = 'put.'
6. *e-ḷu* = 'take up.'
7. *tu-ḷu* = 'hinder.'
8. *na-ḷu* = 'middle,' 'plant.'
9. *a-ḷu* = 'cook.'
10. *ka-ḷu* = 'cross.'
11. *na-ḷu* = 'walk.'
12. *u-ḷu* = 'clothe.'
13. *ō-ḷu* = 'run.'
14. *pa-ḷu*, 'suffer,' 'sink.'

Whether these are not compounds of a root like *dḷ* or *dhḷ* of the Sanskrit, and *θε* of the Greek, is an interesting question.

A parallel list with *ṛ* as the significant letter, which may be formed from a root like *ṛi* or *ar*, or *aru* of the Sanskrit is :

1. *ko-ṛu*, 'soft,' 'grow fat.'
2. *ka-ṛi*, 'pass off.'
3. *vi-ṛu*, 'fall.'
4. *a-ṛi*, 'perish.'
5. *va-ṛi*, 'way.'
6. *i-ṛu*, 'draw.'
7. *po-ṛi*, 'pour forth.'

8. *e-ṛu*, 'rise up.'
 9. *a-ṛu*, 'weep.'
 10. *o-ṛi*, 'cease.'
 11. *ki-ṛi*, 'tear.'

A comparison of *e-ṛu* with *e-ḍu* suggests that *e* is a prefix = 'up;' *vi-ḍu* with *vi-ṛu* suggests that *vi* = 'down,' 'away,' 'off.'

The Tamil seems to me to contain just such traces of these compounds as the Keltic dialects do: e. g. *cy* in Welsh answers to *ko* or *ku* in Tamil. [*Cy-dio* (W.) = *kā-ḍu* (T) = 'join;' *daol* in W. is = *re*, *un-dis*, *back*, *comp.* Tam. *ta-ḍu*, *ta-ḍai*.]

In regard to such compounds, is it not possible that *S. krap* (Fick) Gr. *klep*, are made up of *ka* = *com*, and a stem signifying 'take,' (*ra* or *la*)? Thus Varro says 'corripere = 'klepere.' In Tam. *ka-ḍ* = 'steal.'

Ell-ām = 'all.'

Complet VI.

Viṣumbil | *tulī vīṇ* | *allānmaṭṭ* | 'āṅgē
paṣumpuṭ | *ṭalai kāṇḍ* | *arilhu*.

Scansion:

pūḷimā | *pūḷimāṅgāy* | *tēmāṅgāy* | *tēmā*
pūḷimā | *pūḷimā* | *pirāppū*.

"Unless the drop of rain falls from the cloud, scarcely may you see the green grass putting forth its head."

Something of the effect of the rhythm may be seen in the following literal rendering, where the rhyme is preserved:

'If the drops | of the rain fall | nōt, then the | green shoots
 of the crops | are nōt seen | putting forth.'

Complet VII.

nedum kaḍabam | *tannīrmai* | *kuṇḍrum* | *taḍintḷ* | *ṇṇī*
tā 'nalgāḍḥ | *ḍḍi* | *ciḷḷin*.

Scansion:

kārūvilāṅgāi | *tēmāṅgāi* | *tēmā* | *kārūvilāṅgāi*
tēmāṅgāi | *tēmā* | *mālār*.

"The broad sea even will diminish in goodness, if the cloud do not swell and pour down its gifts."

Kaḍ-al- 'sea': from *kaḍ*, 'cross over'; for epithet *ne-ḍum* = 'long' comp. Gr. 'euriporos.'

Complet VIII.

Śīrappoḍi | *pūsanai* | *sellāthu* | *vānam*
varraḱkumēl | *vānāḱkum* | *iṇḍu*.

Scansion:

kārūvilāṅm | *kūvilāṅm* | *tēmāṅgāi* | *tēmā*
kārūvilāṅm | *tēmāṅgāi* | *kāṣū*.

"If the heaven dry up, worship to the heavenly ones with due solemnity on earth will not be paid."

Varr, 'dry.' co. Lat. *ar-idus*.

Śel = 'go,' 'go on,' [S. *char. chal*.]

ēl = 'if,' a contraction from *en-il* = 'if you say.'

vān-am = 'heaven.' Thence *vān-ān*, 'a heavenly one,' plur. *vān-ār*. Comp. Greek, *ōn* in participles. *avan* = 'he,' in Tamil, comp. Welsh, *af*.

Complet IX.

dānam | *taram* | *iravēḷam* | *laṅḍ* | *vīṇanāḷagam*
vēḷam | *vāṅḍāḍḥ* | *enḷin*.

Scansion:

tēmā *kārūvilāṅgāi* *tēmā* *kārūvilāṅgāi*
tēmā *pūḷimā* *mālār*.

"Neither alms-giving nor ascetic practices will abide in the wide world, if the sky dispense not its gifts."

Asceticism, always an important subject in Oriental systems, is the subject of the next chapter

Vīṇan-āḷagam: comp. notes on coup. III. and Greek, 'eucukoros.'

Complet X.

nīr inḍr | *amāiḍḍḥ* | *alay'-eain* | *ḡāyāḱkum*
vān inḍr | *amāiḍḍḥ* | *ṇṇḱku*.

Scansion:

tēmā | *pūḷimā* | *kārūvilāṅm* | *tēmāṅgāi*
tēmā | *pūḷimā* | *pirāppū*.

"If the world's work to none goes on without water, without rain the prescribed order cannot be preserved."

Yē is plur. of *yē-eam* = 'who?' here it is doubled and *am* = 'and' is added: 'to any persons whatsoever.' *ya* = S. Ka. comp. Lat. use of *que* in *quis-que*.

Amāi is found in the form *samāi*. Another of the group is *amar*. Initial *s* is often omitted in words from Sanskrit (*arai* = *sablot*; *aravam* = *carppam*; *amāiḍḍḥ* = *samāiḍḍḥ*).

The S. root is *kṣeta*, Gr. *kōm-izō*.

ṇṇ-ḱku - see *car* under coup. I.

This ends the second chapter. The importance of rain as necessary to the subsistence of all living creatures, and to the observance of piety, is its topic.

CORRESPONDENCE AND MISCELLANEA.

DISCOVERY OF SĀYAṆA'S COMMENTARY ON THE ATHARVA-VEDA.

I trust you will kindly allow me the use of your columns to announce the discovery of Sāyana's

long-sought *Commentary on the Atharva-Veda*. Hitherto scholars had almost given up all hopes of finding the *Commentary*, which there was reason to believe did exist. And as all attempts to

find it made throughout the length and breadth of India had failed, and no trace had been found of it, scholars had already begun to say that it was never written by Sāyana, or, at any rate, that it had perished, like numerous other books which one hears so much about in Indian literature, and which, if found, would go very far to solve some knotty questions connected with Sanskrit literature.

The MS. of which I am now in possession contains Sāyana's *Commentary on the Śaunakī Śākhā of the Atharva-Veda*, and was discovered in the South through the kind offices of an enlightened friend, himself a Sanskrit scholar, Mr. V. N. Narasimayengar, of the Mysore Commission. It is written on palmyra leaves, in what I suppose is called the Nandi-Nāgarī character, a form of Devanāgarī still in use in some parts of the Madras Presidency, especially on the Malabar Coast. Many in India had no doubt that the *Commentary* did exist; and as Sāyana, the great Vedic interpreter, lived in the South, and as that part of the country is still celebrated for Sanskrit lore and the preservation of ancient Sanskrit MSS., I directed my enquiries to centres of Sanskrit interest in that Presidency, and it is a matter for congratulation, in the interest of Vedic philology, that the long-lost thing has been found at last.

It is a pity, however, that the MS. does not contain the whole of Sāyana's *Commentary on the Atharva-Veda*. Of the twenty Kāṇḍas, or chapters, into which the *Atharva Śaṁhitā* is divided, the MS. contains the commentary on nine Kāṇḍas, viz., the first four Kāṇḍas, the three Kāṇḍas from 6 to 8, and the four Kāṇḍas from 17 to 20. We have thus three fragments of the *Commentary*, but put together they form about two-thirds of the whole. I am in hopes of getting some portions of the Kāṇḍas that are missing, as some have been already traced; but I may not be sure of completing the *Commentary* without waiting for an indefinite length of time.

The MS., so far as it goes, is correct, and it will be possible to edit the *Commentary* from it even if no other copies are obtained. And I have already been working at the *Śaṁhitā* and the MS. with a

view to place before scholars the results of this happy find of the year 1879.

It is fortunate that the first portion of the *Commentary* has been found. We have there Sāyana's usual introduction. And here we learn some interesting facts. The author says that he was ordered to write the *Commentary* by King Harihara, the son of King Bukka, with whom Sāyana's *Commentary on the R̥gveda* edited by Prof. Max Müller has acquainted the students of the *Veda*. From the introductory verses, when taken with the opening of the *Commentary on the R̥gveda*, it would appear that Sāyana and Mādhyava are one and the same person. For, according to the present *Commentary*, Harihara commanded Sāyanaśhārya to compose—and it is Sāyanaśhārya that composes—the *Commentary*; and the author, further on, says that he proceeds, therefore, to write his *Commentary*, having already written his *Commentaries* on the other three Vedas. In Sāyana's *Commentary on the R̥gveda* it is Bukka who commands Mādhyavaśhārya to explain the *R̥gveda*, and it is that Mādhyavaśhārya who composes the *Commentary*. The question, therefore, whether Mādhyava and Sāyana were one and the same person, or, as has been supposed by some, different individuals, may be said to be set at rest by the *Commentary* now found.¹

The Preface distinctly says that the *Atharva-Veda Commentary* was written after three other Vedas had been explained by the author by commentary.

Sāyana quotes an authority which says that the *Atharva-Veda* has five “*upavedas*” or *Vedāṅgas*, which are: *Sarpaveda* (the *Veda* about snakes), *Pit̥r̥haveda* (the *Veda* about ghosts and devils), *Asuraveda* (the *Veda* about demons), *Itihāsaveda* (the *Veda* of legends), and *Purāṇaveda* (the *Veda* of the *Purāṇas*).

According to Sāyana, there are nine branches, or Śākhās (he calls them *bhedas*), of the *Atharva-Veda*, which he enumerates as follows:—(1) the Paippalādās, (2) the Taudās, (3) the Maudās, (4) the Śaunakīyās, (5) Akshalās, (6) the Jaladās, (7) Brahmavādās, (8) the Devadarśās, and (9) the Chāraṇvaidyas. And he goes on to say that the ritualistic use of the verses of the four Śākhās of

¹ The introductory verses are as follows:—

Vāgīśvayāḥ sumanasah sarvārthānām upakāme |
Yam natvā kritakṛtyāḥ syus tān namāmi Gajānamam ||
Yasya mīvasitām velāyo vedobhyo'kṣitām jagat |
Nirmame tam aham vande Vidyātrihāḥahe'varam ||
Avidyābhīṃsantapto Vidyāranyam aham bhājo |
Yadarkakaratapānām aranyam pritikāramam ||
Tatkatāḥshena tadāpam dadhato Bukkalāhapatē |
Abhūddharīharo Rājā kṣhīrābhdheriva chandramāḥ |
Vijitāritvīrto virāṇi Hariharah kṣhamādhīśah |
Dharmabrahmādhyanyah Kalin svacharītena Kṛitayugān |
kurute ||
Sādhyaitva Mahān sarvām srtmān Hariharasvarah †

Bhukto bahuvīdhān bhogān asukto Rāmayat sudhīh ||
Vijayī Hariharabhūpat samdvahan sakalabhūbhāram |
Shīlāśa madhānti dānānyanīśān survasya tushṭaye karvan |
Tēṣāṃlābhūtem ślochyā vedam Atharvanābhīdham |
Adat Sāyanāśhāryam tadārthasya prakāśane |
Ye parvotarammāṃso to vyākhyāyātsānugrahāt ||
Kṛipālūh Sāyanāśhāryo Vedārtham vaktum udyatah ||
Vyākhyāya vedatritayam ānushmikaphalāpradān |
Aihikānushmikaphalān chātārtham vyāchikīrshati ||

It will be observed that the reading of *tatkatāḥshena tadāpam* in line 7 gives a better sense than *yatkatāḥshena*, &c., as found in Prof. Max Müller's most valuable edition of the *R̥gveda-Commentary*.

the Śaunakīyās, the Ākshalās, the Jaladās, and the Brahmanādās is given, in accordance with the *Gopatha Brāhmaṇa*, in five *Kalpasūtras*, which he names as (1) the *Kauśikam*, (2) the *Vaitṛnam*, (3) the *Nakṣatrakalpāḥ*, (4) the *Āngiraskalpāḥ*, and (5) the *Santikalpāḥ*.

Sāyaṇa shows how the *Kauśika Sūtra* is the chief of the five *Kalpa Sūtras*, and promises that, though he will give the substance of the other *Sūtras* in explaining the *Viniyoga* of the *Atharva-Veda-Samhitā*, he will chiefly and largely quote from the *Kauśika*.

As for the object and the use of the *Atharva-Veda-Samhitā*, according to the author of the *Kalpa-Sūtras*, Sāyaṇa summarises the *Kauśika* as follows:—The performance of the fortnightly sacrifices; the creation of brain; obtaining success of the vow of celibacy; the acquisition of villages, towns, forts, and kingdoms; the acquisition of sons, cattle, wealth, corn, subjects, wives, elephants, horses, chariots, sedan-chairs, and other means of comfort; the acquisition of unity among the people; acquisition of good feeling; frightening away enemies and elephants; acquisition of victory in battles; the fencing away of shafts; the fencing away of swords and other weapons; the stupefying, routing, stopping, and destroying of hostile armies; the inspiring with bravery and the protection of one's own armies; finding out whether victory or defeat is to attend a given battle; the destruction of the commander of an army and similar high men; the throwing, in tracts travelled over by hostile armies, of charmed nets, swords, &c.; the mounting a chariot by a king desirous of victory; the beating of drums and all other instruments which have been charmed; the destruction of enemies; the restoration of a king dethroned by an enemy; the coronation of a king; the destruction of sin; about calamity; about fattening; the fattening of cows; the acquisition of prosperity; the tying of a talisman; the prosperity of cattle; the enriching of houses; the building of new halls; the letting-off of a bull, the application of medicinal remedies to curable diseases caused by sins committed in former existences; medicine for all diseases; medicines for fever, looseness, diabetes; stopping of blood flowing out from wounds made by weapons; the laying of devils, ghosts, demons, paroxysms, *Brahma rākṣasas*, and evil spirits haunting children; medicines for bile, cough, and wind; removal of heart diseases and jaundice; the curing of intermittent, diurnal, and remittent fever, of consumption and dropsy; destruction of worms; curing of vegetable, snake's, scorpion's, and other poison derived from immoveable or moveable things; medicines for diseases of the head, of the

eyes, of the nose, of the ear, of the tongue, of the throat, &c.; antidote against the curse of Brahmans and others; medicines for various diseases, such as carbuncles, easy parturition, consumption, and formation of the fetus; appeasing of the ire of kings and others; the knowledge of the means of obtaining what is desired; the warding off storms, lightning, and excessive rain; victory in meetings, in disputations, and reconciliations; making the rivers flow according to one's own desires; digging up of treasures; victory in gambling; reconciliation between the cow and the calf; obtaining peace to horses; obtaining profits in trade; liberating a woman from sin; entering a new house; purification of a house defiled by a dove, a crow, &c.; the warding off the effects of improper receipts of largesses; of improper sacrificing and officiating; warding off the effects of an evil dream; warding off the effects of a boy's birth under inauspicious stars; the repaying of debts; warding off the evil effects of bad auspices; warding off the effects of magic practised by others; performance of ceremonies connected with the birth, the naming, the saving, and the initiation of children; the performance of petty sacrifices; pacification on the occasion of many accidents, such as bleeding, the meeting with a demigod (*Yakṣa*) and demon, an earthquake, the sight of a comet, lunar and solar eclipses, &c.

Then follow similar but shorter summaries of contents of the other four *Kalpa Sūtras*.

As might have been expected, the *Commentary* (which contains both the text and the explanation) comes to the help of the reader of the edition of the text published by Profs. Whitney and Roth by supplying more correct and intelligible readings. This is especially the case, so far as I have hitherto seen, in the last two *Kāṇḍas*. In many places the readings of the MSS. relied upon by the editors are confirmed, and in some places their emendations are shown to have been correctly made. Thus in *Kāṇḍa* xix. 1, 3, Sāyaṇa reads and explains *gambhīraṇṇasah* instead of *gambhīraṇṇasah* of the printed edition. In xix. 4, 1, 8, Sāyaṇa reads and explains *mā mṛityorudagd vaśam* instead of *mā mṛityorupagā vaśam*. In xix. 4, 2, 2, Sāyaṇa reads and explains *drishṭastāpāyaṇam*. . . *ivābhīṇ santāpāyaṇam* instead of *drishṭastāpāyaṇam*. . . *ivābhīṇ santāpāyaṇam*, which appears to have been substituted as an emendation in the printed edition. In xix. 7, last verse but one, instead of *vadamānu* Sāyaṇa correctly reads and explains *vadamitā*, which is obviously the proper reading.

From the *Commentary on the Rigveda* we learn that Sāyaṇa was the minister (*dhurandhara*) of King B u k k a; here he calls himself the minister of King H a r i h a r a (*Śrīmadrājādhirājuparameś-*

varaśrī-Hariharamahārājasāmrajyadhurandhareṇa Sāyaṇāchāryeṇa virachite mādhanvīye Atharva-vedasamhitābhāṣhyo vedārthaprakāśe, &c., &c.).

The MS. is not very old, but may have been written within the present century. This justifies us in hoping that the missing portions of the *Commentary* may yet be found. But the portions in hand being on the most important parts of the Atharva collection, I propose editing the *Commentary*, incomplete as it is.

From Sāyana's introductory verses already quoted it also appears that he was different from Vidyāraṇya. Popularly Sāyana and Vidyāraṇya are believed to be one and the same individual, and Sāyana's *Commentary* on whatever work is called *Vidyāraṇya-bhāṣya*. Sāyana's respectful mention of Vidyāraṇya made in these verses now shows that the latter was a different individual from Sāyana, and different again from Sāyana's Guru or Teacher, whom Sāyana constantly mentions and refers to in language worthy of the Divine Being only, under the name of Vidyātīrtha-Mahesvara.

SHANKAR PANDURANG PANDIT.¹

With reference to the above, we have received the following communication from Mr. S. P. Pandit:—

Since I wrote to *The Academy*, I have been following various lines of search after the missing portions of Sāyana's *Commentary on the Atharva-Veda Samhitā*, but regret that I have not yet succeeded in obtaining any of the portions which are missing in the MSS. already in my possession, except that on *Kāṇḍa* xi. This was obtained from one of the sources which yielded the fragments described in the letter given above. Further search in the same places, though made with care and attention, has only made the hope of getting the needed portions of the *Commentary* less than ever likely to be immediately fulfilled. "It appears," says my esteemed friend Mr. Narasimayengar, of the Mysore Commission, to whom I owe the discovery of the *Commentary*, "heaps of Cadjan MSS. get spoiled and are thrown away into the Tungabhadra river periodically! It is possible the missing parts were lost in the same way." I am nevertheless hopeful that my enquiries in other quarters may yet succeed, and we may some day come into possession of the whole *Commentary*.

SHANKAR P. PANDIT.

Poona, 27th August 1880.

I have just read Prof. Shankar Pandurang Pandit's able and interesting letter on the discovery of a MS. of Sāyana's *Commentary on the Atharva-Veda-samhitā*, and I feel tempted to say

again what I said the other day, when I had to announce the discovery of Sanskrit texts in Japan, "It never rains but it pours." After we had been looking for years for a single MS. of Sāyana's *Commentary* on the so-called *Fourth Veda*, the same week brings us tidings of the discovery of two MSS. That a *Commentary* by Sāyana or Mādhanva on that Veda had once existed could hardly be doubted, but in reply to repeated enquiries addressed by me to my friends in India I always received the same answer, *Non est inventum*. The reason why I did not give up my belief in the existence of such a *Commentary* was because, so far back as 1846, in some statistic accounts of Vedic literature sent to Mr. J. Muir, and published by him in the *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal*, not only the name of the author of the *Commentary*, *scil.* Mādhanva, but the number of lines of his *Commentary on the Samhitā* and on the *Brāhmaṇa* was mentioned—viz., 80,000 for the former, 20,000 for the latter. That information seemed to me so important that I thought it right to call the attention of Sanskrit scholars to it afresh, particularly of those who were exploring India in search of MSS., and had it published therefore once more in my *Introduction to the Science of Religion*, 1873, p. 109. But though my friends Dr. Bühler, Kielhorn, Burnell, and others have kept a keen look-out for "Sāyana on the *Atharva-Veda*," and though rumours of its existence reached them from time to time, nothing tangible has ever come to light. So late as March 10, 1874, Dr. Burnell, that most indefatigable explorer of the ancient literature of India, wrote to me from Mangalore:—"For the same reason I doubt the report of the Benares Brahmans to Dr. Muir about an *Atharva-Veda* *Commentary*. I have so often had tales told me quite as precise which I have ascertained afterwards to be untrue that I am very little inclined to believe mere assertions." (See Preface to the sixth volume of my edition of *Rig-Veda*, p. xvii. note.) Now, at almost the same time that Mr. V. N. Narasimayengar discovered the MS. in Nandi Nāgari described by Prof. Shankar Pandurang Pandit, Dr. Bühler writes to me that he had an offer from a learned Brahman at Madras of a copy of Sāyana's long-sought-for *Commentary on the Atharva-Veda*, written in the Grantha alphabet. While the copy in Nandi Nāgari is incomplete, that in Grantha is said to be complete, so that Dr. Bühler hopes we may at last obtain, not only the missing Kuntāpa hymns, but also a more readable text of the nineteenth book of the *Atharva-Veda* than that hitherto accessible.

In the same letter (dated Ahmedabad, May 7, 1880) in which Dr. Bühler informs me of the dis-

¹ *The Academy*, 5th June 1880.

covery of the Grantha MS. of Sāyana, he sends me several other items of information which may be of interest to Sanskrit scholars, and which I feel at liberty to publish. "Among our new finds," he writes, "you will see a long list of Vedic. There is a *Pada-pāṭha* of the Mantras of the *Maitrāyaṇīya Saṁhitā* which is unique. It seems most opportune, as Dr. Schroeder intends publishing the book. I found that in Gujarāt, north of the Narinādā, there are still many Maitrāyaṇīyas, among them three so-called Śuklas, who recite the whole *Saṁhitā* from day to day. The others know little of their sacred writings. The Northern Atharvavedins are really, as the *Charaṇa vyākhaṇishya* asserts, all Paippalādins. But as they have lost their books they study the *Saunaka Saṁhitā* or the *Saṁhitā* of any other *Veda*." "What I have bought this year of *Vedica* consists of nearly one hundred numbers. For the other Śāstras there is also some new material, even some historical texts, and such scarce works as the *Pañchasiddhāntikā* of Varāhamihira. The *Sikṣatā Kosha*, according to Aufrecht the oldest *Kosha*, has been found. A little time ago a portion of a very old MS. of Sāyana's *Commentary on the R̥g-Veda-saṁhitā* was brought to me. It is written *initio sæc XV*! I collated some passages with your edition, and found that the MS. belonged to what you designate as the C. family. It is wonderful that that family should be so old. I shall go on collating some more of it."

The same letter contains some very important information about the discovery of new inscriptions and their bearing on the date of Buddha's death in 477 B.C.; but in regard to these matters I do not like to anticipate Dr. Bühler's own statements.

What is a matter of real congratulation in these discoveries is that they have been made on the very spot where they were expected to be made, and that hope deferred has at last been rewarded. We seldom find what we are looking for in exactly the place where we think it ought to be, and therefore the discovery of Sāyana's *Commentary on the Atharva-Veda*, after thirty-four years of search, in the South of India, i.e., in exactly the locality where it ought to have been, like the discovery of Sanskrit texts in Japan, is the best encouragement that could have happened in this field of research.

I cannot close this letter without stating that not only Japan, but China, too, is at last surrendering some of the literary treasures which, beginning with the first century of our era, and not

with the seventh, were poured into it from India. I have now the Sanskrit text of the *Vajracchedhika* and some other *Sūtras* published in China, and I hope soon to find leisure to report more fully on those new *trouvailles*.

F. MAX MÜLLER.

Oxford, June 5, 1880.¹

CINDERELLA—HEPHAESTUS—Kuvera.

Rev. S. Beal writing to the *Academy* (July 3, 1880, p. 11) thinks "we may find a probable explanation of the story of Cinderella in the far East. If we take the Russian variant Chornushka, which according to Ralston is derived from *chorna*, 'black,' her connexion with the figure known in Japan as Dai Gak²"—the 'Great black one' is at once suggested. Dai Gak³ is worshipped there as the god of riches. He is represented as a little man with a large sack on his shoulders and a hammer in his hand. His proper place is in the kitchen, and he is always found placed near the hearth." Hwen Thsang mentions him as Chinwang, and he is described⁴ "as a little black figure seated on the hearth and called Mahākāla (the mighty black one)." "In every case he is represented as a little dwarf, two or three feet high." Now in Smith's *Dictionary of Biog. and Mythology*, art. 'Hephaestus,' we find that "the Greeks frequently placed small dwarf-like figures of this god near the hearth, and these dwarfish figures seem to have been the most ancient." Hence, adds Mr. Beal, "in Aristophanes, *Aves* 435, we have the expression *πλησίον τοῦ ἐπιστάτου*, where *ἐπιστάτης* is thus described by the scholiast: 'simulacrum luteum Vulcani quod prope focum collocari solebat, idque sic dictum fuisse quod Vulcanus esset *ἐπιστάτης*, i.e. praeses et inspector ignis sive foci' (vide Suidas sub *ἐπιστάτης*, and Spanheim ad *Callimachum*, p. 172). And now, taking Max Müller's derivation of Hephaestus from *yarishtha*, i.e. the youngest, we have some light let in upon the question why Cinderella, who answers to the Norse Boots, is described as the youngest child and always sitting in the hearth⁵ among the ashes."

"But again, as to the connexion of Cinderella, or rather Cendreuse and the other variants, with the cow. This is at once explained by the myth that Hera was the mother of Vulcan. In the later form of the myth she was his husband-less mother, and under this form she is represented as disliking him on account of his deformity. This appears to be the origin of the idea of the step-

¹ From *The Academy*, June 12, 1880.

² See *Mém. sur les Cont. Occ.* tom. I. p. 43n; also pp. 30, and 319, tom. II. p. 224.—Ed.

³ See Mr. Lang's paper in *The Academy*, June 26, 1880, p. 474.

mother's dislike to the *cinder* wench. But Hera under the form of Io, and in other ways, is figured as a *cow*. The cow spinning the kilo of cotton⁺ refers plainly enough to the moon threading her course through the stars at night, while her death is explained by her monthly disappearance. Instead of 'light' then, I would take Cinderella to denote 'fire,' or 'flame,' which dies out and becomes black in the form of cinders, but when revived is beauti-

ful in its golden dress; and as the deformed Vulcan becomes the husband of the lovely Aphroditê, so, by an easy change of sex, Cinderella is chosen by the beautiful prince to be his wife.

"The identity of the Indian *Vaiśravana* with the Greek Hephæstus, is proved by the derivation *vaiśrvas* 'the renowned,' which is identical with the Homeric epithet, *περικλυτός*, always applied to Vulcan."

BOOK NOTICE.

LITERARY REMAINS OF DR. THEODORE GOLDSTÜCKER, 2 vols. 8vo. W. H. Allen & Co. London: 1879.

Goldstücker's premature death on the 6th March 1872, was a heavy blow to the progress of Sanskrit studies in England; his conscientiousness and energy impressed all those in communication with him, and his disinterestedness had much effect in raising the tone of Oriental studies in England, where selfish motives, not love of scientific truth, are too often the inducements to follow unattractive courses of study: the results of this offer a sad contrast to the work done in other countries.

The views Goldstücker adopted in respect of the value of the work of the native commentators, are already quite obsolete, and it would be difficult now to find a single scholar who follows him. He held the native commentaries far above the results attained by means of scientific philology, and said (e.g.): "when modern Sanskrit philology affords the spectacle of writers haughtily exaggerating these shortcomings, and combining with their would-be criticisms the pretence of establishing the true sense of the *Vedas* without the assistance of Mâdhava, a mere comparison of the commentary of the latter with what the European public is called upon to accept as its substitute, adds a new testimony to the vast superiority of the Hindu scholar over his European antagonists" (vol. I. pp. 101-2). The results already attained by European philologists are decisive on the question, and Goldstücker, if now alive, would, probably, materially modify the strong views he held. But his opposition did much good in its way, as the philologists had to look earnestly to the solidity of their work, and thus it happens that the dispute has been finally decided on really solid grounds. In this respect, Goldstücker's papers are rather valuable as materials for history of the past than as helps for the present.

In other respects, every Sanskritist will welcome these two volumes as valuable helps in present difficulties.

The first volume contains contributions to the *Encyclopædia Metropolitana* and Chambers' *Encyclopædia*, and consists of sixty-eight articles, some of considerable length, especially one on the *Vedas*. The Editor has carefully annotated these, and drawn attention, where necessary, to the results of more recent research; it thus constitutes a small Indian *Encyclopædia*.

The second volume contains six longer Essays, and a philological note. Among these are the famous Essay on Hindu Epic Poetry, originally published in the *Westminster Review*, and the remarkable pamphlet on the *Deficiencies in the Present Administration of Hindu Law*, which is just as true now as when it was written ten years ago. But if slow in coming, its result is certain.

It will thus be seen that a really excellent work has been done in bringing together papers of such value, and which were so inaccessible before, for the most part. But a little more might have been found; in Trübner's *Record* (e.g.) there are several summaries of papers which Goldstücker read before the Philological Society, but never published, and there is, also, his most remarkable review of Max Müller's *Prâtiçdakhya of the Rîgvêda*, printed in the *Academy* of July 9th 1870, which might well have been included. The biographical notice prefixed (16 pp.) is excellent; it is no secret that it is by the sympathetic and most competent pen of Dr. R. Rost.

An occasional error remains to be corrected here and there, e.g. the statement (I, p. 276) that the *Adbhuta-Brahmîna* is probably recent, and that it is not mentioned by *Sâyana*; it is part of the *Shadvîṅga* which *Sâyana* does mention.

All Sanskritists will welcome these volumes as a worthy memorial of a true scholar, and it is to be hoped that Mr. J. F. Fleet will now see his way to bringing out Goldstücker's *Sanskrit Grammar*.

A. B.

FOLKLORE IN THE PANJAB.

COLLECTED BY MRS. F. A. STEEL, WITH NOTES BY LIEUT. R. C. TEMPLE, B.S.C.,
F.R.G.S., M.R.A.S., &c.¹

No. 1.—FOLK-TALE.

*The Story of Bôpô Lûchî or Bopo the Trickster.*²

ONCE upon a time, five or six marriageable girls went to the well to draw water, and began talking of their betrothals and weddings. Said one, "My uncle³ is coming soon, and he will bring me the finest clothes imaginable." Said another: "My uncle-in-law⁴ is coming soon, and will bring the nicest, most delicious food you can think of." Said a third, "Well, my uncle is coming, I know, and is sure to bring the rarest jewels in the world."

But Bôpô Lûchî, the prettiest of them all, was silent. She was an orphan, and had no one to make a marriage for her. But she was proud, so she said gaily, "And my uncle is coming too, and will bring me fine dresses, fine food, and fine jewels."

Now a wandering pedlar of the kind called Wanjárâ⁵ who sell *Surnâ*⁶ and sweet-scented oils, wax and cosmetics, was sitting near the well, and heard what Bôpô Lûchî said. He was a *thag*⁷ in reality and was very rich. The very next day he disguised himself, and came to Bôpô Lûchî's house, bringing with him fine dresses, fine food and fine jewels. Everything was there

just as Bôpô Lûchî had said. He told her that he was her father's brother who had been away in another place for years, and now had come back to make her marriage with one of her cousins, his sons.

Bôpô Lûchî was ever so much pleased, packed up her belongings in a bundle, and set off with the *thag*. But when they were on the road a crow sat on a branch, and croaked—

Bôpô Lûchî!

Aqlon ghuthî,

Thag nâl thagî gayî.

Bôpô Lûchî!

You have lost your wits,

You have been deceived by a *thag*.⁸

"Uncle," said Bôpô Lûchî, "that crow croaks funnily, what does it say?" "Oh," answered the *thag*, "all crows make that noise about here."

A little further they met a peacock. As soon as it saw pretty Bôpô Lûchî it began to scream—

Bôpô Lûchî!

Aqlon ghuthî,

Thag nâl thagî gayî.

Bôpô Lûchî!

You have lost your wits,

You have been deceived by a *thag*.¹⁰

¹ Notes, criticisms, or remarks will be gladly received by the compilers.

² This story is not very common or well known. It was told by an old peasant from the Kasûr district near Lahore.

³ *Bôpô Lûchî*, *لوچا* *luchâ*, fem. *لوچى* *lûchî* Panjabi adjective signifying "wicked, worthless, unlucky." *ببو* *bôbô* or *بوى* *bôbî* 'sister, mother,' Panj. term of endearment. *بوپال* *bôpâl* common girl's name, Panj. In Hindi *बूब* *bûb* *bûbî* or *bôbô* is an elder sister, lady; *बूबो* *bûbô* also the breasts. According to the school girls, Firôzpûr, *bôpô* means a babblers and with the addition of *lûchî* would mean a trickster.—R. C. T.

⁴ *مامو* *mâmâ*, mother's brother.—R. C. T.

⁵ *پتياورا* or *پتياورا* *Patiaurâ* or *Patiaurâ*, husband's or father-in-law's younger brother, Panj. *پتی* *patî* is husband, master, lord, Panj. = Sansk.—*पति* *patî*, husband lord, etc., *पत* *pat*, to share, to rule.—R. C. T.

⁶ *بنجارا* or *بنجارا* *Banjârâ* or *Banjârâ*, Panj. a wandering pedlar dealing in spices; also a seller of grain. *ونج* or *وانج* *wanj* or *banjiz* is a bargain, trade.—R. C. T. *سرمه* *surnâ*, antimony used for blackening the eyelids.

⁷ *ٹھگ* *Thag* Hindi and Panj. a deceiver, whence that class of robbers who deceive and strangle travellers. The Hindi and Panjabi connected words are *टग* *thagî* Hind.

and Panj. a thief, rogue, fem. *ٹھگى* *thagî*, *ٹھگى* *thagî* the practice of murdering by thags, deceit; *ٹھگنا* *thagî* to deceive, with causative *ٹھگانا* *thagî* and *ٹھگنا* *thagî* to be deceived; Sansk. *स्यग* *sthaḡ* a rogue. In the Indian Penal Code a *thag* is defined as being a person habitually associated with others for the purpose of committing robbery or child-stealing by means of murder.—R. C. T.

⁸ *ببو لوچى*

مفلون گھٹوى

ٹھگ نال ٹھگى گئی

ٹھگى *Thagî*, second person singular past tense, local Firôzpûr pronunciation; *ٹھگنا* *thagî* Panj. to fail. *ٹھگنا* *thagî* to be lost. Proper Panj. form is *ٹھگنا* *thagî* to fail. *ٹھگنا* *thagî* in Hindi is to thrust oneself in, to enter. *ٹھگى گئی* *thagî gayî* you have been deceived. See note 8. *ٹھگ نال* *thag nâl*, Panj.-Hindi. *thag sê* with or by a thief. Dr. Fallon, *New Dict. Hindust.*, says *nâl* *नाल* is of Hindi origin, but I cannot find the word in Kellogg's *Hindi Grammar*.—R. C. T.

¹⁰ See Note 9.

"Uncle," asked Bôpô Lûchî, "that peacock screams funnily, what does it say?"

"Oh," answered the ðag, "all peacocks make that noise about here."

A little further they met a jackal—no sooner did it see pretty Bôpô Lûchî than it began to howl—

Bôpô Lûchî !

Aqlon ghuthî,

Þag nâl ðagî gayî.

Bôpô Lûchî !

You have lost your wits,

You have been deceived by a ðag.¹¹

"Uncle," said Bôpô Lûchî, "that jackal howls funnily, what does it say?"

"Oh," said the ðag, "all the jackals make that noise about here."

Then they arrived at the Þag's house, and he told her who he was, and that he intended to marry her himself, and she wept and cried. Then the Þag went out and left her in charge of his old, ever so old, mother. Now, Bôpô Lûchî had such beautiful hair that it reached down to her ankles, but the old mother hadn't a single hair on the top of her bald old head.

"Daughter," she said, as she was putting the bridal dress on Bôpô Lûchî, "how did you get such beautiful hair?"

"Well," said Bôpô Lûchî, "my mother made it grow. She pounded my head in the mortar¹² for husking rice, and at every stroke she gave with the pestle my hair grew longer and longer. It is a plan that never fails."

"Perhaps it would make my hair grow," said the old lady.

"Perhaps it would," said Bôpô Lûchî.

So the old woman put her head in the mortar, and Bôpô Lûchî pounded it so hard that the old woman died.

Then Bôpô Lûchî dressed the dead body in her scarlet bridal dress, seated it on the low bridal chair, and put the spinning wheel in front of it. Then she drew the veil well over the dead woman's face, put on the dead woman's clothes, and taking her bundle of things stepped out of the house as quickly as possible.¹³

¹¹ See Note 8.

¹² *اوکھلی* *ukhlî* Hind. a mortar, and *موسل* *mûsal* a pestle. Hind.—R. C. T.

¹³ The word used for the bridal chair is *पीठा* *pîrhâ*, this with its diminutive *पीठी* *pîthî* is a low seat or stool with a back like a chair used by women. The word is universal in many forms in Hindi and Panj. as *pidhâ*, *pidô* and *pishiyâ*.

On the road she met the Þag who was returning with a stolen mill-stone on his head. She was dreadfully frightened, so she slipped behind the hedge so as not to be seen. The ðag did not know her in his mother's dress, but thought she was some strange woman from another village, so he slipped behind the other hedge so as not to be seen. And so it was that Bôpô Lûchî ran away home safe.

When the ðag came to his house he saw the figure in bridal scarlet¹⁴ sitting on the bridal chair spinning, and thought it was Bôpô Lûchî. So he called to her to help him down with the mill-stone. But she didn't answer. So he called again, but still she didn't answer. Then he got angry, and throw the mill stone at her head. The figure toppled over, and lo! it was not Bôpô Lûchî but his own mother! Then the ðag wept and beat his breast, for he thought he had killed her. But when he found out Bôpô Lûchî had run away, he determined to bring her back somehow.

Now Bôpô Lûchî felt sure the ðag would try and carry her off, so every night she begged a night's lodging in a friend's house, and so her own little bed in her own little house stood empty. But at the end of a month she had slept in every friend's house for a night, and was ashamed to ask any of them again. So she determined to sleep at home whatever happened, but she took a bill-hook to bed with her. In the middle of the night four men came and lifted up her bed, and the ðag was behind her head. Bôpô Lûchî pretended to be fast asleep till they came to a wild deserted spot, and then she whipped out the bill-hook, and in a twinkling cut off the heads of the two men at the foot of the bed. Then she turned round quickly, and cut off the head of one of the men at the head of the bed, but the Þag who was the fourth ran away, and scrambled up a tree like a wild cat before she could cut off his head.

"Come down," said Bôpô Lûchî, "and fight it out."

But he wouldn't come down. So Bôpô Lûchî gathered all the sticks she could find, piled them

The Sansk. form is *पीठा* *pîthâ* a seat. The diminutive *pîthî* also means the pangs of childbirth, etc. but this is probably quite a different word connected with the Sansk. *पिष्ट* *pîṣṭh*, to be afflicted, suffer pain.—R. C. T.

¹⁴ The bridal scarlet, a universal custom among all Panjab tribes and classes. Every bride, however poor, wears a dress of scarlet and gold for six months, and is rich for two years.—R. C. T.

round the tree, and set fire to them. Then the tree caught fire, and there was so much smoke that the Thag was obliged to throw himself down and was killed. Then Bôpô Lûchî went to the Thag's house and carried off all the gold and silver jewels and clothes. And after that Bôpô Lûchî was so rich that she could marry any one she pleased.

No. 2.—FOLK-TALE.

The Sparrow and the Crow.

This is a very common tale among Hindûs and Muhammadans in the Firôzpûr, Siâlkôt, and Lâhôr districts.

A sparrow and a crow once agreed to cook *Khijrî*¹ for their dinner. The crow brought pulse² and the sparrow rice, and the sparrow cooked the *khijrî*. When it was ready the crow came to claim his share. "No," said the sparrow, "you are dirty, go and wash your beak in the tank yonder, and after that sit down to dinner."

So the crow went to the tank, and said—

*Tû Chhappar Dâs,
Main Kâng Dâs,
Deô paneriyâ,
Dhûwên chucheriya,
Khâwên khijeriya,
Dêkh chiriyâ kâ chûchla,
Main kâng sapariyâ.³*

¹ *کھجری* *khijrî* properly *کھجری* *khichrî*, a dish of rice and dâl (دال) the peas of various pulses prepared for cooking, etc.). *Khichrî* is usually prepared from *مونگ* *mung* pulse (Panj. *منگی* *mungî*), but some versions of this tale make it to be made from the *مانر* *mânr* (Panj. = Hind *ma'ash* *ma'ash*) pulse.—R. C. T.

² *مونگ کی دال* or *معاش* *mung* or *ma'ash kî dâl*, see note 1.—R. C. T.

تو چھپڑ داس
مین کانگ داس
دیو پنریا
دھوین چوچریا
کھاوین کھجریا
دیکھ چریا کا چوچلہ
مین کانگ سپریا

چھپڑ *chhappar* Panj. a tank. *کانگ* *kâng* or *کان* *kân* Panj. a crow—Hind. *کوا* *lawâ*. *پنریا* *paneriyâ* and the other words *چوچریا* *chucheriya* *کھجریا* *khijeriya* are

You're Mr. Tank,
I am Mr. Crow,
Give me water
That I may wash my beak,
And eat my *khijrî*.
See the bird's playfulness,
I am a clean crow.

But the tank said: "I will give you water if you will go to the deer, break off one of its horns, and dig a hole in the ground close by me, and then I'll let my water run in clean and fresh. So the crow went to the deer, and said—

*Tû Hiran Dâs,
Main Kâng Dâs,
Tû deo singarwa,
Main khôdûn chalarwa,
Niklûn panarwa,
Dhûwên chunjarwa,
Khâwên khijarwa,
Dêkh chiriyâ kâ chûchla,
Main kâng saparwa.**

You are Mr. Deer,
I am Mr. Crow,
You give me a horn,
And I will dig a hole,
And take out the water,
That I may wash my beak,
And eat my *khijrî*.
See the bird's playfulness,
I am a clean crow.

merely made to assume this form for the song and represent respectively *پانی* *pânî*, water, *چونچ* *chunch* (Panj. *چونچ* *chunj*), a bird's beak, and *کھجری* *khijrî*, while the final word *سپریا* *sapariyâ* represents the Arab. adj. *صاف* *sâf*, clean.—R. C. T.

تو ہرن داس
مین کانگ داس
تو دیو سنگروا
مین کھردون چلروا
نکلون پنروا
دھوین چروا
کھاوین کھجروا
دیکھ چریا کا چوچلہ
مین کانگ سپروا

In this song and those following it the rhyming fanciful termination is *اروا* *arwa*, the terminal words being *منگ* *sing*, Panj. = Hind. *سینگ* *sing*, a horn, *چلاو* *chlaw* Panj. a hole, and the remainder as before.—R. C. T.

But the deer said—"I'll give you my horn if you will give me some buffalo's milk, for then I shall grow fat, and breaking my horn won't hurt me." So the crow went to a buffalo and said—

*Tu Bhains Dās,
Main Kāng Dās,
Tū dēo dūdhārwa,
Pīlāwēn himarwa,
Tōrēn singlarwa,
Khōdēn chalarwa,
Nikālēn panarwa,
Dhōwēn chunjarwa,
Khāwēn khijarwa,
Dēkh chiriyā kū chūchla.
Main kāng saparwa.⁵*

You are Mrs. Buffalo,
I am Mr. Crow,
You give me milk,
That I may give it the deer to drink,
And break his horn,
And dig the hole,
And take out the water,
And wash my beak,
And eat my khijri.
See the bird's playfulness,
I am a clean crow.

But the buffalo said—"Bring me some grass first, and I'll give you milk."

So the crow went to some grass, and said—

*Tū Ghās Dās,
Main Kāng Dās,
Tū dēo ghasarwa,
Pāwēn bhainsarwa,
Chōwēn dūdhārwa,
Pīlāwēn himarwa,
Tōrēn singlarwa,
Khōdēn chalarwa,
Nikālēn panarwa,*

تو بهینس داس
مین کانگ داس
تو دیو دودھروا
پلاوین ہرنروا
توزین سنگروا

remainder as before. In this the new terminal words are دودھ *dūdh*, milk, and ہرن *hīran*, a deer.—R. C. T.

تو گھاس داس
مین کانگ داس
تو دیو گھسروا
پاوین بھینسروا
چروین دودھروا

*Dhōwēn chunjarwa,
Khāwēn khijarwa.
Dēkh chiriyā kū chūchla
Main kāng saparwa.⁶*

You are Mr. Grass,
I am Mr. Crow,
You give me some grass,
That I may give it the buffalo,
And take her milk,
And give it the deer to drink,
And break his horn,
And dig the hole,
And take out the water,
And wash my beak,
And eat my khijri,
See the bird's playfulness,
I am a clean crow.

But the grass said—"Get a spade first, and then you can dig me up."

So the crow went to a blacksmith, and said—

*Tū Lohār Dās,
Main Kāng Dās,
Tū dēo pharwa,
Main khōdūn ghasarwa,
Khildwēn bhainsarwa,
Chōwēn dūdhārwa,
Pīlāwēn himarwa,
Tōrēn singlarwa,
Khōdēn chalarwa,
Nikālēn panarwa,
Dhōwēn chunjarwa,
Khāwēn khijarwa,
Dēkh chiriyā kū chūchla,
Main kāng saparwa.⁷*

You are Mr. Blacksmith,
I am Mr. Crow,
You give me a spade,
And I will dig the grass,
That I may give it the buffalo to eat,

remainder as before. Terminal words are گھاس *ghās*, grass, بھینس *bhains* a buffalo. دودھ *dūdh*, milk. پانا *pāna* *bhainsarwa*—that the buffalo may obtain it. پانا *pāna* to obtain. چروین *chōwēn* Panj. (Hind. دوہنا *dōhna*) to milk, *chōna* Hind. is to ooze.—R. C. T.

تو لوہار داس
مین کانگ داس
تو دیو پھروا
مین گھودون گھسروا
کھلاوین بھینسروا

remainder as before.—R. C. T.

And take her milk,
And give it the deer to drink,
And break his horn,
And dig the hole,
And take out the water,
And wash my beak,
And eat my khijri.
See the bird's playfulness,
I am a clean crow.

"With pleasure," said the blacksmith, "if you will light the fire and blow the bellows."

So the crow began to light the fire and blow the bellows, and in so doing fell into the middle of the fire and was burnt.

So that was the end of him, and the sparrow ate all the khijri.

No. 3.—FOLK TALE.

*The Lord of Death.*¹

Told by a North-West² boy, who heard it from his grandmother:—

Once upon a time there was a road, and every one who travelled along it died. Some said they were killed by a snake, and others said they were killed by a scorpion.

Now a very old man was travelling along the road, and he sat down on a stone to rest, and on the stone beside him he saw a scorpion as big as a cock, and as he looked at it, it changed into a snake. He was wonderstruck, and determined to follow it to see what it really was. So he followed it at a little distance.

One day it went into an inn and killed several travellers; another day it crept into the king's house and killed him. Then it crept up the waterspout to the women's rooms, and killed the king's young daughter, and always when the sound of weeping and wailing arose, it went on its way. All this time the old man followed it, but never spoke, so it took no notice of him.

Then in the road came a broad deep river, and the snake changed to a handsome buffalo with brass necklace and bells. Now on the bank of the river sat some poor travellers who had no money to pay the ferry; when they saw the buffalo they said, "This beast is going to its home across the river; let us get on its back and hold on to its tail, and so get over the stream." So they got on its back, and

held on to its tail, and the buffalo swam bravely with them to the middle of the river. Then it began to kick till they had to let go; so they were all drowned, but when the old man who was following in a ferry boat got across there was no buffalo to be seen, only a beautiful ox. A peasant saw the ox wandering about, and being struck by covetousness, lured it to his house. It was very gentle, and suffered itself to be tied up with the other beasts; but in the middle of the night it changed into a snake and bit all the cattle till they died. Then it crept into the house, and killed all the sleeping folk. After that it escaped. The old man followed it, but never spoke, so it took no notice of him.

Presently they came to another river, and then the snake changed into the likeness of a beautiful young girl covered with jewels and fair to see. Now two brothers, soldiers, came that way, and as they approached the girl began to cry. "What is the matter," asked they, "that you so young and beautiful sit by the river alone?"

The girl answered—"My husband was even now taking me home, and there was no ferry boat, so he went down to the stream to look, and fell to washing his face, when he tumbled in, and was drowned. I have neither friends nor relations left." Said the eldest of the brothers, who was enamoured of her beauty, "Come with me, and I will marry you." "On one condition," said the girl, "you must never ask me to do any household work, and whatever I ask for, you must give me." "As a slave will I obey you," cried the elder brother. "Then go and fetch me a draught of water from the well," said the girl, "your brother shall stay with me."

The elder brother did as he was bid, and went to the well. Then the snake girl said to the younger, "Fly with me, I love you. I don't care for your brother. It was only a trick to get him away." "Nay," said the younger, "you have promised him, and are now as my mother."

Then the girl was angry, and began to weep and wail, and when the elder brother came back with the water, she cried "Oh! what a villain

¹ ملك الموت *Malik al-maut*—the Lord of Death, a common object of belief.—R. C. T.

² پوربیا *Pārbīā*—properly Eastern, but applied in the Panjāb to the inhabitants of the North-West Provinces.—R. C. T.

this is. He asked me to fly with him, and bid me leave you, my husband." Then there was great anger in the elder brother's heart, and he drew his sword and fought all the day long with his brother, till in the evening they both died.

Then the girl changed to a snake again, and afterwards to an old, old man with a white beard reaching to his waist. At last the old man who had followed the snake so long took courage, laid hold of him, and asked—"Tell me

who you are." The old, old man said: "Some people call me the Lord of Death, and I go about to bring death to the world." Then said the old man—"Give me death, for I am old and have followed you far." But the Lord of Death said: "Not so. I only give death to those whose years are full, and you have yet sixty years of life before you." Then the old, old man vanished, but whether he was the Lord of Death or a devil, who can tell?

THE YERAKALA LANGUAGE.

BY THE REV. J. CAIN.

Whilst staying a few days in Râjamahend-rivaram (Rajahmundry) in 1879, I was asked to help in drawing up a vocabulary of the Erakala language to be sent to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras. Two of the Assistant Masters of the Provincial College did most of the work, my part being chiefly that of suggesting the line of questioning the intelligent Yeraka brought to us. These two Masters afterwards drew up an interesting paper, which was sent to the Dir. Pub. Instr., Madras. Probably the information we gleaned on that occasion may be of use to some of the readers of the *Indian Antiquary*. For other notices of these people see vols. III. p. 151, V. p. 188, and VIII. pp. 106, 219. They must not be confounded with another class—the Elakalavanḍlu, a people who eat rats, hence their name; *elaka* = a rat; although the Erakalavanḍlu will not disdain the flesh of the mongoose and cat.

Amongst themselves they call each other 'Kuluvuru,' but the Telugu people call them Erakavaru or Erakalavasa, and this name has been derived from the Telugu word *eruka*, which means knowledge or acquaintance, as they are great fortune-tellers.

English.	Erakala.	Tamil.	Telugu.
Father	Tâpan	Tagappan	
Mother	Tâi	Tâi	
Father (familiar term)	Āva	Appan	
Mother (familiar term)	Amma	Ammam	Amma
Elder brother	Anna	Annan	Anna
Younger brother	Tembi	Tambi	Tammaḍu
Elder sister	Akka	Akkāḷ	Akka
Younger sister	Tevise	Tengachchi	
		Tangai	

English.	Erakala.	Tamil.	Telugu.
Wife	Ponḍu	Penḍāṭi Penḍu	Penḍlamu
Husband	Monāgam		Mogadu Tata Tatayya
Grandfather	Tâtam	Tâtan	
Son	Moganu	Magan	
Daughter	Mogulu	Magaḷ	
Brother-in-law	Mechchunu	Machchinan	
Father-in-law	Mama	Mâman	Mama
Son-in-law	Merumogannu	Marumagan	
Daughter-in-law	Merumogulu	Marumagaḷ	
Grandson	Pêtam	Pêran	
Granddaughter	Pêti	Pêṭṭi	
Uncle	Soṭṭam		
Sister-in-law	Nanga	Nangai	
Person	Keruvu	Pêr	
Boy	Gunṭam		
King	Karagada		
Ear	Kâḍâna	Kâḍu	
Eye	Kan	Kaṇ	Kannu
Mouth	Vâi	Vâi	Vai (Noru)
Nose	Mûkana	Mûkku	Mukku
Hand	Kei	Kai	Kai (Cheyyi)
Leg	Kâl	Kâl	Kalu
Tongue	Nâk	Nâkk	Naluka
Tooth	Pelu	Pal	Pallu
Head	Onḍu		
Finger	Êlu		Velu
Neck	Kegan		
House	Ūḍu	Vîḍu	Vîḍu (a city)
Entrance	Vâsali	Vâsal	Vakili
Ox	Mâḍu	Mâḍu	
Sheep	Âḍu	Âḍu	
Pig	Panḍri	Panḍri	Pandi
Cat	Pâne	Pânai	
Fish	Mina	Mîn	Minamu
Crane	Kokku	Kokku	Konga

English.	Yerakala.	Tamil.	Telugu.
Crocodile	Modala	Modalai	
Dog	Nâi	Nâi	
Mongoose	Kiri	Kari	
Squirrel	Ani	Ani	
Rat	Eni	Eli	Elika or Eluka
Bird	Kunzu	Kurruvi	
Snake	Tôna		
Hair	Mogaru	Mayir	
Stone	Kellu	Kallu	Kallu (Bai)
Tree	Maram	Maram	
Leaf	Ela	Ilai	
Branch	Kommu	Kombu	Kommu
Unripe fruit	Lêtakapana- mu		Leta (young)
Fruit	Pagam	Paṛam	
Bark	Beraḍu		Beraḍu
Toddy	Oḍu		
Palm- tree	Panjedî Panamaram	Panamaram	
Grass	Gaḍḍi		Gaḍḍi
Rice	Eriṣo	Ariṣi	
Rice(boiled)	Sôru	Sôru	
Cholam	Chôlam	Chôlam	
Ragi.	Kôvuru	Kevuruku	
Plough	Nagali		Nagali
Salt	Nonan		
Knife	Kolle		
Sun	Proddu	Porudu	Proddu
Sunshine	Oga		
Moon	Nela	Nilâ	Nela
River	Âru	Âru	Eru
Tank	Êri	Êri	
Well	Gendra	Kindra	
Water	Tanni	Taṇṇir	
Earth	Terra	Tarai	
Footstep	Aḍugu	Aḍi	Aḍugn
Mountain	Konda	Kundra	Konda
Stream	Nâg		
Rain	Maga	Maṛai	
Mat	Tsâpa		Tsâpa
Sweetness	Tipu	Titippu	Tipu
Sour	Puli	Puli	Puli
Bitter	Kochchu	Kaṣappu	
Whiteness	Vulapu	Veluppu	Vali (Tella)
Black	Kar	Karu	Kar (Nalla)
Great	Beru	Peru	Peru (Pedda)
Small	Chiru	Chinna	Chiru(chinna)
Yellow	Manza	Manzal	
Red	Erra		Erra
Male	Avala	Âl, ân	

Nouns.

Plural number.

The plural terminations resemble the plural terminations of Tamil nouns far more than those of Telugu nouns. The principal plural

endings are *galu, g, lu, maru, and ru*. *Galv, g,* and *lu* seem to be pluralising particles of the neuter and *maru* and *ru* epicene pluralising particles.

Kegan, adu, kapanam, and madu all form their plural by the addition of *galu*. [Tamil *gal*].

Uḍu, kunzu, modala, nal, pelḷu, onḍu, elu, and *kalu* by the addition of *g* only. [In common Tamil the *l* of *gal* is little heard.]

Kei vai, pune, pandri, ar, er, vasilî, kari, ani, and *eni* by affixing *lu*, as in Telugu.

Tupan, ara, tembi, annam, tatam, appa, akka, teriṣe, poṇḍu, monagam, maganu, and magalu, by the epicene pluralising particle *maru*.

Gender.

There seems to be "no mark of gender inherent in, or inseparably annexed to the nominative of any noun." The prefix *avali* is used to denote the masculine gender.

Case.

"All case-relations" seem to be "expressed by means of postpositions or postpositional suffixes." With the exceptions of *keili, kori* and *madu* most of these suffixes are the same as used in Telugu. This may perhaps be due to local influence, and further south other forms may be used. One paradigm is given:—

Nominative.	Sedi.	
Accusative.	Ŝediva.	
Instrumental.	Ŝedikeli.	
Conjunctive.	Ŝediṭoti.	[ôḍu. Tam.]
Dative.	Ŝediki.	[ku. Tam.]
Ablative of motion.	Ŝedinunchi.	[nindu. Tam.]
Genitive.	Ŝedimuḍu.	[uḍaiya. Tam.]
Locative.	Ŝedikoli.	[uḷ Tam.]
Vocative.	Ŝedi.	

The neuter demonstrative gentives are *ad* and *ayyalu*. As will be seen below *ad* is he, and *ayyalu* they.

The Adjective.

The two Assistant Masters above mentioned thought that "adjectives generally appear to be formed by adding *a* and *i* either to the crude form or the crude form modified by doubling the final consonant, or by adding some inflexional increment as *thu*." But I think I should prefer seeing a larger vocabulary of adjectives before coming to a decided opinion.

The Numerals.

English.	Erakala.	Tamil.	Telugu.	Canarese.
One	Onđu	Onđu	Oka Onđu	Onđu
Two	Ređu	Irându	Ređu	Erađu
Three	Mnđu	Mânru	Mađu	Muru
Four	Nalugu	Nâlu	Nalugu	Nalku
Five	Anju	{ Eindu } { Añju }	Eidu	Eidu
Six	Aru	Âru	Aru	Arn
Seven	Ogu	Eru	Eđu	Elu
Eight	Ottu	Etđu	Enimidi	Enđu
Nine	Onbadu	Onbadu	Tomnidi	Ombhatđu
Ten	Pottu	Pattu	Padi	Hattu
Twenty	Iruvadu	Irubadu	Iruvai	
Thirty	Muppadu	Mûppadu	Muppai	
Forty	Naluvadu	Nârpadu	Nalabhai	

The Pronoun.

English.	Yerakala.	Tamil.	Telugu.
I	nenu	yân, nân	nenu
My	nanga	en	na
Me	nanna	enne	nannu
We (exclusive)	nangal	nâm	memu
(inclusive)	nangal	nângal	manamu
Our (exclusive)	nambar	nammadaiya	ma
(inclusive)	nambar		mana
Us (exclusive)	nangalva	namme	mammunu
(inclusive)	nengalva	nengalai	
Thou	ninu	nî	nivu
Thy	ninga	unnoḍaya	nî
Thee	ninna	unnai	ninnu
You	ningal	ningal	miru
Your	ningal	ungalodaya	ni
You	ningalpa	ungalai	mimmunu
He	ad	avan	vadu
His	asaga	avanuḍayya	vani
Him	atta	avanai	vani
They	ayyalu	avar, or avargal	varu
Their	asaga	avaruḍayya	vari
Them	asal, or asalgulna	avarai	varini

The Demonstrative Pronouns are *ad* and *id*,
the Interrogative *ed*.

*The Verb.**To see.**Present Tense.*

English.	Yerakala.
I see	nonu patikkere
Thou seest	niuu patikaṭikkira
He sees	ad patikeṭikkiru
We see	nangal patikeṭikkiru
You see	ningal patikeṭikkiranga
They see	ayyalu patikeṭikkirum

Past Tense.

English.	Yerakala.
I saw	nenu pate
Thou sawest	ninu pata
He saw	ad patchu
We saw	nangal pato
You saw	ningal patanga
They saw	ayyalu patchum

Future Tense.

English.	Yerakala.
I will see	nenu pakke
Thou wilt see	ninu pakka
He will see	ad pakoku
We will see	nangal pakko
You will see	ningal pakkanga
He will see	ayyalu pakakum

*To eat.**Present Tense.*

English.	Yerakala.
I eat	nenu unḍukeṭikkire
Thou eatest	ninu unḍukeṭikkira
He eats	ad unḍukeṭikkiru
We eat	nangal unḍukeṭikkiru
You eat	ningal unḍukeṭikkiranga
They eat	ayyalu unḍukeṭikkirum

Past Tense.

English.	Yerakala.
I ate	nenu unḍo
Thou atest	ninu unḍa
He ate	ad unḍu
We ate	nangal unḍo
You ate	ningal unḍanga
They ate	ayyalu unḍum

Future Tense.

English.	Yerakala.
I will eat	nenu unke
Thou wilt eat	ninu unka
He will eat	ad ungaku
We will eat	nangal unko
You will eat	ningal unkanga
They will eat	ayyalu unkakum

The Infinitive seems to be formed by adding *a* to the root, and the verbal noun by adding *am* or *am* to the infinitive, as in Telugu.

Having seen = *pati*; seeing = *patikeṭi*.

The similarity of the termination *ikkir* to the Tamil cannot but strike the most careless listener. The addition of *k* to the root in forming the future is said to be not unknown in some old Tamil words. It is not—*alla*; there is not—*illa*. [In old Tamil, *ungu*—‘I shall eat,’ *ungum*—‘we shall eat’.]

The Adverb.

This is generally formed as in Telugu by adding *ga* to adjectives and nouns.

Probably vocabularies gathered from districts farther south might give slightly different results.

CHINGHIZ KHAN AND HIS ANCESTORS.

BY HENRY H. HOWORTH, F.S.A.

(Continued from p. 95.)

II.

Having discarded the earlier part of the genealogy of the Mongol Imperial house as really belonging to the Turks, we will resume our story at the point where we showed there was an actual break in the legendary descent. As we have seen the Saga makes Dobo Mergen marry Alun Goa.

The *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* goes on to say that once when Dobo was hunting on the hills Tokhochakh,¹ he met a man of the district Uriangka,² who was cooking a stag which he had killed. Dobo having asked him for some of it, he detached the offal for his own use, and presented his guest with all the rest. Dobo tied it on his horse, and wended homewards. On the way he met a poor beggar with his son. The former said he belonged to the tribe of Makhali Bayau. He asked him for the deer, offering his son in exchange for it. This was agreed to by Dobo, who accordingly took the boy home with him, and brought him up in his house as his attendant. Ssanang Setzen, who mentions this boy, calls him Makhali of the race or tribe Bayagod.³ The Bayagod are elsewhere named by him.⁴ They were doubtless the Bayant of Rashidu'd-dîn, who says they were divided into two sections, the Jida Bayant living on the river Jida, doubtless the tributary of the Selenga so called, and the Kehrun Bayant living on the steppe or plain.⁵ Abulghazi has corrupted Kehrun into Mekrin.⁶ The Bayant were very probably a Turkish tribe. According to the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*, after the death of Dobo Mergen, Alun Goa had three sons, named Bukhu Kataghi, Bukhatu Salji and Budantsar—the Bughu Khataki, Bughu Saljigho and Budantsar Mong Khan of Ssanang Setzen.⁷ This posthumous birth aroused the suspicions of Belgetei and Begontoi, her older

sons, who began to talk together, and to suggest that their guest, the boy Makhali, might know something of the paternity of the new arrivals; whereupon their mother, who was cooking some hard-frozen mutton, summoned them to her, and explained how during several nights a man of a blonde complexion had entered her yurt or tent through the hole in its summit, and that a ray of light which came from him penetrated her womb, after which he disappeared in the sunlight in the guise of a yellow dog. "It is quite plain," she added, "that the three boys are of divine origin, and you cannot compare them to ordinary people. When they become kings and princes you will recognise this." Then telling them all to cling together, and relating to them the world-famous parable of the bundle of faggots, which when tied together could not be broken, while each individual stick was exceedingly frail, she died. This is the story as told in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*. The story, it will be seen, makes Budantsar, who is treated as the stem-father of the Mongol Imperial house, the son of Alun Goa, and a divine father, and clearly establishes the fact which we argued in the former paper that the Dobo Mergen and his ancestors are really strangers to the pedigree of the Mongol Emperors which begins *de novo* with Alun Goa. The story of the supernatural birth of her sons has its parallel in several other Eastern tales. The Siamese story of Sommonasodom, who was born of a maiden who had been fertilised by the sun, is a case in point. Elsewhere we have in the sagas of the origin of the royal dynasties among the northern frontagers of China several parallels. Tan-che-lo-wi, the leader of the Siaupi, was conceived by a widow, into whose open mouth a thunderbolt entered while she was gazing upwards. Apaokhi, the founder of the

¹ Probably some part of the Kentei chain.

² This name merely means 'woods'. The name Uriangkut or 'woodmen' was however specifically applied to certain tribes, one of these, to which the famous Mongol leader Subutai Behadur belonged, was the guardian of Chinghiz Khan's tomb. According to Rashidu'd-dîn this tribe was descended from those who came out of Irgeneh Kun (Erdmann, *op. cit.* p. 196) by which, as we have seen, he means the Turks, and to this day the Turks of the Chulim are called Uriangkhai by their neighbours, from living in woods, but the people referred to in the passage we are discussing were probably the southern Samoyedes

or Soyots, called Uriangkut-Pishch by Rashidu'd-dîn, and Uriangkhai to this day by the Chinese. They live between the Sayanian mountains and the Khanglai and Altai chains on the river Ates, which flows into lake Ubsa, and the Baichus which falls into the Altan or Telezkoi lake.—*Asia Polyglotta*, pp. 146 and 224.

³ *Op. cit.* p. 59.

⁴ *Op. cit.* pp. 89, 183, and 251.

⁵ *Kehreh* means a plain; Erdmann, *Vollständige Uebersicht*, etc. p. 155.

⁶ *Op. cit.* Ed. Desmaisons, p. 60.

⁷ *Op. cit.* p. 59.

Khitan dynasty, was the outcome of a virgin who had been impregnated by a ray from the sun.⁹

Similar stories are told about Aishin Giyoro, the reputed founder of the present Manchu dynasty, but the legend which has the most analogy with the one we are discussing, and from which the latter was probably derived, is that referring to the early history of the Uighurs, the dominant Turkish race in Eastern Asia, in the earlier half of the ninth century, and who, as I have shown, were identical with the nation called Bede in the Mongol legends. Of this legend we have two rescensions, one of them is derived from an inscription discovered during the reign of Ogotai Khakan, the successor of Chinghiz Khân on the site of Karakorum as reported by Rashidu'd-din. This inscription ran as follows: "At a place called Kumlanju situated at the junction of the rivers Tula and Selinga, which rise in the Karakorum mountains, there were two trees close together, one of them a fistuk tree, resembling a pine, evergreen like a cypress, and with cone-like fruit; the other, a wild pine. Between these two trees a hillock appeared, upon which a stream of light descended from heaven; whereupon the hillock began to grow, and marvellous things were seen about it. Just after the lapse of the period of a woman's pregnancy, the hillock opened, and five hillocks resembling tents were seen. In each tent was a little boy; and to these boys the people paid the greatest respect. The youngest of them, called Buku-tegin, was very intelligent, and subsequently the Uighurs made him their Khân."¹⁰

The other rescension of the Saga is preserved in the biography of the Uighur chief Barchu as given in the *Yuan-shi*. In this we read that there was in the country where the Uighurs originally lived a mountain called Ho lin,¹¹ from which the two rivers Tula and Selinga take their rise. It happened once in the night-time that a stream of light fell from heaven upon a tree standing between the two rivers, whereupon the tree began to swell like a pregnant woman, and in nine months and ten days gave birth to five sons.

The youngest received the name of Buko Khân; he was afterwards elected king, and subdued the neighbouring countries.¹² The mound of tree which became pregnant when struck with a sun-beam, and bore five sons, is assuredly the prototype of Alun Goa and her five sons, a conjecture which becomes almost a certainty when we find that two of these sons are given the name of Bukhu or Buglu, which was the very name borne by the stem-father of the Uighur kings.

The two sons whom Alun Goa had by Dobo, I believe to be an importation into the legend. They were perhaps evolved by some mistake out of Belgetei and Bekter, brothers of Chinghiz Khân, to whom we shall refer presently.

The whole tale therefore crumbles into legend directly we apply criticism to it, and the only part of it of any value is the fact which it apparently attests that the Katakins, Saljiut, and Mongols were the three senior tribes of the Mongol confederacy, and that the Mongols claimed a divine origin for their race, whence the name of Nirans or Naranu, *i.e.* children of light or of the sun, applied by Rashidu'd-din to all the true Mongols who traced their mythical descent from Alun Goa. Rashid treats her as an historical person, and she also heads the genealogy of the Mongol Khâns given in the *Yuan-shi*. The former argues that from the history of Chingiz Khân preserved in the Imperial Treasury,¹² and from the evidence of very old witnesses she lived four centuries before his time, and during the domination of the early Abassides and Samanis.¹³ It is more interesting to turn to the Mongol reports as to her origin. Rashidu'd-din states more than once that she belonged to the tribe of the Kurnlas, but he does not name her father or grandfather.¹⁴

The *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* gives more details. It says that in former times the ruler of Kolbar-kuchin, Bargudai Merdan, had a daughter called Bugoljin Goa,¹⁵ whom he gave in marriage to Khorilartai Mergen of the horde Khoritu Madun called Khoritai Mergen of the Khoyar Tumed tribe by Ssanang Setzen. Their daughter was Alun Goa. It having been forbidden to capture sables in the district of Khoritu,

⁹ Erdmann, *Temudschin der Unererschütterliche*, p. 537 *note*.

¹⁰ Bretschneider, *Notices of Medieval Geography*, &c. p. 126.

¹¹ *i.e.* the Chinese form of Karakorum.

¹² *Id.* pp. 120 and 121.

¹² *i.e.* from the *Altan Desser*.

¹³ D'Ohsson, *Histoire des Mongols*, tom. 1, p. 24 *note*.

¹⁴ Abulghazi, ed Desmaisons, p. 64, *note* 8.

¹⁵ The *Beraguojin Goa* of the *Altan Topchi* and *Ssanang Setzen*.

Madun Khorilartai had moved with his family to the neighbourhood of the Burkhan mountains, where he had heard they were plentiful, and where the ruler was called Shinchiboyan. Let us now try and analyse this statement. Kolbarkuchin or Gol Barkuchin, *i. e.* the river Barkuchin, was a famous feeder of lake Baikal, and is still known under the name of Barguzin or Barkujin, giving its name to the town of Barguzinsk, while from it the country south-east of lake Baikal is still known as Bargu or Barakhu.¹⁶ It is called the plain of Bargu by Marco Polo,¹⁷ and is called Bargujin Tugum by Rashidu'd-din.¹⁸ Georgi in describing the river Barguzin says it is so called by the Buriats and Tunguses, who are thinly scattered along its banks. It springs from a small lake in the mountains. These mountains also give birth to the Maslen, a feeder of the Angara and the China which falls into the river Witim. The river and its tributaries water a district, part of which is very fertile, and is called the steppe of Barguzin. The district, especially on the banks of the Chirkan and Koluktei, two small feeders of the Barguzin, is covered with traces of ancient agriculture and with graves similar to those on the Argada and Karga. These graves are marked by stone mounds. In these are found weapons, stirrups, etc. The remains of fields shew the Barguts to have been agriculturists. Small ploughshares of cast iron are still found in them, and there is a tradition that they could make cloth out of birch trees. These primitive inhabitants who inhabited the district before the Tunguses are called Barguts in the local traditions.¹⁹ This agrees with the statement of Rashidu'd-din, who calls the inhabitants of this district Barguts, and devotes two paragraphs to them,²⁰ apparently making two distinct tribes out of them. I have little doubt that they were the ancestors of the Bargu Buriats, one section of whom, according to the Chinese geographical work translated by M. Hyacinthe Bituriski, and appended to Timkofski's *Travels by Klaproth*, lives on the right bank of the Amur (the Argun) in the country of the Solons,²¹ while another lives to the north of lake Baikal and on the Lena. This latter speaks a

rough dialect, and is still Shamanist, and ignorant of writing, according to Schmidt.²² The Bargu Buriats are in fact very pure and unsophisticated Mongols. Rashidu'd-din links with the Barguts in one passage the Kurluuts, or as Von Hammer reads the name Kurolewants or Kolowrats,²³ while in Abulghazi the name appears as Kurlut or Kurlat.²⁴ This again is a name which has been duplicated by Rashidu'd-din, and no doubt connotes the same class as the Kurulas, a division of the Kongurut. In the notice first cited where he calls them Kunluuts, he says they lived near the Kongurut, the Iljigins²⁵ and the Bargut. These tribes were allies, and had the same *tamgha* or seal.²⁶ This notice is very curious, and it seems to follow that the Turkish race of Kongurut was at this time divided into two sections, one living, as I shall shew afterwards, near the Khingan mountains, and the other in the country of Barguchin. The passage from the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* therefore means that a chief of the Kurulas, having married a daughter of the chief of the Bargut, became the father of Alun Goa. She was therefore in the legend the daughter of a Turkish father and a Buriat mother. As I said, Alun Goa is made the ancestress of the Mongol Khans in the official history of the house contained in the *Yuan-shi*. We must now devote a few more lines to this work, laying Dr. Bretschneider under contribution for the purpose. According to the *Ming-shi* or official history of the Ming dynasty, the *Yuan-shi* was composed in the year 1369, the year after the Mongols were expelled from China, in which year the records of the thirteen Yuan emperors were brought together, and the composition of the history commenced under sixteen scholars superintended by Sung-lien and Wang Wei. The work was finally completed in the 6th month of 1370.²⁷ Dr. Bretschneider says the work was very carelessly composed. Several editions of the *Yuan-shi* appeared during the domination of the Ming dynasty, while three have appeared during the domination of the Manchus, one in 1659, another in the middle of the last century, and a third during the present century. The second of these was

¹⁶ Erdmann, *Tamudschin*, p. 189, note 4.

¹⁷ Marco Polo, Yule's ed. vol. I., p. 261.

¹⁸ Erdmann, *Volat. uebersicht*, etc. p. 121; Abulghazi, p. 46, note 2.

¹⁹ Georgi, *Reisen*, vol. 1. pp. 123, 127-8.

²⁰ Erdmann, *op. cit.* p. 59 and 119.

²¹ Timk, *op. cit.* vol. II. p. 242.

²² Ritters, *Asien*, vol. II. p. 116.

²³ Erdmann, *op. cit.* p. 56.

²⁴ *Op. cit.* p. 60.

²⁵ A section of the Kunkurats.

²⁶ Erdmann, *op. cit.* p. 56.

²⁷ Bretschneider, *Notices of Medieval Geography*, p. 4, 5.


composed during the reign of K'ien-lung, and was in the nature of an eclectic text. Upon it Dr. Bretschneider makes the following remarks:—

"A learned committee consisting of Chinese, Manchus, Mongols, Western Muhammadans, etc. was appointed by the emperor K'ien-lung to revise the *Yuan-shi*, and especially the foreign names of men, places, etc., occurring so frequently in that book. These savants, in their reformatory zeal, proceeded on the idea that all the proper names had been incorrectly rendered in the official documents of the Mongols, and had to be changed. They pronounced the same verdict with respect to the histories of the Liao and the Kin. Thus in the new editions of the histories of the Liao, Kin and Yüan, all the original proper names without exception dis-


appeared, and were replaced by names of a new invention which generally have little resemblance to the original."

My friend Mr. Douglas has kindly extracted from the 107th chapter of the *Yuan-shi* a portion of the genealogical table there given. This contains the names in duplicate, one no doubt in its original form and the other as revised by K'ien-lung's commission. Visdelou apparently had access to this table, or to one similar to it, and he refers to it in his notes to D'Herbelot's *Bibliothèque Orientale*.


In the following table I have given the names as contained in the Museum copy of the *Yuan-shi*, which I have marked with an asterisk; the variants as given by Visdelou I have given with a dagger:—




I will now give the table as reported by Rashidu'd-din, taking the names as read by M. Berezine :—



The genealogy as given in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* :—



Genealogy in the *Altan Topchi* :—



Genealogy as given by Ssanang Setzen :—

Dobo Mergen

Alung Goa

Belgetei

Begontiei

Bughu Khataki

Bughu Saljigho

Budantsar

Mong Khan

Bagharitai Khân isaghortu

Khabichi Baghatúr

Wajirtai, whose
mother was a
concubine named
Budán.

Biker Baghatúr

Makha Todan

Khachi Kálák

Shingkhór Dokshin.²⁸

The variations of these tables prove that the list is an artificial one, and when we criticise it closely, we shall find that it is a mere ethnographic table giving a conspectus of the various tribes deemed of pure Mongol blood, and has no further value. The oldest and most reasonable rescension of the story is contained in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*, while that reported by Rashidü'd-dîn, which is generally followed, is full of inconsistencies. Let us now continue the story. On the death of Alan Goa her four elder sons, who looked upon Budantsar as a weak person, divided the heritage between themselves. He having philosophized on the old text of "vanitas vanitatis," mounted his tawny horse with a galled back and naked tail, which is called Godun Shagali in the *Altan Topchi* and Uruk Sussuk by Ssanang Setzen, hid along the river Onon, and eventually arrived at Baljuna.²⁹

There he saw a hawk which had seized a

quail; Ssanang Setzen says a *kara khuru*,³⁰ the *Altan Topchi* says a Goa-marul (i.e. a fair hind). Having made a noose with hairs from his horse's tail, he caught the hawk, which he trained to hunt for him. He also secured wild animals which had been driven near him by wolves, and appropriated the carcases of such as the latter had killed. In the winter and spring he flew his hawk at the geese and ducks which abounded there, and killed a large quantity of them. He is said to have lived in a thatched hut. Beyond the mountain Duiyan was the river Tunggeli,³¹ there there lived a tribe to whom Budantsar sometimes repaired to obtain mare's milk, meanwhile his brother Bugha Khataki set out to try and find him, and made inquiries from the people of the Tunggeli, who said they did not know where he lodged, but that when the north-west wind blew, it sent feathers of geese and ducks

²⁸ Pallas in his list, obtained from a Kalinuk MS., gives the descents in very corrupt form as follows :—

Egenta Alan
Kamala
Bauza Munkhan
Sabagai
Sabagar
Maklatodon
Genedigen
Barshig Tordong Shing.

Sand. Hist. Nachricht, p. 7.

²⁹ Baljuna the Palitan Alan of the Chinese, is a lake between the Onon and the Ingoda, from which the Tura, a feeder of the Ingoda, springs. Pallas describes it as being very extensive, and bordered by marshy places. It is situated on a wide grassy plain of considerable elevation, surrounded by mountains. Near the lake, and especially on its eastern side, are a great number of ancient tombs made with dressed stones, which Pallas in the evening mistook for the herds of the Buriats. Pallas, *Voyages*, t. IV. p. 276. He mentions that similar tombs of a square shape, surrounded by dadas, abound on the Chikoi, the Jida, the Shilka near lake Baikal on the Selenga, the Uda and the Ingoda (Id. pp. 268, 269 note). They doubtless belonged to the early

Mongols, and may be compared with those mentioned above as found by Georgi on the Barguzin.

³⁰ i.e. a steppe antelope.

³¹ The former name is no doubt the Duligun Buldagha near the Onon of Ssanang Setzen where Chinghiz Khân was born, written Tie-li-vun-pun-ti by the Chinese. Hyeinthe, quoted by D'Ohsson, vol. I. p. 36, note 1. The place is still known by the same name, and is mentioned by a Russian trader called Yuriuski, a native of Nertschinsk, who calls it Dilun Boldak, and says it is situated on the right bank of the Onon, seven versets higher than the island Yere aral (i.e. great island) and three versets from the Kochnefskian guard house. Erdmann, *Tenundschin*, p. 572. D'Ohsson says that Bulduk in Mongol means a hill (*op. cit.* vol. I. p. 36 note). Wolff explains the whole name as meaning a molehill.—Wolff, *Gesch. des Mongolen*, p. 33. The river Tunggeli here means probably the Ingoda, one of whose head streams is still called Tanga. (Pallas, *op. cit.* vol. IV. p. 226.) It is very curious that the Ingoda, which was the very focus of the Mongol country, and which is called Anjida by the Buriats, is never mentioned *eo nomine* so far as I know in any of the Mongol histories, and I am disposed to identify the Tunggeli of these notices with the Ingoda. It is possible however that the Tunggeli may have been one of the head streams of the Kerulon.

like snow towards them, and they inferred he must live in that direction. Presently Budantsar himself appeared. As he and his brother were on their way home, he remarked that "it was a good thing when there was a head on a man's trunk, and a collar on his coat." On being asked what he meant, he replied that the people on the Tunggeli had no chief, and that it would be easy to subdue them. On reaching home his brothers put Budantsar at their head, and together they returned and conquered the people on the Tunggeli. We are still clearly in the land of mere legend. Budantsar, according to Munshi, the author of the *Turikhi Mekim Khâni*, who calls him Buzenjir, means in Mongol a rhinoceros, and he argues that the prince was actually changed into that animal.³² Whatever the value of this etymology, it seems probable that the stories about his being fed in an abnormal manner during his exile were altered from the same Uighur saga, whence his miraculous birth was derived, where we read that "the reign of Buku Khân was very prosperous, and he was marvellously assisted by three ravens sent by Heaven. They knew all the languages of the world, and brought him news whencesoever it was required."—Bretschneider, p. 127. If the Buku Khân of the Uighur legend be the same as the Pi-kie-ko-han of the Tang Annals, he lived about the middle of the 8th century A.D.³³ That we are still in the land of legend is best proved by the discordant testimony of the authorities as to the children of Budantsar. Rashidu'd-dîn says he had two sons Buka and Buktai. The latter name is given as Tuka by Abulghazi;³⁴ the former is another repetition of the name of the stem-father of the Uighur chiefs. The genealogy in the *Yuan-shi* gives Budantsar but one son, whom it calls Pakali-tai-ha-pi-tsi, which Hyacinthe gives as Bagaritai Khabichi. DeMailla's authority gives the name as Capitsi Culup Patura.³⁵

The *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* calls him Barin Shurukhabichi. The *Allan Topchi* calls him Kabachi Kuluk, and gives him a son Biker Baghatur, while Ssanang Setzen calls him Bagharitai-khân Isaghochi, whom he makes the father of Khabichi Baghatur.

These two authors therefore introduce an extra generation into the pedigree not warranted by any

of the other authorities, and we shall be most safe in following the Imperial list as published in the *Yuan-shi*, and making Khabichi the successor of Budantsar and the father of Makha Todan.

The *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* gives Budantsar two illegitimate sons. It says that when he conquered the people of Tunggeli he seized a pregnant female, who said she belonged to the tribe of Jarjium Adankha³⁶ Uriangka.

Having made her his wife she bore a son Jajiratai, who was the ancestor of the tribe of Jadar. He was the father of Tugun-dai, the father of Bari Balchiru, the father of Kara Kadaan, the father of Jamnuka, who ruled the race Jadai. This illegitimate son of Budantsar, Wajirtai, seems to be the same one who is called a little later in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*, Jauradai, and who, we are told, was legitimised by his father, and allowed to share in the family sacrifice to the shades of the ancestors. He is called Wajirtai by Ssanang Setzen, who tells us he was the ancestor of the family Wajirtai. These various names are no doubt equivalent to the Juriat or Jajerat of Rashidu'd-dîn, who were the subjects of Jamnuka as above mentioned, but he makes the race descend from a son of Tumench Khân, to whom we shall refer presently. The meaning of the genealogical puzzle probably is that the Juriaits or Jajerats were treated by the Mongols as of doubtfully genuine Mongol blood, and we are in fact told that on their father's death Jauriat was driven out of his house as illegitimate by Budantsar's successor Khabichi.

By a second side-wife Budantsar, according to the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*, had another son called Baaridai, who was the ancestor of the tribe Barin. Baaridai's son was called Chedukulbok, who had many wives and children, from among whom was formed the tribe of Menian-barin. Rashidu'd-dîn, although he names the Barins among the Niruns or children of light, does not trace them to any eponyms like he does so many of the other Mongol clans, and it would seem from this entry in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* that they were not deemed of pure descent.

Buktai, the second son of Budantsar, according to Rashid, is not mentioned by the other authorities unless his name be a mere corruption of Bagharitai. The Persian author makes him

³² Senkofski, *Suppl.* pp. 76 and 77.

³³ *Op. cit.* p. 237.

³⁴ Abulghazi, p. 66 and note 4.

³⁵ *Op. cit.* ix. p. 5.

³⁶ Adankha is perhaps the mountain Adakhai north of Urga, whence the head streams of the Karagol flow.

marry a Mongol, and become the father of Tajin, whom he in one place makes the ancestor of the Tajut. Elsewhere he confuses this Tajin with Nachin, the uncle of Kaidu. He says however that in the *Allan Defter* the Tajut are made to descend from a son of Kaidu Khân,³⁷ which is in accordance with the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*, and there can be small doubt that the former statement is founded on a mistake.

Let us now proceed. The genealogy in the *Yuan-shi* makes Bagharitai Khabichi besucceeded by Makha Todan, who is so called also by De Mailla, the author of the *Altan Topchi*, and Ssanang Setzen. In the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* he is called Menan Tudun. Hyacinthe gives the name as Minen Dudun, and Rashidu'd-dîn calls him Dutum Menen.³⁸

According to the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* and the Chinese authorities Menan Tudun had seven sons. Rashidu'd-dîn gives him nine, which is no doubt a mistake. Their names, according to the first of these authorities, were—1, Khachi Kuluk, the Kachi Kuluk of Ssanang Setzen, called Tsi-nung Terkhan in the genealogy in the *Yuan-shi*. 2, Khachin, who had a son named Nayagidai, so called because he liked to dress like a nobleman. He was the stem-father of the Nayakins. 3, Khachiu, who was called Barulatai, because he was big as a child, and ate his food with avidity. He founded the tribe of the Barulas. 4, Khachula, whose sons had a similar failing, and were respectively called Erdiamtu Barula and Todoyan Barula, *i.e.* Great and Little Barula, the ancestors of tribes so named. 5, Khachiu, who had a son called Adardai or Adarkadai, who loved trials and litigation, whence his name. He was the ancestor of the tribe Adarkin. 6, Kharandai, who used to seize upon the food belonging to others, whence his name of Budaan, and that of his tribe Budaat. 7, Nachin Baghatu, who had two sons named Urudai and Manghutai, the ancestors of the Urut and Manghut. He had two other sons named Shizadai and Dokoladai.³⁹

It is very curious that when we compare this list with those given by Rashidu'd-dîn and in the *Yuan-shi*, we should find such a marked discrepancy. The latter authorities mention the names of those worthies, but they make them

the great-great-grandsons of Menen Tudun, and not his sons. There is also a considerable variation in the details. They omit the eldest son Khachi-kuluk. Rashid calls Hachin—Jaksu, the *Yuan-shi*—Kotsihu. The former author makes him the stem-father of the Nyakins, of the Uruts and Mangkuts. Hachin, Rashidu'd-dîn calls Barim Shiratu Kainju, mixing up his name apparently with that of his ancestor Barin Shiratu Khabichi as given in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*. To him he assigns no descendants. He is not named in the *Yuan-shi*. Khachula, is called Ha-ki-li-tai in the *Yuan-shi*. Rashid makes him the ancestor of the Barulas. Sam Khajiun, Khachiu of the above list, is called Hauchun in the *Yuan-shi*. Rashid makes him the ancestor of the Hederkins, *i.e.* of the Adardai or Adarkidai of the same list. The Kharandai of the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* is called Ha-la-la-tai in the *Yuan-shi*; Rashid calls him Bat Kulgi, and also makes him the leader of the Budats. He does not name Nachin at all. The *Yuan-shi* calls the 5th son of Tumona Kor-shi-kwan. Rashid calls the 6th brother Kabul Khân, the Kôpala Khân of the *Yuan-shi*, the ancestor of the Kaiats, the 7th Udar Bayan the ancestor of the Jajerats or Jurats.⁴⁰ The 8th Budanjar Doghlan, the chief of the Doghlat; and the 9th Jiatai, the leader of the Yissuts, or, as it is read by Erdmann, Baisuts. These three last sons are not named in the *Yuan-shi*. Again, while the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* makes Kaidu Khân the son of Khachi Kuluk, and therefore the grandson of Menen Tudun, a relationship confirmed by the *Yuan-shi*, Rashidu'd-dîn makes him his son,—in which variations I have no doubt the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* preserves the earlier and more trustworthy legend. There is another variation involved in this adjustment, which is interesting. The mother of Kaidu seems to have been a somewhat truculent person. She is called Monalun in the *Yuan-shi*, in the *Kangmu*, and by Rashidu'd-dîn, while in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* she is called No-ma-lun. The former is probably the correct form of the name, and may be compared with Altalun and Tumalun, the daughter and sister of Chinghiz Khân.

Monalun was the heroine of a story which is related both by the Chinese authors and by Rashidu'd-dîn, but not in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*.

³⁷ Vide *infra*.

³⁸ D'Ohsson, vol. I. p. 26, note 2.

³⁹ Nachin is the Mongol name for a bird of prey (D'Ohsson, vol. I, p. 28 note).
⁴⁰ Vide *ante*.

Rashid tells us that on the death of her husband, Monalun, who was very rich in horses and cattle, lived in a place whose name is doubtfully read as Nush Argi, also known as the Black Mountain, which was very fertile and thickly strewn with cattle. At this time the Jelairs, who lived along the Kerulon, and consisted of 70 guraus or 70,000 families, were often at war with the Khitans, who then dominated over Northern China. The Khitans having sent a powerful army against them, the Jelairs, who were separated from the invaders by the river, and thought themselves safe, took off their caps, spread out their coats, and threw ironical jibes at the Khitans, bidding them go over and carry off their horses and families. The latter thereupon collected faggots and twigs, and made rafts on which they crossed over, surprised the Jelairs, and punished them severely, not even excepting the children. The survivors fled and sought refuge in the district where Monalun lived. There driven by hunger they proceeded to dig for the roots of a plant called *sulusun* for food. This M. Berezin identifies with the Mongolian *Sudu* the *sanguisorba carnica*, whose roots are used as a substitute for tea.⁴¹ In digging for these roots the fugitives disturbed the ground where the sons of Monalun were in the habit of exercising their horses. Monalun, who according to the *Yuan-shi* was of a truculent and irritable disposition, reproached them bitterly. She drove her horses furiously over the trespassers, and killed several of them, and injured others. The Jelairs accordingly made a raid on the horses of her sons, and harried them. Thereupon they went in pursuit without waiting to put on their armour. When Monalun heard of this, she told her daughters-in-law to put the armour in carts, and to follow after their husbands, but it was too late. They had already fallen victims to

their temerity and been killed. The Jelairs followed up their victory, and killed Monalun and such of her family as they could lay their hands upon. There only escaped—Kaidu, the infant son of her eldest son, who was hidden away, according to Rashidu'd-din in a skin for making *kumis* in, and according to the *Yuan-shi* in a bundle of faggots,—and Nachin, Monalun's youngest son, who was then living among the Bargut, where he was married. When the latter heard of what had happened, he returned to his mother's *yurt*, where he found Kaidu and a few women. Determined to revenge himself, he caught a horse which had been carried off by the Jelairs, and had twice escaped, and mounting it went in pursuit of them disguised as a herdsman. On his way he met two men—father and son, who were hawking and some distance apart. Seeing his brother's hawk on the fist of the younger Jelair, he asked him if he had seen a herd of horses led by a big boy pass that way, he replied he had not, and inquired in turn if Nachin had met with any wild ducks or geese. Nachin replied that he had, and offered to conduct him to them. When they had rounded the bend of a river, and were out of view of the elder hunter, Nachin fell upon the younger one, and killed him. Then tethering his horse and hawk to a tree, he went to meet the father, whom he also slew. Going on again, he came across a herd of horses in charge of some Jelair boys, who were amusing themselves by throwing stones at a mark. Having drawn near them he killed them also, and carried off the horses, with which and the hawks he once more went home. He now took the young Kaidu and the women to his own *yurt* in the country of Barguzin, being the country of the Bargut already mentioned.

(To be continued.)

CHAMPANIR AND PAWAGADH.

BY EDWARD B. EASTWICK, C.B.

The reason why these two most interesting places are so seldom visited by Europeans, is probably the excessive badness of the road from Baroda to them, and the impossibility of getting supplies along it. The direct distance to Champanir from Baroda is 31 miles, but by the road it is about 53 miles. The stages are,

first, Ayahgāra, which is 10 miles; then second, Jerôl, which is called 8 miles, but is more nearly 10 miles; third, Kengari, which is 10 miles, and Champanir, which is as nearly as possible another 10 miles. The road at first passes nearly due east, by the European soldiers' quarters at Baroda, and then by the sepoy's lines.

⁴¹ See Erdmann, *Tenmdschen*, &c. p. 541, note 1.

After this the road turns to the north, and becomes a mere village path full of deep ruts and holes, very narrow and passing between thorn-bushes. The first village passed is called Samma, and then the Mâhi river is crossed by a bridge. Cultivation is abundant up to the third stage, when jungle commences and grows gradually thicker up to the ruins. About a mile from Champanir the road passes under an archway, on either side of which is a wall of the fort, which has been carried up the hills, but is now broken down in many places. Several ruined mandirs and other buildings, one or two of them Maqbarahs of pîrs, are passed before reaching the archway. After passing it the road has been paved, but is now in such a ruinous state that a traveller by *gâri* is shaken to death. On the left hand side of the road beyond the archway is seen an inner wall of the fort at from 50 to 100 yards, strongly built, about 25 feet high, and with bastions, but broken down in many places. After a mile from the archway, turn to the left through a double gateway, the first arch of which is 18 feet high. The walls are adorned with the lotus, carved in the stone, and there is an Arabic inscription. Beyond this gateway, turning to the left is the camping ground at Champanir, with some fine trees, and a dharam-sâla not fit for Europeans, to the south. The miserable village which still exists at Champanir is to the north of the camping ground, as is also, what is called, the Jâma Masjid. This is the principal thing to be seen, and it is indeed well worthy of examination. It is about 250 yards from the camping ground, and is clearly a Hindû temple which has been converted by the Muslims into a mosque. A very handsome dharam-sâla was included in the wall which surrounds the mosque, but this wall is now broken down in parts.

The dharam-sâla is to the east of the mosque, and has a large dome, and four smaller ones, one at each corner like those so common in Upper India. The building is 18 feet high to the base, whence the dome springs. The base is 5 feet high, and the dome itself is conjecturally 15 feet more, so that the total height would be 38 feet. The court of the mosque is separated from the dharam-sâla by a wall. This wall has 8 arches, a large one 8 feet broad and a small one 5' 5" broad alternately. The court of

the mosque measures 187 feet from north to south, and 122 feet from east to west. The principal entrance to the mosque is in the eastern face, and has two minârs of stone, one on either side the door. Each minâr has seven storeys, if the cone at the top be reckoned as one. The lowest storey is handsomely carved, with the flower pattern. The second and third storeys have projecting ruins at top, as has the fourth, but it is much wider. The fifth and sixth storeys have ruins supported by the plan-tain bracket, so common at Bijanagar, only that it has a twist. To the top of the fifth storey is 76½ feet, and above that to the top of the cone is 19 feet, making 95½ feet for the total height. The carved base of the minârs projects from the wall of the mosque with a semi-circle of 21 feet. The entrance arch is 14' 10" wide. The hall of the mosque has 88 pillars of Hindû architecture on either side, and the roof is surmounted by seven large cupolas, besides several smaller ones. There can hardly be a doubt that it is one of those halls in Hindu temples, called "halls of a thousand pillars," though in no one case is that exact number to be found. The hall measures 169 feet from north to south, and 79' 10" from east to west. In the western face are seven alcoves or niches, handsomely carved. The central one is of white marble, the others of masonry. There is no *mimbar* or pulpit, and the lotus ornament is carved in relief in the niches. Innumerable bats roost in the cupolas, and the floor below is covered with their deposits. The hall very much resembles that of the temple of Kâlchand at Kalbarga, but is smaller. There is no inscription. In the court is the tomb of a so-called pîr, Jahân Shâh. It should be added that the central cupola of the hall has three storeys from which galleries extend along the roof.

The ascent of the mountain of Pawagadh is the next thing to be done, and it must be made on the north-east side. The height is 2,800 feet, and the summit will hardly be reached under three hours. After leaving the gate close to the encampment, the road from the archway is crossed, and a dense jungle is at once entered upon, which is said to contain many tigers and panthers and a few bears. After crossing a succession of ridges, by a path resembling the bed of a mountain torrent, and paved with jagged pieces of rock, the first gateway is reached

in from 20 to 30 minutes according to the ability of the climber and the mode he chooses to ascend. A clever pony has ascended and cows have been driven up but with great difficulty. In about 20 minutes after passing the first gateway, a natural scarp 20 feet high is reached, which is surmounted by a wall 12 feet high, crenellated in the usual style. Trees, long grass and creepers grow from this wall in a most picturesque manner. In one place a silvery grass hangs down 8 feet at least from the wall in a thick mass. The scarp is crossed and ascended by gate No. 2, called *burhiya*. On the left of this gateway is a small pool of good water which drops from the wall on the left. Above to the left are seen two semicircular bastions, about 70 feet in diameter, but only 12 feet high. At this place there are 99 steps cut in the rock in tolerable repair, while many others have been broken. At the end of these steps is a third gateway, above which, at a distance of about 80 yards, is gateway No. 4, and here the jungle ends for a short space and then begins again, but with larger and handsomer trees. The path now leads between two walls, that on the left being 30 feet high. After a hundred yards the fifth gateway is reached, and at the same distance the sixth gateway. No. 7 is a quarter of a mile beyond this. On the left is a ruined house of Sindhia's time in which three policemen and their families live. They say they never see or hear wild beasts. After this the path becomes much more steep, and the usual mode of ascent is in a *mdāchi*, which is simply a cushion supported by two long bamboos, with a bit of dirty cloth on which to rest one's feet. There is no support for the back, consequently, the traveller must cling to the bamboos, or risk falling out backwards. The path rapidly becomes more difficult, but the Bhil bearers, small, thin, wiry men, spring from rock to rock with incredible agility. In some places the sides of the mountain are very precipitous, but the jungle veils the chasm. Above gateway No. 7 are three granaries, called *mathai kothārs*. They are domed, and measure 30 feet square. Their walls are 5 feet thick, and they are used as offices by the English officials who go up to reside on the mountain. Below them are reservoirs for water, and you cross the roofs of these to enter the *kothārs*. A long way above

them to the right of the road are nine smaller *kothārs*, called *nau lākhās*. They are the same as the lower ones in all respects except being smaller. They are used by Europeans as residences, but the wind blows with such force as to render them very uncomfortable. To the right of the *kothārs* is the Champāvati palace, consisting of a series of apartments on different terraces descending a long way and commanding fine views. As the first syllable in the name of this palace is pronounced decidedly short, there seems good reason for thinking that it ought to be pronounced short in the word "Champanir" also. It is true that in the *Rās Mālā* and other works it is written as if long, and a story is told of the name being taken from a minister named "Chāmpā" or "Jhāmpā." But considering how often such stories are invented by the Hindus, and how unusual the name is for a man, it may fairly be supposed that this story also is a fiction. "Champa" is a common name for a place, and unless the word should be found written with the long *a* in very old writings, the fair supposition is that the city was called from the jasmine plant which was common in the locality. No. 8 gateway is called the Makai Kothār gate, and beyond it is a wooden bridge which leads to gateway No. 9, called the Pattanpur gate, at which two-thirds of the ascent are finished. According to the bearers the whole ascent extends two *kos*, and from the Pattanpur gate to the summit is one *kos*. After about 50 minutes more the tenth gateway is reached, and this opens upon the great platform, above which is the scarped rock which contains the temple of Mahākālī. There is here a small tank about 100 feet by 80 feet, on the edge of which are some temples in ruins. One however is roofed, and has lately been repaired or rebuilt by Hindu merchants. So far, according to the Brahmans, tigers are known to come, but they do not ascend the steps which lead to the top of the rock on which is Mahākālī's shrine.

The ascent to this crowning plateau is by stone steps, very steep, and consisting of the following flights:— $113 + 8 + 6 + 12 + 10 + 19 + 3 + 4 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 4 + 11 + 11 + 3 + 7 = 220$. The first great flight has a siding $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet broad of stone. The last flight leads to gate No. 11, after passing which the temple of Mahākālī is seen on the left. This temple is $64\frac{1}{2}$ feet

from east to west, 18' 4" from north to south, and 17' 2" high. Over the *vimāna* is a sort of chamber 7 feet high, which is said to be the shrine of a Muhamadan *pir*. This holy man was called "Sajjan," and also "Mānla Salam," and is said to have been a converted Rajput. There is a female Muslim who attends on the shrine. In the Mahākālī temple there are generally two Brahmans present who break up the cocoanuts offered, and receive money from the pilgrims. These Brahmans live in small cottages to the east of the shrine. The shrine itself consists of a room with eight pillars, and

paved with marble, where votaries assemble. To the left is a small recess where there is no image but a painting of the goddess. To the west of the temple there is a precipice of about one thousand feet, and on the brink of this is a pillar for lamps, the light of which must be seen at a very great distance. At this point there is a magnificent view. The scarped platform on which stands the temple of Mahākālī looks as if it were formed by nature to have a citadel built upon it. The scarp is quite 200 feet high, and in the old time when the fortifications were entire, and well garrisoned, the place ought to have been impregnable.

STORY OF THE MERCHANT WHO STRUCK HIS MOTHER.

BY THE REV. S. BEAL.

"I remember in years gone by, there were 500 merchants in Jambudwipa, of whom a certain one was the chief, his name was Māitri (*Sse-ché*). On one occasion, these merchants all assembled together, and began to consult how they might best embark on some expedition for the purpose of getting gain. Having agreed upon a voyage in a certain direction, and settled all preliminaries as to freight and provisioning the ship, they separated for a time, returning to their homes, to take leave of their wives and families.

"Now at this time, Māitri went to see his mother, to get her permission and blessing ere he set out on the expedition contemplated. At this time his mother was living in retirement in the upper portion of the house, exercising herself in religious discipline [laws of purity and self-restraint].

"Māitri approaching her, addressed his mother thus: 'Honoured mother! [or, honoured 'parent'] I am about to undertake a voyage by sea for the purpose of getting much profit. I hope to return home with gold, silver, jewels of every kind, and so be able to minister in every way to your comfort, and also to that of the members of my family [give me then your permission and blessing].'

"Then his mother began to expostulate with him, and to say, 'Dear son! why venture your life at sea? Surely you have wealth enough at home, and every comfort and necessary without stint. You can easily afford to give what is necessary in religious charity; there is no impediment in the way of your happiness (*merit*). Darling son! dear son! the sea is full of perils,

boisterous winds, hungry and cruel monsters (*fishes*), evil spirits, Rākshasis, and ghouls; dear son! darling Māitri! all these dangers infest the ocean; and now I am getting old, and if you leave me now, although as you say you want to return a rich man to minister to my necessities, still the day of my death is so near, that all your pious intentions may be of little use to me; stay, then, dear son! stay, to be the comfort of my old age! [And so she entreated him three times.]

"Then Māitri answered: 'Yes, dear mother, but still I must go! think of the wealth I shall bring back, the gold and silver and jewels! think how I shall be able to nourish and cherish you in your old age, and what gifts I can bestow in religious charity.'

"Then his mother arose from her seat, and threw her arms round his neck, and embraced him as she cried: 'Darling son! dear Māitri! I cannot let you go; I cannot give you leave to risk your life on the ocean just to seek for gain! We have money enough, we have all we need at home! I cannot let you go!'

"Then Māitri thought thus:—'My mother is cross with me, and does not want me to prosper, and so she forbids me go this voyage,' and then he got angry, and pulling his mother to the ground, he slapped (*kicked*) her head, and rushed out of the house.

"Then the merchants having assembled on the coast, and offered their worship to the Sea-God, selected five men to superintend the various departments (as before), and set sail. But sad to say! their ship was soon overtaken by a storm, and broke to pieces, and all the merchants ex-

cept Mâitri were lost. But he, having clung to a plank, after tossing about on the waves for a long time, was at length thrown on the shore of an islet called Vaisvadîpa [North island or islet]. So Mâitri, having refreshed himself with some wild seeds and medicinal herbs growing on the shore, at length recovered his strength, and began to explore the neighbourhood of the spot where he had been cast ashore. At length, as he went on, he came to a southern division (*fork*) of the island, and there he saw a path leading right before him. Following the track, after a short distance he saw, from a slight eminence, a city immediately in front of him, shining like silver, extremely beautiful and glorious! it was full of towers and palaces, surrounded by a lofty wall, and in every respect perfectly adorned [with lakes, woods, censers, flags, etc., etc.] and calculated for the unbridled indulgence of love and pleasure. In the centre of the city was a charming palace (called 'Merry-joy,') built of the seven precious substances, and most exquisite to behold!

"And now, from the inside of the city there came forth four beautiful women, adorned with jewels, and every ornament calculated to please. Approaching the spot where Mâitri was, they addressed him as follows:—'Welcome, O Mâitri! let us conduct you within yonder city, there is no one there to interfere with us, and there is an abundance of every necessary for food and enjoyment. See yonder beautiful palace, called 'Joy and Pleasure,' constructed of the seven precious substances! It is there we four live, we rise up and lie down as we like, with no one to molest us! come then, oh Mâitri! enter there with us and enjoy our company without interference, we will nourish you and cherish you with fondest care.' So entering into that pleasant hall, Mâitri enjoyed the society of these women, with no one (*man*) to dispute possession with him. Thus passed many, many years; nothing to interrupt the current of his happiness. At length, after a long lapse of time, these four women addressed Mâitri, and said, 'Dear Mâitri; remain here with us, and go not to any other city.' Then Mâitri began to doubt about the matter, and he thought 'What do those women mean when they talk about other cities? I will wait till they are asleep, and then go and explore in every direction, and see whether there is good or bad luck in store for me.' So

when they had dropped off to slumber, Mâitri arose, and leaving the precious tower, and passing through the eastern gate, he entered the garden which surrounded the city, and then leaving this by the southern gate, he struck into a road, along which he pursued his way. At length he saw before him at some distance a city of gold, most beautiful to look at, and in the middle of it a lovely palace called 'Ever Drunk,' made of the seven precious substances and beautifully adorned. Now whilst he gazed, lo! eight beautiful women came forth from the city to the place where he stood, and addressed Mâitri as follows:—'Dear Mâitri! come near and enter this city in our company, there is a beautiful palace which we occupy, with no one to molest us, there is no lack of any comfort or necessary within its walls; come, then, and enjoy our society, whilst we nourish and cherish you without intermission.' So he went with them, and enjoyed their company for many years, till at last, when they began to talk to him about going to any other city, his suspicions were aroused as before, and he resolved when they were asleep to explore further, and find out what other cities there were. [And so he discovered two other cities, one built of crystal, the other of lapis lazuli, the first with sixteen, the other with thirty-two maidens, who invited him to use their company as before.] On receiving similar hints from these, in succession, he went on further discoveries, till at length he saw an iron city, that appeared to him quite desolate, only he heard a voice constantly crying out 'Who is hungry? who is thirsty? who is naked? who is weary? who is a stranger? who wishes to be carried?' On hearing this voice, Mâitri began to consider with himself: 'At the other cities I found agreeable companions, but here I see no one, but only hear this doleful voice. I must search into this.' Accordingly he entered the city to see whence the voice proceeded. No sooner had he passed through the gate, than it shut behind him, and he felt that he was alone within the walls and all escape cut off. On this he was filled with fear, his limbs trembled, and the hairs of his body stood upright. He began to run to and fro in every direction, exclaiming, 'Woe is me! I am undone! I am ruined.' At length, as he ran here and there, lo! he saw confronting him a man, on whose head there was placed an iron wheel,

—this wheel red with heat, and glowing as from a furnace, terrible to behold. Seeing this terrible sight, Māitri exclaimed: 'Who are you? why do you carry that terrible wheel on your head?' On this, that wretched man replied: 'Dearsir! is it possible you know me not? I am a merchant chief called Govinda.' Then Māitri asked him, and said, 'Pray then tell me, what dreadful crime have you committed in former days that you are constrained to wear that fiery wheel on your head?' Then Govinda answered, 'In former days I was angry with and struck my mother on the head as she lay upon the ground, and for this reason I am condemned to wear this fiery iron wheel around my head.' At this time, Māitri, self-accused, began to cry out and lament; he was filled with remorse in recollection of his own conduct, and exclaimed in his agony, 'Now am I caught like a deer in the snare.'

"Then a certain Yaksha, who kept guard over that city, whose name was Viruka, suddenly came to the spot, and removing the fiery wheel from off the head of Govinda, he placed it on the head of Māitri. Then the wretched man cried out in his agony, and said, 'Oh, what have I done to merit this torment?' [The *Gāthas* are to this effect.] To which the Yaksha replied, 'You, wretched man, dared to strike (kick) your mother on the head as she lay on the ground; now, therefore, on your head you must wear this fiery wheel, through 60,000 years your punishment shall last; be assured of this, through all these years you shall wear this wheel.'

"Now, Bhikshus! I was that wicked Māitri, and for 60,000 years I wore that wheel for disobedience to my mother; so be ye assured that disobedience to your religious superiors will be punished in the same way.'"¹

CORRESPONDENCE AND MISCELLANEA.

PROF. WEBER AND BABU RAJENDRALĀLA MITRA.

1. Letter published in the *Academy*, Nov. 15, 1879.

"To Babu Rājendra Lāla Mitra, Calcutta.

"Ritterstrasse, 50, Berlin, S.W.: Oct. 27, 1879.

"MY DEAR SIR,—I have just received your beautiful work on *Buddha Gayā*, and my attention has naturally been drawn first to your polemic against my ideas on the influence of Greek, &c., art on India. I shall not attempt to defend them here, as our points of issue are so very different; but I venture to call your attention to a gross mistake which you have committed on p. 178 note, when you say: 'Pr. W. erroneously calls the mother Devaki, who never had an opportunity to perform the maternal duty of nursing her child. According to the *Harivansa* and the *Bhāgavata Purāṇa*, the child as soon as born was taken away from her prison abode and left with Yasoḍā, who reared it up. . . . *Hindus in this country would never so grossly falsify the story as to make Devaki nurse her son.*' Now, my dear Sir, you certainly cannot have read at all my paper on the *Kṛishṇajanmāshantam* as it stands translated in the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. III. (1874) pp. 21 ff., vol. VI. (1877) pp. 281 ff. For there you will find .

"(1) the distinct statement that at the festival of *Kṛishṇa's* birthday he is to be represented as

'drinking at his mother's breast': *Devakīstanam-dhaya*, (*śrīkṛishṇapratimā*) *Devakīstanam dhayanā* (better *dhayanā*): see vol. VI. 286 ff.; and

"(2) at p. 285, vol. VI,² you will read the following statement:—'Here, again, is something very surprising about this representation. For while the legend throughout informs us that at *Kṛishṇa's* birth there was danger in delay, that his father, Vasudeva, had to carry the newly-born child immediately away to escape the dangers that threatened him, the above representation, which shows us the mother and child (the former, too, "joyfully moved") slumbering beside each other on a couch, presents a picture of undisturbed repose, and stands, therefore, in such direct contrast to the legend that it is difficult to suppose that both representations have grown up on the same ground. The representation in this place appears as foreign as the difference discussed above (p. 283) in reference to the locality of *Kṛishṇa's* birth.' The passage quoted here runs thus:—'It is highly surprising, first of all, that, according to these statements, the *sātikāgrīham* (house for a woman in childbirth) is to be set up like a *gokulam*. For the legend itself is quite consistent throughout in stating that Devaki gave birth to *Kṛishṇa* in prison. Evidently a transference has here taken place to Devaki of those circumstances in which

¹ From the *Oriental*, Oct. 9th, 1876, also reprinted in *The Romantic Legend of Sākya Buddha*.

² In the *Academy* this was misprinted 'VII'; the Babu, in his reply (*Academy*, Feb. 28), appears not to have re-

marked this, and speaks quite earnestly of his having failed to find in vol. VII. anything about the nativity of Christ.—A. W.

Yasodā, who received the newly-born child immediately after his birth, on her part gave birth to her own child, that magical girl who is exchanged with him. The reason of this, indeed, can only be that, from the beginning, the celebration of the *Janmāshṭami* festival stood in close relation to the representation of Kṛishṇa's growing up among cowherds, and consequently this conception entirely preponderated over the other, according to which he was a prince born in prison.'

"(3) These differences between the ritual of Kṛishṇa's birthday and between the legends of his birth are the very keystone of my theory of the foreign origin of the first. Thus you read in the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. III. (1874), p. 21:— 'The most difficult point in connection with the festival of the birthday of Kṛishṇa, as we now have described it, lies clearly in the description, and particularly in the pictorial representation, of him as a suckling at his mother's breast, and in the homage paid to the mother, represented as lying on a couch in a cow-house, who has borne him, the lord of the world, in her womb. Such a representation of the god is a strange contrast to the other representations of him—to that of the epos, for example, in which he appears as a warrior hero—and is, moreover, the only thing of its kind in India [mark the note]. Again, the pictorial representation of the festival differs in various details from the usual legends about Kṛishṇa's birth in a way which it is difficult to explain. The enquirer is therefore not surprised if external grounds present themselves in explanation of this unique phenomenon, which give probability to the supposition that we have in this festival something transferred from outside, and retained, in spite of the incongruities it has given rise to, in the form in which it was received. And such grounds are, as a matter of fact, sufficiently numerous. . . .'

"PROFESSOR ALBR. WEBER."

2. To the Editor of the *Indian Antiquary*.

Not having seen *The Academy* of 28th February till a few days ago, I was not aware that Babu Rājendralāla Mitra had replied to my letter of 27th October last. This may account for the delay of this; but I think it my duty not to let his statements pass without necessary correction. And as the *Ind. Antiquary* brought out the English version of my original paper, I hope you will not refuse to produce in its columns this complement to it.

The Babu is fully entitled to call the mother in the picture in question (*Ind. Ant.* vol. VI. p. 350, from Moor's *Hindoo Pantheon*) by the name of Yasodā, for, in the legend, she is the nurse of Kṛishṇa, (see also my remarks on "Yasodā

lactans" in my paper on the *Saptatatakam* of Hāla, p. 208); but he has no right whatever to say that I have "erroneously" called her Devakī, or as he now puts it that I have "deliberately substituted Devakī for Yasodā," for in the ritual Devakī too is described as Kṛishṇa's nurse. With reference to the picture, therefore, both names are *a priori* equally justifiable—the one not more than the other. Nor do I lay any special stress on the title attached to it in Moor's work,—not by me,—as may be seen from my remarks (*Ind. Ant.* vol. VI. pp. 350, 351): "no direct reference to the special accounts of the manner in which the infant Kṛishṇa is represented at the festival of the Kṛishṇajanmāshṭami is found in it; he is neither represented as 'asleep drinking at the breast,' nor 'pressing,' &c." And when I continue "of the identity of the persons, however, there can be no reasonable doubt," I refer to those doubts only which I discuss in the sequel, viz. of Niclas Müller, Creuzer, Guigniaut—who on their part conceive the mother to be Bhavānī or Māyā. If therefore the Babu prefers to call the mother represented in that picture Yasodā rather than Devakī, he is quite welcome to do so. Whether she be the one or the other matters nothing in the end, and does not in the least affect the results arrived at in my paper, which are quite independent of the question about this picture.

My reclamation against him, the "gross mistake" with which I charge him, refers to the arguments by which he tries to support his own view of it. For when he states: 2, that "Devakī had no opportunity to perform the maternal duty of nursing her child," as, 3, "According to the *Purāṇas* Kṛishṇa was, as soon as born, taken away from his mother," I beg on the contrary to maintain, or rather to repeat, that this is a mere begging of the question. I never questioned at all that such were the legends of the *Purāṇas*, but I shewed that the ritual prescriptions for the festival of Kṛishṇa's birthday had a different aspect in view; and it is just this very difference of the two representations which serves as the basis of my theory of the foreign origin of the latter. Now Dr. Rājendralāla may be quite correct in saying that "to the Vaishṇava there is no scriptural authority higher than the *Bhāgavata Purāṇa*," as undoubtedly he is, when he says that "it entirely contradicts" my position; but he fails to take into account two things—1, that I am fully aware of this incongruity, and have repeatedly noticed and commented upon the fact that the *Bhāgavata* does not mention this sort of festival (see e. g. pp. 170, 171, 179), and 2, that we European scholars are not bound to swear by the authority of his scriptures and

go our way without being fettered by so curious a specimen of human credulity as the *Bhāgavata* appears to us to be.

It utterly astonishes me how he can still maintain, and that too as requiring no commentary, the truth of his fourth statement;—"That Hindus in India would not so grossly falsify the story as to make Devakī nurse her son." From this daring assertion of his, I concluded that he "certainly could not have read at all my paper" on the festival in question in the *Indian Antiquary*, vols. III. and VI.³ He now maintains that he had read it, but even at present I venture to say he has not done so. Surely he can only have cast a cursory glance over its contents, but he has entirely failed to understand it or apprehend its purport. Otherwise, how could he, after alluding to the fanciful decoration of the lying-in chamber, and to the scenes which are to be presented there, proceed to add—"had you referred to these, you would have given a correct account of the ceremony"? Now the very things which he demands here, any one who looks into the paper at the pages referred to by himself (vol. VI. pp. 285 ff.) will there find; for it was the very object I had in view in the paper, to collect so many of the ritual texts on the festival as to give a most detailed and minute description of the different stages of it. And so far as I know, I have fairly succeeded in doing so, for till this I have not found much further to add. But, to return to Dr. Rājendraśāstra's fourth statement as given above: it is in distinct contradiction to it, that these ritual texts collected by me prescribe that Kṛishṇa is to be represented at this festival as an infant child lying on the same couch with his mother Devakī and drinking at her breast: thus 1, *O. C. Śa. K.—paryāñke śtanā pāyīnam*, 2, *B. mātūr utsañge śtanā pāyīnah*; 3, *Ud. Śrī Kṛishṇapratimā Devakī-śtanā m dhvayartīm (dhavanīm ?)*. Are the authors of these works—the *Bhaviṣya* (i. e. *Bhaviṣyottara*) *Purāṇa*, the *Nirṇaya Sindhu*, the *Pratīka*, the *Dharmasindhasāra*, the *Janmāsh-tamīratodhāpana*, not "Hindus in India"? And when the Babu opposes to them his personal family traditions as a Vaiṣṇava, and repeats that neither he nor his coreligionists believe in Kṛishṇa's having been nursed by Devakī, I beg to ask him how he intends to account for these ritual prescriptions? I have to add, moreover, that they are fully corroborated by that excellent standard work on the Vaiṣṇava faith—the *Haribhaktivilāsa* of Gopālabhāṭṭa, a copy of the Calcutta edition of which (Śāka 1787, A.D. 1845, pp. 716, 4to) I

received a few years ago (1875) through the kindness of my learned friend Dr. R. Rost, with whom I had seen it during my last stay in London (1874). There we not only find on p. 532 the first of the above quotations with the remarkable various reading moreover in the scholium of *prānūdd*, explained by *ksharitaśānt*, but at p. 538 we read still more distinctly of Devakī as lying on her couch with oozing breasts (*śnutapayodharm*) and of Kṛishṇa as "sucking at them" (*tadutsaṅge śtanā m dhayam*); and lastly at p. 536 we have Devakī again giving the breast to her son, who while drinking presses the nipple with his hand,—*dada-mānu tu putrasya śtanam | pāyāmdnah* (read *onam*) *śtanam so 'bra kuchidgre pāyind sprīṣan*.

I do not doubt in the least the accuracy of the Babu's testimony that at present "the pictorial representation is not deemed an essential part of the ceremony, nor is it anywhere produced in Bengal on the occasion of the fast," but, testimony against testimony, there was a time when this was otherwise, and even that time cannot be very remote, for the ritual texts contain abundant testimony to the contrary. Local and provincial habits can never silence the voice of literary documents.

Moreover, the first of the passages quoted above appears to be known to the Babu also from some source independent of my paper; for after quoting it he proceeds: "had you not stopped short in your quotation, you would have added that the child should be four-handed, holding a mace, a discus, &c." and then he adds: "the words of the text: *Samuhachakragadd*. . . ." Now I would remark that the sources from which I drew the passage do not contain this verse, as he might have easily perceived himself by comparing p. 286, where the text of my sources breaks off at the first hemistich of v. 33 with p. 289, where it continues with the second hemistich of the same verse: there is no room left for his verse between these two halves. He must therefore have taken it from some other source not used by me.* And this being so, he ought certainly to have expressed himself in other terms, for those used by him imply an accusation of my having left out something that might have been opposed to my purpose. This is a very serious insinuation, as in his opinion "the correct account of the ceremony" as contained in this verse and in the other details which he desiderates in my paper, whereas they are described in it with all possible minuteness, "would have seriously interfered with the analogy between the nativity of Kṛishṇa and that of Christ," which I

³ § 1 in vol. VI., pp. 161—180; § 2 in ib. pp. 281—301; § 3, in vol. III., pp. 21—25 and 47—52; and § 4 in vol. VI., pp. 349—354.

* By the bye the *Haribhaktivilāsa* has his verse at p. 532, but with a various reading: *mahāpāṇam*, for his *mahāpūnyam*.

"undertook to establish." With regard to this latter point, and particularly to this very 'interference,' our views on the subject are really so entirely at variance that it is better I should stop short here.

Berlin, 25th April 1880.

A. WEBER.

THE REMNANT OF THE ORIGINAL ARYAN RACE.

It is said that Major Biddulph, stationed on the Kashmir boundary, has prepared a report upon the customs, the languages, and the folklore of the singular communities among whom he has been residing for a long time. From Major Biddulph's peculiar advantages and opportunities may be expected, says the *Pioneer*, a complete account of people who are a survival of the old Aryans from whom all civilized mankind of the present day is probably descended. Surgeon-Major Bellow, meanwhile, has been examining a few men from the cantons on the south-west of Dârdistân, peopled by a similar race, who in one respect are still more interesting, for their country has never yet been visited by a civilized traveller. But in appearance and language they closely resemble the Dards, and, unlike them, have not embraced the creed of their Muhammadan neighbours. The tongues spoken in all these hills are, for the most part, Aryan; not descended from Sanskrit, and, indeed, of earlier origin than that classical language. On the northern slopes of the mountains Parsî words prevail; in the southern cantons some of the words resemble Greek, some Latin, some those of modern Europe. They make (and freely consume) grape wine, something like a crude Burgundy. Those who are not Musalmans believe in one God, but employ the intercession of minor powers, represented by images. They also occasionally canonize great men whom they have lost by death. They are usually monogamous, opposed to divorce, and strict defenders of the chastity of their unmarried girls. These latter have blue, grey, or hazel eyes; black hair is the exception amongst them; and when young, they are of such remarkable comeliness as to be in great demand in the slave markets of adjacent countries. Authentic information concerning these interesting races cannot but be anxiously awaited by all who realize the nature of the questions involved.—*Globe*, April 17.

ANCIENT ARABIAN POETRY.—Mr. W. A. Clouston, of Glasgow, intends issuing by private subscription a limited edition of *A Treasury of Ancient Arabian Poetry*. It will include specimens from Amrûkâis, Tarîfu, Zohair, Lebeid, Antara,

Amru, and Hareth, besides a selection from the well-known Bedawin Romance of *Antar*, by Asma'i, who was one of the stars of the Court of Harun-al-Raschid. The most striking passages of the *Moallakat* which have been rendered into English verse by various translators will be given in the appendix. Professor G. F. Nicholl, M.A., of Oxford University, and King's College, London will furnish some critical notes on obscure passages of Sir William Jones' English text of the *Moallakat*, and Mr. J. W. Redhouse, the eminent Orientalist, will contribute an original translation of the celebrated Poem of the Mantle, by Ka'b, son of Zuheyr, son of ʿAbû Sulmâ, with critical notes.

NOTES AND QUERIES.

2. PROPER NAMES.—With reference to Mr. George A. Grierson's letter in the *Indian Antiquary*, ante p. 141, I beg to state that in the Mysore Province the custom of boring the right side of the nostrils of the children whose elder brothers or sisters died soon after their birth prevails. Such children are called

Gunda—rock.

Hacha—madman.

Kalla—stone.

Tippa—dunghill.

The last name is given after some rubbish from a dunghill has been brought in a sieve, and the child placed in it.

I learn that this custom prevails in the Madras Presidency also, and that the names given there are *Gaudan*, *Kallan*, *Kappan* (*Kappai* being the Tamil word for dunghill), and *Vemban* or the Margosa tree. It does not appear that the mother herself changes her name as in Bengal.

As the district in which I now live is close to the Southern Marâṭha Country, it is probable that the custom prevails there also.

NÂRÂYAN AİYANGÂR.

Shimoga, 23rd May 1880.

With reference to Mr. Grierson's request at p. 141 of the current volume of the *Indian Antiquary*, I may mention that the custom of calling a new-born child (after the parent has lost a first-born or more in succession) by an opprobrious name, is common amongst many castes in Southern India—including even Muhammadans.

Any one well acquainted with the customs of the natives could add much to Mr. Grierson's information on the subject.

Kuppasvâmi (= Sir Dunghcap) is one of the commonest names for such children, and they have the distinguishing mark of a pierced nostril and ear (on the right side) with a knob of gold in it. Other names are *Challhupullai* and *Ghurumiyâi*.¹
Madras. B. R. B.

¹ Conf. *Ind. Ant.* vol. IV. p. 238; vol. VI. p. 168.

3. **BRĀHMĀNĪ DUCK.**—What is the origin of the term “*Brāhmānī Duck*”? It is applied to the bird usually known to natives as *Chakwā* and *Chakwī*, scientific name *Anas casarca* or *Casarca rutila*. The term *Brāhmānī* as used to qualify this bird is quite unknown to the natives of the Panjāb, who can make no conjecture why it should have come to be used by the English. On what grounds is the bird held sacred?

Ferozepore.

R. C. TEMPLE.

4. **INDIAN ARMS.**—No country in the world can vie with Hindustan either for splendor or variety in the production of implements of war, as any one will see by paying a visit to the collection of Arms exhibited at the India Museum. The Hon. W. Egerton, M.A., M.P., has compiled a “*Handbook*” of this collection; it is illustrated by coloured engravings of the choicest arms in the collection, and has an introductory sketch of the Military History of India. The thanks of antiquaries are due to Mr. Egerton for compiling this catalogue, and thus bringing together in one volume, matter that forms an important Indian Historical Monograph.

5. **NĀGA FIGURES.**—Friar Jordanus, (cir. 1325) in the 4th chapter of his *Marvels*, in speaking of Western India, says—“There be also venomous animals, such as many serpents, big beyond bounds, and of divers colours, black, red, white, and green, and parti-coloured; two-headed also, three-headed, and five-headed. Admirable marvels!”

Col. Yule remarks on this,—that “two-headed and even three-headed serpents might be suggested by the appearance of a cobra with dilated hood and spectacles, especially if the spectator were (as probably would be the case) in a great fright. But for *five* heads I can make no apology.”

The *Nāga* stones to be seen in every village in the Konkan represent principally three and five-headed snakes. May they not have given rise to Jordanus’s polyccephalous marvels? But if so, what gave rise to the *Nāga* figures having so many heads? There is one at Ranavāsi with five heads and a Pāli inscription in the 12th year of King Satakanni Haritīputa.

THE ASIATIC SOCIETIES.

The *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*, vol. XII, part i. (Jan. 1880) commences with an article by Mr. Redhouse on “The Most Comely Names”—that is, on the various epithets applied by orthodox Muhammadan writers to their god. The author gives a list of 552 such epithets compiled from various previous lists, explaining each epithet, with references, where necessary, to the *Kurān*. It is abundantly evident, therefore, that

the number of “the most comely names” has by no means been confined to any sacred number, such as 77, 99, 101, or 1,000, in spite of the frequent references to the “ninety-nine names of God.” In Mr. Redhouse’s notes to each so-called name will be found several interesting points of Muslem superstition. The next article is by Sir Henry Rawlinson. It is—“Notes on a newly-discovered Clay Cylinder of Cyrus the Great.” In it he gives a transliteration and translation of a highly interesting inscription, together with a useful historical introduction. The cylinder records in effect an edict issued by Cyrus after his conquest of Babylon and of the reigning king, Nabu-nahid (the Nabonidus of the Greeks). The record gives the genealogy of Cyrus in the order stated by Herodotus, viz., 1, Akhæmenes; 2, Teispes (Sispis); 3, Cyrus (Kurus); 4, Cambyses (Kambujiya); 5, Cyrus (Kurus) the Great.

Mr. R. Sewell, M.C.S., follows with a note on Hwen T’sang’s account of Dhanakachoka, and Mr. Fergusson gives expression to the doubt with which he—very rightly, as it seems to us—regards Mr. Sewell’s proposed explanation. M. Sauvaire completes, from a newly discovered MS. at Gotha, his translation of the interesting treatise on Weights and Measures by Mār Eliyā, Archbishop of Nesibe.¹ The number closes with a lengthy discussion as to the age of the Ajanṭa Caves, consisting of a paper by Rājendralāla Mitra, Rai Bahadur, followed by a note by Mr. Fergusson. Dr. Rājendralāla argues that certain inscriptions in the caves are in an alphabet that assimilates to that of “the Gujarāt dated plates, which belong to the 2nd century A.D.,” and therefore these inscriptions fall between the 3rd century B.C. and the 2nd A.D. But no scholar now holds that any of the dates on plates from Gujarāt are earlier than the 5th century, and they come down at least to the 7th. Arguing exclusively from the age he thus assigns to inscriptions in certain caves, he concludes that the paintings in others are from 1800 to 2000 years old. Mr. Fergusson trusting rather to architectural style and details in determining the age of the monuments, where the evidence of the inscriptions is so unsatisfactory, concludes that the paintings in Cave No. 1 may be of as late a date as the first half of the seventh century A.D.

Part ii. (April) opens with Max Müller’s paper on Sanskrit texts discovered in Japan, which has also been printed separately.² The next consists of Extracts from an Official Report to the Government of India on the islands and antiquities of Bahrein, by Captain Durand. To this are added valuable and suggestive notes by Sir H. Rawlinson, con-

¹ *The Academy*, April 24, 1880, p. 310.

² See Book Notice, p. 233.

taining much new and interesting matter relating to the Persian Gulf accumulated since Vincent and Hoeren conducted their investigations.

This is followed by "Notes on the Locality and Population of the Tribes dwelling between the Brahmaputra and Ningthi Rivers," by the late G. H. Damant, M.A. The paper is accompanied by tables of comparative vocabularies.

"On the Śaka, Samvat, and Gupta Eras"—a supplement to his paper "On Indian Chronology," (N. S. vol. IV. pp. 81-137), by J. Fergusson, D.C.L., &c. takes up, first the dates of the Indo-Scythian inscriptions of Kanishka, Huvishka, Vasudeva, &c. which the author regards as dating from the Śaka era established, he believes, "by King Kanishka, who himself was a Śaka king." This is supported by the fact of Gondophares, in the first century, being anterior to Kanishka, and coins of the time of Domitian, Trajan, and Hadrian, being found with those of Kadhises, Kanishka, and Oerke in a topo in Afghanistan. Secondly, the Kshatrapa coins, he contends, do not date from the Vikrama Samvat, but from the Śaka era, and overlap the earlier Guptas, and that the Vikrama Samvat was not in use till about the year 1000, when it was introduced and dated from 600 years, or ten cycles before the battle of Karur, assumed to have been fought in A.D. 544, in the time of Harsha Vikramāditya; and at the same time the Harsha era, dating 1000 years before the same event, or 456 B.C., was proposed and partially established. The Guptas he regards as dated from A.D. 318-19, and that the "foreign invaders" who overthrew them were the White Huns whom Kosmas Indikopleustes mentions as a powerful nation in the north of India, early in the sixth century.

"The Megha Sūtra" by C. Bendall, follows and gives the text and a translation of this late *Sūtra* of the Mahāyāna school, from the Nepalese MSS. at Cambridge.

The next article is "Historical and Archaeological Notes on a Journey in South-Western Persia, 1877-78," by A. Houtem-Schindler; and the last in this number is on the "Identification of the 'False Dawn' of the Muslims with the Zodiacal Light of Europeans," by J. W. Redhouse. This is a continuation of a paper on the same subject in vol. X.

The third part, for July 1880, contains a second paper by Mr. E. L. Brandreth on the Gaurian compared with the Romance languages, in continuation of the first in vol. XI. In this interesting paper the author carefully develops many striking analogies existing between the forms in which Sanskrit has broken up into the various modern

Prākritis, and the way in which Latin broke up into the various Romance languages of modern Europe. These analogies are not only very marked and extend to many details as between one Prākrit and one Romance language, but what is more strikingly curious even, we find one Prākrit following the precise analogy in its derivations from Sanskrit that Italian does in its derivations from Latin, whilst another is in as close analogy to French. Take as examples Sansk. *nar-as* 'man'; Sindhi, *nar-u*; Hindi, *nar*; and compare Lat. *ann-us*, Ital. *ann-o*, Fr. *an*; or Sans. *jihv-a*, Sind. *jibh-a*, H. *jibh*, with Lat. *ros-a*, It. *ros-a*, Fr. *rose*; or, again, San. *bhitt-i*, Sind. *blitt-i*, Hind. *blitt*, and Lat. *terr-is*, It. *terr-e*, Fr. *tour*; &c. The next paper is by Arminius Vambéry, "On the Uzbek Epos," a poem in 74 cantos containing upwards of 4,300 distichs in the metre of the *Majnun u Leila* of Jāmi. It is from a MS. in the Imperial Library of Vienna, and bears date upon the last page of 916 A. H. (1510 A. D.), and must have been written shortly after the death of its author, Prince Mehemmed Salih, the son of Mir Said, formerly ruler of Kharezm. The poem celebrates the glories of his master the great Uzbek Chieftain, Sheibani Khān, and from its length, the historical events related are brought before us in such detail, and with such episodes, as neither Baber nor Mirkond, nor the *Tārīkh-i Rashidi* used by Erskine, and still less the little *Sheibani Nāmeh* edited by the Russian Orientalist K. Berezin, in 1849, can supply us. The narrative commences with Sheibani's first march upon Samarkand, then governed by Baki Terkhān; then follow his engagements with the Mirzas (as the Timurides are called) in Transoxiana, in which Baber plays a prominent part, particularly in the account of the siege of Samarkand, and of the troubles which the founder of the Mogul dynasty in India had to suffer at the hands of his triumphant rival. After the expulsion of Baber and the downfall of the Mirzas, which led to the defeat of the Mongol auxiliaries of Baber, Sheibani crosses the Oxus (called Ōküz or Ūghüz by the author), and enters upon the long war with the children of Mirza Husein Baikara, and with Khosru Shāh, the lord of Rubhistan—comprizing in those days Badakhshan, Khatlan, Dervaz, Roshan, and Shignan. After the defeat and death of this Turkish prince, Sheibani concludes the war against Kharezm, where Chin Sofi, the chief of the great Ada-Turkoman tribe, made a vigorous resistance, and inflicted heavy losses on the Uzbeks, who had to besiege the capital of the said country for eleven months, and only reduced it through the indomitable perseverance of Sheibani. Here the poem

comes to close about 1505 A.D. before the capture of Herat in 1507, and only five years after Sheibani's first appearance before Samarkand in 1500.

The next paper is a translation by Dr. Kern of the two separate edicts of Asoka at Dhauli and Jaugada, to which we shall have occasion to refer elsewhere.

This is followed by a "Grammatical Sketch of the Kakhien Language" by the Rev. J. N. Cushing, of the American Baptist Mission, Rangoon. Kakhien is the Burman name for the Singpho or Chingpau people occupying the mountainous tract stretching from Upper Asam across Northern Burma into the Chinese province of Yu-nan.

The last paper is "Notes on the Libyan Languages" by Prof. F. W. Newman.

In the *Journal Asiatique* for February, March, April 1880, M. Clermont Ganneau continues his notes on "La Coupe Phénicienne de Palestre et l'une des sources de l'art et de la Mythologie Helléniques."—M. Maspero gives the first part of a study of certain pictures and Egyptian texts relating to Funerals.—M. C. de Harlez gives his fifth paper on the "Origines du Zoroastrisme,"

treating of the *Fravashis*; the inferior evil genii—*Ydus*, *Pairikas*, *Kayadhas*, *Kagaredhas*, *Jahis*, and *Ashem-oghas*; Mazdean eschatology; and Zoroaster and the legends.—M. Sauvaire publishes the first part of his *Materials for the History of Musalman Numismatics and Metrology*.—M. le Marquis de Vogué has an interesting note on the form of the tomb of Eshmûnazar, king of Sidon, whose inscribed sarcophagus is one of the most valuable remains preserved in the Louvre, with an attempt to translate the Phœnician legend engraved on it. This is followed by the first part of a masterly study by M. Senart on the inscriptions of Piyadasi, to which we shall refer at length at a later page. The number closes with the Proceedings and Book Notices. In the number for May-June, M. Maspero concludes his study on Egyptian funerary paintings; M. Sauvaire continues his 'Materials'; and M. Senart his inscriptions of Piyadasi; while M. St. Guyard gives his fifth series of Notes on Assyrian Lexicography. The Proceedings are followed by translations of the Van inscriptions by M. Guyard and several Babylonian records by M. Oppert.

BOOK NOTICES.

A COMPARATIVE GRAMMAR OF THE GAUDIAN LANGUAGES, with special reference to the Eastern Hindi. By A. F. R. Hoernle. (Trübner & Co.: 1880.)

In vols. XLI. to XLIII. (1872—4) of the *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal* Dr. Hoernle brought out a series of essays on the Gaudian (*i. e.*, Sanskrit) languages of N. India, which attracted a great deal of attention among oriental philologists. These essays were subsequently expanded and completed by the author, who by a continued and more matured study of the subject was enabled to introduce a number of modifications, corrections, and additions. The book at the head of this notice is thus the outcome of many years' labour in this particular field of enquiry, and not only contains within a comparatively small compass a vast amount of information, but also shows the trained philologist by the scientific method and the spirit of incisive research by which it is pervaded. Dr. Hoernle rightly lays great stress on the dialectical varieties of the spoken languages and on the forms of speech exhibited by them, and claims a full share of importance for the fact that "in most cases adjoining languages and dialects pass into each other so imperceptibly that the determination of the limits of each will always remain more or less a matter of doubt and dispute." As the work was originally intended to be a grammar of Eastern Hindi, this language has been allowed to remain the centre of the author's researches round

which the other languages have been grouped under each subject in separate paragraphs headed "affinities" and "derivation," an arrangement which does not in the least detract from the merits of the book as a Comparative Grammar of all the Gaudian languages. As regards the important linguistic results of Dr. Hoernle's investigations, we must forbear following him through the laborious processes and ingenious combinations by which he has been enabled to formulate them. The historical development of the languages is briefly summed up as follows:—"Four periods may be distinguished in the linguistic history of India. First, when the *Māgadhi* tongue in some form was the only Aryan vernacular in North India. Secondly, when the *Śaurasenī* tongue existed there beside the *Māgadhi*, the one occupying the north-western, the other the south-eastern half. Thirdly, when these were broken up, each into two speeches, the W. and N. *Gaudian*, and the E. and S. *Gaudian*. Fourthly, when these four speeches were subdivided into the several Gaudian languages. The last period is that now prevailing." Concerning their philological classification, Dr. Hoernle has arrived at the following conclusions:—"Since *Bangālī* and *Oriyā* are accounted separate languages from Eastern Hindi, and *Panjābī*, *Gujarātī* and *Sindhī* from Western Hindi, *a fortiori* Eastern Hindi and Western Hindi must be considered as distinct languages, and not merely as

dialects of one and the same." And further, "the languages divide themselves into two large groups or two great forms of speech; the one extending over the Eastern half of North-India and comprising Eastern Hindi, Bangālī and Oriyā; the other covering its Western half and including Western Hindi, Panjābī, Gujarātī, Sindhī." To the former group must also be added Marāṭhī as representative of the Southern Gaudian speech, and to the latter Nepālī as representative of the Northern Gaudian. By endeavouring to trace the growth of these languages through its successive stages, be it of development or decay, back to the earlier Prākṛits, the author has had to grapple with a most difficult problem. While, however, some of his views may perhaps appear hazardous and open to controversy, no one will for a moment question that his work is of sterling value as a solid contribution to Prākṛit philology in its widest sense, trustworthy alike for the linguistic materials it communicates, and for the sound principles it applies in analysing them.

R. R.

THE VINAYAPĪṬAKAM. Edited by Dr. H. Oldenberg. Vol. I. The Mahāvagga. 1879. Vol. II. The Cullavagga. 1880. (London: Williams and Norgate.)

Dr. Oldenberg did well in selecting the *Vinayapīṭaka* for publication in preference to any other portion of the sacred canon of the Southern Buddhists. No part of it had ever been published, and but few fragments were known from Gogerly's and Coles' translations. The *Vinayapīṭaka* besides, is important not only as containing the ecclesiastical code of the Buddhists, but also as furnishing an excellent insight into the state of Hindu society and civilization in the early centuries of Buddhism upwards of 2200 years ago: and for the history of that period it supplies more complete and more valuable data than may be found anywhere in the wide range of Buddhist literature. The Editor defines, in the Introduction to the first volume, the peculiar character of the *Vinayapīṭaka* as distinct from the *Suttapīṭaka*, or ethical code, and discusses the leading questions as to the origin and historical position of the work. The result at which he arrives as to the date of its final revision is that that must have taken place some time before the council of Vesālī, or about 400 B.C. His remarks on the school to which the existing Vinaya text belongs, and on the original seat of the Pāli language, are certainly full of interest, and if his reasoning does not in every case carry conviction with it, it certainly leads on towards an eventual settlement of the various important questions under discussion. The work is to be completed in five volumes, two of which, comprising the *Mahāvagga* and the *Chullavagga*,

have appeared. The various Indices, added to the second volume, are most valuable: and the care with which the text has been edited reflects the greatest credit on the promising scholar who is making these authentic records of an ancient priestly organization available to his fellow-students.

R. R.

"ON SANSKRIT TEXTS DISCOVERED IN JAPAN." By Prof. F. Max Müller, 1880, pp. 36 and one plate (facsimile).

This new pamphlet by Prof. Max Müller is a separate impression (from vol. XII., N. S., of the *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*) of a lecture lately delivered before the Society, and of which more or less incorrect notices appeared in the papers at the time.

It had long been known that Chinese pilgrims had, in the earlier centuries A. D., taken Sanskrit books to China and the farthest East, but all enquiries seemed fruitless, and the discovery now announced is entirely due to Prof. Max Müller's unwearied exertions: a Japanese pupil at last got him the text now published. All must hope that this is merely the first, and that many others will follow.

The text is of a *Mahāyāna-sūtra* termed '*Sukhāvativākyāḥ*,' and belongs to that later stage of Indian Buddhism when the early simple and grand ideas of that religion had been modified after the usual Indian pattern. It is a mixture of frigid exaggeration with an Indian pretence at exactness, and contains a childish account of a heaven called *Sukhāvātī*, which the Buddhist is supposed to reach by persistent and heartless formalities, and to live there for ever. This twaddle is, then, of no value, and every one will agree with Prof. Max Müller in hoping that the Japanese Buddhists will now begin to "purify and reform their religion, that is to bring it back to its original form, . . . a work that must be done before anything else can be attempted."

Thus, the interest attaching to this tract depends on secondary inferences which may be safely drawn from it: these are important in their way.

The first is: that these Buddhist treatises, even the latest, existed in recensions of very different lengths, as is the case with a large number of Hindu books even now. But this particular recension, recovered from Japan, seems not to exist now in Nepāl.

Secondly, it may be inferred that in all probability it will be possible to find older MSS. in China and Japan than in India. It may safely be said that no MS. written one thousand years ago is now existent in India, and that it is almost impossible to find one written five hundred years ago, for

most MSS. which claim to be of that date are merely copies of old MSS. the dates of which are repeated by the copyists. The *Sukhavatī-vyūha* is written in a northern form of Nāgarī which belongs to a comparatively recent period, but many of the letters have not been copied exactly, and it is impossible to fix the date satisfactorily.

Any one who will take the trouble to compare the *facsimile* plate with the corresponding text on p. 30 will not fail to admire the admirable way in which Prof. Max Müller has restored this almost illegible and very corrupt text.

In the notes (pp. 24, etc.) the Professor has discussed and cleared up the meaning of a number of difficult words which perpetually occur in Buddhist texts, and many of which are of great interest to Sanskrit students.

On p. 7 the Professor identifies Koñkanapura with the western coast of the Dekhan, but the presence of a forest of the Borassus palm there is decisive against this, as it only grows in large numbers in dry places; Koñkanapura is surely Koñkanahalli, a former chief town in the Mysore territory.

A. B.

MISCELLANEOUS ESSAYS RELATING TO INDIAN SUBJECTS, by Brian Houghton Hodgson, Esq., F.R.S., late B.C.S., &c. 2 vols. London: Trübner & Co. 1880.

These two volumes of Messrs Trübner & Co.'s 'Oriental Series' contain a number of papers contributed principally to the *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal* between the years 1847 and 1853, and relating chiefly to the languages and ethnology of the aboriginal tribes of India, with some other essays of a more general character. The first Essay on the Kochh, Bôdô and Dhinnâl tribes appeared separately at Calcutta in 1847, the tenth and eleventh on the Route of the Nepalese Mission to Peking, and on the Route from Kâthmândû to Darjiling, with the twelfth, on the Systems of Law and Police in Nepâl, were published in the *Selections from the Records of Bengal*. The short paper on the Native Method of making the paper called 'Nepâlese,' is from the *Transactions of the Agricultural Society*; and the Letters on Vernaculars, with which the second volume concludes, are reprinted from the *Friend of India*, 1818.

"Almost all the papers," says the editor, Dr. R. Rost, "more especially the longer Linguistical Essays, have been reprinted from copies revised and annotated by the author himself, who has earned a fresh and lasting title to the gratitude of all students of Indian glossology and ethnology by allowing the rare and valuable Papers comprised in these volumes to be made generally available."

And whilst the great field of Mr. Hodgson's labours lay in Nepâl and along the northern frontier of India, it must not be forgotten that those essays are by no means restricted to that zone: the fifth and sixth sections of these volumes is on the Aborigines of the Eastern frontier, and the Indo-Chinese Borderers in Burma, Arakan, and Tenasserim, while the ninth is on the Aborigines of Central India, the Eastern Ghâts, the Nilagiris, and Ceylon. Thus to students of ethnology and glossology in all parts of the Indian empire these essays will be of interest.

The few lithographs that illustrated the original papers have not been reproduced (except a Map) with these reprints, but a greater defect is the want of an Index of some sort to make the work more convenient for reference.

We have already (vol. IV. p. 89) noticed the reprint of Mr. Hodgson's *Essays on the Languages, Literature and Religion of Nepâl and Tibet*: we could only wish that they were re-edited with the same care as these volumes, and issued as a third one.

1. VIE OU LÉGENDE DE GAUDAMA le Bouddha des Birmanes, et Notice sur les Phongyies ou Moines Birmanes. Par Monseigneur P. Bigandet, Evêque de Ramatha, vicaire apostolique d'Ava et Pegou. Traduit en Français par Victor Gauvain, Lieut. de vaisseau. Paris: E. Leroux, 1878. (8vo. pp. viii. and 540.)
2. THE LIFE OR LEGEND OF GAUDAMA, the Buddha of the Burmese, with annotations; the ways of Neibban, and notice of the Phongyies or Burmese Monks. By the Right Rev. P. Bigandet, Bishop of Ramatha, &c. in 2 vols. London: Trübner, 1880.

Bishop Bigandet's invaluable work on Buddha and Burmese Buddhism first appeared in a single volume (324 pp.) printed at Rangoon in 1858, and was favourably noticed in the *Calcutta Review* in June 1859. A second edition revised and much enlarged (538 pp.) appeared at the same place in 1866. Both these editions were out of print when Lieutenant Victor Gauvain prepared his very excellent French translation of the second edition, which brought the work again within the reach of European scholars in a convenient form and clear type.

Messrs. Trübner & Co. now reproduce the same work in a faithful reprint of the second English edition¹ in two handy volumes, which will be welcome to English students. Buddhism in Burma, as in Ceylon, differs markedly from the religion which passes under the same name in Nepâl, Tibet, and China: it knows nothing of the Bodhisattwas, Jîva Buddhas, Śaktis, Devîs, and the multitudinous pantheon of the Mahâyâna sects, and on this account alone deserves a special study. And no work founded—rather translated—from original sources presents to the Western student

¹ Only too faithful: for it reproduces even the misprints,—e.g. 'Allahabas' in the note vol. II. p. 265. Gauvain (p. 430) has not overlooked such errata.

a more faithful picture than that of Bishop Bigandet.

To the ordinary reader unacquainted with the Burmese representations of Indian names they will at first be somewhat confusing: 'Thoodandana' for Śuddhodana, 'Pounha' for Brâhman, 'Radzagio' for Rājagriha, 'Kathaba' for Kaśyapa, 'Dzewaka' for Jivaka, 'Wethalie' for Vaisali, 'Dzetawon' for Jetavana, 'Adzatatha' for Ajātaśatru, 'Manh' for Māra, 'Manta' for Muṇḍa, 'Nagata-saka' for Nāgadāsaka, 'Tsandagutta' for Chandragupta, &c. are examples of these Burmese forms. Might it not have been worth while either noting the Sanskrit equivalents in footnotes, or giving a table of them? The want of an Index is a great defect of these otherwise valuable volumes.

1. SELECTIONS FROM THE KUR-ĀN. By Edward William Lane, Hon. Dr. Lit. Leyden., Cor. Inst. Fr., &c. A new edition, revised and enlarged with an Introduction. By Stanley Lane Poole. (London: Trübner & Co. 1879.)
2. EXTRACTS FROM THE KORAN in the original, with English rendering. Compiled by Sir William Muir, K.C.S.I., LL.D. (London: Trübner & Co. 1880.)

These two works are similar, though of very different pretensions. Sir W. Muir's very modest little volume of 64 pages contains thirty-five short extracts in Arabic with English versions. They are arranged according to the existing order of the *Korān*, and are of that class which exhibit "arguments drawn from Nature and Providence, with a view to prove the existence of God as the Supreme Ruler, and enforce His sovereign claim on the obedience and gratitude of mankind. The retribution of good and evil in the world to come, the obligation to follow virtue and eschew vice, the duty and happiness of the creature in worshipping and serving the Creator, and such like topics, are set forth in language of beauty and vigour, abounding often with real poetry." "Passages like these," the author considers, "can hardly be obnoxious to the professors of any faith; and there is much in them that should be welcome to all." The collection intentionally avoids the special tenets of Islām, and may thus be useful "as affording a certain basis of agreement and common thought, for those who come into contact with the Muslim world." They might be useful in schools in India, and for the student of Arabic the selection may be found very serviceable. The Arabic type is that of Stephen Austin of Hertford, and is very clear and legible.

Mr. Lane's volume is of a very different character: partly a reprint of his *Selections* published in 1843, the book has almost been recast by his nephew. The extracts, which occupy the second half of the volume, are given only in English, and are arranged under distinctive heads,—the first part (consisting of about a fourth of the whole) sets forth the doctrines of Islām; the second presents Muhammad's versions of the history of the patriarchs and other personages of the Jewish and Christian writings. To the first Mr. Poole has added considerably, while he has also largely freed it from the commentary with which, in the first edition, it was interwoven.

The original introduction, however, which had been abridged from Sale's Preliminary Discourse, has been discarded, and for it Mr. Poole has substituted an essay of 100 pages giving a sketch of the beginnings of Islām in four chapters—(1) The Arabs before Muhammad, (2) Muhammad, (3) Islām, and (4) The Kur-ān—well written and instructive. The volume is completed by two excellent Indexes.

METRICAL TRANSLATIONS FROM SANSKRIT WRITERS, with an introduction, prose versions and parallel passages from classical authors. By J. Muir, C.I.E., D.C.L., LL.D., Ph.D. (London: Trübner & Co. 1879.)

This volume of Trübner's 'Oriental Series' is the work of a well-known and conscientious scholar whose services to the cause of Oriental learning will not soon be forgotten. These 248 *Metrical Translations*, which fill the first 196 pages of this volume, have partly appeared in the pages of the *Indian Antiquary*,¹ partly also in *Religious and Moral Sentiments from Sanskrit Writers*,² and in three collections of versified translations printed for private circulation. They include also a reprint of the metrical pieces from the author's *Original Sanskrit Texts*, vols. II. and V.

Besides occasional footnotes the author adds to his metrical renderings an Appendix of 112 pages, containing faithful prose translations of all the passages versified, so that the freedom of the metrical version can be at once judged of; and these latter are interspersed with references to interesting parallel passages in the Greek and Roman Classics, especially the Greek Dramatists. In addition to this, a further supplement of 39 pages more of further references is added, including a new version of the splendid hymn of Kleantes, of which Cudworth remarks that "it breathes throughout a spirit of true piety and just knowledge of divine things."³ Though not

¹ *I. A.* vol. III., pp. 170, 241, 335ff.; vol. IV., pp. 190ff. 269ff.; vol. V., pp. 152ff. 311ff. 340ff.; vol. VII., pp. 137ff. 203ff. 232, 292, 308; vol. VIII., pp. 86ff. 152, 204f. 321, 338ff.; vol. IX., pp. 29, 32, 87, 141. A notice of the first small collection of these versions was given in vol. IV., pp. 118ff.

² Published by Messrs. Williams and Norgate, 1875, and noticed *Ind. Ant.* vol. IV. p. 118.

³ Cudworth, *Intellectual System*, p. 432; conf. Sir A. Grant's *Aristotle*, 3rd ed. vol. I. p. 327f.

so literal as Dr. Muir's, Newman's translation of this hymn is so spirited, but so little known that we give it here :—

"Almighty alway! many-named! Most glorious of the deathless!

Jove, primal spring of nature, who with Law directest all things!

Hail! for to bow salute to Thee, to every man is holy.

For we from Thee an offspring are, to whom alone of mortals,

That live and move along the earth, the Minic Voice is granted;

Therefore to Thee I hymns will sing, and always chant thy greatness.

Subject to Thee is yonder sky, which round the earth, for ever

Majestic rolls at Thy command, and gladly feels Thy guidance—

So mighty is the weapon, clenched within Thy hands unconquered,

The double-edged and fiery bolt of over-living lightning.

For Nature through her every part beneath its impulse shudders,

Whereby the universal scheme Thou guidest, which, through all things

Proceeding, intermingles deep with greater lights and smaller.

When Thou so vast in essence art, a king supreme for ever.

Nor upon earth is any work done without Thee, O spirit!

Nor at the æther's utmost height divine, nor in the ocean,

Save whatsoe'er the infatuate work out from hearts of evil.

But Thou by wisdom knowest well to render odd things even;

Thou orderest disorder, and the unlovely lovely makest;

For so hast Thou in one combined the noble with the baser,

That of the whole, a single scheme arises, everlasting,

Which men neglect and overlook, as many as are evil;

Unhappy, who good things to get are evermore desiring,

While to the common law of God nor eyes nor ears they open,—

Obedient to which, they might good life enjoy with wisdom.

But they, in guise unseemly, rush this way and that, at random;

One part, in glory's chase engaged with ill-contenting passion,

Some, searching every path of gain, of comeliness forgetful,

Others, on self-indulgence bent and on the body's pleasure,

While things right contrary to these their proper action hastens.

But, Jove all bounteous! who, in clouds enwrap, the lightning wieldest,

Mayest Thou from baneful ignorance the race of men deliver!

This, Father, scatter from the soul, and grant that we the wisdom

May reach, in confidence of which Thou justly guideest all things,

That we, by Thee in honour set, with honour may repay thee,

Raising to all Thy works a hymn perpetual, as becometh

A mortal soul: since neither man nor God has higher glory,

Than rightfully to celebrate Eternal Law all-ruling."

As an introduction to this volume, Dr. Muir has given a very careful résumé of the opinions of European scholars, especially Lassen, Weber, Windisch, Böhtlingk, M. Barth, and Monier Williams, on the question whether the ideas and doctrines of the *Bhagavad Gītā* are derived from, or have been influenced by, the Christian Scriptures,—a question suggested* by the publication in this Journal (vol. II., pp. 283—296) of a translation of the Appendix to Dr. Lorinser's *Bhagavad-Gītā*.

We heartily welcome the issue of these Translations, &c. in a collected form. They are the moral gems picked out of the vast and often impure stores of Sanskrit literature,⁵ and indicate that, though the moral darkness of ancient India was dense, there were occasional glimpses of a light given to individuals, which may have helped some to struggle against the natural progress of corruption, and handed them on to times of purer light. They were foundations, as it were, in thought and in language for a better ethical superstructure; soil for better seed; a *προπαιδεία* for the reception of the highest truth.⁶

* Part of this introduction appeared also in this Journal, vol. IV., pp. 77ff.

⁵ Dr. Muir characterises the Hindu moral and religious ideas as represented in their literature in the words of the Greek poet,—πολλὰ μὲν ἁθροῖα μὲνιγμένα,

πολλὰ δὲ λυγρὰ. "Many good (things) and many bad mingled."

⁶ Clements Alexandrinus gives much prominence to the importance of such moral ideas in the old religions: See *Strom.* i. 6, and 17; and 20; vi. 8, 15, and 17; vii. 3; &c.

VALABHĪ GRANTS.

EDITED BY DR. G. BÜHLER, C.I.E.

(Continued from vol. VII. p. 86.)

NO. XV.—A GRANT OF ŚĪLĀDITYA I., DATED
SAMVAT 290.

THE plates on which the subjoined grant is written were found some years ago at Dhān k, in Kāthiavāḍ, and are now preserved in the Rajkote Museum. Through the kindness of Colonel L. Barton and Major Ch. Wodehouse, they were lent to me for a few days, and then sent to the Government Photozincographic Office, Poṇā, where the accompanying facsimile was prepared.

The preservation of the plates is excellent, and the seal which shows the usual emblem and inscription is still attached to them. The letters, which exactly resemble those of the plates published in the *Jour. R. A. Society*, vol. XI, p. 360 seq., are deeply and well cut. The number of clerical mistakes which occur is not greater than on other plates of the same dynasty. One, *laddha* (Pl. I, l. 2) for *labdha*, appears to be owing to the substitution of a Prakrit form for its Sanskrit original.

The wording of the first or genealogical part of the grant is almost identical with that of Śīlāditya's earlier Śāsana of Samvat 286, and contains, therefore, no new information. The kings of Valabhī who are mentioned, are 1, Bhātārka; 2, Guhasena, 3, Dharasena II.; and 4, the donor Śīlāditya I., *alias* Dharmāditya. It is only to be noted that Śīlāditya is the first ruler, who omits Bhātārka's four sons. The reason probably is that the plates used by his ministers were too small to admit the full list of kings, together with the obligatory eulogy of each. In other respects the grant offers various interesting points.

Firstly, it is dated (Pl. I, l. 1) *vijayaskandhā-vārād Valabhīpradēdrahombavāsukāl*, "from the camp of victory pitched in or on the homba situated in the open space before the gates of Valabhī." Here it is the curious term *homba*, which looks like a Deśī word, that offers some difficulty. I have not met with it in any other grant, and I have not been able to learn anything about its precise signification by inquiries at Valā. From the context it appears, however, that it must have been either a cantonment or a garden in which the king had pitched his tents.

Secondly, the donee *Balavarmānaka-Vaṭapadrasvatālanivishṭa-Harināthakārila* — *Mahādeva-pādāh* (Pl. II, ll. 2-3), "Worshipful *Mahādeva*, dedicated by *Harinātha*, and dwelling within the precincts of *Balavarmānaka-Vaṭapadra*," is very interesting. For, though we possess a full score of decipherable and deciphered inscriptions of the Valabhī kings, who with two exceptions call themselves *paramamāheśvara*, or 'ardent devotees of *Māheśvara*,' this is the first grant in which the family deity is mentioned as the recipient of a royal bounty. All the others record donations to Brahmans or to Bauddha Saṃghas. Our grant affords proof that the devotion of the Valabhīans to Śiva went beyond mere words. The place where the Linga, dedicated by *Harinātha*, apparently a Brahman, stood, I am unable to ascertain. *Vaṭapadra* corresponds with a modern Varodrā or Baroda. The map of Kāthiavāḍ unfortunately contains more than a dozen places bearing this name, and none of them is surrounded by villages called *Bhadrāṇaka*, *Pushmilānaka*, *Bramilānaka*, and *Dinnānaka*, which appear in our grant (Pl. II, l. 6-9). It seems that in ancient times too the name *Vaṭapadra* was a common one. For the word *Balavarmānaka* prefixed to *Vaṭapadra* in our grant, which I explain to mean either 'belonging to or founded by *Balavarman*' (apparently a Kshatriya), can only serve to distinguish this particular village from other homonymous ones.

The passage specifying the objects granted runs as follows, (Pl. II, l. 4—10):—*Vaṭapadrasvatāla evottarasīmni vāṇija-ghosha-(saska)-[satka]vāpyā aparataḥ tathā Balabhata-satka-vāpyā dakshinātāḥ tathā Chandrabhata-satkarāpyā[h]pūrataḥ Vaṭapadrādevottaratāḥ panchaviṃśatpādārtaparisaṛā Yamabvāpi; tathāpara-sīmni Bhadrāmika-grāmapathādakshinātāḥ Vilakhaṭṭāyā[khaṭṭāyā a] purataḥ Dinnānaka-grāmapatha[thā] dultaratāḥ Baraṭakamaryāla[dā]purratāḥ [pādārtasatāni sārthāni tathā dakṣiṇāsīmni ādityadevapādāyā vāpyā[h]pūrataḥ Kākinnikimbi[?]—māvaka [māvaka?] satkukshetrādultaratāḥ[to]Bramilānaka-grāmapathadakshinātāḥ Pushmilānaka-*

grāmasmni[ny]aparatah [ta] evametatsaha
va[vā]pyā pddāvarasatadvayam pānchanavatyā-
[tya]dhikam. ■

"The Yamalavāpī, covering twenty-five square feet and situated just within the precincts of Vaṭapadra, on the northern boundary, west of the well of Vāṇia Ghosha, and south of the well belonging to Balabhaṭa and east of the well belonging to Chandrabhaṭa; moreover (a field) one hundred and fifty square feet (in size) on the western boundary, south of the road to the village of Bhadrāṇaka, west of Vitakhaṭṭā, north of the road to the village of Dinnānāka, east of the boundary of Barāṭaka; further [a field one hundred and twenty-five square feet in extent],¹ on the southern boundary, east of the well belonging to the worshipful deity Āditya, north of the field of the shoemaker (?) Kākinnī (Kākimbī?), south of the road to the village of Bramilānaka, west of the boundary of the village of Pūshmilānaka; thus, including the well, these two hundred and ninety-five square feet (of land) [have been given].

The purpose for which the grant is made is the usual one, viz., to provide for the temple-worship and for the repairs of the building.

More interesting than these details are the

name of the *Dūtaka*, probably the executive officer of the district in which Vaṭapadra lay, and the date of the grant. The *Dūtaka* is called Kharagraha, and we know from the later plates that Kharagraha I. was the younger brother and successor of Śīlāditya I., who during the latter's lifetime carried on the government. I have no hesitation in identifying the *Dūtaka* with the king, and to assume that his employment in this responsible position eventually led to his obtaining the real power in the State, and to "his carrying, solely intent on fulfilling his (brother's) commands, like a well-broken bullock (carries the yoke), royal fortune on his shoulders, though (that fortune) might have been desired by his most respected elder brother, who resembled (*Indra*) the elder brother of Upendra (*Vishnu*)."

The date of our inscription is Śaivāt 290, while all the other known inscriptions of Śīlāditya I. are Śaivāt 286. The new date reduces the gap between Śīlāditya's and the second Dhruvasena's grant of Śaivāt 310 to twenty years, for which we have two more kings, Kharagraha I. and Dharaśena III. It is therefore very probable that our grant was issued towards the end of Śīlāditya's reign, and that he was shortly afterwards dethroned.

TRANSCRIPT.

Plate I.

[¹] ओ स्वस्ति विजयस्कन्धावाराद्वलभीप्रद्वारहोम्बवसकाप्रसभप्रणता मित्राणामैवक्राणामतुलबल²

[²] संपन्नमण्डलाभोगससुकप्रहारशतलद्धप्रतापाप्रतापोपानतदानमानार्जवोपाजितानुरा

[³] गादनुरक्तमौलभृतश्रेणीबलावापराज्यश्रियः परममाहेश्वरश्रीभटार्कादव्यवच्छिन्नराजवंशान्माता

[⁴] पिकृचरणारविन्दप्रणतिप्रविधौताशेषाकल्मषः शैशवःप्रभृतिखड्गद्वितीयबाहुरेव समदपरगज

[⁵] घटस्फोटनप्रकाशितसत्त्वनिषस्तप्रभावप्रणतारातिचूडारत्नप्रभाससाकपादनखरश्मिसंहतिस्तक

[⁶] लस्मिन्तिप्रणीतमार्गसम्यक्परिपालनप्रजाहृदयरन्जनान्वर्त्यराजशब्दः रूपकान्तिस्यैर्यधैर्यगाम्भीर्य

[⁷] बुद्धिसम्पद्धिः स्मरशशङ्काद्रिराजोदधिन्निदशगुरुधनेशानतिशयानशरणागताभयप्रदानपरतया

[⁸] तृणवदपास्ताशेषस्वकार्यफल प्रार्थनधिकार्थप्रदानानन्दितविद्वत्सुहृत्प्रणयिहृदयः पादचरीव सकल-

[⁹] भुवनमण्डलाभोगप्रमोदः . परममाहेश्वरः श्रीगुहसेनस्तस्य सुतस्तत्पादनखमयूखसन्तानविसृत

¹ The measurement of the second field has not been mentioned, probably in consequence of a slip of the engraver. It may, however, be ascertained by deducting the other two figures from the total given below.

² L. 1, read ओ; वाक्; प्रणतामित्राणां. L. 2, read सं-

सकं; लब्धं; पोपनतं. L. 4, read पितृ; प्रविधौताशेषं; शैशवात्म्यं. L. 5, read संसक्तं. L. 6, read स्मृति; र-
जनां; शब्दो. L. 8, read फलं; प्रार्थनाधि; चारीव.

- [¹⁰] जाद्वीजलौघप्रक्षालिताशेषकाल्मषः प्रणयिशतसहस्रोपजीव्यमानुसम्पद्रूपलोभादिवाशृतस्स¹
 [¹¹] रभसमाभिगामिकैगुणैस्संहजशक्तिशिक्षाविशेषविस्मापितखिलबलधनुर्द्धरः प्रथमनरपातिसम
 [¹²] तिसृष्टानामनुपालयित धर्मदायानामपाकर्त्ता प्रजोपघातकारिणामुपप्लवामां दर्शयिता श्रीसरस्व
 [¹³] लोरेकधिवसस्य संहतरातिपक्षलक्ष्मीपारिभोगदक्षविक्रमोपसंप्राप्तविमलपार्थिवश्रीः पर
 [¹⁴] ममाहेश्वरः श्रीधरसेनस्तस्य सुतस्तत्पादानुद्वयातस्तकालाजगदनन्दनात्यद्भुतगुणसमुदयस्थगतिरसम
 [¹⁵] यदिगमण्डलस्समरशतविजयशोभासंनयमण्डलाग्रदुतिभासुरतरान्सपीठोदूढगुरुमनोरथमहा
 [¹⁶] भरस्यर्व्वविद्यापरावरविभागाधिगमविमलमतिरपि सर्व्वतस्सुभाषितलवेनापि सुखोपपादनीयप
 [¹⁷] रितोपः समग्रलोकागाधगाम्भीर्य्यहृदयोपि सुचरितातिशयसुव्यक्तपरमकल्याणस्वभावः खिलीभूत
 [¹⁸] कृतयुगनृपतिपथविशोधनाधिगतोदग्रकीर्तिर्द्धर्मनुपरोधज्वलतरीकृतार्थसुखसम्पदुपसेवा
 [¹⁹] निरूढधर्मादित्यद्वितीयनामा परममाहेश्वरः श्रीशीलादित्यकुशली सर्व्वानेव युक्तकविनियुक्तकद्रा

Plate II.

- [¹] क्रिकशौलिककचौरोद्धरणिकचाटभटकुमरामायादीनन्यांश्च यथासम्बद्धमानकान्समाज्ञाप²
 [²] ययस्तु वस्संविदितं यथा मया मतापित्रोः पुण्याप्यायनाय बलवर्म्मानकवाटपद्रस्वतलनिविष्टहरिनाथ
 [³] कारितमहादेवपादानं पूजास्तपनगन्धधूपपुष्पमाल्यदीपतैलाद्यव्यवच्छिन्त्ये चाद्यगीतनृयाद्यु
 [⁴] पयोगाय देवकुलस्य च खण्डस्फुटितप्रतिसस्करय पादमूलप्रजीवननिमित्तय वटपद्रस्वतल एवोत्त
 [⁵] रसीभिर्न वाणिजकघोषसत्कवाप्या अपरतः तथा बलभटसत्कवाप्या दक्षिणतः तथा चन्द्रभटसत्कवाप्या
 [⁶] पूर्व्वतः वटपद्रादेवोत्तरतः पंचविंशत्पादावर्त्तपरिसरा यमलवापी तथापरसीमि भद्राणक
 [⁷] ग्रामपथादक्षिणतः वीतखट्टायापरतः दिन्नानाकग्रामपथदुत्तरतः बरटकमर्ष्यादपूर्व्वतः
 [⁸] पादावर्त्तशतं साद्वं तथा दक्षिणसीमि आदित्यदेवपादीयवाप्या पूर्व्वतः काकिन्मिभूकसत्कक्षेत्रादु
 [⁹] त्तरतः ब्रमिलनकग्रामपथदक्षिणतः पुष्मिलानकग्रामसीमि अपरतः एवमेतत्सह वप्या पादा
 [¹⁰] दावर्त्तशतद्वयं पंचनवव्याधिकं सोदृगं सोपरिकरं सवातभूतप्रत्ययं सधान्यहिरण्यादेयं सद्
 [¹¹] शापराधं सोत्पद्यमानविष्टिकं सर्व्वराजकीयानामहस्तप्रक्षेपणीयं पूर्व्वप्रत्तब्रह्मदेयवर्ज्जितं
 [¹²] भूमिच्छिद्रन्यायेनाचन्द्रार्काण्यवक्षितिसरित्पूर्व्वतसमकालीनं धर्मदेयतया प्रतिपादितं यतोपरिलि
 [¹³] खितस्थित्या भुज्यमानं न कैश्चिद्वासेधे वर्त्तितव्यमागामिभद्रनृपतिभिरप्यस्मदंशजैरन्यैर्व्वानित्यान्यै
 [¹⁴] श्रध्याप्यस्थिरं मानुष्यं सामन्यं च भूमिदानफलमवगच्छद्विरवमस्मदायोनुमन्तव्यः परिपा
 [¹⁵] लयितव्यश्चेत्युक्तं च भगवता वेदव्यासेन व्यासिन ॥ बहुभिर्व्वसुधा भुक्ता राजमिस्सगरादिभिः य
 [¹⁶] स यस्य यदा भूमि तस्य तस्य तदा फलं-यानीह दारिद्र्यभयाच्चेनैर्द्धनानि धर्म्मायतनीकृतानि³
 [¹⁷] निभुक्तमल्पप्रतिमानि तानि को नाम साधुः पुनराददीत=वर्षाष्ट वर्षसहस्राणि स्वर्गो मोदति भू
 [¹⁸] मिदः आच्छेत्ता चानुमन्ता च तान्येव नरके वसेदिति ॥ दूतकश्चात्र श्रीखरग्रहः=लिखितं सन्धिवि-
 [¹⁹] ग्रहाधिकृतदिविरपतिचन्द्रभट्टिना ॥ सं. [२९०] भद्रपद व ८ स्वहस्तोमम

¹ L. 10, read 'कल्मषः'; 'वाभित' L. 11, read 'कैर्गुणैः';
 'साखिल'; dele बल 'नरपाति'. L. 12, read 'पालयिता'. L. 13,
 read 'रेकाधिवसस्य'; 'संहतराति'; 'परिभोग'. L. 14, read
 'सकलजगदान'. L. 15, read 'सनाथ'; 'रास'; 'गुरुम'. L.
 16, read 'भारस्मज्यै'; 'परावर'. L. 17, read 'तोषः'. L. 18,
 read 'द्धर्म्मानुपरोधज्वलतरी'. L. 19, read 'नेषायुक्तक'.

² L. 1, read कुमार; L. 2, read माता. L. 3 read
 'पादानां'; वाद्यगीत'. L. 4, read 'संस्काराय'; 'निमित्ताय'.
 L. 5, read 'घोष' चन्द्रभटसत्कवाप्या. L. 7, read 'प-
 थादुत्तरतः'; 'दापूर्व्वतः'. L. 8, read 'वाप्या'; perhaps का-
 किन्मिभूक. L. 9, read 'पथादक्षि'; 'वाप्या'. L. 10, dele दा
 in 'दावर्त्त'; 'व्यधिक'; 'प्रत्याय'. L. 12, read 'पादितं'; यत
 उपरि. ³ L. 16, read भूमिस्त'. L. 17, read निर्भुक्तमालम्ब'.
 L. 19, read भाद्रपद.

CHINGHIZ KHAN AND HIS ANCESTORS.

BY HENRY H. HOWORTH, F.S.A.

(Continued from p. 221.)

III.

Abulghazi tells us that it was the fashion among the Turks, the Tajiks,¹ and other races to ascend no higher than the 7th generation, and that in giving any one's pedigree the Turks were wont to say—"we have been smiths as far back as my seventh ancestor," or "seven of my ancestors have lived in this country," etc.² The 7th ancestor of Chinghiz Khân Menen-tudun was probably the first of his line of whom we can safely affirm that he was a real personage, and was not borrowed from the traditions and history of other races. The 7th ancestor was called Dutakun by the Mongols according to Rashid and Abulghazi. This is perhaps a corruption of *dulakhun*, which in Mongol means seven.

The Persian writers make Kaidu Khân the son and successor of Menen-tudun, and not his grandson as the Chinese do, and they tell us that as the 6th ancestor he was called Murti.³ The word is also read *yurti*, *burki*, *murti* and *murki*.⁴

Kaidu is a well-known Mongol name, and was borne by a grandson of Ogotai Khân, who became a rival of Khubilai Khân. The Sagas apparently assign to Kaidu the son of Menen-tudun, the foundation of an independent community. I have mentioned how his uncle Nachin carried him off to the country of Barguchin Tugun, where he became famous for his prowess and skill.

When he grew up his uncle made him the chief both of the Bargut and of the Choges or Tsekers,⁵ by which name the people living on the Chikoi, a tributary of the Selenga, are probably meant. He then determined to revenge himself on the Jelairs. When he marched against them the latter tribe, afraid of his vengeance, agreed to surrender those who had been guilty, and it was found they were 500 in number, who were given up to Kaidu Khân with their wives and children to do what he liked with them. He then summoned a family council to decide what should be done. At this it was urged that to exact a blood penalty from them would not be

judicious, since they were of such inferior rank to those whom they had killed, and it was determined that Kaidu should make slaves of them, and that they should remain in perpetual servitude to his family. They increased largely in numbers, and as was customary took the name of their patrons, *i.e.* Kiat Mongol. They remained in this condition of servitude till the days of Chinghiz Khân's great-grandson, and each prince of the Imperial stock had 20 or 30 Jelair families in his service.⁶

This condition of servitude is what Rashid calls *Utgubughul*.⁷ It applied only to one section of the Jelairs, the ancestors probably of the present tribe Jelaid. The greater part of the race remained free, and eventually moved westward into the Kazak steppes. Rashid tells us that Kaidu founded in the country of Barguchin a ferry for the convenience of travellers, which from him was called Kaidu Chunlum.⁸

The *Yuan-shi* which refers to this fact calls it a bridge, and tells us it was built over the "little Black river" in the country of Bargu.⁹ Black river is Kara-gol in Mongol, and it is probable that the Kara-gol, a well-known tributary of the Orkhon, is here meant. It is curious that one so prominent in the Mongol genealogy as Kaidu should be altogether ignored both by Ssanang Setzen and in the *Altan Topchi*. In the list given by Pallas from the *Bhodhimer*, he is replaced by Genedugen, answering no doubt to Genigesy, the grandson of Kaidu as given in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*.

Let us now proceed again. The *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* tells us that Kaidu had three sons, Boshinkhor Dokshin, Karakhailinkhu and Jaujin Ortagai. Rashid ud-din calls them Baisangkur, Jerki Lin Gun and Jaujin Urdeki.¹⁰ In the *Yuan-shi* which says Kaidu left but two sons, the first of them is named Pai-sung-khur and the other Cha-la-ka-ning-ur. The latter of whom is there said to have married his brother's widow, and by her to have had Ne-ku-cha-wur-tu-to-ko, who was the ancestor of the Se-chi-

¹ *i.e.* the Persians.² Abulghazi, 74.³ *Id.*⁴ Erdmann, *Temüschin*, p. 543, note 3.⁵ *Yuan-shi*, Douglas, p. 8; Hincinthe, p. 7.⁶ Abulghazi, 62 and 63.⁷ Erdmann, 543.⁸ *Id.*⁹ Hincinthe, *op. cit.*, p. 7.¹⁰ Erdmann, *op. cit.*, p. 544.

hwu, *i.e.* the Sijint. The *Altan Topchi* and *Ssanang Setzen* only name the first son, the former calls him Baisangkur Dokshin and the latter Shingkhör Dokshin. As I have said, both of them omit the name of Kaidu, and make Baisangkur the son of Khachi Külük. We will revert to Baisangkur presently.

The *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* tells us that Karakailinku, *i.e.* Jerki Lin Gun had a son Sankunbilge who had a son Anbakhai, who had a son Khadan Taishi. Having married the widow of his elder brother, he also had by her a son Bosutai, who was the ancestor of the Besut or Baisut. According to Rashidu'd-din they were the descendants of Jiadai, whom he makes the 9th son of Tumbaghai or Tumeneh Khân.¹¹ Janji Ortagai according to the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* had six sons:—1, Oronar; 2, Khuankhotan; 3, Arulat; 4, Sunit; 5, Khabturkhakhu or Khatürkhasi; 6, Genigesi. These are merely eponymous names, the father the eponymos of the Urtagans, and according to Rashidu'd-din of the Sijint; Oronar, the stem father of the Urnaut; Khuankhotan of the Kunegkiat; Arulat of the Arulat; Sunit of the Sunid; Khabturkhakhu of the Kabteruns, and Genigesi of the Chinos.

Let us now contrast this story with that told by Rashid. He calls Kaidu's second son Jerki Lin Gun, and tells us Lin Gun, which was corrupted by the Mongols into Liku, was a Chinese title meaning Great prince. On his brother Bai Sangkur's death he married his widow, by whom he had two sons, Kendu-chino and Uluk-chino, *i.e.* the male wolf and the female wolf. Their descendants, we are further told, were called Chinos and also Nokuz, and he distinguishes them from the Nokuz who came out of Irgeneh-kun.¹² By another wife Rashid tells us Jerki Lin Gun had other sons, the eldest of whom was Surghodul-chino who was the father of Ambaghai Khân, of whom we shall have more to say presently. Kaidu's third son according to him was called Janjin Urguz, and he was the ancestor of the Sanjiut and Arikans or Ertigans.¹³ We will now revert to Bai Sangkur, the eldest son of Kaidu Khân, who in his capacity as fifth ancestor was entitled to the style of Budakur.¹⁴ Apparently no facts are recorded about him.

The *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* tells us he had a son,

Tunbenai Setzen. The *Yuan-shi* calls him Tunpa-khai, the *Altan Töpchi* Tonbenai, *Ssanang Setzen* Tumbaghai Setzen, and Rashidu'd-din Tumeneh Khân. As fourth ancestor he was styled Budutu. Tunbenai Khân according to the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* had two sons Kabul Khakan and Sinshili. The *Yuan-shi* gives him six sons, namely Ko-tsi-ku, Hai-ke-le-tsi-ko-tan, Ho-chun, Ha-ta-le-tai, Hos-shi-kwan, and Ho-po-lu Han, the last of whom is of course Kabul Khân. Rashidu'd-din gives him nine sons, whom he calls respectively—Jak-su, Barin Shir-batu, Khaiju, Kajuli, Sam Khajiun Batkulgi, Kabul Khan, Udur Bayan, Budantsar Doglan and Jiadai. That is in the main the same eponymous ancestors of various tribes who in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* are made sons of Menen Tudun. This variation in the genealogy as given by the three principal witnesses shows we are still in the region of artificial names introduced to explain ethnographic relations, and it is probable that the only names of actual persons in the list are those constituting the main line of descent of the Khanate. Let us now turn to Kabul or Khubilai Khân, who as third ancestor was entitled Elenjik. We are indebted to Rashidu'd-din for preserving us a curious *saga* about him. He tells us that his prowess and that of his sons having reached the ears of the Altan Khan, *i.e.* of the Kin ruler of China, he sent envoys to summon him to his presence. He accordingly set out, and was received with due honours, and was given a grand feast, but afraid of treachery to which the Chinese were much addicted, and that the meat and drink might be poisoned, he withdrew for a while on the plea that he wanted repose, and then returned. Presently when the air was cooler, he again withdrew to refresh himself, and went to bathe in a neighbouring stream. He now returned again, and being satisfied of the good faith of the Chinese, began to eat and drink voraciously, and without showing any signs of suspicion. His immense appetite astonished his hosts, who declared that God had chosen him for a great ruler, since meat and drink seemed not to satisfy or make him drunk. Presently, however, the drink began to affect him. He approached the Altan Khan, and laid hold of his beard, and gave him a box on the ear. The Altan Khan's minister,

¹¹ *Vide infra.*

¹² Erdmann, *op. cit.* p. 217—219, 545; Abulghazi, 68.

¹³ Erdmann, *u. s. p.* 544; Abulghazi, 68.

¹⁴ Abulghazi, 74.

who was witness of this, was greatly angered, and was for punishing him then and there, but having become somewhat sober, Kabul apparently apologized, saying that it was his hand which was to blame, and not himself, for his will had not followed his hand.¹⁸ According to D'Ohsson's reading he demanded to be punished.¹⁹ The Altan Khân who thought that if he exacted punishment he would bring the revenge of his people upon himself, dissembled his anger, and having selected from his treasury a silken garment embroidered with gold threads, a crown, a gold decked girdle and other presents, presented them to him on his departure. His minister argued, however, that he had not done well in letting him thus go. He thereupon sent a messenger to recall him, and as he refused to go, a second messenger with a *posse* of troops was sent to compel him. When they arrived at his camp he was not at home, but one of his wives promised that on his return he, with his sons and daughters, would comply with the emperor's wish. As the messenger was returning home he encountered a man attended by some slaves whom he recognized as Kabul, and thereupon seized him and carried him off. *En route* they put up at the house of a Saljiut, who was a friend of Kabul Khân's. He supplied the latter with a horse which he said was as swift as the lightning or the wind. On this he mounted and fled, and was pursued by the messenger of the Altan Khân, who did not overtake him however till he reached home. There Kabul treated his pursuer with marked hospitality, gave him a beautiful new tent to live in, where he was waited upon by his young wife Meti. Meanwhile, however, he summoned his dependents, his sons being away, and told them that he had become weary of life since he had been pursued by the Chinese messenger. He called upon them to kill him, saying that if they did not do so he would commit suicide. Thereupon they fell on the Kin messenger and slew him. Kabul Khân soon after fell ill and died.²⁰ Nothing of this appears in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*. On turning to the Chinese annals dealing with the Kin dynasty we find certain obscure notices which apparently refer to these events. In the *Ta-kin-kwochi* we

are told that during the reign of the Emperor Tai-tsung, whose Tungusic name was Ukunai, and whose reign was in the interval 1123—1137, a great number of the Mongku became subject to him, but in the next reign, i.e. 1138—1140, they became rebellious.²¹ De Mailla, who translated the *Kang-mu*, states that about 1135 the Mongols began to be very powerful and a menace to the Empire. We are told they could see by night as well as by day, and that they wore cuirasses made from the hides of fish which were proof against arrows. Towards the end of that year the Kin Emperor sent his General Hushaku against them.²² Hushaku was not successful but had to retire. His retreat was the signal for the advance of the Mongols who captured many of his people, and followed him as far as the district of Hailing, where, having ventured on an engagement, his army was cut in pieces. Another and more formidable army was sent against them. This was apparently in 1139.²³ These extracts probably refer to the reign of Kabul Khân and to the feud he had with the Kin Empire. According to Rashidu'd-dîn, Kabul Khân married Goa Khuaku, meaning the Fair Khuaku, who belonged to the Turkish tribe of the Kongurut, of which we shall have much to say presently. By her he says he had six sons, who were called Kiat. Abulghazi says the Mongols call a torrent which comes down from a mountain *kian*, which word originally meant rapid or impetuous. The plural of *kian* is *kiat*,²⁴ and we are told the sons of Kabul Khân were so called because of their impetuosity. The name Kian or Kaian, however, was doubtless of much older date, and designated in the old legends one of the two primitive stocks of the Turks. One of the two brothers who ruled them in their primitive home in Irgenchkun was called Kian, and his descendants Kiat. Kiat was the special name of the family of Chinghiz Khân.

The *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* tells us that Kabul had seven sons, in which it is followed by the *Yuan-shi* and *Ssanang Setzen*. These agree generally with the names recorded by Rashid, and are as follows:—Ukin Barkhakh, Bartan Bâghadur, Khutukhu Mungur, Khutula Khakan,

¹⁸ Erdmann, *op. cit.*, p. 551.

¹⁹ *Op. cit.*

²⁰ Erdmann, pp. 551—553.

²¹ Schott, *Älteste Nachrichten von Mongolen und Tataren*, 17.

²² De Mailla, vol. VIII, p. 518.

²³ *Id.* p. 529.

²⁴ Abulghazi, 71.

Khulan, Khadan²² and Todayan Uchigen.²³ In the *Yuan-shi* the names are given as 1, Ughin-pa-la-gha-gha; 2, Par-tan; 3, Hwa-tu-lu-mi-niur; 4, Hwa-lu-la-khum; 5, Ho-tan Patur; 6, To-tuan-wo-chi-kin; 7, Hwo-lan-pa-tur. The *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* tells us that although Kabul Khân had so many sons, he did not leave his Empire to any of them, but left it to An-ba-khai, the chief of the Tajuts. This doubtless refers to the "Imperatorship," to coin a phrase; the Mongols proper no doubt remained subject to their own royal stock.

It was about this time that the long feud commenced between the Mongols and Tartars which had such serious consequences later on.

The Tartars here mentioned, to whom the name Tartar properly belonged, were, it would seem, formerly the suzerains of the Mongols, who were in consequence sometimes referred to as Black Tartars, *i. e.* subject Tartars. They consisted according to Rashidu'd-din of about 70,000 families, who lived in the neighbourhood of lake Buyur in North Eastern Mongolia. The *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* also places them on the river Orshin which connects the lakes of Buyur and Kulun and on the Olkui further south, and they seem in fact to have held the northern part of the Kingkhan range. I have discussed the orthography of the name Tartar in a long note to the first volume of my work already cited.²⁴ They are first mentioned by the Chinese historian Gheau-yang-sieou who lived 1007—1072, and who tells us they were descended from the Mo-ho of whom they were a section, and that their country was situated to the north-east of that of the Hsi and Khitans. We are told further that the Mo-ho having been attacked by the Khitans were dispersed; one portion submitted to the Khitans, another sought refuge with the Polai to the east, while the third took refuge in the In-shan mountains, and adopted the name of Tachô, which was the original form of the name Tartar in the Chinese Annals.²⁵ The Mo-ho were the Tungusic tribes of Manchuria, from whom the Kin Tartars and the modern Manchus sprang. Hence it follows that the Tartars were in fact very near relatives of the modern Manchus, but we may get even

nearer than this to their real affinity. Their name as I have argued is equivalent to nomade, and is probably derived from their dwelling in movable *yurts* or tents. Such a yurt is called *Tutara-bu* in Manchu, and is derived from the Tungusic word *tata* or *tartar*, meaning to drag or pull, and a tent or house shelter is still called *tatun* or *tata*.²⁶ Now the Daurians, who, so far as we know, sprang from the very country of the old Tartars, and thence overspread the district east of lake Baikal called Dauria, have their name formed out of the same element. They are called *Da-urs* or *Ta-gori*. *Da-ur*, we are expressly told, means the square hut which prevails with the tribe, and I have small doubt that the Daurians are in fact the descendants of the Tartars proper of early Mongol history. Rashidu'd-din tells us they were divided into six divisions, but if this statement is reliable two of the six were probably of subordinate rank, for, as we shall show further on, the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* several times refers to the four hordes of the Tartars.

We will now turn to the feud which arose between the Mongols and the Tartars. Goa Khuaku Kabul's wife had a brother named Sain Tegin, *i. e.* the Good prince. The latter having fallen ill, a Tartar Shaman or Kam named Jerkil Buduya, was summoned to treat him. The patient died notwithstanding the *hocus pocus* of the Shaman. The latter was sent home, but not long after was put to death by the relatives of Sain Tegin. A struggle ensued at a place called Beran Segdan. (?) In this fight Kedan Baghadur distinguished himself in single combat with the Tartar leader, Motor Behadur, in which the latter was badly wounded, and remained *hors de combat* for a whole year. On his recovery the struggle was again renewed at two places named Ula Ilak (?) and Ker Ilak (?) in which the Mongols had the best of it.²⁷ These struggles were renewed several times by the sons of Kabul Khân.²⁸ Meanwhile difficulties arose in another direction. As we read in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* the Khakan An-ba-khai, who was the special chief of the Tajuts, had given his daughter in marriage to a chief of the Tartars, who lived on the river

²² The Kedan Behadur of Rashid.

²³ The Tudan Uchigen of Rashid.

²⁴ *Vide History of the Mongols*, vol. I, p. 700.

²⁵ Visdelou, *Supplement to D'Herbelot's Bibliothèque*, p. 328.

²⁶ *History of the Mongols*, pp. 702 and 703.

²⁷ Erdmann calls these two places Buleh Atangenut and Gera Belgenut. I have adopted the orthography of Berezin.

²⁸ Erdmann, pp. 527 and 553-4.

Orshin. As he was escorting her himself he was seized by the Tartars, who doubtless deemed it a good opportunity for revenge, and by them was handed over to the authorities of the Kin empire, who also had a grievance against the Mongols. Rashidu'd-din in reporting this event makes out that An-ba-khai had gone to the Tartar country to fetch home his own bride.²⁰ The former authority says that as he was being carried off he bade a man named Barakhashi of the tribe Baisut, who was returning home, go and tell Kutlugh Khân and his own son Khadan Taishi to revenge him, and drawing his illustrations from the use of the bow, he told him to entreat them that even if they had to wear out all the nails on their ten fingers in the work to do so. Rashid reports this part of the story differently. He says that An-ba-khai, as he was being carried off, sent one of his slaves named Bulghachi to tell the Kin Emperor that it was a base thing to put him to death when he had been treacherously captured and surrendered by others, and that if he did so he would draw upon himself the revenge of all the Mongol tribes. The Altan Khan ruthlessly bade the messenger take post horses, and go and tell his people that An-ba-khai was going to be executed by being nailed down to a wooden ass. He accordingly set out, but when he came to the land of the Durbans,²⁰ they refused to give him the necessary horses, and paid no heed to his reproaches, so that presently his Chinese horses having broken down, he had to go on foot. When he reached home he reported what had happened.²¹ The process of nailing people down to a so-called wooden ass, flaying them, and then hewing them limb from limb, was a well-known punishment of State criminals in China (see Stanislas Julien *l'Orphelin de la Chine*, pp. 127 and 128).²²

Beside An-ba-khai, Ukin Barkhakh, the eldest son of Kabul Khân, was also put to death in this fashion, and it was the execution of these two chiefs which was made his chief ground for attacking the Kin empire by Chinghiz Khân. Ssanang Setzen has a curious statement that in a struggle which ensued between the sons of Kabul Khân and of An-ba-khai they were all

killed save a son of each. I cannot find a confirmation of this story elsewhere, but it is singular that Kutlugh Khân, the son of Kabul, and Khadan, the son of An-ba-khai, are the only two of the many sons of the two chiefs who occur in the succeeding story, and it would seem that their brothers were in fact dead, while it is very certain that there was a rivalry for supremacy between the two families. Resuming our story, we are told in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* that when An-ba-khai was carried off and put to death, the Tajut tribe met together on the banks of the river Khorkhon, and elected Kutlugh as their leader, i. e. as Khakan or Imperator. Palladius by this locality understands the low ground on the river Onon, but this is doubtful, for the Onon is very frequently mentioned by its proper name in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*: but we shall hear again of this name. Kutlugh Khân is so called by Rashidu'd-din. D'Ohsson mistakenly calls him Kubilai.²³ It would seem that his elder brothers were now dead, especially Bardan Baghdadur, and consequently according to the Mongol law of succession by which brother succeeds brother he became the heir to the throne. He was directly the ruler of the Mongols, and apparently "imperator" of the forces of other tribes, including the Tajut. Kutlugh was a famous hero of Mongol romance. His voice, we are told, could be heard behind seven hills, and was compared to the thunder in the mountains. His grip was like that of a bear, and when he chose he could break a man with his brawny arms like breaking an arrow. He would haul whole trunks to make up his fire on winter nights, and would lie asleep beside it more or less unconscious with drinking, heedless of the cinders and sparks which fell on his naked body, mistaking the burns for the bites of insects, and turn over to sleep again. At his meals he could demolish a whole sheep and a huge skin of *kumis* or fermented mare's milk, and still was not satisfied.²⁴ He was in fact the heroic colossus and Hercules of Mongol legend. He headed the army which marched against China to avenge the deaths of his relatives. On this occasion he was accompanied by his nephew Yessukei, the son of Bar-

²⁰ Erdmann, p. 555.

²¹ By the Durbans I believe with Palladius the Tartars are meant. Durban means *four*, and the word doubtless here refers to the four tribes of the Tartars; the Durbans have been made a separate tribe by Rashid by mistake.

²² Erdmann, *op. cit.*, pp. 555-6.

²³ Erdmann, *op. cit.*, p. 527 note.

²⁴ *Op. cit.*, vol. I., pp. 82-85.

²⁵ Erdmann, *op. cit.*, pp. 554-5.

dan Baghadur by Khadan Taishi, the son of Anbakhai, and by Khadan's son Tuda. Rashid-ud-din tells us that in this struggle the Mongols won a complete victory, and returned with a great booty. As he was retiring Kutlugh with some of his warriors engaged in hunting, and got separated from his companions. When the Durbans³⁵ heard of this they went against him, killed his companions, and compelled him and his horse, which had the speed of lightning, to take shelter in a marshy place. In this bog he sank, but springing from his horse's back, and gaining solid ground, his pursuers, it is said, disdained to touch him, remarking "What can a Mongol do without his horse,"³⁶ and thereupon withdrew. He succeeded however in recovering his horse, which he dragged out of the quagmire by its mane, and went on his way homewards. His people there had made up their minds that he was lost, and Rashid-ud-din reports how his nephew Yes-sukei prepared the funeral meats, and took them to Khadan Taishi and his son Tuda and to Kutlugh's wife. The two former began to wail terribly, but the brave wife on hearing what the cause of their grief was, bade them not distress themselves that he whose voice was like the thunder, and who could hug men like a bear, was not likely to be undone by the Durbans, and he did in fact shortly appear riding on a stallion which he had carried off from the Durbans, and driving a number of their fillies before him. His great boots he had filled with eggs of the wild geese which he found in the steppe, and rode barefoot. The funeral feast was now converted into another kind of festival, and Kutlugh's wife triumphed greatly in boasting that she knew her good man would not be killed by these poltroons.³⁷

On turning to the Chinese notices for confirmation of these doings, we find it stated in the *Kangmu*, under the year 1147, that the war between the Kin Empire and the Mongols still continued. The son of Talan who was a Kin general named Chinghoa-tu-lang, and whose country bordered on that of China, incensed at his father's death, deserted the side of the Kin, and joined the Mongols,

and increased their power so much that the general Uchu, who on his return from Pien leang was sent against them, was compelled to make peace with them, to cede 27 forts north of the river Si-ping to them, and to undertake to pay them annually a certain number of cattle and sheep and also grain. He wished to give their chief the title of Mong-fu-kue-wang, but he refused it, and himself adopted the style of Emperor of the great Empire of the Mongols, and he was styled Tsa-yuan-wang-ti.³⁸ The *Ta-kin-kwo-chi* says nothing about these events, but merely reports that the Mongku, having obtained many Chinese and Khitan boys and girls either in war or otherwise, who had coalesced with them, had gradually become accustomed to the use of cooked meats, and become a great nation under the name of Ta-Mongku-kuo, *i. e.* the Kingdom of the great Mongols.³⁹ These extracts seem to me to clearly refer to the reign of Kutlugh Khan. The Si-ping of this notice was the Kerulon which now became the frontier between the Kin Tartars and the Mongols. It would seem from the Chinese notice translated by Vasilief that the Kin ruler gave up to the Mongols the fortresses he had north of the Kerulon. He says he also agreed to pay tribute. According to Vasilief the title which the emperor wished to confer on Kutlugh was Ao lo Bochielic, which he deems a corruption of Du-Bo-chielie, meaning the great minister.⁴⁰ •

According to the above passage it would seem further that it was about the year 1147 that the chief of the Mongols first adopted the style of 'Mongol Khan.' What then is the meaning of this name 'Mongol?' Schmidt explained it as derived from Mong, meaning 'brave, daring, bold.'⁴¹ This etymology is acquiesced in by Dr. Schott,⁴² and I adopted it in the first volume of my work on the Mongols.⁴³ But I am not now by any means certain of this view. In a recent number of the *China Review* there is a note by M. Deveria, which is singularly interesting. He quotes a passage from the Chinese work *Tsang-pau-tsienn-yu-ngan* in the terms following:—"The expression Mung-kaurh is used in the markets to signify silver (cash).

³⁵ *i. e.* the Tartars.

³⁶ Assuredly a sentence with a singular local force when applied to this race of Centaurs.

³⁷ *Id.* pp. 556-561.

³⁸ De Mailla, vol. VIII., p. 545.

³⁹ Schott, *op. cit.* 17.

⁴⁰ Berezine, Rashid-ud-din, vol. II., p. 185, note 38.

⁴¹ Ssanang Setzen, p. 380; *Journ. Asiat.* 1st ser., tom III., p. 109.

⁴² *Op. cit.* p. 5 note.

⁴³ *Vide op. cit.* page 27.

In the national language Mungku means silver. It is by contradistinction with the Gold Empire that this title "Silver" was adopted."⁴⁴ This is very interesting. There can be no doubt that in Mongol the name for silver is *Munggu* or *Monggu*. Now it is singularly curious that the two dynasties which succeeded one another immediately before the Yuan or Mongol dynasty, namely, those founded respectively by the Khitans and the Niuchi or Jurchi gave themselves names derived from two metals. The former styled their dynasty *Liao*, *i.e.* steel, and the latter *Kin*, *i.e.* gold, and it would be consistent if the Mongols were similarly to designate their dynasty from the metal silver. There would be even a greater reasonableness in their doing so, for their country was very rich in silver. As Isbrand Ides long ago stated, "the river Zerebrenski, which falls into the Argun about eight miles from Argunskoi is called by the Mongols *Monga-gol*, *i.e.* the silver river, because formerly about two miles up the stream there

were silver mines out of which the Nincheners, *i.e.* the Kin Tartars and the Mongols, dug great quantities of that metal, and great numbers of pits in which the metal was melted are at present to be seen."⁴⁵ The old traveller tells us the mines having been long disused had fallen in, but he took a piece of the ore back with him to Moscow. If he had known of this river it would have strengthened the contention of Banzarof, which I do not by any means adopt, that the word Mongol was derived from *mon-gol*, a river, and, as he contended Saljiut was from a *Salja-gol* and *Olkhonut* from *Olkho-gol*.⁴⁶ The silver mines and graves in the old Mongol country are described in some detail by other travellers.⁴⁷ These facts make it not improbable that the name Mongol is in fact derived from *monggu*, 'silver,' and that it was applied about the year 1147 as stated in the *Kangmu*. We will now tabulate the genealogies we have discussed as given by the principal authorities.

The Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi.

Khaidu Khân.

Boshing khor Dokshin

Kharukhai Linkhu

Jaujin Ortagai

Tunbenai
Setzan

Sankurbilge

Besutai

Oronar

Khunankhotan

Arulat

Sunit

Khapturkhaku

Genigesy.

Kabul Khakhan Sinshili

Anbakhai

Chief of the Taijut

Ukin Barkhakh. Bartan Baghatur. Khutuktu Mungur. Khutula Khakan. Khulan. Khadan. Todayan Uchigen.

The Yuan Shi

Hai du

Pai-sung-khur

Tun-pa-khai
also called
Tun-pi-nei

Cha-la-kä-ning-ur
also called

Chai-pur-kam-nu-ur

Ni-ku-cha-wur-tu-ti-ko
ancestor of the Sijut.

1 Ko-tsi-ku
also called
Na-ko-hor

2 Hai ki li tsi ko-tan
also called
Ko-huo-la-ko-li-tan

3 Hui-chun
also called
Ho-chan

Hata-le-tai
also called
Ha-lu-la-tai

Hor-shi-kwan
also called
Ha-ta-li-chi

Ho-pu-la
also called
Ho-po-lu-han

Hoi-tsin-pa-le-ko
also called

Par-ta-mu
also called

Hu-tu-ko-la-ma-naur
also called

Hor-lar-an
also called

Ha-tan-pa-tur
also called

To-to-ngo-che-si
also called

Hu-lan-pa-tur
also called

Ugh-in-pu-la-gha-gha

Par-tan

Hwa-tu-lu-mi-niur

Hwa-lu-la-an

Ho-tan-pa-tur

To-tuan-wo-chi-kin


Hwo-lan-pa-tur

⁴⁴ *China Review*, vol. VII. p. 232.

⁴⁵ *Op. cit.*, p. 47.

⁴⁶ Erdmanh, *Temudschin*, etc., p. 513, note 3.

⁴⁷ Bitter, *Asien*, B. II. pp. 321-328.



BOMBAY BEGGARS AND CRIERS.

BY K. RAGHUNATHJI.

As elsewhere, India gave birth to men of wealth and position, and numbers of these people fed, clothed, and even bore hardships on account of their beggars. The result was that he who gave most died leaving behind him the greatest number of beggars.¹ The practice of alms-giving has existed from a very early age, at least from the time of Mann. Nearly a tenth of the population have been beggars, and instead of being ashamed of this, beggary is deemed a condition worthy to be sought after and followed. There are even some among this class of people who will not go to the trouble of seeking charity, because they know they will be provided with the necessities of life by people who look upon them as having sacrificed themselves for some social end, for—

“Brother, we have become a Gosâvi, and abandoned everything.

Patel build us here a chapel, bring plenty of *bhâng* and tobacco,

Provide daily food for me, and send a sister to serve me.”

Thus these worthless and degraded members of society are encouraged in idleness by the ready supply of their wants. What is the use of

labour, the beggars say, why work hard and get half a loaf, why not follow beggary as a profession? In Banâras, if a boy is told by his parents to go to school, his reply will sometimes be that, if again told to do so, he will join some of the many alms-houses (*annakshatras*).² And when Khauderâo Gâikvâd died, an immense number of *jaqirs* sat lamenting his death: they said that the Mahârâja had induced them to beg, and now he was dead, and there was nothing left for them whereby to obtain their maintenance.

The Bombay beggars may be divided into two classes, the religious and the non-religious; they may also be subdivided into the Hindu religious and non-religious, the Musalmân religious and non-religious, and others. The Hindu religious beggars are *Vaishnavas*, *Saivas*, and the abominable *Sâktas*, adorers of the goddesses. These classes may be distinguished by the kind of rosaries they sometimes carry, and by the marks on their foreheads, temples, arms, chest, and abdomen, which they either stamp with a wooden form for the purpose, or burn the skin with heated metal plates; and the *Sâktas* by the application of red stuff to their foreheads between the eye-brows.

¹ Mr. Gopâlrâo Hari's *Bhikshuks*, p. 17.

² Mr. Gopâlrâo Hari's *Bhikshuks*, p. 17.

To give a list, says Beveridge,³ of the severities practised by the beggars would be to enumerate almost all the imaginable modes of torture; keeping the palms of the hands closed till the nails grow into the flesh on one side and reappear on the other; creeping along in twisted forms till permanent and unnatural distortion is produced; holding the arms upright till they lose their power of motion and become shrivelled; hanging over slow fires; burying in a living grave with only a small aperture to prevent suffocation; such are only a few of the modes of tormenting displayed by beggars who infest the country and extort alms either by the commiseration which their sufferings excite, or the desire to be rid of their filthy and disgusting presence. Naked bodies smeared with ashes of cowdung; hair hanging in locks matted together with filth; sometimes with living reptiles concealed in them; human skulls filled with filth; and human bones strung round the neck,—are among the devices used by those who are ambitious of the honour and greedy of the profit which it too often commands. The following abstract of an account will show how some of these beggars do penance.* ‘Atten years of age,’ says the narrator, ‘I gave myself up to meditation and mortification, at twenty I left my home and lived in a cell doing penance for twelve years. Vermin or worms gnawed my flesh, of which the marks still remain. When the Râja opened the door of the cell, I said ‘Either take my curse or prepare for me a bed of spikes,’ which the Râja did, and this is the one I occupy. During the four months of winter I travel on this bed, while night and day water is let fall upon my head. For thirty-five years I travel on this bed, which is pulled by my disciples. At Surat, Collector Boddam built a house for me and provided me with something to subsist on.’

Hindu non-religious beggars of all classes are found begging in Bombay—Brâhmans and Sûdras, Mângs, Mhârs, and Dhedas, principally the lame, the blind, the deformed, the leper and the decrepit, who prefer street-begging because their gains are large, and they have liberty to rove about and indulge in the luxuries of life.

The Musalmân religious beggars are known as Jalâls, Madâris, Rafâis, Banayâs, and Safis. Of

these the last two closely resemble their Hindu congeners: they are known by the names of Tarikat, Sharikat, Mârphat, and Hakikat, and their chief is called the Sarguro.⁵ They use rosaries of beads, practise *jap tap*, and apply ashes to their persons. Those of them who do not marry are held in high estimation; some marry and have families. The Musalmân non-religious beggars are like those of the Hindus, they follow the profession of mendicants because their gains from it are large. As an instance the following from the *Indian Statesman* well illustrates the fact:—‘A curious instance of the life led by some of the Musalmân mendicants was revealed by the researches of the officers of the Small Cause Court, Bombay. It would appear that a bailiff executed a writ of possession against a tenant, a Faqir, by name Sayad Ebrahim Sâhib. The bailiff on entering the room was nearly stifled by the stench arising from filth in the shape of dead rats, dead fowls, a dead dog which was packed in a tin fiddle-case, and heaps of dust and cockroaches, living and dead. Money was found, in bank notes, silver and copper to the extent of Rs. 2,500. Surrounded by all this filth, with about nine or ten dogs for company, he looked on with a sad eye at the cleaning process, and begged to have his dead dog restored to him.’

The Bombay beggars generally start on their business in the mornings, and beg from early morn till one or two o'clock; and in the evenings from three till eleven at night. In the mornings they are given uncooked rice and in a few cases money, but in the evenings money and scraps of food are offered to Mâng, Mhâr, and Dhed beggars. These, the most wretched of the class, beg only in the evenings, after people have had their meals, for the remains of food. They are not satisfied with what they get by begging, but they also rake up the spots where the dinner plates and fragments of food are thrown, and lick the plates along with dogs and cats; the dogs barking at the beggar and the beggar driving away the dog with one hand and eating with the other. This is a most pitiful sight to look at. These beggars go with baskets and pieces of cloth, in which they collect the remains, and after eating a sufficient quantity

* *Asiatic Researches*, vol. V. p. 40.

⁵ Mr. Gôpâlrâo Hari's *Bhikshuks*, p. 16.

sell the remainder to their more unfortunate brethren. The rice which the beggars collect they either sell to their customers at their houses or in the beggar (*bhikār*) bazar, where they sit in rows with their goods spread on pieces of cloth in front of them for disposal.

Pārsis, says a writer in the *Bombay Quarterly Review*,* do not allow the infirm, the helpless, the indigent, or even the unfortunate of their community to loiter about the streets or to beg from door to door, but they are cared for by the Pārsis themselves. No Pārsi knows experimentally the humiliation of asking alms. None deprived of the power to work, none left destitute, has his misery aggravated by the apprehension of being reduced to beggary. However helpless, food and raiment at least, and a place to dwell in, he can always find amongst his own people.

Then there are what may be termed reformed beggars—men, women, and children of all castes and creeds, (of course with the exception of the Pārsis,) and sometimes termed '*lurks*.' There are the sick-lurk, the fire-lurk, and petition or *arju vālds*. There is no law as to who should assume the garb of a beggar. But any one that wishes may follow the profession without restriction. There are young and old, the poor and some that are well off, the diseased and sturdy, impudent, stout healthy fellows who follow this miserable profession with great pride, and if one, says a writer in the *Native Opinion*, has the stump of an arm or of a leg to parade, he does so in the most conspicuous manner, with the idea that the public is bound to feed him. Others will lash their bellies, exclaiming in English, 'Mamma no money, no *khānd*' ;—showing their naked stomachs, 'look belly, mamma ; two eye blind mamma ; give poor man one pice papa.' And again, 'Mamma nurse *chhota bābā*, mamma nurse blind *bābā*.' But of late much of this noisy beggary is put down by the executive police, and there are not to be seen now-a-days, as ten years ago, rows of beggars lining both the sides of the Esplanade road and other public streets. The number of these beggars, says a writer in the *Bombay Quarterly Review*,† would inundate Bombay but for the longing which they feel individually or in numbers for visiting their homes. Generally

the gains of a season are sufficient to take them to their native village, and maintain them there for some time amongst their relations. Yet for all this, Bombay has a pauper population which contributes largely to swell the number of beggars in her streets. The peculiar views and feelings which the mass of the native community entertain in reference to the virtue or goodness of alms-giving (*dharma*), leads them to the exercise of indiscriminate liberality ; the prejudice in favour of such charity being so deep that it can scarcely be eradicated, and hence the attraction of many persons to Bombay from neighbouring and even remote districts. Were it not for the warmth of the climate, the simplicity and cheapness of their diet, this multitude of beggars would often feel such a want of proper clothing and food that they would soon be reduced to conditions of disease often terminating in death. The sum required to support life is so very small that it can usually be obtained with facility by even the aged and infirm. At the Musalman eating houses a wheaten cake weighing more than half a pound may be purchased for half an anna. This cake with a little meat-curry twice a day forms the diet of many a labouring man here,—his two meals thus costing him one anna and a half. The ordinary meal of a poor Hindu is about a *sēr* and a half of flour, or half a *sēr* of rice with a little curry, and two such meals a day cost about one anna and a quarter per day. All who seek alms generally obtain as much as this, and so long as a really destitute person can go or crawl from door to door, and make himself heard, he is sure to obtain relief.

BRĀHMAN BEGGARS.

The Brāhmins, giving up their former thirst for knowledge, lead a life of indolence, adducing as their plea that as their ancestors once begged, and thereby maintained themselves, they now make begging as a profession their birth-right. Hindus, whose great weakness is an inordinate love of praise, are easily induced by Brāhman mendicants, who are apt flatterers, to give as much as they are able ; their house and almost everything they have they will give to a Brāhman, however wicked or wealthy he may be, as they think no *dān* has any other signification than

bestowing gifts on Brāhman, who as a class are designated charity-receiving people,—authorized to receive alms of every one willing to bestow it. Compared with the vast sums showered upon these beggars, the *dharma* a Hindu makes to others is almost nothing. Brāhman mendicants do not, like the other Hindu beggars, go to the houses of others than Hindus for alms, for they are never patronized by them.

SÂSTRIBÂVÂS.

Sâstribâvâ—or the expounder of the Sâstras—always a Brāhman by caste, and a well-to-do person, dresses in white clothes, with a shawl over his left hand and another wrapped round his shoulders, and accompanied by one or more followers. One of his followers goes inside a Hindu house, and tells the inmates that the Sâstribâvâ awaits and asks for a seat for him. He then says that the great man is on his way to the holy Banâras on pilgrimage, and requests to be paid to visit Kâśvishveśwar, or the lord of Banâras, and return. This gentleman assumes a grave demeanour, and seeing his pomp he is generally paid in silver.

TELANGAS.

Telangana Brāhman go about begging, and also offer for sale the sacred thread of the Hindus. They always roll round their waist a woollen cloth (*dhâbli*), which they make use of to take dinner with, if they happen to see a dinner party at which Brāhmanas are invited to dine. They go in uninvited, and if they are not allowed to join they will depart, but not before invoking bitter curses on the heads of those who refuse them a share in the feast.

Then there are again others from Telangana, whose dress consists of a waist cloth, and pieces of coloured cloth tied to their arms with the ends hanging loose. They carry a square flat

wooden box in which is kept the image of a Hindu god, covered over with a cloth which is removed only when the bearer is to be paid, or the inmates of a house wish him to show it to them. He pretends to foretell future events. He is a very quiet beggar, and asks for alms in a low tone and humble way. He goes away quietly if nothing is given him. He generally gives persons a pinch of turmeric to be rubbed on their brows, or touches their foreheads with it himself.

A third kind of beggars from Telangana are called Kâvadîs. They carry over their shoulders a *Kâvad*, or a bamboo stick with slings at each end; in these slings are hung bamboo baskets covered over with ochre coloured cloth. They say that on that stick they once conveyed their aged parents to the holy city of Banâras, and as a sign of their having visited Banâras they show a small glass bottle containing water which they say they brought from the sacred Gaiga. Or they say that they are going to Banâras to bury the bones of their aged parents who died only a short time ago. People believe them, and give them money to defray their expenses on the way thither.

HARIDÂSAS.

These are Hindus, mostly Brāhman, who deliver sermons (*kirtans*), especially during the Gaṇapati and Râmanavmi festivals. Some of these men are very eloquent preachers, and their manner of delivery is most pleasing. A *haridâs* is always accompanied by a drummer, *mṛidangyâ*, a fiddler, and two or more players on metal cups. Some of these musicians are little boys, and as their voices are sweet and musical, their singing is harmonious and very enjoyable.

(To be continued.)

MISCELLANEA.

NOTES AND QUERIES.

6. LIGHT AND DARK FORTNIGHTS.—Mr. R. A. Proctor, writing on "the Origin of the Week" in the *Contemporary Review* for June 1879, (p. 410), says with reference to the Hindu month; "Flammarion. . . says, 'the clear half from new to full, and the obscure half from full to new;' but this is manifestly incorrect, the half of the month from new to full having neither

more nor less light by night than the half from full to new." Nevertheless, though Mr. Proctor is right as to the physical fact, Flammarion is right as to the practice. Will more competent persons explain why this is, how the conventional *bright* and *dark* halves of the month do not correspond with the bright and dark halves of the moonlight? Or are bright and dark halves, though very commonly used both in the vernaculars and English,

as equivalents for Śudh and Vadya, only conventional mistranslations after all?

C. E. G. C.

The first half of the lunar month is called the *Śukla-pakṣa*—the light, bright, or white fortnight, and *Sudi* is used for 'in the clear fortnight,' while the other half is the *Kṛishṇa-pakṣa*, or 'dark fortnight,' also called *Vadya-pakṣa*. The first seems to be naturally enough called the *bright* fortnight, for the simple reason that in the moonlight evenings, especially in tropical climates, people enjoy the moonlight, sitting out of doors chatting, &c. When the evenings are *dark*, the moon of midnight and early morning is not so enjoyed, and the fortnight is regarded as relatively *dark*.

J. B.

ASIATIC SOCIETIES.

The fifty-seventh *Annual Report* of the Royal Asiatic Society (1880), has been prepared and published by the energetic Secretary, and is replete with information, chronicling as it does the contents of every publication and paper connected with every branch of Oriental Research that has appeared since last *Report*. We extract portions from it:—

During a tour "in the Northern districts of the Madras Presidency, His Grace the Duke of Buckingham paid a flying visit on the 20th Jan. last, to the Tope at Amarāvati. Having sent a working party beforehand, they uncovered, during the Duke's stay there, what appeared to be the foundations of the southern gateway, and a considerable portion of the procession path, that surrounded the monument. During these operations they found also a considerable number of sculptured slabs." Owing to the want of all experience on the part of the excavators it is feared, however, that this rough and ready excavation may have obliterated many traces that would have been of great importance in the eyes of a skilled archaeologist:—if so, it must be deplored that the Tope was meddled with under such circumstances. "Meanwhile it is to be hoped, that efficient means will be taken for the protection of the sculptures thus uncovered, as all those that have been hitherto exposed have been removed by the natives for building purposes or burnt into lime." Mr. Sewell has prepared a report 'On the Amarāvati Tope,' and on his excavations there in 1877. The famous Amarāvati sculptures recovered by Capt. Colin Mackenzie and Sir Walter Elliot, and long in the India Office, have been removed to the British Museum, and are being attached to the walls of the chief staircase.

"In the *République Franç* (June 20, 1879), M. Regnaud has printed 'L'Avenir des études Sanskrites';—and in the *Revue Philosophique*, 'Études de Philosophie Indienne, l'école Vedānta.' In the *Academy* of July 5, 1880, is a long and able review by Prof. F. Max Müller, of Kielhorn's '*Vyākaraṇa Mahābhāṣya*.' In the *Berliner Monatsber* (June, 1879) Prof. Weber has two articles, 'Ueber die Magavyakti des Kṛishṇadāsa Mīśra'—important essays on Mithraic worship, with reference, too, to the Indo-Skythic coins; and in the same, Dr. Bühler has printed 'Eine Notiz über einige Sanskrit MS. aus Kāśmir in der Hof. Bibliothek zu Wien.' In *Mém de la Soc. de Linguistique*, A. Barth has 'Formes irrégulières dans le Bhāgavata Purāṇa.' In the *Calcutta Review*, Mr. A. E. Gough has continued his study of the 'Philosophy of the Upanishads.'"

"F. Bollensen has brought out at Leipzig a scholarly edition of the drama *Malavika and Agnimitra*;—Dr. Böhtlingk has added to the valuable labours he carried out with Dr. Roth, some years since in what is known as the great *St. Petersburg Sanskrit Dictionary*,—the true *Thesaurus* of Sanskrit—a new and condensed dictionary; which is indeed, not only more than a mere abridgment, but a most important supplement to the former, in that all the *addenda* and *corrigenda* of the former are now incorporated into the new Dictionary. It is to be hoped that an English translation of this most useful work, now in contemplation, may be soon accomplished. Mr. Anundoram Borooah has issued a second volume of his *Practical English and Sanskrit Dictionary*, but this does not, as was anticipated, complete the work, as it only carries it as far as the word 'oyster.' The author has added to this second volume of his Dictionary a supplementary chapter on what he calls 'Higher Sanskrit Grammar,' or gender and syntax—of nearly 300 pages. The collection of rules and illustrations he has given will be highly appreciated by those who have to teach Sanskrit; but it is to be regretted that he has not thought it necessary to give more complete authorities for his various statements. To say that a word has this or that meaning in Manu or Pāṇini or Sayana is scarcely enough, without the chapter and verse where it occurs. Professor Weber has completed the third volume of his *Indische Streifen*, being reviews of current Sanskrit, Pāli and Prakrit literature, with an index of forty-six closely-printed three-column pages for reference to the three volumes and a classified bibliographical table of contents. The number of authors mentioned or criticized in this third volume is about 330." "Professor Adolf has also published the second part of his *Rigveda, die*

älteste literatur der Inder, ein Wissenschaftliche beilage zum Programm der kantonschule."

Prof. A. Weber has issued an earnest appeal for the constitution of a 'Sanskrit Text Fund' to take the place of the now moribund if not dead 'Sanskrit Text Society.' "It is to be hoped that his proposal may be successfully carried out, as no country has so large an interest as England in everything connected with Indian literature."

"Prof. R. Pischel has completed his edition of Hemachandra's Pāli Grammar." He has also "edited and translated the *Assalāyana Suttam*, the 93rd sutta in the 2nd portion of the *Majjhima Nikāya*. It describes how the Brahmins, irritated by the promulgation of Gautama's doctrine of the equality of castes, persuaded Assalāyana, a young and distinguished scholar, to undertake to overthrow Buddha's arguments—the result being that he was defeated and became a convert to Buddha's views. Reference is made to the state of things existing among the Yonas and Kambojas,"—and, "if, as seems probable, the Yonas of this passage are the Baktrian Greeks, the date when the sutta assumed its present form cannot be earlier than the 3rd century B.C." "Dr. Oldenberg has published the *Dīpavamsa*, an ancient Buddhist historical record." "Also the second volume of the *Jātaka* and its Commentary, edited by Prof. Fausbøll, has been published."

German Oriental Society.—The Society has published vol. xxxiii, pts. 3 and 4, and vol. xxxiv, part 1. Among the papers they contain is one by M. Vambéry, 'On the speech of the Turkomans, and on Machdumkuli's Diwan;' Professor Spiegel has a short paper on 'Adar Gushasp;' J. H. Mordtmann has one entitled 'Die Himjarischen Inschriften in Tschinili Kiosekh;' Dr. A. D. Mordtmann one 'Zur Pehlevi Mänzkundo, Die ältesten Muhammedanischen Münzen;' and another (vol. xxxiv)—his fourth contribution on the same subject, a very long and important paper on—'Die Münzen der Sassaniden,' which has been printed since the author's death. M. Adolf Holtzmann writes on 'Die Apsaras nach dem Mahābhārata,' and Prof. Aufrecht gives a short paper on 'Eine seltene Verbalform;' J. Klatt has one on 'Dhanapāla Rishabha panchaśiku,' of interest as referring to Jaina worship; and Count Victor von Strauss and Torney, one on the 'Bezeichnung der Farben blau und grün in Chinesischen Alterthum;' Prof. Ethé has one on Nāsir Chusrān's *Rāsanāma* oder buch der Erläuterung; MM. Stickel and Tiesenhäusen write on 'Die Weltbezeichnungen auf Muhammedanische Münze;' Dr. G. Saleman

'Ueber eine Pehlevisch-Arabische Münze;' and Dr. Fleischer gives a notice of a find of Sassanian coins at Oberlausitz, and suggests that they may have found their way thither by trade from Trebizond.

Asiatic Society of Bengal.—No. 1 of the *Journal* for 1880 contains, first, a "Description of the Great Śiva Temple of Gangai-Kondapuram and of some other places in the Trichinopoly District" by Lieut. Col. B. R. Branfill,—a paper which in another form has already appeared in this *Journal* (*ante* pp. 117 ff.); second, on "Rude Megalithic Monuments in North Arcot" by the same, which is also, in a more extended form, already in type for the *Ind. Antiquary*. The third paper is on the coins of the Maharājas of Kāngra, by C. J. Rodgers. Kāngra is on a tributary of the Biās, and the ancient chiefs were called the Rājas of Trigartta—the country of the three rivers, the Biās, Rāvi and Satlej. These rājas claimed descent from Susarma Chandra, governor of Multān at the time of the Mahābhārata. Mr. Rodgers in his remarks follows closely the information collected by Gen. Cunningham (*Archæol. Rep.* vol. V. pp. 152ff.). The coins seem to be found in great numbers in the Panjāb, and range from the middle of the 14th to the middle of the 17th century A.D. Mr. Rodgers gives a plate of twenty-four specimens.

Major H. S. Jarrett has a "Note on an inscription found upon a stone lying near the ruins of a Masjid on Lanka island, Wular Lake, Kashmir." The inscription is in Persian, and reads,—
May this edifice be as firm as the foundations of the heavens,
May it be the most renowned ornament of the universe,
As long as the monarch Zayn 'Ibād holds festival therein,
May it be like the date of his own reign,—"happy."

The date in the chronogram خرم is 847 A. H., equivalent to A.D. 1443—4 during the reign of Zayn-ul 'Aabidin or Zayn 'Ibād. This inscription is mentioned in the Persian history of Kashmir by Muhammad 'Ā'azam, and thus affords Major Jarrett an opportunity to draw from that work an outline of the history of the country from A.D. 1305 to 1443.

The subject of the fifth paper is a more important one,—“Coins of the Sunga or Mitra Dynasty, found near Rāmanagar in Rohilkhand:—the property of H. Rivett-Carnac, Esq. Described by A. C. Carlleyle.” Rāmanagar has been identified by General Cunningham with Ahichhatra¹

¹ For references to Ahichhatra, see *Jour. A. S. Ben.* vol. XXX, pp. 197, 198, *J. R. A. S.* vol. IV, p. 86; and

Vol. V, p. 295; Wilson's *Vishnu Pur.* (4to ed.) p. 187n., and *Meghadūta* (Rost's ed.), p. 248.

the ancient capital of North Panchâla; and whatever may be the value of this identification, many coins have been found on the old site, and also at Bhūila, the supposed site of Kapilavasta, in the Basti district. Mr. Carlleyle assumes that "from the numerical proportion in which the coins of various kings are found in a hoard, we can generally make a pretty good guess as to who were the earliest, and who the latest of the series. Thus the king of whom the greatest number of coins are found in a hoard, may be accepted as either the latest, or the contemporary king of the dynasty at the time when the hoard was buried or lost; while the king of whom the fewest and most worn coins are found may be accepted as the earliest, in point of time of the series." This rough rule may be of some help in arranging a hoard buried all at once; but is utterly valueless for collections of coins buried at various times accidentally and otherwise, in which numerical superiority could only indicate the largeness of the mintage of any particular king, and hence, probably, of his greater length of reign. All these coins bear over the legend three symbols, which Mr. Carlleyle describes as the Bodhi tree on the left, two snakes intertwined to the right, and in the middle a punch-marked depression containing a symbol, which in one case he calls 'a Linga,' and in others, 'a linga guarded by two Nāgas which rise on each side of it.' Might not these be the symbols of the Buddha creed—of Buddha, Dharma, and Sangha? The symbols on the obverses are generally but poorly preserved in the examples represented, but we can hardly accept them as "Buddha standing, with right hand raised and radiating from his head," and "on a Buddhist railing between two trees."

The series of names represented by these coins are arranged by Mr. Carlleyle as follows:—

Kings.	No. of coins found at Rāmanagar.
1. Bhadrāghosa	5
2. Sūryamitra	7
3. Anumitra	1
4. Bhānumitra	10
5. Agnimitra.....	11
6. Phāgunimitra	28
7. Bhūmimitra	34
8. Indramitra	1 + 1 ?
9. Vijayamitra	
10. Satyamitra	
11. Sayamitra	
12. Ayumitra	

Gen. Cunningham has also a coin of Dhruvamitra, which belongs to the same dynasty. Of the later kings in this list, Ayumitra's name is found on a single coin of Mr. Rivett-Carnac's, and "this king must have been one of the latest of the dynasty, as the letters of the legend belong to the later Gupta period." The coins of Vijayamitra, Satyamitra, and Sayamitra are from other localities and "are of quite a different type." The author places Pushyamitra at the head of his lists, though no coins have been found of his, nor do any of the names derived from his coins, except that of Agnimitra, coincide with those of the Paurāṇik lists of the Śunga dynasty founded by Pushyamitra.

The last paper is by C. R. Stülpmagel on coins of Ghiās-ud-dīn and Mu'az-ud-dīn bin Sām, describing eight dirhems of the two brothers whose names are joined on them, and dated in 596, 597, and 598 A. H. The paper is illustrated by a plate of three specimens.

The Society has also published as an extra part to vol. XLVII., "A Sketch of the Turki Language as spoken in Eastern Turkistan," by R. B. Shaw,—a vocabulary of 226 pages.

BOOK NOTICES.

ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA, Vol. IX. : Report of a Tour in the Central Provinces in 1873-74 and 1874-75. By Alexander Cunningham, C.S.I., C.I.E., &c. Calcutta: 1879.

In this ninth volume of his *Reports*, General Cunningham takes occasion to discuss the starting point of the Gupta era. For fixing the epoch of this era he adduces the following data:—(1) the "date of Buddha's pillar inscription at Eran in the year 165, Thursday 12th Ashāḍha sudi"; (2) the "date of Dhruva-haṭa in Samvat 447, he being presumably the king of that name who was reigning at the time of Hwen Thsang's visit in A. D. 640;" (3) the "date of the Mōrvi copperplate in the year 585

of the Gupta era, on the 5th Phālgun sudi, at the time of a solar eclipse," and (4) "the name of the 12-year cycle of Jupiter in five different inscriptions added to the date of the Gupta era." These five are—Mahā-Vaiśākha in Hastin's inscription of 156; Mahā-Aswayuja in another of 173, and Mahā-Chaitra in a third of the same king dated 191; the fourth Mahā-Māgha is on an inscription of Hastin and Sarvanātha, and the fifth is Mahā-Aswayuja on one of king Sankshoba dated in the year 209.

General Cunningham points out, as had been done before him by Dr. Bühler (*Ind. Ant.* vol. VI. p. 80), that if the sixth Śilāditya of Valabhi, who

bears also the name of *D h r u v a b h a t a*, be the king of the same name visited by Hwen Tshang, then the date on his copperplate of *Sain. 447* must relate to some era commencing about 190 to 200 A.D. He says—"Of course, Dhruvabhata's inscription may be some 25 or 30 years either earlier or later than the pilgrim's visit." This we cannot quite agree to, as we have a published inscription of *Śilāditya V.*, the father of the king in question, dated in *Sain. 444*,—so that 6 years is the utmost limit on one side, and thus the epoch cannot be placed much lower than A.D. 260.¹ He then computes that 12th *Ashādhā sudi* fell on a *Thursday*, on 24th June (O. S.) 359 A.D., and if this correspond with *Budha-Gupta's* date in 165, the initial point of the epoch must be in 195 A. D., he also finds that there was a solar eclipse on 14th *Magh vadi* or 10th February 780 A. D., and considering this as the eclipse mentioned in the *Morvi plate* (*Ind. Ant.* vol. II, p. 258), he arrives at A. D. 195 as the 1st year of the *Guptakāl*. We have had these computations performed, and find that in E. long. 71° and lat. 23' N.² (near the position of *Morvi*), there was a solar eclipse on 10th Feb. 780 A. D. (15 *Magh vad*), which lasted about 2 hours 50 minutes, the greatest obscuration being at 2h. 21m. P.M. and extending to fully half the sun's diameter at the assumed locality. For the other date, we find the 12th *tithi* of *Ashādhā sudi* began 30 g. 20 v. 35 p. after sunrise on 23rd June 359 A.D., but if we follow the rule that when a *tithi* begins after sunrise, it is held to concure with the following day, we find the date to be *Thursday*, 24th June, as the General states. Too much weight, however, should not be ascribed to this latter coincidence.

The names of the years in the *Jovian 12-year cycle* do not strengthen the argument; for, if we assume any one of them to be correct, the others must fall into their places. What is wanted to render them of any value for this purpose is distinct and authoritative information as to the use of this cycle and the date from which it starts.

As to the initial date, however, an additional argument might be added. The suggestion we believe is due to Dr. Bühler that the forged grant of *Dharasena II.* dated *Śaka 400*³ is approximately correct in its date. Now *Dharasena* must have died between 272 and 286 of the *Valabhi era*, and if we assume 284 as the date, and as coincident with the year in which the plates are dated,

or A.D. 478-9, we obtain A.D. 194-5 as the epoch. The forgery was fabricated of course later than *Śaka 400*, but not so late as that the date of *Dharasena* was not known at least within a few years. The assumption of the round number 400 as the numeral, may itself be due to some slight uncertainty as to the exact date to be fixed upon; but otherwise, if we allow that the forgery was made within a century or so of the date it presents, this plate is corroborative of the theory as to the initial date of the *Gupta era* which Dr. Bühler first suggested.

General Cunningham then attempts to show that *Dronasimha* may have begun his reign as early, according to this reckoning, as A.D. 365 or 370 (that is 171 or 176 of the *Gupta era*), and so have been contemporary with *Budha Gupta*. Now as his elder brother reigned before *Dronasimha*, his father *Bhātārka* can hardly, on this supposition, have died before 166, and *Dhara-patta*, the fourth son of the latter, reigned for some time between 216 and 236. This makes the son survive his father by about 65 years,—which is somewhat unusual. This difficulty is in no way, however, connected with the initial epoch of the *Gupta era*, for the same thing must occur wherever we fix that epoch. But if we assume, as we may do without any forcing of the ages, that *Bhātārka* lived till 176 or 180, that *Dharasena* was *Senāpati* till about 190, and that *Dronasimha* then acquired power, he might still be contemporary with *Budha Gupta*, during the last year of that king's reign (if he lived to 190*), and have been crowned by him. But it is more natural to suppose that he was crowned by *Bānugupta*,² in whose reign we have the date 191 on an inscription at *Eran*. This clears away the objection which arises from assuming that *Dronasimha* had a very long reign.

General Cunningham next assumes that the *Gupta kāl* dates from the commencement of *Chandragupta's* reign; but as we have *Chandragupta II.* dating in 82 and 93, and his son *Kumārāgupta* from 96 to 129, there seems no necessity for extending the four reigns over 130 years. With an average of nearly 22 years to each king, this period would include the six kings of the dynasty from its rise under *Śri Gupta*, who would thus be placed 60 years later than General Cunningham assumes.

* The latest date we have connected with *Budhagupta* is 132.

Mr. Newton (*Jour. B. B. R. As. Soc.* vol. VII, p. 11) has read this name *Bakragupta*; from another very clear example, however, it appears to be plainly *Bānugupta*. General Cunningham regards Mr. Newton's and Sir E. C. Bayley's readings as imperfect renderings of *Chandragupta* (p. 23); the occurrence of the name *Bānugupta*, with the date 191, however, places this matter beyond dispute.

¹ In 1878 I was led on the same grounds "to place the initial date of the *Valabhi era* about A. D. 195," but not regarding the point as sufficiently proved no further use was made of it (*Descrip. Account of the Rock-Temples of W. India*, p. 11); also *Cave Temples of India*, p. 191.—J. B.

² This eclipse would be central at noon in about lat. 18° 28' N. long. 55° 26' E.

³ *Ind. Ant.* vol. V, p. 110; vol. VII, p. 63; vol. VIII, p. 301; and *Jour. B. B. R. As. Soc.* vol. XIII, p. 244.

It is to be regretted that General Cunningham is so sparing in the citation of his authorities: thus, though founding his argument largely for the fixation of the Gupta era on Dhruvabhaṭa's grant and the Morvi plate, he gives no reference to where they are to be found; and one is a little startled to find him referring to "an inscription of the Châlukyas as early as the reign of Mangala, or Mangalisa, the son of Pulakesi before A.D. 550" (p. 101), and the further statement that "the inscription of Mangalisa is dated at full length, but the numeral word is unfortunately doubtful. It was read *chakatawa* by General LeGrand Jacob, but I think it must be intended for *Chaturtha Samvatsara*, the 4th year, which would be about A.D. 533" (p. 102). Now the inscription here referred to is evidently the third Nêrur grant, published in the *Journal Bom. B. R. As. Soc.* (vol. III., pt. ii., pp. 209ff.) and, with a facsimile, in the *Ind. Antiquary* (vol. VI., pp. 161ff.), and the plates being in excellent preservation, there can be no doubt about the word referred to;—the grant is not dated, and both General Sir G. LeGrand Jacob and Mr. Fleet read the whole phrase alluded to as—*svâmi-râjânî cha hatvâ samvatsara-pâjyatanâdyam kârtikkaulandakâdyâni*, &c. The only dated inscription we have of Maṅgalisâ is that at Bâdâmi, of Śaka 500, A.D. 579^o in the 12th year of his reign, which places his accession in A.D. 567 or about 38 years later than General Cunningham assumes. And the grant in question is evidently later than that of Bâdâmi and may be even 60 years later than the General suggests. Then the dates of the inscription of Pahewa and on a Banâras copperplate of Mahendrapâla Deva are referred, without remark, to "the era of Harshavardhana of Kanauj," whilst no sufficient evidence is adduced or referred to that any such era was really in vogue.

These statements have reference to the principal other chronological point discussed in this volume—the era of the Chedi dynasty. Now there are eight inscriptions of the Chedis, dating from 793 to 928, and General Cunningham sets himself with his usual sagacity to determine the epoch from which they date, from the days of the month and of the week mentioned in each. Aided by these and several approximate synchronisms—some of which, as has just been indicated, may be questioned or modified,—he fixes on A.D. 250 as the initial date, and then finds that in four cases out of the eight the week days would coincide with those of the inscriptions, while in two cases they would fall on the day following, in one on the day preceding, and in one on the second day before. This result is not so satis-

factory as he expected, but the difference of a single day in three cases, he regards as "an amount of deviation which is not uncommon in Hindu dates,"—yet the Budhagupta date (p. 17) is regarded as incompatible with the usual Valabhi reckoning because it differs just *one* day from that computed. We think General Cunningham is probably very nearly correct in the important conclusion he arrives at regarding the epoch of the Chedi era, though, to be consistent, he must minimise the value of the Budhagupta date in fixing the Guptakâl era. But the synchronisms given long ago by Dr. Fitzedward Hall (*Jour. Amer. Orient. Soc.* vol. VI. p. 501) distinctly indicated 250 A.D. as the first year of the Chedi era. The volume is illustrated by thirty plates of inscriptions and drawings.

DIE NACHFOLGER ALEXANDERS DES GROSSEN in Baktrien und Indien, von Alfred von Sallet. (Berlin; Weimannsche Buchhandlung, 1879.)

On the death of Alexander the Great in 323 B. C., as is well known, his vast dominions were parcelled out among his principal officers. Stasanor, who had been appointed to the satrapy of Drangiana by Alexander himself, retained it after his death, but in the subsequent division at Triparadeisos (B. C. 321), he exchanged it for the government of Baktria and Sogdiana. Here he established himself so firmly that Antigonos prudently left him in undisturbed possession B. C. 316. In India, Eudemus had been left in command of the troops with Pithon, the son of Agenor, and Philip son of Makhatas, as satraps. The latter was murdered in 326 B. C. Pithon was removed to Babylon in 316 B. C., and Eudemus went to the assistance of Eumenes in 317 B. C., and was put to death by Antigonos. Seleukos Nikator, to whom Babylon had been at first assigned, after various changes of fortune, rose to great power, and between 311 and 302 B. C. extended his rule towards the east, and even invaded India, where he formed a matrimonial alliance with Chandragupta. Under his grandson Antiokhos Sôter B. C. 261–246, when his kingdom was weakened by his long war with Ptolemy Philadelphos, Arsakes established the Parthian kingdom B. C. 250, and shortly afterwards Diodotos, governor of Baktria, revolted, and made Baktria an independent state. Seleukos Kallinikos (246–226) undertook an expedition against the Parthians, and seems to have entered into an alliance with Diodotos to secure his co-operation, but he was totally defeated by the Parthians.

The successors of these Græco-Baktrian kings are known to us almost solely from their coins;

* See *Ind. Ant.* vol. VI. pp. 363ff., and vol. III. pp. 305ff.

these have been discussed by several authors,¹ and A. von Sallet has done a service to science by the preparation of this modest little work of 232 pages and 7 plates, on the coins known up to the present.

The historical résumé, with which the book opens, occupies about a third of the whole. Plato, who reigned towards the end of the lifetime of Eukratides (cir. 165 B. C.), and of whom there is a unique tetradrachm of the Attic character, had not begun to use Aryan inscriptions on his silver coins, whence the author assumes that the reduction of the monetary standard and the use of the Aryan alphabet in the Baktro-Indian kingdoms (of Eukratides, Heliokles, and Antialkides) must have been introduced after 165 B. C. in the last years of Eukratides, and that his reign must have terminated at latest about 150 B. C. After Heliokles, Plato and Antialkides all certain indications of dates fail us and the author at this point sums up in tabular form the numismatic results obtained thus (p. 29):—

The established dates of Greek rule in Baktria and India.

Alexander the Great coins square copper money in India or Baktria?

Sophytes, an Indian prince and vassal of Alexander the Great, in the neighbourhood of the modern Lahor coins Greek money about the year 306 B. C., imitating the head of Seleukus I. of Syria.

Antiochos II. of Syria issues (about 256 or 250) Baktrian coins with the name of Antiokhus and national Baktrian type. Diodotos, called Soter by the later kings, about 256 or 250 becomes independent king of Baktria (revolted or was acknowledged by Antiokhus). His son Diodotos II. mentioned by Justin is not proved by the coins and doubtful.

The following reigns stand side by side.

Demetrius	Eukratides	Agathokles	Antimakhos	Antialkides
Euthydemus II.	Heliokles	Pantaleon	Θεός	(perhaps somewhat later)
	during the later nominal part of the reign of Eukratides.	(or in inverted order)		
	Plato 165 B. C.			

Euthydemus from Magnesia follows him or his dynasty in Baktria—as appears from the coins, peaceably; but—according to Polybius—after setting aside the “grandchild of the rebel.” War with Antiokhos III. of Syria. Treaty of peace. Antiokhos promises (and afterwards gives?) his daughter (Laodike?) to Demetrius the son of Euthydemus. Euthydemus dies in old age.

Demetrius, son of Euthydemus, follows him, and extends his dominions as far as India. Bilingual coins appear. Demetrius makes war with—

Eukratides, king of Baktria, who reigns in the time of one of the earliest Arsakidan kings, therefore probably about 200 B. C. A treaty of peace (according to authors the overthrow of Demetrius and occupation of India) with favourable conditions for the victorious Eukratides (?); Demetrius gives his daughter Laodike to the son of Eukratides, Heliokles (?). Coins of Eukratides struck at the wedding of his son Heliokles and Laodike.

Heliokles coins as co-regent (socius regni, according to Justin) with his father.

Euthydemus II., son of Demetrius, a boy, coins (as co-regent with his father?)

These coins of Euthydemus II. are of the same date as those of

Pantaleon Antimakhos (Θεός),
Agathokles.

Pantaleon and Agathokles belong to the same kingdom, possibly Pantaleon's is a short reign immediately preceding that of Agathokles. Agathokles and Antimakhos strike the coins of their predecessors: among these, as predecessors on the Baktrian throne are thus honoured:

Antiochos Nikator (II?),
Diodotos Soter,
Euthydemus Theos.

¹ Bayer, *Historia regni Bactriani* (Petrop. 1738); Mionnet, *Supplement*, vol. VIII. (1837); Lassen, *Zur Geschichte der Griechischen und Indoskythischen Könige* (Bonn, 1838); the same translated by Dr. Roer and H. Torrens in *Jour. As. Soc. Beng.* vol. IX, pp. 251, 339, 449, 627, and 733 ff.; Grotefend, *Coins of Greek, Parthian, and Indoskythian King of Bactria and the countries on the Indus* (Hannover, 1839); H. H. Wilson, *Ariana Antiqua* (Lond. 1841), and papers in *Asiat. Res.* vol. XVII, pp. 559 ff., *Jour. R. As. Soc.* vol. III, p. 381, *Numismatic Journal* vol. I, p. 144, &c., H. Torrens in *Jour. A. S. Beng.* vol. IX, p. 70; vol. XI, p. 137; vol. XX, p. 137; Cunningham, *J. A. S. Beng.* vol. IX, pp. 303, 531, 867, 1008, 1217; vol. X, p. 570; vol. XI, p. 130; vol. XXII, p. 379; *Numismatic Chronicle*, vol. VIII, p. 175, also in vol. IX, X, XII, XIII;

Tod in *Trans. R. As. Soc.* vol. I, p. 313; J. Bird, in *J. Bom. B. R. As. Soc.* vol. I, p. 293, and *Histor. Researches*; M. E. Jacquet in *Jour. Asiatique*, 3ème Sér. t. I. (1836), p. 123; t. II, p. 234; t. IV. (1837), p. 401; t. V. (1838), p. 163; t. VII (1839), p. 385; Longperier, *Rev. Num. Blois* (1839) p. 81; M. Raoul Rochette *Jour. des Savants*, 1834, pp. 328, 385; *Supplement*, 1835, pp. 514, 577, 640, 765; 2me Suppl. 1836, pp. 65, 129; 3ème Suppl. 1838, p. 736, 1839, p. 89, and 1844, p. 108; Lassen, *Alterth. Bd. II.* SS. 289 ff. Prinsep, *Antiquities* (ed Thomas), vol. II, pp. 125 ff. Thomas, in *Jour. R. A. Soc.* vol. XX, p. 99, in *J. A. S. Beng.* vol. XXVII, p. 251, *Num. Chron.* vol. XIX, p. 13, and N. S. vol. IV, p. 103; W. S. W. Vaux, in id. vol. XVI, p. 108, and N. S. vol. XV, p. i. ff.

The reduction of the coin took place in the later years of Eukratides; the change being from the Attic standard hitherto in use.

Eukratides	Antialkides
Heliokles	

From this period all the princes coin according to the reduced standard.

In the later days of Eukratides: Antialkides's successor, perhaps not contemporary:

Apollodotos.	Lysias
Heliokles	

follows Eukratides.

Strato contemporary of the latter part of the reign of Heliokles.

Agathokleia

Strato's wife.

Strato II. "the beloved of his father," Strato's Son.

So far all is certain, or, according to the coins, in the highest degree probable. With the death of Heliokles, or more correctly with the reduction of the coin in the later years of Eukratides, there begins suddenly large series of other Greek coins of the Indo-Baktrian kings so very like one another in style that it is quite impossible, as before, where writers still help us a little, to arrange them chronologically. Antialkides, Lysias, Apollodotos, Strato, have already been spoken of so far as they reach into earlier times, but from the joint character of their coins the greater part of their reigns, also stretch into the time of these later Greek Indo-Baktrian kings, whose names the author arranges here in alphabetical order before giving them chronologically.

Names.	Surnames.
Agathokleia (Strato I.'s wife).	Theotropos
Amintas	Nikator
Antialkides	Nikephoros
Antin akhus (II)	Nikephoros
Apollodotos	Soter, Megas, Philopator
Apollophanes	Soter
Arkhebius	Dekaïos, Nikephoros
Artemidoros	Aniketos
Dionedes	Soter
Dionysius	Soter
Epander	Nikephoros
Hermaïos	Soter
" and Kalliope	
[Sy-Hermaïos	Soter]
Hippostratos	Soter, Megas
Lysias	Aniketos
Menander	Soter, Dikaïos

* Prepared by Mr. L. Rebatsek.

* "For this purpose I chiefly use the list of Vaux and the

Names.	Surnames.
Nikias	Soter
Philoxenos	Aniketos
Strato I.	Soter, Epiphanes, Dikaïos
Strato II. Strato's Son	Soter, in the Aryan transcript still "loving his father"
Telephos	Euergetes
Theophilos	Dikaïos
Zoilos	Soter, Dikaïos

We now pass on to give a translation² of a later paragraph (p. 42) of this resumé:—

"On the occasion of the publication of the tetradrachm of Plato, Vaux made the striking remark, that a comparatively large number of the names of the Baktrian kings occur again in the army or companions of Alexander the Great, and that we may therefore appropriately recognize in the Baktrian kings, the descendants of those companions of Alexander, who remained behind in Baktria or India as a kind of Emeriti. Although, considering our fragmentary knowledge of the statistics of ancient names, we can here prove but little, it cannot be denied that an examination of the Baktrian royal names in the sense indicated by Vaux, leads to surprising results. Following Vaux, I give the list of all the Baktrian kings, with remarks on the names which appeared in Macedonia, especially in the surroundings of Alexander and of the Diadochei."³

Diodotos is the name of the Syrian usurper, later called Tryphon after Antiokhus VI. (Diodor).

Euthydemos from Magnesia.

Demetrius,—a frequent name, especially in Macedonia. One of them belonged to the Hetairoi (the mounted body-guards of the Makedonian kings were thus called) of Alexander the Great; commander of Cavalry under Alexander.

Eukratides.

Heliokles.

Laodike.—A frequent name of Syrian princesses.

Agathokles—a Thessalian flatterer of Philip; Eparch of Persis under Antiokhus II. (but this is dubious. See Droysen's *Epigonen*. 361, 367).

Pantaleon—a Makedonian from Pydna (Arrian).

Antimakhos—a Makedonian, (Polya b. 29. 1, c) in the time of king Perseus (Didot's edition the Index of the Latin translation has erroneously "Antimarchus").

Plato—an Athenian, cavalry officer of Alexander (Curtius).

Agathokleia.

Namen-lexicon by Pape-Benseler. I make some slight additions."—Author's note.

Amyntas—a frequent Makedonian name, also of several companions of Alexander, one of whom was Satrap of Baktria (Arrian, Justin).

Antialkides.

Antimakhos (II).

Apollodoros or perhaps **Apollodoros**, a Satrap of Babylonia, strategos of Susiana.

Apollonhanes—Satrap of the Orites or Gedrosians (Arrian).—A Pythæan.

Arkhebios.

Artemidoros.

Diomedes.

Dionysius—a frequent name also in Macedonia. A friend of Ptolemaios Soter.—A Dionysius is sent to India during the reign of Ptolemaeus II. Philadelphos.

Epander.

Hermaios.

Kalliope:—town in Parthia.

Hippostratos—a Makedonian (Arrian); a commander of Antigonos in Media (Diod. and others); Governor for Lysimakhos in the Ionian towns. (Recently found inscription.)

Lysias—officer in Syria; officer of Selenkos (Polyæn.) Guardian of Antiokhos Eupator, and others.

Menander—a Menander of Magnesia (hence like Euthydemos) one of the Hetairoi and officer of Alexander and after his death in Lydia (Arrian, Justin); another companion of Alexander (Plutarch).

Nikias—one of the officials of Alexander (Arrian). A relative of king Ptolemaios, and of others; a Makedonian.

Philoxenos,*—Alexander's Governor in Ionia and Susiana, &c. (Arrian and others); Alexander writes to a Philoxenos (Arrian); son of Ptolemaios Alorites (Plut.).

Strato—son of the prince of Aradus; prince of Sidon; a historian who describes the war of Perseus.

Telephos—a Makedonian, one of the Hetairoi of Alexander (Arrian).

Theophilos.

Zoilos—a rhetorician who lived at Amphipolis in Macedonia; a coin-engraver or official of king Perseus; Zoilus from Beroea (in Macedonia?)—Arrian.)

"We have 28 names in all (besides women); eleven of these are stated to have been names of companions of Alexander, natives of Macedonia and of other provinces; some to have been even satraps in Baktrian districts, whilst others occur among the Diadochoi and in Macedonia. As already observed, nothing can be proved, but nobody will

deny that an examination like the above is important, especially as rare names, such as **Telephos**, occur, which is a genuine Makedonian name, and **Heraklos**, the Makedonian chief god, is the father of **Telephus**. Also the coin of **Zoilus** with **Heraclēs'** head, club and bow, resembling the copper-money of Alexander, may point to Macedonia, and perhaps even to Alexander."

Again (p. 51) he remarks:—"The close connection with the adjoining Parthian realm appears from the Parthian names of many sovereigns, such as **Vonones**, **Abdagases** (in Tacitus the name of a Parthian dynast), **Pakores** (*sic*), and lastly even an **Arsakes** who belonged according to the coinage also to the **Azes** kingdom. Different from this is the unique coin (a square copper coin) of the Berlin collection, with the **Arsakidan** typical horse, bow and quiver, used precisely in the same manner already by **Maues**, and which I ascribe with confidence to a **Bactro-Indian Arsakes** *leds* who uses only Greek, not Aryan, and may in spite of the ω , ϵ and ζ belong to a tolerably good age.—The king **Yndopheres**, also **Gondophares**, &c. is the only one of these Partho-Baktrian reigns known to us with certainty from an Aryan inscription, which is to be examined more particularly.

"This inscription is from **Takht-i Bahinear** (a little N. E. from) **Peshawar** on the Indus, and begins with the words: *Mahdrijsas G...pharasa*, and means:—"In the 26th year of the great king G...phara, in the Samvat year 100." If, as is most probable, this somewhat longer royal name is identical with that on the coins, we obtain, besides the information that this king reigned at least 26 years, also the date of a year. *Samvat* means only "era," and would therefore demonstrate nothing, if the **Turushkas** had not used this identical *Samvat*-era on their inscriptions in various regions, as well as in the vicinity of the spot where the **Gondophares** inscription was found. Accordingly **Gondophares** would fall into the time of, or perhaps even after, the last **Turushka**-prince **Bazodéo** whose *Samvat* years reach as far as 93. In my opinion this is unmissably scarcely possible, because **Bazodéo** cannot be very far from the time of the Sassanians while **Yndopheres** or **Gondophares** seems to be earlier. If therefore different eras were not used by **Gondophares** on the one part and the **Turushkas** on the other, and if the inscription really contains the name of the **Gondophares** of the coins, where it has 3 letters more, a difficulty presents itself here, the solution of which is referred to Indo-

instead of *es*.

* This king is thus properly called: *Philoxenos*, as the word is almost everywhere spelt, is nothing. We find in books even *Lyrius* instead of *Lyrius*, also transcripts such as *Menandrus*, *Alexandrus*; *Antialkidas*, *Az's* &c.,

* See the inscription published by Dowson in the *Jour. R. As. Soc. N. S.* vol. VII, p. 376. A photograph is in the Jugur collection of the Berlin Museum.

logues. I would, however, perhaps place Gondophares *after* Chr. but *before* the Turushkas. That this Yndopheres (Gondophares &c.), the uncle of Abdagases, coined also pure Greek silver-drachms with βασιλεὺς βασιλέων μεγας (sic) Ὑνδοφέρνης αὐτοκράτορ, like those of Sanabarus, and almost exactly resembling those of the Parthian supreme king, is one of the more important results of my researches.

"Might not Sanabarus perhaps be identical with the Indian king called in the *Periplus maris Erythraei*—Μάμβραπος and Ἀκάβραπος? The marks purporting to be Seleukidan numbers on his drachms (*Num. Chr.* N. S. vol. XI. p. 217) are not at all years, as the Berlin specimens show. The pretended ΓΤ is once quite plainly ΤΤ and once the signs ΤΤΤ-ΤΤ go round the throne like ornaments. If the time of the composition of the *Periplus* and the identity of the name were quite certain, we should here obtain most important data, because Sanabarus and Yndopheres are certainly almost contemporaries. Abdagases is the nephew of Yndopheres, &c. But so long as all this is not perfectly certain, no further combinations can be attempted. A contemporary of Yndopheres is also Orthagnes (?), who places in Aryan characters the name of this his contemporary upon the coins, as king, resembling Pakores, and dressed according to the Parthian fashion. Some ephemeral dynasts, — such as Zeionises, and two which cannot yet be named with certainty, among whom is also one whose Aryan superscription I read according to clear specimens as "*mahatrasa mahatasa kashanarakuyula* . . ." and who yet belong to the Azes series—present but little historical information; nevertheless the Greek title 'satrap' which occurs, according to the readings of Priusep, on the coins of Zeionises, is settled and important.

"A king resembling the Arsakides in his bust, and made known by Gardener from a unique coin in the British Museum, is certainly, according to the inscription, a king of the Saka-Skythians; because the curious inscription of this tetradrachm is in later characters—*τυραννοῦντρος Ἡράου Σάκα κοίρανον*. How remarkable, that just in non-Hellonic and half-Greek regions Homeric reminiscences present themselves in the popular language! Thus, in the Cyprus dialect the Homeric *κασίγνητος* stands for brother, and in the distant Indo-Skythian east we find the ancient *κοίρανος* for ruler! The forms of the participle *βασιλεύοντος*, *τυραννοῦντρος* are from the oldest times (Agathokles, Antimakhos) at home in those regions."

The author concludes this part of the book (p. 65) with the series of Bactro-Indian kings who used the Greek alphabet, but whose names were

not Greek, arranged according to the order developed by him, generally current, and but little differing from it except by a few additions of years which are determined conjecturally:

1, Ranjabala (Greek PAZY or like it).

2, Maues. At least 100 B. C.

The Azes Series.

3, Azes, successor (and son?) of Maues.

4, Azes and his strategos Aspavarma } identical.

5, Azes and Aspapatis, his strategos. } tical.

6, Azilises, contemporary of Azes.

7, Vonones and Azes.

8, Vonones and Spalahara.

9, Vonones and Spalagadama, son of Spalahara. This is identical with Spalyris.

10, Spalirisis (a) as king's brother, (b) as king.

11, Spalirisis and Azes.

12, Spalyris (or Spalyrisis) king's brother. His Aryan name is Spalagadama, son of Spalahara.

13, Arsakes, δίκαιος (*Num. Chron.* vol. XIX. p. 62).

14, Arsakes, θεός, unique coin in Berlin.

15, Yndopheres, also Gondophares, and similar.

16, Sanabarus, contemporary (successor?) of Yndopheres in the same region. Identical with Μάμβραπος, Ἀκάβραπος of the *Perip. mar. Erythr.*? Late Arsakidan.

17, Abdagases, brother's son of Gondophares.

18, Abdagases with differing inscription on the reverse (*Num. Chron.* vol. XIX. p. 62): "Sub-Abdagases Sasan."

19, Zeionises.

20, Undetermined with the title, *Mahatrasa Mahatasa Kashanarakuyula* (Berlin).

21, Pakores.

22, Orthagnes, with the name of Gondophares on the reverse, Aryan.

23, Heraos, king of the Sakas.

24, Soter Megas.

25, Yrkodes.

The Kadphises and the Turushka Series.

26, Kadphises (I.) with Hermaios symbols.

27, Kadaphes.

28, Kadphises (II.). Time of Augustus? or later?

29, Kanerku or Kanerki. First century A.D.?

30, Ooerki.


31, Ooer Kenorano.

32, Bazodeo.

33, Barbarized.

34, Barbarized under Sassanian influence. About the time of the Sassanian Sapor I. 238—269 A.D.

"Here I must, in conclusion," he adds (p. 67), although entirely in contradiction to the current views of Indologues, "reject one class of coins from the domain of Græco-Indian moneys. It is an extremely numerous series of Indian royal coins,

mostly of silver, executed in entirely national-Indian, inartistic, chiefly barbarian, workmanship with the following symbols :—a king's head (with moustaches). Reverse generally the symbol  with waves beneath it, giving 'in beautiful uniform Devanāgarī letters' (Lassen) the names and titles of a large series of kings of the Sah-dynasty of Sinha-kings; *fourteen* in number according to Thomas (*J. R. A. S.* vol. XII., pp. 1-72) and according to Newton even *twenty-three* :

- 1, Śwara Datta.
- 2, Rudra Sah I.
- 3, Asa Dama.
- 4, Dama Sah, &c.

the last is called Swāmi Rudra Sāh IV. The titles of these kings in long inscriptions contain, among other matters, the satrap designation *Kshatrapasa*.

"The heads on these coins have Greek circumscriptions, and we shall at once discuss them; one of them had been considered as the name of the Baktrian king Dionysius. According to the assumption of Thomas these kings reigned from 157 B.C., but Lassen (II. 929) is inclined to place them even as satraps under Euthydemus.

"This determination is however quite disallowed to a numismatist. The coins are so bad, coarse, and late in the form of the letters, and, even in the Indian ones so totally different from all the antique characters, that the whole series is evidently a kind of continuation of the bad silver-drachms of YPKΩΔΟΥ; nay the coins of the Gupta-kings closely and immediately following the Sinhas with symbols entirely resembling these appear to me even to imitate the fire-altar of the Sassanians (see Thomas's plate, *l. c.*), so that I must at once, on purely numismatic grounds, consider the whole series as having been coined very late, and long after Christ."

"And now for the 'Greek' of the principal side! The second king Rudra Sah is said to have put the name of his supreme king upon his coin! Thomas gives this inscription thus: AIOAYIZYIΔA. When we examine many copies and originals of these coins, we soon become quite certain about the character of this sort of Greek inscriptions."⁶

And then as to the so-called "Greek" legends on the principal side of these coins, after giving examples, the author very sensibly remarks that "whoever practically occupies himself with coins, at once perceives what we have here to deal with, namely, vague *plagia barbarorum* not understood, and nonsensical imitations of Greek letters without any sense or meaning, owing their existence perhaps to YPKΩΔΟΥ. The value of such inscrip-

tions is *nil*, and to attempt from such barbarisms and ignorant strokes to make out reasonable Greek names, is as futile as the endeavour to read and to explain the random traits of our mediæval Wendenpfennigs. However familiar numismatists may be with the extremely frequent appearance of corrupted, senseless and worthless legends; the mischief caused again and again by the attempts at this kind of decipherment is nevertheless just as great. Hence I cannot include within the compass of my researches the coins of the Sah kings, which, I am convinced, are very late, have senseless inscriptions with strokes derived from Greek models, but perfectly *correct Indian* circumscriptions on the reverse."

In the body of the book (p. 157) he returns to Yndopheres,—

"Yndopheres is a rare example of the fact that from gradually augmenting, and apparently very minute examinations and discoveries of coins, inscriptions, and notices of authors, a history securely based on official documents is at last formed, which affords a distinct insight into an important epoch in the civilisation and history of a very remote country.

"At first nothing of this king existed, but a mass of coins which were, according to their style, relegated to a tolerably late date, soon after the last Azes-coins. Then the coins of 'Abdagases the brother's son of (Yndipher(es))' were added. Abdagases according to Tacitus was a Parthian dynast; therefore the Parthian descent of Yndopheres became probable; which, as well as the immediate contact of his boundaries with those of the Arsakidan realm, is satisfactorily proved by the pure Greek drachm of the Berlin museum, coined exactly in the type and style of the Arsakides, as discovered by me. Of the duration of Yndopheres' reign we are informed by the Aryan inscription of Takht-i-Bahi near Peshawar, dated the 26th year of this king's reign, which is at the same time a sign that his sway extended over the Indus countries. After the Arsakidan drachm of Yndopheres follow similar coins of Sanabaros, and their coarse style implies probably their *later* production; the heads of these drachms of Sanabaros are very much like those of the Arsakidan Volugeses I. with the tiara worn during the years 389 and 390 of the Seleukidan era, corresponding to the years 77 and 78 A. D.)' Therefore Sanabaros must have produced his coins about 80 A. D. and Yndopheres had died about 80 A. D.

"But the most interesting information about

⁶ "Determined by me; it may also be easily determined by non-Indologists from the excellent representations of these coins given by Thomas.—Rudra Sah was determined

by the aulic councillor Pertsch."—AUTHOR.

⁷ According to the determination of Prokesch.—Prokesch's *Arsacides* Tafel. V. 45, S. 64.

Yndopheres, Gondopharos, &c. and historically quite coinciding with the above was discovered by English scholars in a source, the utilisation of which for the study of ancient history had probably not been noticed before. It is astonishing that this most interesting discovery has apparently scarcely been noticed (Lassen for instance passes it over entirely).

"The collection of legends, the so-called *Legenda Aurea* or *Historia Lombardica*, arranged in the 13th century by the Genoese bishop Jacobus a Voragine, mentions the Indian Mission of the apostle Thomas as follows (cap. V. p. 33 ed. Græsse, 1846):—"Thomas apostolus cum esset apud Cæsaream apparuit ei Dominus dicens: rex Indiarum Gundoforus misit prepositum Abbanem querere hominem architectoria arte eruditum."—Thomas follows the call of the Lord, goes as architect to India, and builds a palace for the king. He is to be killed because he distributes the treasures of the king among the poor, but the king's heart is softened by his brother Gad who had been resuscitated from the dead, and he humbles himself before the apostle. The apostle preaches the gospel, and then betakes himself 'in superiorem Indiam.' The very presence of the apostle Thomas in India has been doubted, but such questions do not concern me; but this medieval collector of legends gives facts, credibly and faithfully culled by him from ancient sources in his possession, not so much events as the diplomatically correct mention of the name of the king, who, as the coins at any rate appear certainly to imply, reigned during the time of the apostles, consequently in the 1st century A. D. (Inscription of Tukht-Bahi) during many years, till the middle of the second half of it, demonstrating, or at least making very probable, a remarkable connection of this Indian king with the first propagators of Christianity. How else could the name of an Indian king, who was so remote and beyond the pale of all civilisation, have become so correctly known to the first legend writers?

"Further conclusions about those matters permeated by myths, or perhaps entirely mythical, are unreliable; that monuments however and legendary reports agree so perfectly in expressing the time and the name, is distinctly to be pointed out just by those who seek to investigate the history of these regions critically, and so purify it from useless conjectures evolved from nothing."

A few of the coins he gives are:—

"Æ. 4. Bearded bust with diadem in rich dress,

resembling the Arsakides,* ΒΑΣΙΛΕΥΣ ΒΑΣΙΛΕΥΣ ΝΕΡΟΥ (sic) ΥΝΔΟΦΕΡΗΚΑΥΤΟΡΑΤΟ, Sitting king, resembling the type of the Arsakides, but in the raised R. a kind of short sceptre (elephant goad?); behind him a wingless Nike, garlanding him.

"Drachm of tolerably good silver, of the weight of *Arsakiden drachms*. Unique of the Berlin museum, from the unascertained Guthrie collection. The title *Autokrator* is first borne by Tryphon of Syria, but then also by an Arsakidan on his drachmas, according to Prokesch the VIIIth Artaban II, according to Gardner the Xth Sinatroikes.

"Æ. 4-5 □ The king on horseback, 1, receiving a garland from the Nike who stands before him. Symbol ☩ once a small cross in it." One or two Aryan monograms. Berlin. Wilson, *Ariana*, Plate VI, 2, and Plate XXI, 14.

"The circumscription of the *obverse* of one of Wilson's specimens is totally destroyed.

"The second of Wilson's coins has plainly on the obverse above ΦΑΡΟΥ, hence the end of the name, the ΜΕΤΑΛΛΟΥ may be seen on the drawing (on the right) like ΜΙΔΟΥ, then ΡΟΗ. The ΡΟΗ (you not you) cannot however belong to the . . . φίλου because two or at least one line intervenes. Prinsep-Thomas (vol. II, pp. 215, 4) read ΒΑΣΙΛΕΥ . . . ΦΑΡΟΥ (?).

"The Berlin specimen displays only indistinct traces of a circumscription on the chief side.

"The *reverse* of the first of Wilson's specimens he reads *mahadrāja (rdjardjasa) miranataša*; the drawing does not quite agree with this.

"The reverse of the second better specimen of Wilson has *Gudapharasa* plainly. The preceding word is indistinct—Wilson: *jayadharasa*, Prinsep-Thomas: *ja . . . sa* before *apratihataša* plainly, preceded according to Wilson's text and drawing certainly by *dhamikasa*. Prinsep-Thomas read only: *maha . . . dhaga . . . sa*.

"*Dhamikasa apratihataša gudapharasa* appears also to be certain. The Berlin specimen has below certainly the name *gudapha . . .* The circumscription begins on the right, and we perceive with tolerable distinctness *mahadrāja rdjardjasa*.

"The round billon and copper-coins of Yndopheres, with riders and variously changing orthography of the name, as well as the often spoiled circumscription of both sides, are evidently like the similar ones of Azes, deteriorated tetradrachms.

"Bill. 6. ΒΑΣΙΛΕΥΣ ΒΑΣΙΛΕΥΣ ΡΟΝΔΟΦΑΡΟΥ, The king on horseback, bearded, garland in the R. R. the symbol ☩. *Mahadrjardjardja mahataša*

* E. Thomas, to whom we are indebted for the notice on the legend (Prinsep, *Essays*, vol. II, p. 214) places the king earlier; but I have shown why he must belong to the 1st cent. A.D.

* I scarcely need warn the reader of the fantastic explanations of this symbol which contains a garland and a cross of the ancient form T, as well as the oft recurring other cuneiform symbols on the coins of this king.

... *gudapharasa*, almost quite distinct. Standing figure V. before, half dressed, with diadem, supporting the L. on the trident, stretching out the R. (Poseidon?) L. and r. monogram. (Wilson, *Ariana*, pl. v. 16)."

"Æ 4-7. ΒΑΣΙΛΕΥΣ ΘΩΤΙΠΟC VΝΔΟΦΕΡΡΟΥ. Bearded bust with diadem and earrings, in rich dress r. *Dradratasa* (for *tralatasa*, σωτήρ) *mahdrjasa gudapha. asa* or *gadapha. asa*. Nike with garland and palm r. Prinsep-Thomas read *tradatasa* for *dradratasa*. I cannot give a satisfactory explanation of the penultimate letter; it can scarcely be *d*, it is certainly not an *r*.

"Æ. small ΒΑΣΙ... ΒΑΣ... Υ; bearded head r. *mahdrjasa mahatasa gudapharasa*, Lightning-throwing Pallas, as in Menander; &c. r. monograms. Prinsep-Thomas No. 7. Remarkable, because this coin demonstrates the succession of Yndopheres to the Greek kings and to Ranjubil¹⁰."

"The coins of the so-called 'Sub-Abdagases Sasan' (Prinsep-Thomas, p. 216) are probably nothing more than a variety of Yndopheres. I have several originals before me; they are copper or more probably *Billon-coins* (deteriorated tetradrachms).

"Æ. 5. Completely barbarized circumscription; &c. The bearded king on horseback r.; with outstretched R. garland. Before him the symbol ☞ and an Aryan-letter.

"Half-dressed figure with diadem r., stretching out the R. in the l. arm a sceptre (Zeus? probably not the king); l. the symbol ☞¹¹; monograms and letters in the field.

"The circumscription is according to Prinsep-Thomas: *mahdrjasa mahatasa tradatasa... gudapharasa sasasa*; the omitted word is read by Cunningham (see Prinsep-Thomas, vol. II, p. 216): *devahadasa* "god-hearted." On the reverse of the originals before me, the following is distinct. *Mahara... (trada)lata. vahadasa gudapharasa* (or *go* or *gudapharasa*) *sasasa*. Therefore the lection *deva-hadasa* is very probable, although the first letter does not look quite like a *d*. The forms are of course somewhat careless, and therefore the lection *deva-hadasa* is not quite certain, but the name of Yndopheres is entirely so; *gudapharu* (or *go*-, *gu*-) and *sasasa* in the lection, but Abdagases is impossible; not a trace of indication of his name stands on the coins. Also the reading *Sasan* is arbitrary; *Sasan* may certainly be a name, but the founder of the Sasanians can scarcely be meant.

"Æ. 5. Like. Circumscription according to

Cunningham: *mahdrjasa sachchla (mapidasa) sasasa*. Zeus Nikephoros standing l. monograms.

"Of this variety I have never seen a distinct specimen. The drawing in Wilson, *Ariana*, Pl. V. 19 (ibid. No. 20 is not of this, but the preceding variety) and the originals before me display only traces of a superscription on the reverse.

"It is at all events certain, that this 'Godopara Sasa' has nothing at all to do with Abdagases."

"Sanabarus is in my opinion perhaps identical with the Indian king 'Ανάβαρος or Μάμβαρος of the *Periplus Mar. Erythr.*—of late Arsakidan times, perhaps about 80 A. D. A contemporary or successor of Yndopheres. His drachms are indeed of good, perhaps of better silver than those of Yndopheres, but later in fabrication.

"Æ. 3. Bearded bust with tiara, l. resembling those which first appeared among the Arsakides of the year ΘΗΓ' (389 = 77 A. D.) behind it ΔΠ which is supposed to be = ας.

"ΒΑΣΙΛΕΥC ΜΕΓΑC CA ΝΑΒΑΡΟ round the enthroned king r., with tiara, holding a bow, as on the Arsakidan coins. Around the throne ΤΓΤ-ΓΤ r. Α. Berlin (Prokesch), *Tat.* v. 8."

"Hitherto the letters round the throne have been considered as designating the Seleukidan year ΓΓΓ (hence Γ inversely γ) 313 wherefore Sanabarus was placed much earlier than I place him (Thomas l. c. and Gardner, *Parthian Coinage*, p. 46). The Berlin specimens demonstrate however the untenableness of this assumed numerals of years. The τ and γ &c. strokes make their appearance in great numbers as a decoration round the throne.

"The head and its tiara appear to me quite evidently copies from later Arsakidans. This tiara first appears, as already observed, on Arsakidan coins in the year 77 A. D., hence the year 77 A. D. is the earliest date for Sanabarus." (p. 167).

Abdagases (p. 228). "Nephew of Yndopheres. The passage communicated by Gutschmid from the *Apokryph. Evangelium Joannis de obitu Marce* is important. There the apostle Thomas says of his mission to the king of India: τοῦ υἱοῦ τῆς ἀδελφῆς τοῦ βασιλέως ὀνόματι Λαβδανῶς ὑπ' ἐμοῦ μέλλοντος σφραγίσθαι ἐν τῷ παλατίῳ. Moreover, besides Gondophoros, his brother Gud who was converted with him, is mentioned; now Gutschmid justly compares ΒΑΣΙΛΕΥC ΑΒΑΔΑ ΓΥΝΔΙΦΕΡΟ ΑΔΕΛΦΙΔΕΩC¹² with υἱός τῆς ἀδελφῆς τοῦ βασιλέως. This is certainly the same person, and the notice again demonstrates how well the first legend-writers were informed about Gondophares and his family.

¹⁰ This word occurs also on p. 17 spelt: "Ranjabala" as the name of a satrap, but Sollet observes in a foot-note that the letter l is not settled.

¹¹ This occurs at the commencement of early Baudddha inscriptions.—Ep.

¹² This is probably a genitive, not nominative, for ἀδελφιδέος = ἀδελφιδούς.

But from the former erroneous lection ΑΟΑΔΑ instead of ΑΒΑΔΑ fixed by the Berlin specimen which I copied, the erroneous suppositions of Gutschmid follow, who considers βασιλευς to be a barbarous genitive of the name 'Οὔδας = Gvâd, Gad,—the supposed brother of the king and perhaps = Labdanes (Abdanes), and compares this supposed Oudas with ΟΑΔΟ, the windgod of Kanerku.

"Now the more correct lections of these nephew-coins (Prinsep, *Essays*, vol. II, p. 216,) with the distinct name Αβδαγασα in Aryan, which Gutschmid has not used in this instance, demonstrate the erroneousness of these conjectures.

"The nephew of Gondophares, as we learn from his coins, was called Abdagases, in Aryan always Αβδαγασα or Αβδαγασα, in Greek sometimes corrupted to 'Αβαδά..., 'Αβαδαγασου, &c. The reading adduced by Gutschmid of *ὄνυ τῆς ἀδελφῆς τοῦ βασιλέως Ααβδανῦς* is certain and of great value; this nephew and his name are certainly identical with the Abdagases, Αβαδα.....Αβαγases of the coins.

"Gadaphara Sasa.—Gutschmid conjectures to be perhaps 'the father or co-regent' of Gondophares. All this is quite obscure, and the circumscription, *supplemented* in the titles by Cunningham, and pointing according to Gutschmid to Buddhism: '*Mahārājasa (sic) sachcha-dha-(mupidasa) Sasasa*,' is, as I have shown (on pp. 165 and 166) quite uncertain. The specimen adduced in Prinsep-Thomas for this coin from Wilson's *Ariana*, has quite another circumscription, namely, the usual one of Gadaphara Sasa: *mahārājasa tradatasa devahadisa gadapharasa sasasa*, and as Cunningham's lection of the decisive passage is distinctly supplemented; moreover, as this Buddhist title is altogether unheard of on the coins of Gondophares and of his dynasty, the whole title remains *uncertain*; I have among the numerous coins of the enigmatic '*Gadaphara Sasa*' never myself discovered one with the so-called Buddhist titles, hence the whole supposed Buddhism of Gondophares and of his family evaporates, and can be demonstrated by nothing!"

Sallet's volume, from which we have here given abundant selections, will be found most useful to the student of this interesting subject.

HISTORY OF THE MONGOLS from the 9th to the 19th Century: Part II.—The so-called Tartars of Russia and Central Asia. By Henry H. Howorth, F.S.A. 2 vols. London: Longman, Green & Co. 1880.

The first part of Mr. Howorth's *History of the Mongols* was published four years ago, and has taken its place as a sterling work of reference. It was chiefly devoted to the history of the empire of Chinghis Khan. This second division may almost

be regarded as a separate work. It begins with an ethnographical chapter on the Golden Horde, and proceeds in the second to give a history of Juchi Khân the eldest son of Chinghis, of his son Batu Khân who swept down upon Eastern and Central Europe, conquering Muscovy, Poland and Hungary, and threatening the German Empire. The Muhammadans of Western Asia offered to ally themselves with the sovereigns of Western Europe to repel this terrible invader who had established his rule from the Yaik to the Carpathian Mountains, and included a suzerainty over Russia. The third chapter continues the history of the Golden Horde located on the Volga, under Berekê, Bâtâ's brother and successor, who became a Muhammadan, and of his descendants till the extinction of the family, during which period the Grand Dukes of Muscovy were tributaries to the Khân who ruled at Serai on the Volga. Then follows an account of the struggles for supremacy among the other descendants of Juchi, ending in the rise of the family of Orda, and the decay of the Horde till it shrunk into the petty Khânate of Astrakhan, and that in turn was swept away after many struggles by Russia in the 16th century. The history of the Khânate of Krim, which was only crushed and absorbed at the end of last century, is told in the seventh chapter, and the eighth is occupied with an account of the White Horde and the Khirgiz Khazaks descended from tribes subject to Orda the eldest son of Juchi Khan. The Usbegs of Bukhara, Khokand, Kuarezm, and the Khânate in Siberia are treated of in the next three, and the Nogai branch of the Golden Horde in the last chapter.

Mr. Howorth has availed himself fully of the learned works of Von Hammer-Purgstall, Zernoff, Grigorieff, Blankennagel, Vambéry, Schnyler, Lerch, Schmidt, Soret, Desmaisons, Müller, Levehine, &c. &c. and he has produced a book which must long continue a standard one on the subject. It must have been a work of great toil to get together the materials embraced in these two divisions of over 1100 large and closely printed pages: we hope Mr. Howorth may have the strength and patience to complete the third and concluding Division of his great work.

NÂGÂNANDA la joie des Serpents: Drame Bouddhique attribué au roi Çrî-Harscha-Devu. Traduit pour la première fois du sanskrit et du prâkrit en français, par Abel Bergaigne, Maître de conférences à la faculté des lettres de Paris, répétiteur à l'école pratique des hautes-études. (Paris: Ernest Leroux, 1879.)

This translation of the *Nâgânanda* appears as one of the beautiful little volumes of M. Leroux's '*Bibliothèque orientale elzévirienne*,' which already includes versions of several Sanskrit dramas.

It is well known that the *Nâgânanda*, like the

Ratnavali, professes in its prologue to be the work of king Śrī Harshadeva. Dr. Fitzedward Hall (Introd. to the *Vāsuradattā*, pp. 15 ff.) long since attributed the latter to Bāna who flourished at the Court of Harshavardhana of Kanauj, and this has since been confirmed by Dr. Bühler (Weber's *Ind. Stud.* Bd. XIV. S. 40; *Hist. Ind. Liter.* p. 204) The *Nāginanda* was attributed by Cowell to Dhāvaka—another poet supposed to have flourished at the Court of Harshavardhana, but Weber doubts this and supposes that it may with equal reason be attributed to Bāna (*Ind. Streif* Bd. III. S. 106); and M. Bergaigne, with Hall, doubts even the existence of Dhāvaka. The Buddhistic character of the drama, however, agrees perfectly with what we know from Hwen Thsang of the favour shown to his religion by king Harshavardhana of Kanauj.

In noticing Boyd's translation of the same Drama (vol. I. pp. 148 ff.) we have given some account of the contents of it. M. Bergaigne in presenting a new version has been obliged to follow the same text, and notwithstanding some differences in the renderings of certain passages, he makes no claim to any scientific novelty. The publication of it ought, however, to help in spreading among readers some knowledge of, and increase a taste for, a very interesting literature.

KINGS OF KĀSHMĪRA: being a translation of the Sanskrita work *Rājataranginī* of Kallhana Pandita. By Jogesh Chunder Dutt. Calcutta: 1879.

The *Rājataranginī* or Historical Chronicle of Kāśmīr is too well known to need description: it is principally accessible to Europeans in Prof. H. H. Wilson's abstract of the first six cantos of it, in the XVth volume of the *Asiatic Researches*, and in M. A. Troyer's text and French translation of the whole. But, as is well known, all the printed texts contain corrupt passages, and before any important improvement can be made on Troyer's translation, we must have a revised Sanskrit text,—which it is understood Dr. Bühler is preparing from the valuable MSS. obtained by him for the purpose in Kāśmīr (*Ind. Ant.* vol. VI. pp. 264 ff.).

"The present work, it should be stated," says the author, "pretends to be nothing more than a faithful rendering into English of a history which already exists in the Sanskrita language." But he has "thought it necessary to omit from the text such stories as relate to superhuman agencies, and to give them in the form of an Appendix, in order

to preserve the continuity of historical narration." Then the translation extends only to the first seven books, it being "the intention of the translator to bring down the history in two more volumes to the period of the conquest of the valley by Akbar."¹


Before blaming Prof. H. H. Wilson for mixing up his abstract "with the whimsical additions and alterations which appear in the Persian translation," as this new translator does, it would have been as well if he had seen that his own version was a scholarly one. If any one will compare the specimen version of the first 107 ślokas given by Dr. Bühler (*Ind. Ant.* vol. VI. pp. 268—274) with the first eight pages of what Babu Jogesh Chunder Dutt calls his "faithful rendering," he will be painfully struck with the difference; and further comparison with the Sanskrit text, or even with Troyer's version, will only shew more clearly that this translation has no claim to *fidelity* of rendering. Should the author pursue the translation farther he might be advised either to follow the original more closely or to describe it as only a free version—a species of work fully deserving of encouragement, perhaps more so, if only well executed, than a strictly literal translation. Most Sanskrit works will bear condensation in translating, and the *Rājataranginī* among them. This version, though not a faithful one, appears, however, to give a fair representation of the general sense of the text. It is not well printed.

MODERN INDIA AND THE INDIANS, being a series of Impressions, Notes, and Essays. By Monier Williams, D.C.L. &c. Third edition. Revised and augmented by considerable additions. (London: Trübner and Co.)

We noticed the first edition of this very readable and instructive book soon after its appearance two years ago, (vol. VII. p. 236), and we heartily welcome this third edition, which contains about a half more matter than the first. Among the additions are two Essays on the 'Progress of our Indian Empire,' originally published in the *Contemporary Review*, while the chapter on the 'Villages and Rural Population of India' and several other sections of the work are quite new. The work now appropriately appears as one of the volumes of Trübner's valuable "Oriental Series," and well deserves the attention of our readers. When another edition appears we trust it will contain others of the recent Essays of Dr. M. Williams on Indian subjects, supplemented by an Index.

¹ The text used seems to be *A History of Kashmir*, consisting of four separate compilations: viz. I. The *Rājataranginī*, by Kallhana Pandita, 1148, A.D.—II. The *Rajavali* by Jona Raja (defective) to 1412, A.D.—

III. *Continuation of the same* by Sri Varu Pandita, pupil of Jona Raja, A. D. 1477.—IV. The *Rājavali pītaka*, by Pradya Bhattacha, brought up to the conquest of the Valley by the Emperor Akbar, Calcutta, 1835, in 4to dem.

[illegible]

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय
 श्री गणेशाय नमः

951

22

丁酉

६५०५

1986

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥

1947, 1948

100

(2) प्रत्यक्ष कर्म

५ अठ (५ ७ ८)

153

12

100

1

24 F-

56

١٥

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta = \frac{1}{2} \Delta$$

...

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ।

३५१

ॐ नमः शिवाय

ਸ੍ਰੀ ੫, ੪੩, ੧ ਸਿਰਿ

2014年11月

ORIGINAL.
1' 5' X 4' 5'

ਦਿ ਰਾਮ ਹਿਰਿਨੀ

॥ श्रीगणेशाय नमः ॥

॥ श्री ३४ ८५ ॥


ਸਿਰਿਸਾਸੁ ਪਾਠਾਂ ਦੇ ਖੰਡਾਂ ਨੂੰ

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय

ਅਕਸਰ ਪ੍ਰਤਿਤਾਪਿਤ ਯੁਗਿਨਿ

ଏ ଦିନର ସମସ୍ତ ଶ୍ରମକାରୀମାନେ

KANHERI PAHLAVI INSCRIPTION, No. 2.



FROM A RUBBING.

SCALE— $\frac{1}{3}$ ACTUAL SIZE.

THE PAHLAVI INSCRIPTIONS AT KANHERI.

BY E. W. WEST, PH.D., MUNICH.

ANQUETIL DUPERRON appears to have been the first European who specially noticed the Pahlavi inscriptions in the Kanheri Caves. In the account of his visit to the caves in 1760, published eleven years afterwards in his great work on the *Zend-Avesta*,¹ he describes the cave in which they occur, and mentions inscriptions "upon two pilasters which form part of the walls." Although acquainted with the Pahlavi character he thought these inscriptions were Mongolian, probably because they are inscribed in vertical lines, and not horizontally.

No further notice of these inscriptions seems to have been taken for fully a century. They escaped observation when the Kanheri inscriptions were being systematically copied, some twenty-five to thirty years ago, because a Brahman recluse had taken up his abode in the cave which contains them, and had built a small house in front of it. This Brahman first occupied the cave some years before 1848, and remained till 1865, effectually preventing Europeans and others from examining the cave by his presence there.

The late Dr. Bhâû Dâjî, however, being a high-caste Hindu, was able to obtain admittance into the house so far as to examine the exterior of the cave, and about 1861 he found an inscription in the recess over the water-tank, which seemed to him to be in the Kufic character. He showed a copy of this inscription to the late Dr. John Wilson, who at once recognised it as Pahlavi, and referred him to the specimens of Pahlavi writing in Anquetil's *Zend-Avesta*. Dr. Bhâû Dâjî then showed his copy to Professor Haug and some Parsis who were acquainted with Pahlavi, but did not succeed in obtaining any decipherment of its contents. Subsequently he rediscovered and copied the two inscriptions on the pilasters of the cave verandah, which had been noticed by Anquetil.

Shortly afterwards, while examining the ruins of a dagoba on another part of the cave hill, I discovered a few words on one of the stones, which I believed to be in some form of the Arabic character. This short inscription was published, with other details relating to the dagoba, in the *Journal of the Bombay Branch of*

the Royal Asiatic Society (vol. VI, pp. 116 ff.) and a Parsi writer soon pointed out that it was in the Pahlavi character; thus directing my attention for the first time to the Pahlavi language.

In December 1865, after the disappearance of the Brahman recluse, I had the first opportunity of seeing the Pahlavi inscription in the tank recess, discovered by Dr. Bhâû Dâjî, of which I made a tracing, and sent a reduced copy to the Bombay Asiatic Society in 1866. This copy and those prepared by Dr. Bhâû Dâjî were soon after discussed in the *Zartoshti Abhîds*, Nos. 2—4, and the greater part of the three inscriptions was correctly deciphered by Parsi priests well acquainted with Pahlavi; but, owing to the imperfection of the copies, some errors and obscurities occurred in those decipherments.

In December 1870, tracings of the two pilaster inscriptions were taken for me, and in February 1875, I corrected these tracings and that taken in 1865 by comparison with the inscriptions themselves, which I was then better able to read. Mr. Burgess has also recently favoured me with prints of the two clearest inscriptions (one over the tank and the other on the left-hand pilaster) which, with the tracings before-mentioned, probably give the decipherer all the information he is ever likely to obtain with regard to the letters inscribed.

These three Pahlavi inscriptions are all dated, and consist chiefly of the names of certain Parsis who visited the Kanheri Caves early in the eleventh century. They are all three inscribed in vertical lines, to be read from the top downwards; Pahlavi writing being read from right to left. As they would be more conveniently read if the lines were horizontal, the reason for inscribing them in vertical lines is not obvious; but it may be noted that a few short Pahlavi inscriptions, in the same comparatively modern character,² are found in Persia, which are also inscribed in vertical lines.

The earliest date is that in the inscription on the verandah pilaster to the right of a person entering the cave. This inscription is so faintly cut that the greater part of it would be illegible

¹ *Zend-Avesta ouvrage de Zoroastre*, &c. par Anquetil Duperron; Paris, 1771; Tome premier.

² Not the Sasanian inscriptions, which are always in horizontal lines.

if it were not for the fact that the names it contains are the same as those in the second inscription.

With the assistance of that inscription it may be read, line for line, as follows⁸ :—

Pavan shem-i yazatō.

Pavan tag mûrvāk va uadūkō dadak denman shnat 300

70 8-i Yazdakardō bidanâ Mitrō yôm

Aûharmazd hamdinikân val denman jinâk yâtûnd

hômard Yazdân-pânak va Mâh-aiyyâr-i

Mitra-aiyyâr,* Panj-bûkhtō va Padur-bûkhtō-i

Mâh-aiyyâr, Mardân-shâd-i Hirâd-Bâhrâm

va Hirâd-Bâhrâm-i Mardân-shâd, Mitra-aiyyâr-i

Bâhrâm-panâh va Bâhrâm-panâh-i Mitra-aiyyâr,

Falân-zâd⁹ va Zâd sparham-i Âtûr⁷-mahân,

Nûk-mâhân va Dîn-Bâhrâm va Bajûrg-âtûr va Hirâd-mard

va Bêh-zâdō-i Mâh.

This inscription is evidently incomplete, as it stops in the middle of a name, and also omits the names contained in the last two lines of the next inscription. Nearly the whole of the first two lines have been broken away and removed since 1870, and the copy of them could not, therefore, be corrected in 1875; so that the reading of so much of the second line as does not occur in the next inscription, is doubtful.

The next date, which is only forty-five days later, occurs in the inscription in the recess over the water-tank alongside the cave. This inscription, though likewise cut faintly, is much more distinct than the last, and is numbered with the Pahlavi cipher for "two" preceding the invocation in its first line. It contains the

same names as the last inscription, with a few others added at the end to complete the list, and may be read as follows :—

2. Pavan shem-i yazatō.⁸

Shnat 300 va 70 8-i Yazdakardō bidanâ Âvânō

va yôm Mitrō hamdinikân val denman jinâk yâtûnd

hômard Yazdân-pânak va Mâh-aiyyâr-i

Mitra-aiyyâr, Panj-bûkhtō va Padar-bûkhtō-i

Mâh-aiyyâr, Mardân-shâd-i Hirâd-Bâhrâm

va Hirâd-Bâhrâm-i Mardân-shâd, Mitra-aiyyâr-i

Bâhrâm-panâh va Bâhrâm-panâh-i Mitra-aiyyâr,

Falân-zâd va Zâd-sparham-i Âtûr-mâhân,

Nûk-mâhân va Dîn-Bâhrâm va Bajûrg-âtûr

va Hirâd-mard va Bêh-zâdō-i Mâh-bâzæ,⁹

Bâhrâm-panâh-i Mitra-bandâd.

Mâh Âtûr Aûharmazd-i Ârân-bandâd mûrd.¹⁰

The third inscription in point of time is that on the left-hand pilaster of the verandah, and is numbered with the Pahlavi cipher for "three" preceding the invocation in its first line. It is dated twelve years later than the preceding inscriptions, and contains a different list of names, in which only four of the names in the previous inscriptions occur. It is fairly legible, and may be read as follows :—

3. Pavan shem-i yazdân.

Bidanâ Mitrō va yôm Dînō shnat 300 90-i

Yazdakardō min Âirân¹¹

val denman jinâk yâtûnd hômand

Mâh-Frôbag va Mâh-aiyyâr

i Mitra-aiyyâr, Panj-bûkhtō-i

Mâh-aiyyâr, Mardân-shâd-i

Hirâd-Bâhrâm, Bêh-zâd-i

Mitra-vindâd,¹² Jâvîdân-bûdō-i

Bâhrâm-Gûshnaspō, Bajûrg-âtûr-i

⁸ As some sounds are written more ways than one in Pahlavi, italics are used to express such variants; thus, *d* is used for *d* written like *t*, *j* for *j* written like *y*, *l* and *r* for *l* and *r* written either like *n* or like an Avesta *o*, *v* and *s* for *v* and *s* written like *ch*.

⁹ *Atyyâr* is merely a provisional reading for the Pahlavi equivalent of Pers. *yâr*, until its true sound is ascertained. In the second inscription it is always circumflexed, as if to be read *atdâr*.

¹⁰ Or, perhaps, *Khrâd* or *âir-âd*, as the final *d* (not being *d*) is doubtful after a vowel.

¹¹ In the second inscription the *l* is distinctly noted by a diacritical mark, otherwise it would be better to read *Farukh-zâd*, a common Pahlavi name.

¹² Hitherto I have generally read this word *âtûr* direct from the Avesta, but it occurs in Sasanian inscriptions, not only in a form which can be read either *âtûr* or *âtûr*, but also in another form which must be read *âtûr*; it is also transcribed by Syriac writers as *âtûr* or *âtûr*. The second

part of the name is here written *mâhân*, but is *mâhân* in the next inscription and in the next name here.

⁹ This word is illegible, but is assumed to be the same as in the preceding inscription. The *za* in *yazatō*, like the *zd* in *yazdân* and *Aûharmazd*, and the *zda* in *Yazdakardō* is written like *d* or *hu*, as it always is in Pahlavi MSS.; but this is merely a graphical variant, as these words are written with *za*, *zd*, and *zda* in Sasanian Pahlavi.

¹⁰ The last syllable is circumflexed, but can hardly contain the letter *d*.


¹¹ The last letter is doubtful, and the word looks more like *noirâ*, but this would be unintelligible. This last line is evidently an addition to the inscription after the list of names was finished.

¹² These last two words are doubtful, *min* being nearly all cut away, and *Âirân* hardly legible; they occupy the place of the doubtful word *hamdinikân* in the first two inscriptions.

¹³ Possibly the same name as the *Mitra-bandâd* of the first two inscriptions.

KANHERI PAHLAVI INSCRIPTION, No. 3.

Indian Antiquary.




FROM A RUBBING.

SCALE $\frac{1}{2}$ ACTUAL SIZE .

KANHERI. PAHLAVI INSCRIPTIONS.

No. 4.



No. 5.



Māh-bāzāo, Māh-aīyyār va Bandēsh¹³
 ī Hīrād-farukhō, va Māh-bandād-i
 Gōhān-khash chāsh¹⁴-nyōksh.

The following are translations of these three inscriptions, as transcribed above; the words in italics being understood, but not expressed, in the original Pahlavi:—

1. 'In the name of God.'¹⁵

'Through strong omens and the good Judge this¹⁶ year 378 of Yazdakard, on the day Aūharmazd of the month Mitrō (10th October 1009), there have come to this place the co-religionists¹⁷ Yazdān-pānak and Māh-aīyyār sons of Mitra-aīyyar, Panj-būkht and Padar-būkht sons of Māh-aīyyār, Mardān-shād son of Hīrād-Bāhrām and Hīrād-Bāhrām son of Mardān-shād, Mitra-aīyyār son of Bāhrām-panāh and Bāhrām-panāh son of Mitra-aīyyār, Falān-zād and Zād-sparham sons of Ātūr-māhān, Nūk-māhān, Dīn-Bāhrām Bajūrg-ātūr, Hīrād-mard, and Bēh-zād son of Māh¹⁸.'

'2. In the name of God.

'In the year 378 of Yazdakard, the month Āvān and day Mitrō (24th November 1009), there have come to this place the co-religionists Yazdān-pānak and Māh-aīyyār, sons of Mitra-aīyyār, Panj-būkht and Padar-būkht sons of Māh-aīyyār, Mardān-shād son of Hīrād-Bāhrām and Hīrād-Bāhrām¹⁹ son of Mardān-shād, Mitra-aīyyār son of Bāhrām-panāh and Bāhrām-panāh²⁰ son of Mitra-aīyyār, Falān-zād and Zād-sparham sons of Ātūr-māhān, Nūk-māhān, Dīn-Bāhrām, Bajūrg-ātūr, Hīrād-mard, and Bēh-zād sons of Māh-bāzāo, and Bāhrām-panāh son of Mitra-bandād. In the month Ātūr²⁰ Aūharmazd son of Āvān-bandād died.'

'3. In the name of God.'²¹

'In the month Mitrō and day D'nō of the year 390 of Yazdakard (30th October 1021) there have come from Irān²² to this place Māh-Frōbag and Māh-aīyyār²³ sons of Mitra-aīyyār Panj-būkht²³ son of Māh-aīyyār, Mardān-shād²³

son of Hīrād-Bāhrām, Bēh-zād son of Mitra-vindād, Jāvīdān-būd son of Bāhrām-Gūshnasp, Bajūrg-ātūr²³ son of Mīh-bāzāo, Māh-aīyyār and Bandēsh sons of Hīrād-farukhō, and Māh-bandād son of Gōhān-khash, the listener to instruction.'²⁴

It must have been during the visit mentioned in this third inscription that the few words were inscribed on the dāgoba, as mentioned above; for they mention the same year and one of the same names. This short inscription (No. 5 on the accompanying plate), was correctly deciphered in 1855, as published in the *Zartosthi Abhids*, No. 3, p. 164, and is as follows:—

Shnat 300
 90-1 Yazdaka (rd)
 Shatra-iyār
 Māh-Frōbag(g).

'The year 390 of Yazdakard Shatra-iyār. Māh-Frōbag.'

Besides these four Pahlavi inscriptions at Kaṇheri there has been a fifth (No. 4 on the plate), of which only two or three detached letters are legible on another stone of the dāgoba, which is now in the Museum of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society. This inscription appears to have consisted of seven vertical lines on a flat space between two groups of sculpture; but the surface of the stone is so much decayed, that the letters legible are only just sufficient to show that the words have been Pahlavi.

The interest attaching to these Pahlavi inscriptions is threefold:—First, they show that Parsis visited the Kaṇheri Caves early in the eleventh century. Secondly, they exhibit the form of Pahlavi writing at that period, though due allowance must be made for the fact that such cursive writing is not well-adapted for inscribing on stone. Thirdly, they indicate what kind of names was commonly used by the Parsis of those times; differing very much from the kind now in use.

(20th Dec.)"; or it might be translated "Māh-Ātūr and Aūharmazd sons of Āvān-bandād died," but the word "died" is doubtful. This last sentence seems to have been a later addition to the inscription.

²¹ The Pahlavi word is here in the plural number.

²² The words "from Irān" are doubtful, being partly cut away to form a mortice in the rock for attaching wood-work.

²³ These four names also occur in the former lists in Nos. 1 and 2.

²⁴ This last phrase is doubtful, and it is possible that the list of names is incomplete in this inscription, as it is in that on the other pilaster.

¹³ Or, perhaps, *Bāsh*.

¹⁴ This word is doubtful, being partly broken away.

¹⁵ The Pahlavi word is here in the singular number.

¹⁶ As already stated, the reading of this first phrase is doubtful. Another guess would make it mean "in a good omened and happy state I write."

¹⁷ This word is doubtful, but *handintān* seems to be the only intelligible reading for it as it stands in No. 2.

¹⁸ Breaking off in the middle of a name.

¹⁹ Probably a son of the preceding man, who had been named after his grandfather, a custom still common among the Parsis.

²⁰ That is, in the following month (9th Dec. to 8th Jan.). It might possibly be "on the day Māh of the month Ātūr

With regard to the writing it may be noticed that it differs but little from that of the oldest MSS. extant, which were written three centuries later. The chief differences are that the letter *s* is more like the letter *ā* than in the MSS., differing merely in being a deeper letter, and that in compounds of *a* and *m*, or *sh* and *m*, the upper stem of the *m* has almost disappeared, and the first letter is set close down upon the second.

With reference to the men's names we find they were very much of the same character as those borne by the old commentators on the *Avesta*, and others mentioned in the *Bundahish* and elsewhere, who lived between the sixth and ninth centuries. Thus, the names *M â h-a-y â r* and *Z â d-sparham* occur as names of the ninth century in *Bundahish* xxxiii, 7, 11;²⁵ *Mitrô-a-y â r* occurs in the *Vijirkard-i Dinâk*²⁶ as the supposed name of a former husband of one of Zaratûst's wives; *Aûharmazd* is a man's name still in common use in a corrupted form; *Yazd-panâh* or *Yazôd-panâh*²⁷ occurs in a Syriac MS. of the twelfth or thirteenth century, containing the *Acts* of certain Persian martyrs; and Professor Hoffmann, in his German translation of these *Acts*, also quotes the names *Mihr-bundâd* and *Mâh-bundâd*.²⁸ Again, *Mardân-shâd* may be compared with *Mardîn-vêh* and *Vâhrâm-shâd*;²⁹ *Panjbûkht* and *Pa'ar-bukht* with *Mâh-bûkht* and *Vâê-bûkht*;³⁰ *Bajûrg-âtûr*, with *Bajûrg-Mihr*, the prime minister of Khâsrô Nôshirvân; *Mitra-vindâd*, *Mitra-bandâd*, *Âvân-bandâd*, and *Mâh-bandâd* with *Afrôbag-vindâd*; *Mâh-Frôbag* with *Âtûr-Frôbag*;³¹ *Javîdân-bûd* with *Mard-bûd*;³²

Hîrâd-farukh with *Dâd-farukh*;³³ *Bâhrâm-Gûshnasp* with *Mâh-Gûshôsp* (*Gûshnasp*?)³⁴ and *Mihrâm-Gûshnasp*;³⁵ and *Âtûr-mâhân* and *Nûk-mâhân* with *Barz-mâhân*.³⁶

In the translations of these inscriptions the dates corresponding to those inscribed have been calculated on the assumption that these Parsi visitors used the calendar of the Indian Parsis. This is, of course, not quite certain; but if they used the calendar of the Persian Parsis, which makes the dates one month earlier in the year, they must have arrived at the caves in September, when the jungle is most impenetrable, whereas in October the paths are usually open, and the caves are easy of access from that month till the following August, when the jungle again becomes difficult to traverse for two months.

It is possible that the first two inscriptions refer to two separate visits to the caves by the same party, but, considering the distance these people probably came, it is more likely that they refer to two different periods of the same visit. What induced these Parsis to reside at the caves for more than six weeks it is difficult to guess. If, however, I have correctly interpreted the last line of the second inscription as implying that one of the party died at the caves, it is just possible that he may have been brought there for the benefit of his health. The water in the cave tanks is still esteemed by the natives for its curative effects, and twenty-seven years ago I found an invalid Hindu at the caves, who had been brought there during the rainy season for the benefit of drinking the water.

REPORT ON THE ANCIENT INSCRIPTIONS IN THE NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCE AND IN THE DISTRICTS OF MATALE AND TRINKOMALI, CEYLON.

BY DR. E. MÜLLER.

(Continued from p. 14.)¹

During a second trip to the North-Western Province, and to the districts of Matale and Trinkomali, I corrected the copies of the inscriptions discovered previously, and found several new ones belonging to the first centuries

of the Christian era. I give here the transcripts of those which are well enough preserved to allow of a translation:—

(1) *Kaikâwa*, four miles from Balalla on the road to Yapahu:

²⁵ See *Sacred Books of the East*, vol. V, p. 147.

²⁶ See p. 22 of Dastûr Peshotanji Behramji's edition of the text.

²⁷ See Hoffmann's *Auszüge aus syrischen Akten persischer Märtyrer*; Leipzig, 1880; pp. 87-91.

²⁸ *Ibid.* p. 297.

²⁹ See *Sacred Books of the East*, vol. V, p. 147.

³⁰ *Ibid.* p. 194.

³¹ *Ibid.* p. 244.

³² See Hoffmann's *Auszüge*, &c. pp. 94-98.

³³ *Ibid.* note 601.

³⁴ See also *ante*, vol. VIII, p. 221.

Siddham. Patama tera Warasi ametaha jita Amaryawa ameti Abaha cha duti bati
 Wadhachetahata ja bikasagata ja dina.

'Hail! Amaryawa, the daughter of the chief therā minister Warasi (?), and the second brother of the minister Abhaya gave
 to the Wadhachaitya and to the priesthood.'

In the name Amaryawa we have a combination of *ry* analogous to that of *ny* in the inscription at Kirinde, see Goldschmidt's *Report* (*Ind. Ant.* vol. VI, p. 321). *Wadhachetahata* and *bikasagata* are the oldest instances I met with of the Sinhalese dative composed from the genitive in *ha* = *syā* + *ata* = *arthāya*. *Sagata* already represents the more modern form, where the *h* of the genitive is dropped; *ja* is the intermediate form between the old *cha* and the modern *da*, *d* or *t*, which is now used in Sinhalese.

(2.) Binpokuna, five miles from Galgamuwa :—

Siddham. Datanaka ametaha

(2) chetahata do karila ka kubare rāja Daruka-chetaha wawiye cha nithala chadawawihī

(3) ka.

'Hail! Two *karishas* and four paddy fields [*shall be the property*] of the minister Dāṭhānāga, and the same number the property of the chaitya of king Dhāraka, at the Chandra tank.'

The character rendered by *ka* in the transcript line 2, 3, is the numeral which expresses 4 in old inscriptions, see Burnell's *South Indian Palaeography*, Pl. xxiii. The numeral 'do' for 'two' occurs also in the inscription at Habarane, line 4, 10, where however it was misunderstood by Goldschmidt. *Nithala* is most probably a mistake for '*nithila*' = *nittitha*.

(3.) Periyakaḍṇa vihāra, four miles from Dehelgomuwa on the road from Kurunegala to Dambula :—

Gamani Aba rajaha wa

(2) ha puwadara sawanaka wasa

(3)*ka [pa] rama tera Tusaha ka mahāwawī

(4) [para]jina tera Majiba ka gaṇaya Chaka (?) darika wehe

(5) rahi chetahata cha bikusagahata

(6) dine Chakadaraka wehera [hi] dino.

'From king Gāmiṇi Abhaya [*an order*]: In the year Puwadara Sawanaka the four great tanks of the chief therā Tusa and

the 4 *gaṇas* of the chief therā Majiba are given to the chaitya in the Chakadharaka vihāra, and to the congregation of the priests. To the Chakadharaka vihāra they are given.'

For *puwadara* we find *puwagasa* at Habarane and *puridasa* at Ingiminitiya; the meaning of it is doubtful. *Sawanaka* is evidently the contrary of *awanaka* in the Habarane inscription. *Tusa* occurs also in the name of the village Thusavattika, *Mah. I.*, 243. *Majjhima* was the name of one of the theras who accompanied Mahinda to Ceylon (*Mah. I.*, 71, 74). It also occurs in an inscription at Pidaruwagala near Sigiri, which runs thus :—

Kolagāmasāwapa Majimayasa jitaya Tisāde-wiya lene sagasa.

'The cave of Tisādevi, daughter of Majjhima, son of Kolagāmasāwa, is given to the priesthood.'

The name of the king in this inscription Gāmiṇi Abhaya may either point to Gajabāhu Gāmiṇi (116–119 A.D.) or to Meghawanna Abhaya (302–320 A.D.), but to judge from the form of the character it is more probable that it belongs to the latter of the two.

(4.) Kottarakimbiyāwa, four miles from Hiripitiya, not far from the road to Anurādhapura :—

Payati theraha lene savayitha. . . . sadhamasa

(2) sagahathaya Wesawasikagamawawī melenahi nawanikate.

'This was called the cave of the therā Payati. The tank of the village Wesawasika at this cave has been restored for the priesthood of the true religion.'

The language of this inscription resembles very closely that of the Aśoka inscriptions, although I do not believe that it can be so old. We find here the aspirates *th* in *thera*, *savayitha*, *sagahathaya*, and *dh* in *sadhama*, where other inscriptions have the unaspirated *t*, *d*. As far as I know, only Meghawanna Abhaya's inscription at Mihintale (see my *Report*) participates in the same peculiarity, as it writes *paṭhama*, *aṭhaya*, *sadhame*, etc. *Savayitha* is a form quite analogous to *kamvayitha* of the Yogi Mārā cave inscription (see Cunningham's *Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum*, vol. I, p. 105). It corresponds to Sanskrit *śrāvayishṭa*. *Nikate* is Sanskrit *nishkrīta*: in Pāli it would be *nikkhata*, but the word does not occur in the texts which are known at present. *Weswasika* may

be derived from *Wesawana*, but this demon is generally called *Wesamana*, as for instance in the Habarane inscription, line 5.

(5.) *Eriyâ wa tank*, four miles from *Mediyâwa* :—

Paru[ma]ya Hipaha puta kaha dine.
Ima wapi Dipigala wiharahi niyate sagasa.

'The son of the Brahman *Hipa* gave this to This tank is dedicated to the priesthood of the *Dipigala wihâra*.'

To judge from the form and especially from the size of the letters, this inscription must be about as old as that from *Tonigala* mentioned in my last report (*note*, p. 10). Unfortunately neither of the names in the inscription can be identified, and the construction of *Eriyâ wa tank* is not related in the *Mahâvaṃso*. A temple *Era-kavila*, though, is mentioned at *Mahâvaṃso*, p. 237, which may be identical with the still existing *Eriyâwa pansala*.

(6.) Of about the same date as the last mentioned is a cave inscription from *Dambulla wihâra* over the entrance of the temple :—

Dewanapiya mahârajasa Gâmiṇi Tisasa mahâlène agata anagata chatu disa sagasa dine.

'The great cave of the great king *Gâmiṇi Tisa*, beloved of the gods, is given to the priesthood of the four quarters present and absent.'

The title *Deranapiya* has been much discussed with regard to the edicts of *Rupnâth*, *Sahasrâm* and *Bairât*, which Dr. Bühler ascribes to *Aśoka* (*Rhys Davids' Ancient Coins and Measures of Ceylon*, p. 59). It occurs frequently in India,² but in the Ceylon books it is only given to the great *Tissa* (307—267 B. C.), who introduced the Buddhistical religion into the island. It is clear that our inscription cannot be ascribed to him; but we find the title also in two other inscriptions at *Gallena wihâra* and *Tonigala* in connexion with the name *Gâmiṇi Abhaya*. This *Gâmiṇi Abhaya* is said to be the son of *Tisa* on the *Tonigala* stone, and according to the *Gallena* inscription he again had a son of the name of *Tisa*. Now, if we look in the *Mahâvaṃso*, the only king of the name of *Gâmiṇi* whose father and son were called *Tissa*³ was *Wattagâmiṇi*, and I think that to him all three inscriptions belong. In my former report I ascribed the *Tonigala* inscription to *Dutthagâmiṇi*, whose father was *Kākā-*

waṇṇa Tissa, but as only a period of thirty-three years separates the two kings, it is likely that they used the same form of alphabet. There is also internal evidence for my statement, as *Wattagâmiṇi* is known to have been a great protector of the priests, and therefore may well have deserved the name *Devânampiya*. The *Mahâvaṃso* says concerning him—*Pititthāne thitattassa pitirdjāti abravum*.—'As he assumed the character of a father, they called him father king.'

There are other inscriptions of this kind, but not so well preserved, at *Ambogasawaewa* and *Diyabacta* between *Mediyawa* and *Yapahu*, at *Niyadawane* and *Welangolla* five miles from *Kepitiyawa*, at *Malasno* and *Nayindanawo* near *Mā-eliya*, at *Nīlagāma wihâra*, three miles from *Galuwela* on the road from *Kurunegala* to *Dambulla*, three at *Dambulla wihâra*, and one at *Hunapahu wihâra* near *Yatawatta*. An inscription belonging to the 7th century I found at *Demalamāna*, four miles from *Hiripitiya*, but the characters are so totally different from those used in the earlier as well as in the later centuries, that I have not yet been able to make it out.

We now proceed to the pillar inscriptions of the 10th and 11th centuries. They all resemble each other very closely, as not only the names of the kings are constantly the same, but also in the text the same words and sentences recur continually. Dr. Goldschmidt, in his *Report*, has given extracts of several of these Pillars, but the only one he published and translated in its whole extent was that from *Mahākālattāewa* (now in the Colombo Museum). I published two others in my *Report*, and after much useless effort succeeded in restoring three more, viz., those from *Mayilagastota* (now in the Colombo Museum), from *Inginimitiya* and one from *Polumnarawa* (see Goldschmidt's *Report, Ind. Ant.* vol. VI, p. 324). I give the texts and translations here :—

I.—*Mayilagastota*.

A.	B. •
(1) <i>Siri nat apa da</i>	(1) <i>yan no</i>
(2) <i>. . . jalatala ma wū da</i>	(2) <i>wadnā i</i>
(3) <i>n uturat waena</i>	(3) <i>sā gam</i>
(4) <i>n kacta kula pae mili</i>	(4) <i>gon rada</i>
(5) <i>kaḷa O kāwa s</i>	(5) <i>hara bili</i>
(6) <i>parapuren baṭ</i>	(6) <i>bun gael</i>
(7) <i>rad purumuwanaṭ</i>	(7) <i>miwun wac</i>

² It was applied to *Aśoka*, *Dāsuratha*, *Tiḥya* and others (see *Indian Antiquary*, vol. VI, p. 149).

³ He adopted *Mahachulika Tissa*, the son of his elder brother *Khullaka Nāga*.

A.	B.
(8) ag mehesu[n].	(8) rīyan no
(9) wā Lak diw polo-	(9) gannā -
(10) yon parapuren	(10) isā mangi
(11) himi siṭi Gon	(11) wa piyagi
(12) biso raedna kus-	(12) wa no wad
(13) hi upan Abhā Sa-	(13) nā isā da
(14) lamewan maharadhu	(14) wacs me hi
(15) urchi dā kaeta	(15) miyā mahā
(16) kula kot wiyat	(16) himin ae
(17) dham niyae gat	(17) tuḷa tū siṭa
(18) aēpā Mihindāhu	(18) sanac so da
(19) wasin karaṇḍ na	(19) yae tu . . .
(20) wam utumhi mahana	(20) no nasnā
(21) m uwanisi	(21) isā me kana
(22) siribara mahawe-	(22) yo ne para
(23) her nakāhi	(23) dawā rada
(24) rad parapur wasnu	(24) kol samdaru
(25) wawas tamā kacraē	(25) wan wisin
(26) Uḍā Tisa piriwe	(26) bisamwat
(27) n sāsasi has	(27) no raknā i
(28) pamae yan ba	(28) sā nat
(29) ma dayas nakā	(29) isā
(30) wae	(30) wan
(31) ta sa ha	(31) aēpa Mi-
(32) wan dunu	(32) hin dāhu...
(33) [maḍulu] melāṭ	
(34) [siri] rad kol [kae] mi	

'The aēpā Mahinda, who was born in the womb of the anointed queen Gon, chief queen to his Majesty the king, descended from the unbroken line of the Ikshwāku family reigning on Lankā's ground by hereditary succession—the son of king Abhā Salamewan—the pinnacle of the Kshatriya caste, the sage who has comprehended the doctrine—having made the necessary repairs at the Mahā-vihāra, caused priests to be ordained for the nikāyas at the Uḍa Tisa monastery and ordered that the officers of the royal family shall not enter the place belonging to the priesthood; that enemies shall not take away the villages, the cattle, the royal taxes, the revenue, the cart buffaloes; that daily the priests, including the high priest, shall not destroy life; that the officers of the royal family together with the queens shall not protect (?) All this was ordered by the aēpā Mahinda.

II.—*Inginimīṭiya.*

A.
(1) Swasti.
(2) Siri Saṅga Bo

* Can this be the year Śrāvana of the Twelve year cycle? Conf. Ind. Ant. vol. VI, pp. 22, 25; vol. VII, p. 35; and

(3) ma	purmukā
(4) sawanaga	pu
(5) ridase	hima-
(6) ta	puradisa
(7) wak	dawas
(8) radol	ma
(9) hāpanan	
(10) wahanse	
(11) wadāleyi	
(12) para	śrīpāla
(13) parāparawon	
(14) me rata..	
(15) ... āwū siriti	isā
(17) wasara	tun
(18) ahnayā	maha-
(19) lekā	Arak
(20) sanapan	wa
(21) rac dāna kuḍa salā	wadāḷā
(23) ck	
(24) tacn	samiyo

B.	C.
(1) n rado-	(1) perana su
(2) l pero Demel	(2) sama me ga-
(3) kalae pere	(3) m no wad
(4) sirit ao	(4) nā koṭ i-
(5) tuḷa wao	(5) sū gael mi
(6) me tuwā-	(6) wun waeriyu-
(7) k denamo	(7) n gangen
(8) Giriwehe-	(8) no gannā
(9) rā Mihinden	(9) koṭ isā
(10)	(10) atañin
(11)	(11) nepannā.
(12) Hingini-	(12) koṭ isā
(13) piti saṅga ae	(13) gam himin
(14) tuḷa wae aep	(14) actulata wā
(15) gam bimaṭ	(15) Sirigala ta-
(16) atsāpi pae	(16) n paṇḍur
(17) rach aer dat	(17) no nas
(18) Sirigala ta*	(18) nā isā..
(19) n me gama-	(19) me
(20) ṭ de maṇḍala-	(20) we herhi
(21) n radol a-	(21) attāni
(22) wan mela-	(22) pacrahaer de
(23) ttina mang	(23) nu ladi.
(24) diw pedi	

'Hail! His Majesty Siri Sanga Bo, in the Sawanaka* (see above No. 3) year of his reign, on the 10th day in the bright half of the month Himata the great sage declared: According to the rule made by former kings in their hereditary succession in this kingdom in three days at this temple, called after the chief Secretary Arak, a great privilege has been granted:

Asiat. Res. vol. III, p. 217 ff; Burgess, Śūrya Siddhānta, xix, 17.—Ed. .

In one place the headmen shall come together and in the monastery, according to the rule formerly fixed by the Tamils, they shall divide it between themselves. All this we give to the Girivilhâra: so it was sanctioned by Mahinda including the villages and lands that belong to the priesthood of Hingipitiya, a privilege is granted. All the villages beginning from Sirigala and the villages of the headmen of the two mapdâlas, travellers and pilgrims shall not enter, the officers and noblemen shall not enter, enemies shall not take away their cart buffaloes, and they shall be made dependent upon themselves. In all the villages beginning from Sirigala the property shall not be destroyed Thus a privilege is given to this temple.

III.—Polonnaruwa.

A.

- (1) ma purmu-
- (2) ka tun
- (3) wanno
- (4) Nawayae pu-
- (5) ra dasa wa-
- (6) k dawas
- (7) wadâlen
- (8) â sene
- (9) wî rad ku-
- (10) ssâ (?) warae
- (11) tura sâ-
- (12) dâ yo nawâ
- (13) turâe sâl
- (14) isâ mahâ

B.

- (1) samana-
- (2) n warae ku-
- (3) dasalâ
- (4)
- (5) lan dena
- (6) mo ek
- (7) sewae Giri-
- (8) naê bi-
- (9) mae Wadurâ-
- (10) g bonâ
- (11) wange
- (12) Galutisaê
- (13) gamay de
- (14) kamtaen

C.

- (1) dara no
- (2) wadnâ i-
- (3) sâ
- (4)
- (5) dan no
- (6) wadnâ i-
- (7) sâ guel
- (8) gon wac-
- (9) riyân bi-
- (10) li mut sâ-
- (11) l no ga-
- (12) nnâ isâ
- (13) mang diw
- (14) [pe diw]

D.

- (1) no wa-
- (2) dnâ isâ
- (3) wadâlen
- (4) sri rad ko-
- (5) l samada
- (6) ruwamo
- (7) Wadurag
- (8) bonâwa
- (9) nge Ga-
- (10) lutisaê ga-
- (11) may mo a-
- (12) ttâni
- (13) pârachac
- (14) dunamaha

thô king, in the third year of his reign, on the 10th day in the bright half of Nawaya, ordered: In the monastery called after the general of king we give it: and

thus in the land of Girinâ, belonging to the minister Wadurag at the village Galutisa, two karmasthânas that the officers of the royal family shall not enter, that enemies shall not take away the cart buffaloes, that nothing but raw rice shall be given [*as taxes*], that travellers and pilgrims shall not enter: Thus we, the royal family, order: we give a privilege to Galutisa, the village of the minister Wadurag.

Another inscription of Siri Sañg Bo [Kassapo V.] is at Mihintale, not on a pillar but on seven broken slabs lying on the ground. Although a part of it is effaced, the sense can be made out with tolerable certainty.

Mihintale Inscription.—Upper Portion.

(1) Śrī Siri Sañg Bo ma purmukâ doloswanne Hihilae awagun poho dawas satar raṭae wel kaemi [ya]n weherat [w]e[li] [we]he[ra]t wel kaemiya [d]i[ya]e yutu ran sat kaṇḍak

(2) isâ me raṭae me âleyakhu diyae yutu ran kaṇḍak isâ sangwaeli upaeni kaemiya diyae yutu ran de kaṇḍak isâ kaḷ kaṇḍak isâ me raṭae me piri[wa]ha[ma]ku diyae yutu ran pas

(3) kaṇḍak isâ me raṭae me ran ladu kaebili piriwahannâ ran tun kaṇḍak isâ me raṭae me balannaku diyae yutu ran de kaṇḍak isâ me raṭae isâ yan hae diyae darae wel kaemi[ya] diyae yutu ran dasa kaṇḍa-

(4) k isâ me raṭae me piriwahannâku diyae yutu ran de kaṇḍak isâ me raṭae me balannaku diyae yutu ran kaṇḍak isâ me raṭae me âleyaku di- [ya]e yutu diya darae urfîla ael terae we[li] kaemi[ya] diyae yutu ran pasalo-

(5) s kaṇḍak isâ me raṭae me âleyaku diyae yutu ran de kaṇḍak isâ me raṭae me ariki leya- [ku] diyae yutu ran de kaṇḍak isâ me raṭae me kaṇḍak isâ mo diae[li] [we]l kaemiya diyae

Lower Portion.

(1) yutu ran pas kaṇḍak [isâ me] raṭae me diyae yutu [ra]n kaṇḍak isâ me ael rae pas haemae arikileya[ku] diyae yutu [ran] pas kaṇḍak isâ kaebili piriwahannâ diyae yutu ran tun kaṇḍak isâ [me] ael de kaebili detun diyae yutu ran de ka-

(2) kaṇḍak isâ me ael y yaku diyae yutu ra[n] kaṇḍak isâ mohi lî pamañin unu no karanu isâ me lî tāk ran hawuru-dupatâ wadâ pāra keremin si isâ wanun agin gannâ isâ keremin si pi [ya] keremin heneae wadâran ra-

(3) *n kenekanat wæpāra isa de kaḷandak [ma]ngul wæ go sang wæ go ael luhu nama p[e]re apā se pasnat isā deṭ wādī wehera kaemiya daruwan sanga luhannā mangulaṭ diyæ yutu ran de kaḷandak kaḥāy de paelak sāl isā mehi*

(4) *wæ pāra karaṇa tāk donahat weherat pili-[ma] deka isā de konokun kaḷandak kaolao pawanu isā.*

Hail! His Majesty Siri Saṅg Bo, in the 12th year of his reign, on the dark poya day of Iḥihila, ordered: the workmen in the four kingdoms for the viḥāras it is right for the viḥāras to give to the workmen; seven *kaḷandas* of gold shall be given to the chief writer, one *kaḷanda* of gold shall be given to a workman born on the ground of the priesthood, two *kaḷandas* of gold to *kaḷandas* in this kingdom to the sweeper, five *kaḷandas* of gold in this kingdom to the man who having received this gold divides the shares, three *kaḷandas* of gold in this kingdom to the superintendent, two *kaḷandas* of gold in this country shall be given to the workmen for water and fuel, ten *kaḷandas* of gold in this country shall be given to the sweeper, two *kaḷandas* of gold in this country shall be given to the superintendent, one *kaḷanda* of gold in this kingdom shall be given to the chief writer shall be given to labourers for water and fuel, on sluices, [?] channels and banks of a river, fifteen *kaḷandas* of gold in this country shall be given to the accountant of this; two *kaḷandas* of gold in this country shall be given to the chief writer of this; two *kaḷandas* of gold in this country (shall be given) to the of this *kaḷandas* [of gold] shall be given to the labourers on channels and banks, five *kaḷandas* of gold in this country shall be given to two *kaḷandas* of gold shall be given to the on the bank of this channel five *kaḷandas* of gold shall be given to him who [divides] the shares; three *kaḷandas* of gold to the overseers on the two banks of the channel: two *kaḷandas* of gold shall be given to on the bank of this channel, one *kaḷanda* of gold to him who only yearly for making a road to the tank, shall the workmen of the viḥāra and their children give

to the distributor of the priesthood, two *kaḷandas* and two *puelas* of rice to the accountant, and for two statues to the temple

It is difficult to identify the names in the inscriptions of Inginiṭṭiya and Polonnaruwa. The former bears the name of a chief Secretary *Arak*, a name which occurs several times in the *Mahāvamsa* under the form *Rakkho*, *Rakkhako* or *Rakkhaso*. At *Mahāvamsa* lii, 31, we find a chief *Rakkho* who built a viḥāra at Sawārakagāma under the reign of Kassapo V., at *Mahāvamsa* l, 84, one *Rakkhaso* is mentioned under the reign of Sena Silāmegha; at *Mahāvamsa* liii, 11, one *Rakkhako* under Dapulo V. builds the Ilangaāwāsa. The name Siri Saṅg Bo points to Kassapo V., who always bears this title, but it is by no means impossible that some other king may have had it besides him. The title *mahāleka* or *mahale* or *mahālennan* seems to have been very frequent at the time of Kassapo and his successors. In the inscription of Mahākalattawā, mention is made of a *mahale* of King Dapula called *Arak*, like the one in our inscription, and besides of a *mahālennan* Sena, most probably the same who built the Mahālekhapabbata viḥāra according to *Mahāvamsa* lii, 33. Also, Mahinda I. is said to have built a Mahālekhapariwena at Abhayagiri, *Mahāvamsa* xlviii, 135; and at *Mahāvamsa* lxi, 12, we find the names of a *lankāmahālāno* *Sikhānāyaka* and of a *jayamahālāno* *Seṭṭhināyaka*, where of course *mahālāno* is only a mechanical translation into Pāli of the Sinhalese *mahālennan*. There is also the name Mahinda legible, though not very clear on the stone, but this is most probably not a king of this name, but one of the two *epās* who governed Rohana under Udaya III. and Kassapo V.

On the Polonnaruwa inscription the name of the king is broken off. It was ascribed by Goldschmidt to Wajiro, the minister of Silāmegha, *Mahāvamsa* l, 84; but the form of the name Wadurag agrees better with Wajiraggo, the general of Kassapo V. mentioned *Mahāvamsa* li, 105, 118, 126, and with Widuragga (translated back into Pāli from the Sinhalese), the general of Udaya III., *Mahāvamsa* liii, 46. There is also mentioned another Wajiro, minister of Dapulo III., *Mahāvamsa* xlix, 80, who built the Kachchhavāla-ārāma, but he may be identical with the general of Silā-

megha. The name of the village Galutisa does not give us any help, as it is not known from elsewhere. However, I feel inclined to ascribe this pillar as well as that at Inginiñitiya to Kassapo V., as we have several other inscriptions of his in which the terminology is almost exactly the same as in the two in question. Especially the end—*Galutisa gamayme attāni paeraehaer dinamaha*—is almost to the word the same as in the Mahākulattaewa inscription in Goldschmidt's *Report*. (*Ind. Ant.* vol. VI, p. 323).

The inscription of Mayilagastota belongs to Mahindo III. when he was *repā* in Rohana during the reign of Sena III., and it is related at *Mahāvamsa* liv, 5, that this king repaired the shrine of the tooth relic, and that he explained the *suttanta* at the great brazen palace surrounded by the priests of the three *nikāyas*, i. e. of the Abhayagiri, Jetavana and Mahāvihāra fraternities.

The inscription at Mihintale, I also ascribed to Kassapo V., although there is no

other proof for this but the name of the king Siri Sañg Bo. The contents of the inscription are very much like those of the long inscription of Mahindo III. on the two tablets at Mahintale, but the form of the character is somewhat older, so that it suits very well the time of Kassapo V. An explanation of all the words occurring in the inscriptions seems out of place here.

Inscriptions of the 12th and 13th centuries are in great number at Polonnaruwa belonging to the kings Parākrama Bāhu, Niśśanka Malla and Sāhasamalla. Some of them have been published by Mr. T. W. Rhys Davids in the *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*; of others extracts have been given by Dr. Goldschmidt, as they are too long to be published in their whole extent. At Kantalai also I found a stone seat of Niśśanka Malla, the contents of which are almost exactly the same as those of the stone seats of Kiriwehāra and Jetawanārāma at Polonnaruwa.

Colombo, 10th November, 1879.

CHINGHIZ KHAN AND HIS ANCESTORS.

BY HENRY H. HOWORTH, F.S.A.

(Continued from p. 247.)

IV.

Bartan Baatur, the grandfather of Chinghiz Khân, was styled Ebuken, a soubriquet applied by the Mongols to the grandfather or second ancestor of their chiefs.¹ It would seem that he did not actually reign, and either died before his brother Kutlugh, or was killed by the Tajut as one legend reports. Nor was he in fact entitled to reign, since his elder brother Ukin Berkhakh left descendants. His wife, according to Rashidu'd-dîn, was called Sunigel Fujin, Fujin being a Chinese title for 'princess.' She belonged to the Bargut tribe, Ssanung Setzen calls her Sain Maral Khayak. Sain Maral means the 'Good Hind.' By her Bartan had four sons—Mangetu Kian, the Ming-ko-tu-ha-yan of the *Yuan-shi*, Nikun Taishi, called Chi-hwan-ta-shi in the *Yuan-shi*, and Bukan Taishi by Abu'lghâzi, Yessugei Baatur, and Daritai Uchtgen called Ta-li-ghan in the *Yuan-shi*. This is the order in which the names occur in the several authorities. Although all four had sons, Yessugei

became the representative of the family, and succeeded Kutlugh not only as chief of the Mongols but as Khâkan or Emperor, and we find him acting as Kutlugh's heir and supplying the funeral meats after his supposed death as we have related above, and this although Kutlugh left two sons, named Juchi Khân and Altan Khân. Yessugei was obeyed apparently by all the race, including the rival tribe of the Tajut. Of the latter we are told that on the death of Anbakhai a discussion arose about the succession. His sons and relations collected the chiefs of the tribe who met together to elect a successor. The discussion was prolonged, as none of them wished to have the position. They first approached Tuda Anbakhai's grandson, and the senior prince of the house, and asked him whom he thought worthy of the position. He suggested Terkutai Khiriltuk, who was also a grandson of Anbakhai, but he in turn suggested Metu-Gun-Sajan, who also declined saying—"How can I undertake to decide what should be done in such a weighty business. I feel

like a sparrow, who either keeps hopping round a snare until he falls into it, or flies suddenly to the crest of a tree to escape falling into the trap. I as a Karaju² know the limits of my words, but I have not at command words befitting a king. The Karajus are like stallions who have been brought up on the milk of two mares, and have become satiated and stout. If you will assemble a council, and will agree together, then I will surrender my wishes to all, (*i. e.* accept the chieftainship.) If, however, you disagree, and feud and dissension arises in your *uluses* I shall still be satisfied." In this wise he said many things, and began to weep and left the meeting. "Eventually," Rashid says, "according to the annals they apparently elected Terkutat Khiriltuk."³

Let us now return again to Yessugei Khâkhan, who as Chinghiz Khân's father and the first ancestor was styled Echigné.* Yessugei is derived from the Mongol word *yissun* or *yessu*, meaning 'nine,' a number deemed fortunate and almost sacred among the Asiatic nomades. Bantur or *Baghatur* is a well known soubriquet in Mongol, meaning 'brave' or 'heroic.'⁵ It is the original of the title of *Behadur*. The *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* reports that once when Yessugei was hawking on the river Onon,—(the *Altan Topchi* and Ssanang Setzen say he was following the tracks of a hare in the snow,)—he saw a man of the race Merki⁶ named Yeke Jiladu, who was carrying off a maiden whom he had captured from the tribe Olkhon.⁷ Having noticed that she was a beauty, he immediately galloped home, and soon returned with his brothers Nikun Taishi and Daritai Uchugen. Yeke Jiladu seeing them thus return, sped quickly over a ridge and defile, and joined his wife. She bade him haste away, as it was clear they would do him harm. "If you preserve your life," she said naively, "you will get another wife like me, and if you think of me, call your other wife by my name." She thereupon removed her nother garment, and gave it to him as a memorial of herself. Her husband spurred his horse accordingly, and fled along the Onon. Yessugei and his brothers pursued him hotly over seven ridges, but could not over-

take him. They thereupon returned again, and escorted the wife of the fugitive, Yessugei acting as postilion, Nikun Taishi riding in front, and Daritai near the traces. The woman wept and cried out—"Oh my husband, the wind never scattered the hairs on your head, nor has your stomach been pinched with hunger."⁸ Now you have fled, what troubles will you not have to bear?" Her cries disturbed the waters of the Onon and the woods in the valley. Daritai rebuked her, saying "Your husband has fled over many a hill and many a river, he will not turn his head backwards. Seek his tracks, you will not find them, cease your crying." So they took her home with them, and gave her to Yessugei as his wife. This Saga is also told in the *Altan Topchi*, which, however, calls the Merki Jiladu, the Taijut Jeled, while Ssanang Setzen calls him a Tartar. The *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* calls Yessugei's wife Khoilun—the Yulun of the *Yuan-shi*.⁹ Rashid-u'd-dîn styles her Ugez Fujin and also Ulun Ergeh,¹⁰ both of which are probably titles rather than names. The *Altan Topchi* and Ssanang Setzen call her Ogelen Eke, which according to Schmidt means 'the mother of clouds' or the 'cloud mother.'¹¹ Yessugei continued the struggle which his uncle had with the Tartars, and in one fight took prisoners Temujin Uge and Khoribukhoa, the Temujin Ergeh and Kur Buka of Rashid-u'd-dîn. About this time Yessugei's wife Khoilun, gave birth to a son on the banks of the Onon, at Deligun Buldak, to which we have already referred, and where the chief camp of the Mongols was situated, and as a memento of the capture of the Tartar chief, they called the child Temujin. He is better known as the famous Chinghiz Khân. The *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* tells us that in his closed-fist when born there was found a hard clot of blood—no bad presage of his future career. I have already mentioned that the ruling race among the Tartars was most probably of Turkish descent. This is confirmed by the name Temujin, or Temuchin, which was borrowed from their chieftain, for the great World-conqueror. In the vocabulary attached to the *Yuan-shi* we read that the name means the best iron, whence we judge that it is a derivative of *timur*, which

¹ *i. e.* subject.
Erdmann, *op. cit.* p. 561.

Abu'l-g'azi, p. 73.

D'Ohrson, *op. cit.* vol. I, p. 35 note. •

Vide infra.

⁷ *i. e.* the section of the Koungurut, Olkhon.

⁸ Meaning she had taken good care of him.

⁹ Douglas, p. 9.

¹⁰ Erdmann, p. 253.

¹¹ Ssanang Setzen, p. 375, note 11.

in Turkish means 'iron,' whence again the word Timurji, 'a smith.'¹² This Turkish word has apparently been adopted in Mongol, for Schmidt says that in that tongue Temurchi or Temurchin means 'a smith.'¹³ It was probably from this name that the Saga was derived which is reported by such various authorities as the Greek historian Pachymeres, the Arab Novairi, the Armenian Haithon, and the Franciscan friar Rubruquis, that Chinghiz Khân had formerly been a smith. This Saga apparently still survives in Mongolia, for we are told by M. Tiinkofski that on Mt. Darkhan is still preserved the anvil of Chinghiz Khân, which is made of a particular metal called Buryu, which has the properties of iron and copper, being at once hard and flexible.¹⁴ Chinghiz Khân forged iron at its foot, and on one of its southern heights there is an *obo* of stone set up by the Mongols, who go there annually to commemorate the memory of Chinghiz Khân.¹⁵ There is also a mountain on the island of Olkhon, in the midst of Lake Baikal, on which is fixed a tripod, and on this an iron kettle. This also is traditionally connected with Chinghiz Khân. We must now consider the date of the birth of the Mongol chief. According to Rashidu'd-dîn he died on the 4th of Ramazan 624, *i.e.*, the 18th of August 1227.¹⁶ This agrees with the Chinese authorities. Rashid and the Persian authors generally state that he was then 72 years old, which would put his birth in 1155 A.D. The Chinese authors, and notably the *Yuan-shi*, and also the *Altun Topchi* and Ssanang Setzen agree that he was but 66 years old, which would bring his birth to 1162. The *Altun Topchi* says distinctly he was born in the year of the serpent, *i.e.* 1161, and Ssanang Setzen in that of the horse, *i.e.* 1162. The latter is doubtless the correct date, and it is not improbable, as has been suggested by Von Hammer, that the mistake of the Persians had a certain method in it. It being calculated so that the great enemy of Islâm should be born, ascend the throne, and die in a year which in the Mongol cycle was marked by the unclean beast, the swine. Rashidu'd-dîn expressly says of Chinghiz that he was both born and died in a swine's year. It is certainly

curious that the years 1155, 1203, and 1227 should each of them correspond to a swine's year in the cycle.¹⁷ Yessugei apparently won for himself an important position among the nomade frontagers of China. Not only did he receive the allegiance of the various Mongol tribes and defeat the Tartars, but his assistance was also sought by the chief of the Kirais, a Turkish race descended, as I believe, from the Uighurs, who occupied the central and western parts of the steppes of the Gobi, and about whom I shall have more to say presently. We are told by Rashidu'd-dîn that the chief of the Tartars, who lived about Lake Buyur, having captured the ruler of the Kirais named Merghuz Bnyuruk Khân¹⁸ sent him as a prisoner to the Kin Emperor, who put him to death by nailing him on a wooden ass. His widow, Khutukti Haryeji (meaning bright and lively), took a characteristic revenge. She sent word to the Tartar chief that she wished to give him a feast. He accepted the invitation, when she sent him 10 oxen, 100 sheep, and 100 sacks of kumis. The last of these however, instead of containing drink, concealed a body of armed men, who cut their way out during the feast and killed the Tartar chief.

Merghuz left two sons, Kurjakhus Buyuruk, (called Khurja-khosh-bilu, in the *Yuan-shi*, as translated by Hyacinthe, and Khurjakhu Sebutiru Khân in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*), and secondly Gurkhân, equivalent to Khakhân or Great Khân. The sons of Kurjakhus were Tughrul, Ergeh Kara, Tatimur Taishi, Buka Timur, and Ilka Sengun (Ilka is a proper name, and Sengun means 'born in the purple'). He was also called Jagembo Keraiti. Jagembo is probably the Tibetan Dsanbo, which enters into the composition of many Tibetan regal names, quoted by Ssanang Setzen as Degum Dsanbo, Dingthi Dsanbo, Mathi Dsanbo, Muui Dsanbo, Muruk Dsanbo.¹⁹ The whole name probably means the powerful Kirai prince, and was doubtless given him by the Tibetans who on one occasion made him prisoner.²⁰ Tughrul is the name the oldest son bears in Rashidu'd-dîn's pages; and in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*, where the name is written Tu-n-ril: in the *Yuan-shi* he is called To-li. He

¹² D'Ohsson, vol. I., p. 36, note.

¹³ Ssanang Setzen, p. 376.

¹⁴ Tiinkofski, vol. I., p. 173.

¹⁵ D'Ohsson, *op. cit.* p. 37, note.

¹⁶ Erdmann, *op. cit.* pp. 443 and 574.

¹⁷ Von Hammer's *Golden Horde*, pp. 56 and 92.

¹⁸ Merghuz was his name; Buyuruk is a Turkish title and means Emperor. D'Ohsson, *op. cit.* vol. I., p. 50, note.

¹⁹ Quatremere, *Hist. des Mongols de la Perse*, p. 91, note 8. ²⁰ Erdmann, *op. cit.* p. 233, note 6.

was afterwards given the Chinese title of Wang, and was known as Wang Khân. He was away from home on his father's death, whereupon his brothers Tatimur and Baka Timur seized the throne. He speedily returned, however, killed them both, and seized the succession.²¹ According to the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*, when Tughrul killed his brothers, his uncle, the Gurkhân, attacked him, followed him into the mountain Karaun, called Khalagun in the *Yuan-shi*, and Hala-væn by De Mailla. Tughrul had but 100 men with him, and repaired to Yessugei, who having conquered the Tartars, was now the dominant chief in those parts. The latter attacked the Gurkhân, and drove him into the district of Hashin. (This is a Mongol corruption of Hosi, *i.e.*, the district "West of the River," and so called because it lay west of the principal bend of the Yellow River, and was otherwise known as Si-Sia or Western Sia and Tangut. Palladius says that in the *Si-Sia-shu-shi*, this flight of Gurkhân is dated in 1171.) He thereupon reinstated Tughrul, and the two allies swore the close friendship called *anda*. Erdmann and others, including also the old western chroniclers of the first invasions of Europe by the Mongols, have minimized too much the extent of the power and influence of Yessugei. The former would have us believe that his subjects only equalled in number the inhabitants of Saxe Weimar Eisenach, and gauges accordingly his power and authority. The latter, apparently overwhelmed by the suddenness of the apparition, exaggerated the unimportance of its beginning. Thus it was with Rubruquis, who speaks of the Moals (or Mongols) as a very poor people, without a leader, and as being very subordinate to the Kirais, whom he calls Crit; while he speaks of Chinghiz himself as "a certain blacksmith."

But this is great exaggeration. Yessugei was undoubtedly the most powerful chieftain among the Nomades who bordered upon China. He was obeyed by all the Mongols, by the Kunglir or Kongurut, and other Turkish tribes about Lake Buyur, had defeated the Tartars; and, as we have seen, was also the patron of the ruler of the Kirais, who then held the central Gobi.

We will now turn to the concluding scenes in his life.

The *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* tells us that when Temujin was nine years old, his father set out with him to get him a wife among the boy's relatives on his mother's side, the Olkhonut. He went to a place situated between the mountains of Jeksar and Jikhurgu (the former, no doubt, the Jajarnla of the *Yuan-shi* referred to by D'Ohsson as Checher-ondur.²² Erdmann gives the name as Jagachar²³). There he met a man named Dai Setzen, of the tribe of Khunghir (*i.e.* of the Kongurut), the son of Bosikhur. Rashidu'd-din calls him Dai Noyan.²⁴ When Yessugei met him he accosted him, asking him whither he was bound. He replied he was on his way to the Olkhonut to find his son a wife. Dai Setzen then remarked that Temujin had bright eyes and a clear face. He added that the night before he had dreamt, that a white hawk, holding in its claws the sun and moon, flew down and settled on his hand, and added that Yessugei had opportunely arrived as the interpreter of the dream. "Surely," he said, "it foretells good luck to you, Kian.²⁵ Our house of Kunglir never had disputes with others about land and people. We had beautiful daughters, whom we gave to your Royal house, and you made them princesses." He then quoted a Chinese proverb that people expect rank and wealth in a husband, but beauty in a wife. He then told him he had a daughter at home, who was a beauty, and whom he would show him. She was ten years old, a year older than Temujin, and was named Burtâ, which is the same word apparently as the first part of the name Burtetchino. That night they passed with Dai Setzen. The next day Yessugei began to negotiate for the hand of the girl, and her father, again quoting a Chinese proverb to himself, judged it would add to his importance if he prolonged the negotiations. He asked that Temujin might stay with him awhile. To this Yessugei consented, and having presented his host with one of his best horses, he went homeward. The Saga is told, no doubt, from the same source both in the *Altan Topchi* and Ssanang Setzen, the former of which preserves some further details. According to the former author, when Yessugei met him, Dai Setzen was watering his horses at the river Tsorgo. He says the white hawk was the blazon or symbol of the Borjigs.

²¹ Erdmann, pp. 234 and 235. ²² *Op. cit.* vol. I, p. 82.

²³ *Op. cit.* p. 278, and note 101.

²⁴ Erdmann, *op. cit.* p. 199.

²⁵ *i. e.* the Kiat of Ssanang Setzen, *vide supra*.

or Imperial Mongol house, and in apostrophizing the future greatness of his daughter, he makes Dai Setzen say "we must make the beautiful maiden the Empress of the whole nation when we have placed her in the one-horsed cart drawn by a black camel; we must make the beautiful maiden who has ascended the chariot with a whitehaired camel in the shafts the Governor of a strong nation."

Reverting to the main authority, the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*, we read that as Yessugei went homewards, and when he reached the wood Jheksar, he came upon some Tartars, who were feasting. Feeling hungry and thirsty he joined them. Unfortunately they recognised him, and in revenge for what he had done to their people, they mixed some poison with his food. He mounted his horse, and in three days reached his home, and feeling that the illness was a serious one, he summoned a descendant of the old man Jarakha Munlik, previously mentioned; he told him what his last wishes were, and bade him communicate them to his relatives. On the Khân's death

Munlik set off for the camp of Dai Setzen, and took Temujin home again. In the *Allan Topchi* the Khân's confidante is called Maikalikh,²⁶ while Ssanang Setzen calls him Menggulik of the Khongkhotan tribe.²⁷ The *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi* calls Burtô's mother Solan. When Khubilai Khân raised his ancestors to the honorary rank of Wangti, or emperor, he commenced the line with Yessugei, who was given the style of Le tsu. By his wife Khoilun he had four sons, Temujin, Juchi Khasar, i.e. Juchi, the Lion; Khajikin or Khajiun, and Temugn Uchugen, also called Utji Noyan, and a daughter Tumalun. He also had two other sons by another wife. These sons were respectively named Bekter and Belgutei. Their mother is called Ghoakhchin in the *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*. Ssanang Setzen makes each of the latter have a separate mother, and calls them respectively Goa Abaghai and Daghashi. He adds that Daghashi followed her husband to the grave, and that the six boys were brought up by Ogelen Eke.²⁸

(To be continued.)

BOMBAY BEGGARS AND CRIERS

BY K. RAGHUNATHJI.

(Continued from p. 250.)

NĀGĀS.

The Nāgās, as their name implies, go naked. Having eradicated the sense of shame they give free indulgence to all the vices which it might have helped them to cover, and are unquestionably the most worthless and profligate members of their respective religions. They are either Śaivas or Vaishnavas, and the hatred they bear towards one another has often led to sanguinary conflicts, in one of which at Haridwar eighteen thousand of the Vaishnava Nāgas were left dead on the field.¹ In 1778 Goddard was attacked by a band of Śaiva Nāgas. They are sometimes to be found seated on the verandas of temples and edges of tanks where they are sumptuously fed.

AGHORIS.

Aghoris propitiate Śiva by horrible and revolting austerities, and once offered human victims. Hence they assumed a corresponding appearance, and carried about for a wand and water pot, a staff set with bones and the upper half of a human skull. This worship has long been sup-

pressed, but traces of it still exist among those who go about extorting alms. They drink wine and eat carrion and ordure, and hence the practice among Hindus of not returning from the burning ground till the corpse is wholly burnt, and keeping a watch on the burning of little children. The Aghoris smear their body with ordure, and carry it about with them in a wooden cup or skull, either to swallow it, if by so doing they can gain a few pice, or to throw it on the persons or into the houses of those who refuse to comply with their demands. They also inflict gashes on their limbs, that the crime of blood may rest on those who deny them charity, and by this and similar devices work upon the timid and credulous Hindus.² These beggars are rare, but when they do come, they generally beg at noon, and visit houses the doors of which they find open; they frighten women, and walk away with clothes they see hanging on pegs.

LINGAYAT BEGGARS.

These are Śaivas, they wear a *linga* on some part of their dress or person. Their priests are

²⁶ *Op. cit.*, p. 125.

Op. cit., p. 65.

²⁸ *Op. cit.*, pp. 63 and 65.

¹ Beveridge's *History of India*, vol. II, p. 69.

² *Ibid.*, vol. II, p. 76.

Jangams. They celebrate a festival in honour of the dead, but do not mourn or perform funeral rites. Their women also wear a *linga*, and apply ashes to their foreheads. They deem their food polluted if seen by a stranger. They blow a shell and beg, singing in praise of Śiva. The Jangam dresses in ochre-coloured clothes. On his shoulder rests a conch shell, and in his hands he carries metal cups. He begs singing hymns, and when paid blows the shell for a few minutes. The Jangams also carry a bell in their hands, which begins to strike when the beggar turns a short stick round its edge. He holds the bell in a slanting position. He is satisfied with a handful of rice.

GOPICHANDAS.

Gopichandas carry fiddles and sing in praise of Gopichand. They dress in ochre-coloured clothes, and sing both in Hindustāni and Marāṭhi.

CHITRĀKĀTHIS.

Chitrākāthis are Hindus, Marāṭhās by caste. They carry with them a few coloured plates or pictures of their gods, rolled up and slung on their backs. The companion carries a drum, and goes about beating it now and then, and enquiring if people would like to hear of the exploits of the gods. If consent is given, the Chitrākāthi opens his book, and shows to the spectators each plate, sings and preaches. This beggar frequents only the Śādra quarters, as no high caste Hindu would think of hearing a sermon preached by a Śādra.

VAIDUS.

The Vaidu is both a beggar and a hawker. He dresses in ochre-coloured clothes, and carries a bambu provided either with one or two bags of like coloured cloth, containing medicinal roots, herbs, hides, porcupine quills, tigers' claws, bears' hair, and deadly poisons. They pretend to heal any and every disease, from simple cough to severe maladies, giving some article from their bag as a sure cure for the malady. These are jungle people inhabiting forests and hills. They generally go once a year to the principal stations, and after disposing of their goods disappear.

BLIND BEGGARS.

These are both Hindus and Musalmans, men and women. The former frequent Hindu localities, especially on Mondays and holidays, in gangs of two, four and six. With their hands

on each other's shoulders, they are led by one or more guides who receive the alms. Some of them take musical instruments with them on which they play and sing. In localities occupied by Pārsis, they do not use their instruments, and the Marāṭhi singing is exchanged for Gujarāṭi and Hindustāni. The beggars stop at every house, and will not move until they either receive alms or are driven away. The money they collect is divided equally amongst them at the end of their performances for the day. If however they are not successful, they break their gangs, and such as have their wives or children with them take a different route from their other partners, and others by the help of their sticks steer on by the side of the road. Some of these beggars have bells attached to their legs, and they jump and dance for the amusement of those from whom they exact charity. Others, again, beat their stomachs with their hands, and cry out in a peculiar way so as to excite the compassion of those who are looking at them. Some of these beggars are placed by the sides of much-frequented thoroughfares by their guides, but not before furnishing them with pieces of bread, betelnut, and leaves and tobacco and a match-box. Some go about leading a cow behind them, and asking Hindus and Pārsis to give them a trifle to buy grass for the *gāi*, which is held to be sacred. Some go about in the evening pretending to be blind, with either a stick in their hand, or led by others, whilst others go about asking for firewood only, and which they afterwards convert into money. Among all the beggars excepting the shawl-wearing Śāstribāva and a few others, the blind beggars are the best off.

ĀRĀDHIS.

Ārādhis are a class of beggars who cover the upper portion of their bodies with sea shells (*kardis*), all strung together, and go about with a thick torch well soaked in oil, and lighted. This they do in honour of the goddess Ambābāi. They wear a long coat all besmeared with oil, and on their heads they wear a cap covered over with *kardis*. The Ārādhis are sometimes accompanied by a man who carries a musical instrument (*samel*) and another called *tuntune*. These are all men. They sing both in praise of the goddess, and obscene songs, *lāvis*, for the entertainment of the people who wish to hear them.

TEJRĀJĀS.

The Tej rājā is a worshipper of the goddess Hīnglāj. He is accompanied by one or more servants when moving about. He wears a piece of cloth round his waist and another round his head, put on a long robe which hangs from his shoulders down to his feet. He pretends to be a fortune-teller, and tells events which have happened or will happen. He also pretends to tell of the number of children one already has and how many more his wife will yet have, together with their sexes. He tells what a person wants and what he should do to obtain it. As soon as he approaches the house of a Hindu, his servant orders some oil to be brought and poured upon his master, and when this is done, the business of foretelling commences. Having got a few pice he, the king, goes to another place. This king, the oily rājā, is so copiously smeared with oil that it keeps dropping as he goes along. Hindus think that the pouring of oil is pleasing to the king and his mistress—the goddess Hīnglāj. He is not to be met with daily, but whenever he does appear there are those who prostrate themselves before him and worship him.

WĀSUDEVAS OR DHUKOTS.

The Wāsu deva wears a long hat or crown on his head adorned with peacock's feathers. He also wears a long coat and clothes which hang from his waist, arms and shoulders. In one hand he has two metal cups, and in the other wooden *chiptyās*, and tied to the string round his neck is a wooden whistle; he wears trousers and a long coat, having ample folds, and to his feet are attached brass bells and jingling rings. He is a noisy beggar, dances round and round, and whilst so doing tells the lookers on what a man's duties are as regards the giving of charity. He says:—"Alms were given by Rājā Karṇa; alms were given by Dharmarāja; alms were given by the god Rāma; by Gopikābāi; Chāṅgdeva; Dāmājipant; Puṇḍalika; Janābāi;" &c. &c. and names some dozens of other Hindu gods, kings, and saints. It is indeed a pleasing sight to see these beggars, when two, four or more pairs dance together, striking their instruments against each other's with precision and regularity. These beggars mostly frequent the houses of Marāṭhās, by whom they are much liked. They are also known by the name of Dhukots. (To be continued).

FOLKLORE IN THE PANJAB.

NOTES COLLECTED BY MRS. F. A. STEEL, WITH ANNOTATIONS BY LIEUT. R. C. TEMPLE, B.S.C., F.R.G.S., M.R.A.S., &c.

(Continued from p. 210.)

No. 4.—FOLK-TALE.

The King of the Crocodiles.¹

A common story among all Panjāb women:—

Once upon a time a farmer² went out to look at his fields along the side of the river, and behold! all his young green wheat was trodden down by the crocodiles which were lying about in the crops like great logs of wood.³ He was very angry and bid them go away, but they refused.

Now every day when he went down to the riverside to look at his young wheat, he found the crocodiles lying in the fields. At last he got very angry and threw stones at them. Then when they all rushed at him he was frightened, and begged them not to hurt him.

¹ بادشاہ گھریال Bādshāh Ghariāl—a common story.—R. C. T.

² زمیندار Zamindār—properly in the Panjāb a peasant proprietor; in common parlance any agriculturist or cultivator.—R. C. T.

³ It is a common idea in the Panjāb that crocodiles go

"We will not hurt you, or your fields if you will promise to give your daughter in marriage to us," said the crocodiles.

The farmer in a great fright promised he would do so, and the crocodiles disappeared into the river. But when he told his wife what he had done, she was very much vexed, for their daughter was beautiful as the moon, and her betrothal to a rich house had already taken place. So she persuaded her husband not to think anything more about his promise. But when the time of the wedding came, the bridegroom died. However, the farmer's daughter was so beautiful she soon had another asking,⁴ but this time her suitor fell sick of a lingering illness. So

into riverside fields, but I do not know that there is any real foundation for it.—R. C. T.

⁴ مانگنی Mangani—Panj. Betrothal or offer of marriage; ordinary Hindi expression is मांगनी Saṅgī. In the Panjāb *Mangani* is the universal name, *Saṅgī* is restricted to the *Banīsh* castes, who are principally there *Pūrbias* or North-West Provinces men.—R. C. T.

it was whenever she was sought in betrothal, till the farmer's wife acknowledged that the crocodiles were determined her daughter should fulfil the promise made to them.

By her advice, the farmer went down to the river to try and persuade the crocodiles to release him from his promise. They would hear no excuses, but threatened him with fearful punishments if he did not at once fulfil it. He went home sorrowful but determined not to yield.

The very next day his daughter broke her leg. Then his wife cried: "These demons⁵ of crocodiles will kill us all: better let us give up our dear daughter."

So the farmer went to the river bank, and told the crocodiles they might send the bridal procession⁶ as soon as they chose. The very next day a number of female crocodiles arrived with *mahindī*,⁷ etc. for the *sanchit*⁸; they brought beautiful clothes and behaved with the utmost politeness. But the beautiful bride wept, and wailed "Are you marrying me to the river?" she said, "I shall be drowned."

Soon after the bridal procession arrived, and such a *barāt* never was seen. In the middle sat the King of the Crocodiles covered with jewels. Some crocodiles played instruments of music, some danced, some carried on their heads baskets full of food, sweets, garments and jewels.

At the sight of these magnificent things the bride's heart was comforted, but when they put her into the *dold*⁹ to carry her away, she wept bitterly. When they arrived at the river they took her out of the *dold*, and dragged her into the river. She screamed fearfully, but behold, no sooner had they touched the water, than the stream divided, and the whole party disappeared down a path which seemed

to lead to the bottom of the river. The girl's father returned home very much astonished at what he had seen.

Some months passed by: the mother wept because she had no news of her daughter, and said "She is drowned, I know she is, and your story about the stream dividing is not true."

Now when the King of the Crocodiles was leaving with his bride he gave a brick to her father, saying "If ever you want to see your daughter, go to the river, throw this brick as far as you can into the current, and you will see what you will see." So the farmer said to his wife, "I will go and find out for myself if my daughter be alive or dead." So he went to the river, whirled the stone round his head, and threw it far into the stream. Immediately the water rolled back, and there was a dry path leading down to the bottom. It was bordered by flowers and looked so inviting, that the farmer never hesitated, but hastened along it. By and by he came to a magnificent palace, with golden roof, and shining diamond walls with gardens and trees all round them, and a sentinel was pacing up and down before the door.

"Whose palace is this, sentry?" asked the farmer. "The King of the Crocodiles," answered the sentry. Then the farmer was overjoyed. "My daughter is surely here," thought he, "and what a splendid house she has got: I only wish her bridegroom were half as handsome." Then he said to the sentry, "My daughter married the King of the Crocodiles. Is she within? I want to see her." The sentry laughed; "A likely story indeed! What! my master married your daughter! Ha! Ha! Ha!"

Now the queen was sitting inside by the open window. She was as happy as the day was long, with her handsome husband, for you must

⁵ جن *Jinn*—Arabic, a spirit, a genius—now in common use by Hindus and Muhammadans in the Panjāb.—R. C. T.

⁶ برات *Barāt*—Hindi—a marriage procession,—used in the Panjāb among the Banials. Panj. expression is جنج *Janj*.—R. C. T.

⁷ مہندی *Mahindī*,—*Lawsonia alba*, used for staining the hands and feet; henna.—R. C. T.

⁸ سچیت *Sanchat*, Panj. the ceremony of staining with henna.—There are two kinds آچی *Ajji*, open ceremony, گچی *Gujji*, stolen or secret ceremony. *Sanchit* is *sam* and *chit* from Sansk. root चि *chi*, an assembly.

The custom is to send a body of friends from the bridegroom's house to the bride's with henna, etc.—R. C. T.

⁹ دولہ *dold* is a large palanquin, used for bridal processions; a smaller and better known kind is the دولی *doli* in common use. This is the *Dhooly* of the English. It should be remarked here that among all classes in the Panjāb the distinction between the Musalmān and Hindu religions is not broadly marked in matter of ceremony; and, especially among the Jat Zamindārs, the marriage ceremonies now observed by Musalmāns and Hindus are almost identical and mostly of Hindu origin. This is to be observed in all their tales introducing marriages. Hindus also frequently have Musalmān wives, who remain such all their lives without social degradation. The custom of Musalmān kings taking Hindu wives is of course historical; witness Akbar.—R. C. T.

know he only took the form of a crocodile when he went on shore. In his river kingdom he was a handsome young prince. So the young queen was so happy she had never once thought of her home; but now she heard a voice speaking to the sentry, and said: "It is my father's voice." She went to the window, and looked out, and lo! there was her father standing in his poor clothes in the splendid court. She longed to run and meet him, but she dared not, for her husband had bidden her never to go out of, or let any one into, the palace without his permission. So she cried—"Oh my dear father, only wait till the King of Crocodiles returns, and I will let you in."

The farmer didn't wonder his daughter was afraid of her terrible husband, so he waited patiently. Very soon a crowd of horsemen trooped into the court, and in the midst of them, the handsomest young prince you ever set eyes upon, dressed from head to foot in golden armour. They all wore armour, only while he wore gold, the rest wore silver. Then the

farmer fell before the prince, and said:—"Cherish me, oh King, for I am a poor man, whose daughter was carried off by the terrible King of the Crocodiles." Then the prince smiled, and said: "I am the King of the Crocodiles, and your daughter is a good obedient wife." Then there were great rejoicings, and the farmer after a few days' feasting begged that he might take his daughter home, to convince her mother that she was well and happy. But the Crocodile King said, "Not so; if you like I will give you a house and land here, and you can dwell with us."

So the farmer said he would ask his wife, and returned home, taking with him several bricks to throw into the water and make the stream divide. Next time he travelled to the Crocodile Kingdom, he brought his wife with him, and by degrees they became so fond of the beautiful river country, that at last they went to live there altogether with their son and daughter, the King and Queen of the Crocodiles.

(To be continued.)

M. SENART ON THE INSCRIPTIONS OF PIYADASI.

From the French.

M. Émile Senart has published, in the *Journal Asiatique*, a very careful Étude on the Inscriptions of Piyadasi or Aśoka¹ which deserves the attention of all Indian scholars: we give an outline translation of parts of it:—

He first notices the discovery of the various inscriptions and the progress made in their interpretation from the days of Prinsep till the present, when the publication of General Cunningham's *Corpus Inscriptionum* has supplied to scholars new copies of these inscriptions. These copies, however, he does not regard as of final authority, seeing there are several passages as presented in them in which the text still resists translation, and others in which the earlier copies have an advantage over them of which the grammar and the sense leave no doubt. We find examples not only in the variants of Burgess's facsimiles for Girnâr,² but even in the comparison of the facsimile of Wilson for the Kapur-di-giri version. Even now, as Burnouf said thirty years ago, "no one can flatter himself that he has attained to the complete under-

standing of these difficult monuments." But much progress has been made which may well justify new attempts; and more than ever may we join with Burnouf in adding that "there is no one who may not flatter himself that he may help towards the interpretation of these precious witnesses to the home and foreign history, religious and linguistic of ancient India."

To group and condense the results attained up to the present, especially by exact and methodic commentators, by Burnouf, Kern, and Bühler; occasionally to correct them; to attempt the analysis of parts which they have not translated; to extend to all the parallel versions, where there are several, the examination hitherto restricted to one or two of them; to prepare in this way, and present in a complete form the conclusions which, from the grammatical and historical aspects, are promised by documents so authentic, and their relation to other literary monuments:—such are the various features which invite a new study.

He reviews in succession the different groups

¹ J. As. VIIIème Sér. tom. XV, pp. 247-247, 479-509; referred to *ante*, p. 232.

² *Archæol. Surf. of West. Ind.* 1374-75, pl. X, and 1

of inscriptions: the *Fourteen Edicts* of Gīrnār, Kapurdi-giri, Khālsi, Dhanli, Jaugada, to which the *Detached Edicts* of Dhanli and Jaugada form a natural pendant; the *Pillar Edicts* at Dehli, Allahābād, Māthia and Rādhia; the *Detached Rock Edicts* at Bhābra, Sahasrām, Rupa-nāth and Bairat. The commentary will be followed by a grammatical study and some historical remarks; an index of the words contained in the inscriptions will close the paper.

In all our texts, M. Senart points out,³ there appear examples, too numerous to be regarded as mistakes, of the equivalence of the long vowel and the vowel nasalized. It may suffice to give some examples from the first of the xiv edicts:—

I. Kh. l. 2: *dosā* for *dosam*.—K. l. 1: *hidam-loke* (at Khālsi *hidā*); *nam-nā* for *na*, like *chā* for *cha*; l. 3: *paṇam* for *paṇā-prāṇāni*.—Dh. l. 4: *tiṇni* for *tiṇi=trīṇi*; *paṇchā* for *pāchā*, an equivalent form of *pachchhā* for *paśchāt*.—J. l. 4: *tiṇni=trīṇi*.

II. Dh. *amū* for *āni=yāni*.—K. l. 3: *savatam* for *savatā=saravatra*.

III. Kh. l. 7: *nikhamātu* for *nikhamamtu*; l. 8: *cham* for *chā=cha*.

IV. G. l. 1: *atikātam* for *atikāntam=atikrāntam*; l. 6: *avihiśā* for *avikāśā*.—Kh. l. 9: *bābhana* for *baṁbhana=brāhmaṇa*; l. 12: *tiḥāto* for *tiḥanto*.—Dh. l. 12 and 15: *bābhana* for *baṁbhana*; l. 17: *tiḥāta* for *tiḥanto*.—K. l. 8: *dharmamūṣṇthaya* representing *anūsāthi* for *anūsasti*; l. 9: *esam* for *esā*.

V. G. l. 3: *atikātam*, as above; l. 4: *dhāma* for *dhamma=dharma*; l. 5: *āparātā* for *āparāntā*.—K. l. 13: *paṭividdhamāye=pratividdhānyā*; *savatam* for *savatā=saravatra*.—Dh. l. 22, Kh. l. 15, and K. l. 13, we have *baṁdhanāmbadhāsa* for *baṁdhanābadhāsa=baṁdhana+ābadhāsa* or *baṁdhanā+badhāsa* with the lengthening of the final *a* in composition so frequent here.

VI. G. l. 1: *atikātam*.—Dh. l. 31 and J. l. 4, we read *animatāliyam* and *animantāliyam* for *ānamatāliyam*, *ānamantāliyam*.—Dh. l. 32: *animāniyam* for *ānamāniyam*; l. 33: *palatam* for *palatā=paratra*; l. 33: *palakamātu* = *parākramamtu*.—J. l. 5: *kanimatalā* corresponding to *kanimatarām* of the other versions.—Kh. l. 17: *uyanāsi* for *uyanāsi=udyāsi*; l. 20: *animāniyam* and *palatam* as at Dhanli. —K. l. 15: *savatam*; l. 16: *namtaro* for the usual *nātaro=napitāra*.

VII. G. l. 3: *nichā* for *nicham*.—Dh. l. 1: *sāyamam* = *saṁyamam*.

It is needless to extend this enumeration: these are enough to justify, without special proof, the equivalence of *am* and *ā*, &c., wherever the grammar or the sense require it. It is not necessary to dwell here on the grammatical interest of this fact. It is to be compared with certain well known phenomena of the Prākṛit: the instrumental *enam*, for example, of the Jains, in this light, is only a particular instance of a fact quite common in the cognate dialects—the indifference of the final vowel. The same thing explains those examples in which it was thought that the sign of the *anuvāra* might serve equally in the Aśoka alphabet to mark the redoubling of the following consonant; *kiṁti* ought not to be read *kitti* but rather *kiṁti*; only this form is equivalent to *kīti*, which itself, following the constant law of Prākṛit phonetics, is equivalent to *kitti=kīrti*.

We have just had *palatam* for *paratra*; we find also (K. vi. 16) the reading *parata*, and we have no right to deny the possibility of it; in a certain number of words *am* and *u* are interchanged and consequently equivalent. Here are the principal instances:—

K. i, 1: *saṁsamata* which can only be explained as = *susamata*.—J. iv, 16: *dasayitu* is for *daṁsayitu* = *darśayitrā*.—Kh. v, 14, Dh. v, 23 and J. v, 24: *supaddāye* = *saṁpradāyēt*.—K. v, 13, without insisting on *ayo* = *ayan*, but *anuvaretutu* (or *anvaretutu* according to Wilson's facsimile) represents *anuvartantutu*.—Kh. vi, 19, *mutchi* is to be explained as representing *mantraiḥ*.—K. viii, 17: we have *nikhamisham* which can only be = *nikhamishu*, as in l. 22: *hūsam* = *hūsu* for *abhūsu*; in the same l. 17 is also found: *subodhi* for *saṁbodhi*.—K. ix, 9: *suṇama* for *saṁyama*.—K. x, 21: *dharmasamśusha* = *dharmasūśushā*.—K. x, 22: *daṁkara* corresponding to *dukale* of Khālsi. —Kh. xi, 30: we read *kaṇ* for *ku*, that is to say *khu* = *khalu*.—G. xii, 7, has *susamserā* which is the 3rd per. plur. of the optative for *sususeram*.—Kh. xiv, 17, has *sukhītena*, corresponding to *saṁkhiṭena* of the other versions, i. e. *saṁkhiptena*.

This fact is important for the interpretation of many details: it is sufficiently established even if we admit that part of the instances given might arise from a material confusion.

between *am* and *u*, so easy in the alphabet of the north-west. It would be still further confirmed if the presence of a *u* were not always subject to some doubt in the Kapurdigiri version, by the future *kusati* (K. v, 1) = *kamsati* for *kassati* for *kar[i]shyati*.^{*}

We know that at Kapurdigiri the long *ā* is not ordinarily written or distinguished from a short, any more than *ī* or *ū* long from their corresponding short sounds. We now see, however, that it is sometimes indirectly expressed by an equivalent—the nasal. This leads us to recognize in the same inscription another indication, equally accidental, and different from the first, though perhaps graphically derived from it. The foot of the line, less or more vertical, which enters into the forms of most of the letters very often bears a short turn towards the left, affecting the form of the *u* in some cases where there is no question of admitting that vowel.[†] I do not think there is ground for attaching any significance to this mark; it is easy to see in it the natural movement of the chisel in a writing read towards the left and in a character so cursive. The more remarkable are the converse examples, where the additional mark turns to the right and affects the form of the *r* group, only that the presence of an *r* is quite unjustifiable: we see by the following list that in most of these cases the reading *ṛ* is, on the other hand, perfectly natural. We thus find:—

1st face, l. 6: *dharmamuśāthiye* (*anuśāstī*), *susrushā*; l. 7: *yutāni*, *chā* (= *cha*); l. 9: *nālaro* (see above); l. 12: *ganūhāranam*; l. 13: *danasayutā* (*dānasamuktāh*); *viyopatā* (*vyāpītāh*); l. 14: *rāya*, *tāya*; l. 15: *santiranāya*; l. 17: *jāva* (= *yāvat*); l. 23: *dharmadāna*; l. 24: *vatāvo* for *vataavo* = *vaktavya*.

2nd face, l. 1: *vijitā* corresponding to *vijitā* at Kh., *ṣatā* corresponding to *ṣatā* of Kh.; l. 2: *tāta* for *tātā* of Kh. l. 4: *vihitātesha* = *vihitārthesha*; l. 5: *samvihitānam*; *etāsha* for *etāsam* = *eteshām*; *sāhaya* by mistake for *sāhāya*[‡]; l. 8: *bhatānam*, that is *bhātānām*; l. 9: *turāmāye*, the transcription of the name of Ptolemy.

With these examples, only subject to the previous remarks, are connected:—

^{*} On *chu* compare the next note.

[†] There are also other cases where a positive decision is impossible; especially in the form *chu*, the equivalent of *cha* (probably through the intermediation of *chah* = *chā* = *cha*) the carelessness of the engraver at Kapur-di-giri does not permit us to decide whether we ought to read *chu* or *cha* in many instances. But in every case the legitimacy of the word *chu* is assured (notwithstanding the opinion

I. l. 1: *ayā*, i. e. *ayam*; l. 19: *śramaṇa-bramaṇand*, for *ṣamāṇ*[§]; l. 20: *anātā* = *anantam*; l. 21: *tadātāsi*, locative for *tadātamsi* (= *tadāṭve*).

II. l. 1: *kalikhā* in face of *kalikham* at Kh.: l. 10: *julhā* which I take as = *[ni]rodham*.

Another remains doubtful from the incertitude and the obscurity of the surrounding characters, and of the whole passage: *hanatāpe* (?) xiii. l. 7.

Only a few examples are found opposed to the transcription here proposed: *nāṣopokani*, i. l. 5, where it is necessary, following all analogy, to replace *naropakani*; *bāhu* for *bahu* ii. l. 1, and *garāmātātara* for *garumatatarah*, l. 7. As for *anantariyena*, i. l. 15, which it is necessary to read *anantariyāna*, the whole sign for *ya* is so badly formed and the two facsimiles differ too much in appearance to allow any serious objection to it. Twice (ii. l. 5 and 6) we find *npāghata* in place of *npaghāto*, but besides having here an accidental inversion, in the second case Kh. has also *npāghāta*. From the preceding we are authorized to regard the sign in question as a sporadic notation for *ā* long. It is however distinguished in the transcriptions by using *ā* for it.

In the legends of the coins a dot or stroke, used below or a little to the left of certain letters, has been regarded[¶] as a sign of *ā*, but this M. Senart considers a mistake. A somewhat analogous fact he finds in the Kapur-di-giri inscription. Certain words of the xiiith and xivth edicts have a line slanting up to the left below the *m*: these are,—l. 8; *sayama*, corresponding to *sayama* of Khālsi; l. 9 and 10; in *nama* after the proper names *antiyoko*, *turāmāye*, *antikini*, *maka*, and *alikaśadaro*; then in *dharmā* in composition in lines 10 (twice), 11, and 12, finally l. 13 in *māhalake*. None of these indicate any character different from the usual *ma*. The horizontal strokes at one or both edges of the sign *υ* which stands for *m* similarly have no significance (p. 310).

The sign *ϣ* has hitherto been always read *sti*: it ought to be read *thi*, which answers the requirements in every case (p. 311).

Elsewhere M. Senart has remarked on the sign

of M. Kern, *Over de Jaartelling der zuidelijke Budhisten*, pp. 32–33) by its so frequent use in the inscriptions in Indian characters.

[§] Von Sallet's *Nachfolger Alexanders des Grossen in Bactrien*, pp. 104, 108, 109, 111, 113, 114, 116, 120, 131, 135, 153, 154, 156, 174.

[¶] Notice sur le Ier volume du *Corpus Inscript. Ind.* in *J. As.* VII, 1^{re} Ser. t. XIII, p. 522 ff.

found in the Girnar inscriptions, and which has usually been transcribed as a simple variant of *p*. Collating all the instances where the facsimiles present the sign with sufficient clearness, and without insisting on the altogether special value of the photographic reproduction⁸ given by Mr. Burgess, they prove, what the form itself indicates, that the character really represents the compound *pr*, the *p* being completed by the wavy line for *r* carried upwards. The corrected analysis of the *pr* group throws light on others formed similarly by the curvation of the vertical line; these are *vr*, *sr*, *tr*. In xiv, 2, the copy (*Corp. Insc.*) has *pra* a mistaken alteration from *sra*; the word is *sarvata*, i.e. *sarvatra*, the *r* is attached to the *s*, exactly as the alphabet of the N. West uses *dhra* for *dhar*, *dhrama* for *dharma*, *dra* for *dar* (*priyadarsisa*), *sra* for *sr* in *sravatra*. In both the alphabets of Kapur-di-giri and of Girnar all interpreters seem to have overlooked in these two last as in other cases, the presence of the *r*, marked nevertheless usually, by a horizontal stroke below and to the right of the consonant which it accompanies. By a license less singular we find the compound *vra* expressed by a sign which on the analogy of the preceding we should transcribe *vra*, in *sarvata*, ii, 1; 4, and also ii, 6, 7 at least very probably; in *sarva* vi, 9; 11. There remain to be noted the traces of a more curious *r* group. In ii, 8, is distinctly read *vrachhā*, corresponding to the Sanskrit *vrīkṣa* (Dhauli: *lukhāni*); here *r* represents the vowel *ri*, and in reality we ought to read *vrīchhā*. This suggests that we should read also in v, 4 and 6, *pra* (for *pri*) in *yāprītā*, written in the following line *vyāputā*: perhaps, however, we should retain simply the reading *vyāputā*.⁹

"A new and careful revision of Mr. Burgess's facsimiles, our authority most worthy of confidence, enables me," says M. Senart,¹⁰ "to complete the proof of the above. One or two instances, which appeared to imply a serious mistake of the engraver's, disappear; many others appear to confirm my proof, and even a new group *kra* is twice employed in *parākramāmi* and *parākramena*. Here is a complete table of the groups:—

kra, vi, 11, 14.

tra, ii, 4, 7; vi, 4, 5; ix, 2; xiv, 5.

trā, iv, 8 (thrice); vi, 12, 13; xiii, 1.

tre, ix, 6, 7.

pra, i, 3; iv, 2 (twice), 6, 8; vi, 13; viii, 4; ix, 2, 4; xi, 2; xiii, 1, 4 (twice).

prā, i, 9, 10, 12; ii, 1; iii, 2, 5; iv, 1, 6; xiii, 4.

pri, i, 1, 2, 5 (twice), 7 (twice), 8 (twice); ii, 1, 4 (twice); iv, 2 (twice), 5 (twice), 7, 8 (thrice), 12 (twice); v, 1; viii, 2 (twice), 5; ix, 1 (twice), x, 1, 3; xi, 1; xiv, 1 (twice).

vra, ii, 1, 4, 6, 7, 8; iii, 2; v, 4; vi, 5; vii, 1; xiv, 2 (twice).

sra, iv, 2; xiii, 1.

srā, i, 9; vi, 6.

sri, v, 8.

sru, iv, 7 (twice); x, 2; xii, 7 (twice).

Another compound *ḷ* at Girnar, composed of *p* and *t*, was read by Wilson *tta*; Lassen¹¹ simply admits that *to* becomes *pt* in the Girnar dialect; and Burnouf,¹² on the analogy of other groups, read *tpi*. Kern¹³ transcribes it *pta*, but says its pronunciation is uncertain. It is found in:—i, 3: *ārabhitpā*; iv, 4: *danayitpa*; vi, 11: *hitpāya*; x, 1: *tutātpane*; x, 4: *parichajitpa*; xii, pass.: *ālpapāsāmda*; xiii, 8: *chatpāro*; xiv, 4: *alochetpā*. In short, this group appears in the termination of the absolutive where it is = *tā*, in the numeral *chatpāro* where it has the same value, as well as in the suffixes *tea* and *teana*; lastly in *āpa* it corresponds to *tm* in *ātma*. The form in ordinary Prākṛit to which it corresponds in all these examples, which alone explains its graphic formation, is *ppa*,—compare *appa*=*ātma*, the suffix *pāna*=*teana* in Śauraseni, the absolutes in *ppi*, *ppiṇṇa* of the Apabhraṃśa¹⁴ (p. 311-313). The letter *ḷ* then is a historical form and not simply representative; it is the result of a kind of compromise between actual pronunciation (probably *pp*) and the etymological form (*tv* and *tm*) (p. 314).

Next we have in the form *ḷ* composed of *s* and *t*—the dental *s* with the cerebral mute, and corresponding in turn to *st*, *sth*, *st* (*anusaṣṭi*), *sth* (*stīta*), and even *tlh* (*ustāna*) of the Sanskrit. Hemachandra (iv, 299) says that in Māgadhi—*tta* and *sth* ought to be written *st*; but he adds, in disaccord from the Girnar practice, that *stha* and *stha* are to be written *sta*. The presence of the dental *s* is explained by the poverty of the alphabet, in which one sign

⁸ *Archæol. Surv. West. Ind.* 1874-75 pl. X. and fig. and *Ind. Ant.* vol. 7, plates at pp. 257 to 275.

⁹ *J. As. tom.* X111, pp. 538, 539.

¹⁰ *Tom.* XV, p. 311 ff.

¹¹ *Ind. Alt.* II, 227, n. 4.

¹² *Lotus de la Bonne Loi*, p. 660.

¹³ *Jaartelling*, p. 46 and note.

¹⁴ *Lassen, Inst. L. Prākṛ.* pp. 463, 459.

stands for the sibilants in general. The real pronunciation of the group was doubtless *ṣh* or *ṣṭh*.

In the Khâlsi inscription, the sign 𑂔 ought, where the etymology requires it, to be regarded simply as another form of 𑂕 , and the form 𑂖 , which Cunningham regards as the *s* palatal¹⁵ is nothing else than a form parallel and simply equivalent to the 𑂗 (pp. 317-323).

I. *The Fourteen edicts and the Separate edicts of Dhauri.*

Of the five versions, Dhauri and Jaugada only include the first ten and the fourteenth, but they have in common two edicts,—the *Separate Edicts of Dhauri*. The text of Girnâr is by far the most correct; it is on the whole the best preserved, except for part from the Vth, and some very important and greatly-to-be-regretted losses from the XIIIth edicts; it is also that of which we have the most and best revisions, the only one indeed of which our knowledge may now be regarded as complete. It is therefore made the basis of the translations (pp. 327-330).

M. Senart produces the text of Girnâr in Pâli type with a transliteration from the fac-similes in the *Report of the Archaeological Survey of Western India*, vol. II., followed, first by transliterations side by side, of the Dhauri and Jaugada, and then of the Khâlsi and Kapur-di-giri versions,—the inexactitude of the transcriptions in the *Corpus Inscriptionum* rendering it necessary to give them anew.

On each version of each edict there is a full commentary, that on the Girnâr one coming first, and embracing all remarks that bear on the other versions in common with it, and those on the others dealing with the details more specially belonging to each.

We can afford space only for the Girnâr versions and the translations:—

1st Edict.¹⁶

(1) Iyam dhammalipî devânampriyena

(2) Priyadasinâ râñâ lekḥâpitâ[.] idha na kiñ-

¹⁵ *Corpus Ins.* vol. I, p. 13; conf. Bühler, *Ind. Ant.* vol. VI, p. 159, s. v. *ṣvañga*.

¹⁶ Prinsep, *J. A. S. Ben.* vol. VII, (1838), p. 249; Wilson, *J. R. As. Soc.* vol. XII, p. 157 ff.; Lassen, *Ind. Alt.* vol. II, p. 226, n. 1; also *Jour. Asiat.* VII. S. t. XIII, pp. 540-545.

¹⁷ Cunningham's fac-simile, plate v. (C) has ° *kanichi*.

¹⁸ According to Dh. J. and Kh. *prajñhitavyam* is an error for *prajñhitavyam*, fut. part. pass. of *prajñhâti*, 'which ought to be given up, sacrificed.' (p. 336).

¹⁹ Fac-simile C. *māje ka*.

²⁰ Fac-simile C. *ṣyasi pri*.

²¹ The stone is so injured here that the first syllable of this word is very doubtful. The phrase, separate in the

(3) chi¹⁷ jīvañ ārabhitpā prajñhitavyam¹⁸

(4) na cha samājo¹⁹ katavyo [.] bahukañ hi dosam

(5) samājamhi pasati devānampriyo Priyadasirājā [.]

(6) asti pi tu ekachā samājā sādhumatā devānam

(7) priyasa²⁰ Priyadasino râño purā mahānase jamā²¹

(8) devānampriyasa²² Priyadasino râño anudivasam²³ ba-

(9) hūni prāṇasatasahasrāni²⁴ ārabhisu sūpāthāya [.]

(10) se aja yadā ayam dhammalipi likhitā tī eva prā-

(11) ṇa ārabharo sūpāthāya dvo morā eko mago²⁵ so pi

(12) mago na dhuvo²⁶ [.] ete pi²⁷ tī prāṇā pachā na ārabhisaiñre [.]

Translation.

"This edict has been engraved by order of the king Piyadasi, beloved of the Dēvas (on Mount Khepiṅgala,—Dh. J.). It is disallowed here below to destroy [wilfully] any life in slaughter, and also to make convivial assemblies (*festins*?). For the king Piyadasi, beloved of the Dēvas, sees much evil in convivial assemblies (?). There have indeed been, approved [by him], more than one convivial assembly (?) formerly in the kitchens of the king Piyadasi, beloved of the Dēvas, when (*the word for 'when' occurs only in G.*), for the table of the king Piyadasi, beloved of the Dēvas, were slain daily hundreds of thousands of living creatures. But at the time when this edict is engraved, three animals only are slain for his table, two peacocks and a deer, and even the deer not regularly. These three animals even will no more be killed henceforth." (p. 347).

Second Edict.²⁸

(1) Sarvata vijitamhi devānampriyasa piyadasino²⁹ râño.

other versions, is here joined with the following by the conjunction *jamā* for *jāma* or *jāmā* = *yāvat* (Hemachandra, ed. Fischel, iv, 406), 'when' (p. 337).

²² Fac-simile C. *ṣipiya*.

²³ Fac-simile C. *ṣ nudāva*.

²⁴ Fac-simile C. *ṣpāṇa*.

²⁵ C. *ṣmato*.

²⁶ C. *ṣdhūvo*.

²⁷ C. *ṣpā*.

²⁸ This and the Third edict from M. Senart's second article in tom. XV, pp. 479-509. On the Second edict, see Prinsep, *v.* s. p. 158 ff.; Wilson, *v.* s. p. 163 ff.; Kern, *Jour. tell. d. suydel. Buddh.* p. 89, ff.

²⁹ C. *ṣvipritemhi de priya*.

(2) *evamapi*³⁰ *prāchañtesu*³¹ *yathā Chodā*
*Pādā Satiyaputo Ketalaputo ā*³² *Tamba-*

(3) *paññi Añtiyako Yonarājā yo vāpi tassa*
*Añtiyakassa sāmipañ*³³

(4) *rājāno sarvatra*³⁴ *devānañpriyasa Priya-*
dasino rāño dvo chikichha katā

(5) *manusachikichhā*³⁵ *cha pasuchikichhā*
cha [...] *osudhāni cha yāni manusopagāni cha*

(6) *pasopagāni*³⁶ *cha yata yata nāsti sarvatā*³⁷
hārāpitāni cha ropāpitāni cha [...]

(7) *mūlāni cha phalāni cha yata yatra nāsti*
*sarvata*³⁸ *hārāpitāni cha ropāpitāni cha* [...]

(8) *pañthesū kūpā cha khānāpitā vrachā cha*
ropāpitā paribhogāya pasumanusānañ [...]

Translation.

"Everywhere in the territory of the king Piyadasi, beloved of the Dēvas, and also of the peoples who are on his borders, such as the Chodas, the Pāṇdyas, the country of Satiyaputra, of Ketalaputra as far as (*K. and Kh. omit this word*) Tambapañni, [in the territory of] Antiochus, king of the Greeks, and also of the kings who are near to him (*K. Kh. : in Ariana*), everywhere the king Piyadasi, beloved of the Dēvas, has distributed remedies of two sorts, remedies for men, remedies for animals. Everywhere, where useful plants are wanting, whether for men, whether for animals, they have been imported and planted (*K. : everywhere they have been imported, and the same of trees*). Wherever there was a want of (*Kh. : all the*) roots or fruits, they have been imported and planted (*the phrase is wanting in K.*). And upon the roads (*upon the roads is wanting in K.*), wells have been dug (*Kh. : in the ground*) for the use of animals and of men" (p. 491).

Third Edict.³⁹

(1) *Devānañpiyo Piyadasi*⁴⁰ *rāja evaṃ āha* [...] *dvādasavāsābhisitena*⁴¹ *mayā idañ āñāpi-*
*tañ*⁴² [...]

(2) *Sarvata*⁴³ *vijite mama yutā*⁴⁴ *cha rājāko*
*cha prādesiko*⁴⁵ *cha pañchasa pañchasa vāsesu*
anusañ.

(3) *yānañ*⁴⁶ *niyāta etāye va athāya imāya*
dharmānusañsiya yathā añā-

(4) *ya pi*⁴⁷ *kammāya* [...] *sādhū*⁴⁸ *mātari cha*
*pitari cha sasūsa*⁴⁹ *mitāsamstutāññātināñ*⁵⁰
bamhaṇa

(5) *samañānañ sādhu dānañ prāñānañ sādhu*
*anāruñbho*⁵¹ *apavyayatā apabhindatā sād-*
hu [...] ⁵²

(6) *parisā pi yute*⁵³ *āñāpayisati gaṇanāyañ*
*hetuto cha vyañjanato*⁵⁴ *cha* [...]

Translation.

"Thus saith the king Piyadasi, beloved of the Dēvas: in the third year of my consecration, I have ordained as follows. That everywhere in my empire, the faithful, the Rājaka and the district governor repair every five years to the assembly [called *anusañyāna*] as to their other duties (*K. : besides their other duties*) in order there to make known the following religious precepts:—'It is good to manifest docility to one's father and mother, to friends, acquaintances and relations; it is good to give alms to Brāhmanas and Śramanas, good to respect the life of living beings, good to shun prodigality and violence of language.' It is for the clergy further to instruct the faithful in detail as to principles and in the terms"⁵⁵ (*dans le fond et dans les termes*) (p. 509). •

(To be continued.)

³⁰ E has been cut away by the lithographer in fac-simile B.

³¹ Fac-simile B. *°pracham.*

³² Fac-simile C. *°putā ta.*

³³ C. *°sāmipā rā.*

³⁴ C. *°rājāna savato.*

³⁵ C. *°sachāli.*

³⁶ Fac-simile C. *°sopāgā.*

³⁷ C. *°sava.*

³⁸ C. *°savāta hā.*

³⁹ Prinsep *Jour. A. S. Beng.* vol. VII., (1838), p. 250; Wilson, *J. R. A. S.* vol. XII., p. 170ff.; Burnouf, on the two last sentences, *Lotus*, pp. 721, 737ff.; Lassen, *Ind. Alt. Bd.* vol. II (1st ed.) p. 228, 229 notes.

⁴⁰ Fac-simile C. *°priyada° jā.*

⁴¹ Fac-simile C. *°āñāpi.*

⁴² Fac-simile C. *°naya i nāpi.*

⁴³ C. *°sava.*

⁴⁴ See Burnouf p. 738; *yuta* here and elsewhere means 'the faithful'; *prādesike* is applied to employes or rather local or provincial governors; *anusañyāna*, is a rendezvous or assembly (pp. 495-497.)

⁴⁵ Fac-simile C. *°prāde.*

⁴⁶ Fac-simile C. *°sāñyāna ni.*

⁴⁷ Fac-simile C. *°ñāya si ka.*

⁴⁸ Fac-simile C. *°sādhū mātari.*

⁴⁹ Fac-simile C. *°susrāsa.*

⁵⁰ Fac-simile C. *°samsstutāññāna bā.*

⁵¹ Fac-simile C. *°nāñbho.*

⁵² See Burnouf, *Lotus*, p. 721ff (p. 500).

⁵³ Fac-simile C. *°parāñpi yuta añāpa.*

⁵⁴ Conf. Oldenberg, *Mahāviggā*, 40, l. 24 (p. 502).

⁵⁵ This may be expressed with a slight paraphrase thus:—"To the clergy (*it falls*) then to teach in detail the basis (*of morality, its various rules*), and the form i.e. according to the formulae, and in the appointed order)."

MISCELLANEA.

DIVISION OF THE BUDDHIST SCRIPTURES.¹

I

Lordship Lodge, Wood Green, Aug. 12th, 1880.

In the *Sumaṅgala Vilāsinī* (the *atthakathā* or commentary on the *Dīgha Nikāya*, the long collection of the *sutta-piṭaka*) Buddhagosa (A. D. 450) mentions the division of the Buddhist scriptures into nine classes (*angāni*). This nine-fold classification was known at least a century earlier, and is alluded to in the *Dīpavaṁsa* (IV. 14, 15, ed. Oldenberg) as the division of the Theravāda—the sacred text as opposed to the *atthakathā*, it is mentioned, too, in the *Milindapañho* (p. 263).

Mr. Rhys Davids (*Buddhism*, p. 215) thinks that Buddhagosa is not very successful in his endeavours to bring all the *piṭaka* books under these sub-divisions. That, however, is a question that cannot be settled off-hand, but can only be solved when all the *piṭakas* have been thoroughly examined by competent scholars.

This classification was not unknown to the northern Buddhists. *Le Lotus de la bonne Loi* (tom. II. p. 48) speaks of “cette loi formée de neuf parties,” &c. Bournouf, commenting on this passage, says:—“Les neuf parties . . . sont d'après les Buddhistes de Ceylan, les neuf divisions dont se compose l'ensemble des écritures sacrées attribuées à Śākya.”

In the *Introduction à l'Histoire du Bouddhisme*, (2nd ed., pp. 45–60) Burnouf treats of this matter more at large, and shows that the northern Buddhists recognised a twelve-fold division, made up of the older nine *āṅgas* (*Sutta*, *Geyya*, *Veyyākaraṇa*, *Gāthā*, *Udāsa*, *Itivuttaka*, *Jātaka*, *Abbhuta*, *Vedalla*), to which they had added, at a later period, *Niddāna*, *Avadāna*, and *Upadesa*.

Of course these points are familiar to Pāli scholars, but it is not perhaps known that we have earlier authorities for this *āṅga*-division than those already mentioned. Curiously enough, the *Piṭakas* themselves refer to this classification! In the third section of the *Anguttara Nikāya* (a huge collection of 9,757 *suttas*) we find a chapter entitled *Puggala vagga*, in the first part of which men are compared to four kinds of clouds:—

1. Thunder-clouds and rainless.
2. Rain-clouds and thunderless.
3. Rainless and thunderless clouds.
4. Thunder and rain clouds.

Each of these four classes is treated separately, but it will suffice to say that the first kind represent “great talkers and little doers” (*Idha, bhikkhave, ekaccho puggalo bhāsitaṃ hoti no kattaṃ*).

The writer goes on to ask how a man is a thun-

derer and not a rainer, and his answer to the question contains a list of the sacred books which exactly corresponds to that given by Buddhagosa and the author of the *Dīpavaṁsa*.

The thunderer, or man of words, has learnt the *dhamma*, and can repeat glibly enough the contents of the nine parts of his holy books, but he is no doer, for he has not taken the first step in the eightfold noble path, and has, therefore, no right views as to the origin of sorrow, its extinction, or the steps leading to its extinction.

But not only does the *Sutta-piṭaka* recognise this classification, but also the *Abhidhamma-piṭaka*. On turning to the *mātikā* or contents of the *Puggala-paññatti*, one of the *Abhidhamma* or metaphysical books as yet inedited, we find the words “*chattāro valāhaka-upamaṃ Puggabhi*,” which bears a striking resemblance to the *chattāro puggalā* in the *Puggala vagga* of the *Anguttara*. On a further examination of the *chattāra puggalā* section of the *Puggala-paññatti*, we find the very words of the *Puggala vagga* with respect to the “cloud-resembling men,” together with the nine divisions of the sacred books.

It would be difficult, from any internal evidence, to say whether the *Puggala-paññatti* is based on the *Puggala vagga* or *vice versa*. The *Puggala-paññatti* is not, as Mr. Davids describes it, a book of “regulations for those who have entered the paths,” for it contains no regulations whatever, but is a declaration or designation of the different kinds of persons spoken of in the Buddhist scriptures (cf. *khanda-paññatti*, *dyātanā-paññatti*, p. 12 of Dr. Trenckner's excellent edition of the *Milindapañho*, just published; see also p. 27, l. 30).

The *chattāra puggalā* section of the *Puggala-paññatti* according to the *mātikā* begins thus:—*Asappuriso, asappurisenā asappurisarato, sappuriso, sappurisenā sappurisarato*.” These words occur as parts of a question in the *Anguttara Nikāya*, and they are also found in the third *vagga* of the second part of the *Samyutta Nikāya*:—“*Asappurisañcha vo . . . desissāmi asappurisenā asappurisaratañ cha*,” &c. Taking into account the fact that the *Puggala-paññatti* deals with the explanation of well known Buddhist terms, it must, I think, be considered as much later than the *Sutta-piṭaka*, and is, perhaps, the latest of the *Abhidhamma* books.

It must be evident too, that the *suttas* and treatises that contain a list of the Buddhist books must have found their way among the sacred writings after their order had been finally settled. Strictly speaking, they cannot claim a right to be considered as a part of the Buddhist scriptures.

The *Sutta-pitaka* books need a thorough examination; many of the longer *suttas* are, comparatively, of late origin. I have elsewhere pointed out the *Mahāparinibbāna* and *Mahāsattipadāna suttas*.

RICHARD MORRIS.

II.*

Oxford: Aug. 23rd, 1880.

The division of the sacred literature of the Southern and Northern Buddhists according to the *Angas*, on which Dr Morris has given some important information in the last number of the *Academy*, has always reminded me of a singular division applied by the Brāhmanas to their own sacred literature. That division may be found in my *History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature*, p. 40, and it is fully discussed by Sāyaṇa in the Introduction to his commentary on the *Rig-Veda*, vol. I, p. 23. One of the divisions, the *Gāthās*, is actually the same in Vedic and Buddhist literature; another, the Brahmanic *Itihāsa*, is very like the Buddhist *Itivuttaka*, *Ityukta* *Itivuttaka*. But, as Sāyaṇa has shown that these titles, such as *Brāhmaṇa Itihāsa Purāṇa*, &c., express subjects treated here and there in the *Mantras* and *Brāhmaṇas* rather than separate works or divisions of works, so in the Buddhist literature, too, these titles refer to subjects treated here and there in the *Tripitaka* rather than to separate books. Thus it is said by Buddhagosa that *Sutta*, for instance, comprehends *Itivuttaka*, but not *vice versa*; that *gāthās* may contain *geya*; while *geya*, again, is defined as a prose *sutta* mixed with *gāthā*, or verse.

This being the case, we need not be surprised to find this classification mentioned in the sacred canon itself to which it applies, as there can be no doubt that, like the Vedic literature, the sacred literature of the Buddhists also arose and was preserved for a long time by means of oral tradition, we can perfectly understand that allusions to the principal subjects treated in the *Mantras* and *Brāhmaṇas* should be found in these works themselves, and that even so elaborate a classification of the Dharma and Vinaya as that into nine or twelve *angas* should occur in the *Tripitaka* itself. Dr. Morris has rendered good service by pointing out the passages in the *Abhidhammapitaka* (*Puggala-paiṇāṭi*), and even in the *sutta-pitaka* (*anguttara-nikāya*), where the classification of the Pāli sacred books into nine *angas* occurs. We may in future consider it as older at all events than Buddhagosa and the *Dīpavanisa*. The classification under twelve categories, adopted by the Mahāyāna, may likewise be traced in one of the recognised books of that school, the *Guṇa-karaṇḍa-vyūha*, and need

not be looked upon as a late importation from the south. In a MS. of that work (MS. E. I. H. 22 E. p. 95, b) we find the following list:—(1) *Sūtra*; (2) *Geya*; (3) *Vyākaraṇa*; (4) *Gāthā*; (5) *Udāna*; (6) *Nidāna*; (7) *Avadāna*; (8) *Itivuttaka*; (9) *Gāthaka*; (10) *Vaipulya*; (11) *Adbhuta*; (12) *Upadeśa*.

The meaning of these twelve classes has been fully discussed by Burnouf in his *Introduction à l'Histoire du Bouddhisme*, pp. 51 et seqq. Whether this division was first started by the followers of the Hīnayāna and then adopted and amplified by the followers of the Mahāyāna is a question which I should like to see answered by more competent judges. Wassiljew's remarks on the subject (*Buddhismus*, p. 118, note) do not help us much, nor Vasubandha's commentary on the *Gāthāvaiṇagrha* (*Mél. As.* vol. VIII, p. 570). *Itivuttaka*, however, looks suspiciously like a false translation of *Itivuttaka*. The *Itivuttaka* refers in Pāli to 110 *Suttas*, beginning with an appeal to Buddha's words (*cuttām h'etam Bhagavatī*). In *Itivuttaka*, on the contrary, it seems as if the euphonic *v* of *Itivuttaka* had suggested the Sanskrit *Itivuttaka*.

When looking at Burnouf's *Introduction* for his opinion on the division of the Buddhist canon, my eye was arrested by some remarks of his on the absence of the name of Kṛishṇa, as a god known to the Buddhists, which have never been corrected. On the contrary, the remarks which he made "under all reserves" have been repeated without any reserve, and the conclusions which he based on them conditionally have been accepted unconditionally. Burnouf was quite right in saying that if the name of Kṛishṇa should really prove to be altogether absent from the early Buddhist books, while the names of other Brahmanic deities are frequently mentioned, it would follow either that the Buddhists had some reason for intentionally ignoring it, or that their books were anterior to the rise of the worship of Kṛishṇa as a god. M. Foucaux, in his translation of the *Rgya Tch'er Rol Pa*, p. 127, had pointed out one passage in which Kṛishṇa must be taken as the name of a god, but he added the somewhat puzzling remark:—"M.E. Burnouf, dans son *Introduction à l'Histoire du Bouddhisme*, prouve que le culte de Kṛishṇa était nouveau dans l'Inde quand le Bouddhisme commença." This is hardly the case. Kṛishṇa occurs in Buddhist literature as a name of the black demon (*Lal. Vist.* p. 435, l. 10, and elsewhere), but no one would think of identifying this old, and even Vedic, Asura Kṛishṇa, with Kṛishṇa, the god, as little as from the fact that Buddha had very dark hair (*susukālakeso*) we should look upon him as in any way connected

* *The Academy*, Aug. 28, 1880, p. 154.

with Kṛishṇa. But if we examine the original passage in the *Lalitavistara* to which M. Foucaux referred, we can hardly doubt that Kṛishṇa is there intended as a god, and as an equal of Vaiśravaṇa, Kuvera, Indra, Chandra, Sūrya, Kāma, and Rudra. It occurs in a Gāthā (p. 149, l. 3) which may be looked upon as older than the prose text; and though we might possibly argue that Kṛishṇa should be taken as an epithet of Rudra, it is quite clear that in the prose text, which may serve as the oldest commentary on the Gāthā, Kṛishṇa was taken as a separate deity by the side of Viśravaṇa, Māra, Mahoragendra, Indra, Rudra, Chandra, and Sūrya. He is called *Mahotsaha*, capable of great efforts, an epithet which agrees better with the hero of the *Mahābhārata* than with that of the Gopjanavallabha. The name of Kṛishṇa, as a god, should therefore no longer be treated as unknown to the authors of the nine *Dharmas*, nor should it be maintained that Sanskrit works in which Kṛishṇa appears as a god, such as the *Mahābhārata*, and particularly the *Bhagavadgītā*, must on that account be classed as post-Buddhistic, or as later, at least, than the Third Council.

F. MAX MÜLLER.

FOLKLORE PARALLEL.

I find the following in Bernhardt Schmidt's *Griechische Märchen, Sagen, und Volkslieder*, p. 36:—

"An old legend resembling the myths of Admetus and Alkestis is reproduced in a popular ballad of Trebizond. Jannis, so runs the story, the only son of his parents, is just making preparations for his wedding, when Charon appears at the door with threatening mien to bear away his soul. The young man proposes to him to have a wrestling match on a brazen threshing-floor; if Charon wins, he will surrender his soul, but if he remains conqueror, the wedding is to take place. But Charon will not agree to this proposal: he says that God has sent him to fetch souls, not to waste his time in games and wrestling matches. Then Jannis begs St. George to entreat God that his life may be lengthened. God makes him this promise that if his father, who has still 30 years of life before him, will give half of this time to his son, he shall live to celebrate his marriage. But his

father will not spare him even one day. Then again the saint intercedes for him, and God consents that if his mother will spare him the half of her 30 remaining years, he shall live. But even his mother refuses to give up one span of her allotted time. At last God permits Jannis to entreat the same favour from his betrothed, and she agrees with the greatest readiness to her lover's request, remarking that the years appointed to her are enough for them both. So Jannis' wedding takes place."

I should prefer to connect this story with that of Ruru in the *Kathā Sarit Sāgara*, which Benfey compares with that of Yayāti and his son Ruru.

The story of Ruru runs as follows: "Once on a time a hermit's son of the name of Ruru, wandering about at will, saw a maiden of wonderful beauty, the daughter of a heavenly nymph named Menaka by a Vidyādhara, and brought up by a hermit of the name of Sthūlakeśa in his hermitage. That lady, whose name was Prishadvārā, so captivated the mind of that Ruru when he saw her, that he went and begged the hermit to give her to him in marriage. Sthūlakeśa betrothed the maiden to him, and when the wedding was nigh at hand, suddenly an adder bit her. Then the heart of Ruru was full of despair, but he heard this voice in the heaven: 'O Brahman, raise to life with the gift of half thy own life, this maiden whose allotted term is at an end.' When Ruru heard that, he gave her the half of his own life, as he had been directed; by means of that she revived, and Ruru married her."

The same agency as carried the story of Buddha to the west, and caused it to be reproduced in the romance of Barlaam and Josaphat, may very well have carried the story of Ruru to Trebizond.

C. H. T.

NOTE.

A Hindu idol, copper, representing a woman with four arms, has recently been unearthed near Orenburg. Archaeologists believe it to have been the prize, several centuries ago, of some of the Mongols who invaded India, and to have been conveyed in course of nomad wanderings to the spot where it was discovered in the Orenburg district.

BOOK NOTICES.

THE ZEND-ĀVESTA, PART I, THE VENDIDAD.—Translated by James Darmesteter. Clarendon Press, Oxford. 1880.

In this translation, which forms the fourth volume of the *Sacred Books of the East*, M.

Darmesteter has made great progress in clearing away the obscurities and inaccuracies which encumber all previous translations of these remains of the ancient Pārsi code of religious laws, and

Compare also the story of Śāvitṛi and Satyavat, *Mahābh.* iii, § 292 ff.—Ed.

thus presents the English reader, for the first time, with a really trustworthy version of the entire *Vendidad*, although the translation may not be quite so literal as might be wished by Avesta scholars.

It is hardly creditable to English Orientalists that it has been necessary to intrust this work to a foreigner, in consequence of no Englishman being capable of undertaking a translation of the *Avesta*; but the chief cause of their neglect of so important a branch of Oriental studies is not far to seek. When the *Avesta* was first introduced to the learned world, in a French translation, by Anquetil Duperron in 1771, its authenticity was violently attacked by a young English scholar, who afterwards became the celebrated Orientalist, Sir William Jones. This attack was unscientific and dogmatic in the extreme, but, backed by the subsequent fame of its author, and by the national prejudices afterwards excited by the Napoleonic wars, it has hitherto succeeded in deterring Englishmen from examining the *Avesta* for themselves. Time, however, has now brought about its revenge, and little more than a century after Sir W. Jones's endeavour to discredit a Frenchman's account of the *Avesta*, and chiefly owing to his endeavour, his own University has found it necessary to apply to a French scholar for an English translation of the same Oriental texts.

The method adopted by M. Darmesteter, in translating the difficult and doubtful passages which abound in the *Vendidad*, is to accept the traditional interpretation wherever it is not at variance with the results of comparative philology and mythology. There can be no doubt that this method is, theoretically, the best that can be adopted, but it is frequently attended with practical difficulties. The only tradition of any value, as regards anything beyond religious practices in daily use, is contained in the Pahlavi translations and commentaries, which seem to have originated at a time when the Avesta language, though already dead, was still nearly as well understood by the Pârsî priesthood as the language of the ancient Greeks was by Christian divines two centuries ago. But the Pahlavi version of the *Vendidad* has not yet been fully translated, and abounds with passages that can be easily misunderstood, so that it is often difficult to ascertain its meaning with certainty. And to this practical difficulty must be added the further difficulty of forming a correct opinion when testing this tradition by the less definite results of comparative philology and mythology.

As an illustration of the possible effect of the first kind of difficulty, arising from the want of trustworthy translations of Pahlavi texts, may be

taken the mode of identifying the "good river Dâitya" (*Vend.* I, 3) with the Araxes, by reading "it flows through the mountains of Gôrjistân (Georgin)," instead of "it goes out through the hill-country," in *Bundahish*, XX, 13. The original name in this passage is Gopostân, a reasonable Pâzand reading of the Pahlavi word *kâjistân*, "hill-country," but a very unlikely reading of *Gôrjistân*. The adoption of this doubtful emendation is to be regretted, as it is hardly requisite for the identification proposed.

An instance of the second practical difficulty occurs with regard to the title Spîtama, usually applied to Zarathushtra, and which it is safest to leave untranslated, as Darmesteter generally does; but he evidently considers it a mere epithet, and translates it by "holy" in *Vend.* II, 42. This is a departure from tradition, for this title is always expressed by the patronymical form *Spîtdmân*, "the Spitaman," in Pahlavi, and is supposed to refer to an ancestor of Zarathushtra in the ninth generation, whose name was Spîtama according to a genealogy given in several Pahlavi works. If the title Spitama be a mere epithet, and not a family name, it is very singular that it should be applied, in the *Avesta*, only to Zarathushtra and two or three of his relations, male and female. As Darmesteter, however, considers Zarathushtra merely as a mythological being, void of historical reality, he can hardly admit the reality of his ancestry, without weakening the arguments in favour of his mythological origin.

In a very able introduction the translator first treats of the discovery of the *Avesta*, dwelling specially upon the details of the old dispute as to its authenticity, already referred to. He then deals with its interpretation, merely alluding to the labours of the generation of *Avesta* scholars now passing away, without describing them. But he dwells longer upon the formation of the *Avesta* and the origin of the religion it teaches, before concluding with a brief and appropriate analysis of the contents of the *Vendidad*.

His view of the origin of the Avesta religion rejects the hypothesis of former scholars that it was a reform of the old Indo-Iranian faith, arising at a time when the latter was lapsing into a more materialistic system of idolatry, and indicative of an ancient schism which led eventually to the widely divergent principles of Zoroastrianism and Hinduism. In place of this hypothesis he supposes that these two religions are merely two separate developments of Indo-Iranianism, not originating in any schism, but in the gradual effect of different circumstances upon dissimilar minds. And, as this supposition is inconsistent with the idea of the Avesta religion originating in

the personal influence of any individual reformer, he dispenses with the historical reality of Zarathushtra, and converts him into a mere mythological conception, a view which has also been advocated by Professor Kern.

The essential difference between this hypothesis and the old one does not lie in the idea of development, which is an indispensable element in the history of every religious system, but in the rejection of all idea of a schism or sudden reform. No doubt the advocates of the schism hypothesis have been inclined to lay rather too much stress on the arguments in its favour, and have put aside all difficulties for future elucidation; but it may well be questioned whether the new hypothesis is not being discussed in the same one-sided manner. It is difficult for a cautious reader to peruse Darmesteter's arguments, especially as more fully detailed in his previous work *Ormuzd et Ahriman* (Paris, 1877), without an uneasy feeling that the same amount of learning and ingenuity could easily find much to say, both for the reformation hypothesis, and for the historical reality of Zarathushtra himself, apart from the unhistorical legends which have gathered about his memory. Until some such well-considered attempt be made to defend the old hypothesis, it will be safest for most readers to suspend their judgment about matters so difficult to prove. In the meantime they will find much of novelty and interest in this new translation of the *Vendidad*, which may be accepted with far greater confidence than mere speculative theories.

E. W. West.

DAS ALTINDISCHE NEU-UND VOLLMONDSOPFER IN SEINER EINFACHSTEN FORM; von A. Hillebrandt, Dr. Ph. 8vo. pp. xvii, 199. Jena, 1880.

Dr. Hillebrandt is already favourably known to Sanskritists by his *Essays on Vedic mythology*: he now has taken up a new line—the elucidation of the sacrifices of the Vedic religion, and with no less success; in fact, this work constitutes (as Germans would say) a new epoch in Sanskrit studies in Europe.

The existence of *sūtras* treating of the sacrifices

¹ Prof. Weber, in his *Indische Studien*, vols. X, and XIII, also gave a useful general view of the various kinds of Vaidic sacrifices.—E.

² We mention a few slips noticed during a superficial perusal of the book. The form *vyāte* is twice (pp. 17, 90) translated by 'he chooses,' instead of by 'they choose.' In the formula *ahē dāidhishaya, ut atis tishthānyasya sadhne sūte yo smat pākētrah* (Kāty. II, 1, 22) Dr. H. separates *tishthāni-asya* and translates (pp. 17, 91) "O Ahi dāidhishaya [ahē is taken as an interjection by the St. Petersburg Dict.] I will step up (or get up) from here; sit down on the seat of him who is sillier than we!" Should we not rather separate *tishtha-anyasya*: "O D., get up from here and sit down on the seat of some one else who is less wise than we!"—at p. 33 for '*at-a-winning*,' read '*kshatra-winning*' and add '*kusman-winning* (*sa, śāyami*).—P. 33

had long been known, but it was only about 25 years ago that Prof. A. Weber¹ first made possible the study of one of these works by his splendid edition of that which passes under the name of *Kātyāyana Śrauta Sūtra*. Much, however, necessarily remained obscure in the details of the rites, and it was not till the late Prof. M. Haug got some of the sacrifices performed in his presence at Poona, and that he printed some of the information thus gained in the notes to his translation of the *Āitareya Brāhmanā*, that further progress was possible. But Haug did not anywhere give a full description of any of the numerous Vedic sacrifices, he merely elucidated some obscure points, and Prof. Thibaut's excellent labours refer to the construction of altars; thus, the present work is, strictly speaking, the first of its kind.

Dr. Hillebrandt has based his work on MSS. of the different *Śrauta sūtras* as well as of *Prayogas*, and for these he has had recourse to the India-Office and Munich Libraries.

The body of the work consists of two parts: (I), introductory ceremonies, and (II) the details of the sacrifice.

The fullest possible information on these matters is given; and any one could, with this book in hand, perform the rites of which it treats. These rites are childish and silly, but are, unlike many of the *śrauta* rites, quite unobjectionable in themselves. We find here none of the detestable acts, which, *e. g.*, forms part of the horse-sacrifice, and which are such an appalling feature of the Vedic religious system, to which even human sacrifices were well-known.

To understand the past of India, it is necessary to understand these complicated rites, and it is to be hoped that the learned author will aid students by treatises on one or two more of the more important sacrifices. Such labours will be valuable in many ways, and will, *e. g.*, help us to understand much in the *Vedas* which, as they now exist, are entirely arranged for sacrificial purposes.

Dr. Hillebrandt is to be congratulated on having discovered not only a new line of research, but also on having, adequately, occupied it.²

A. B.

for "he gives it (the *veda*) the shape of a plaited basket (*mūta*)," translate "he makes it by plaiting in the same way as basket-work (*mūta*)."—P. 40 for "on the conclusion of this *mantra* the Agnidhra pours out," translate "the A. pours out the water so as to finish with the conclusion of the *mantra*."—P. 43, instead of 'do not split! do not break down!' (*nā bher nā saṁvikshāk!* Vāj. S. I. 23) translate: 'do not be afraid! do not shrink!'—*nishlāpta* is not 'niedergebrannt' (burnt down) but 'burnt out' (p. 57). Before 'alle Schätze enthaltende' (p. 93) *devayagam* has been left out. At p. 126 *bhāksha* is translated by 'Genosse (companion)': should it not be 'Genuss (delight in food)'? and at the same page *brahmadevaprakṛiti*, which Dr. H. does not know how to explain, should be separated *brahma devaprakṛiti*, see Rīg. VII, 97, 3; Taitt. S. II, 6, 7, 4.—E.

SANSKRIT AND OLD-CANARESE INSCRIPTIONS.

BY J. F. FLEET, B.O. C.S., M.R.A.S.

(Continued from p. 135.)

No. LXXX.

THE present inscription is from the original plates, which belong to 'Nawaji Walad Ukhaji Jiri' of Pimpalnér in the Pimpalnér Táluká of the Khândós District. They were produced by him before the authorities to substantiate his claim to a Pátíl's Watan!

The plates are five in number; the size of them is somewhat irregular, the largest being about $8\frac{1}{2}$ " long by $3\frac{1}{8}$ " broad. Here and there the edges are slightly raised, as if to protect the writing; but this is probably accidental, and owing to the thinness of the plates and their consequent liability to be bent up. The plates themselves weigh 1 lb. $10\frac{1}{2}$ oz.; and the two rings and the seal, $7\frac{1}{2}$ oz. The ring on which they are strung is a plain copper ring about $\frac{3}{8}$ " thick and $2\frac{1}{4}$ " in diameter; it had been cut before the grant came into my hands. On this ring there fits very tightly another ring about $\frac{1}{4}$ " thick and $\frac{7}{8}$ " in diameter; the seal on this smaller ring is circular, about two inches in diameter, and has, in high relief on a counter-sunk surface,—a standing figure of the god Hanumân, facing to the proper right, and balancing a peak of the mountain Gandhamádana on his left arm; in the lower part, behind him, a *chakra*; and in front of him, a sword or lamp-stand, with a *śaṅkha*-shell below it.

The writing is very thin and shallow, and the component parts of the letters are frequently very much detached; it is, therefore, occasionally very difficult to decipher it with certainty. On the whole, however, it is fairly well preserved and is legible enough. On the second side of the third plate there is the figure 3, between the edge of the plate and the ring-hole; the other plates are not numbered. The ring-holes were made after the inscription was engraved, as some of the letters have been destroyed in making them. It was probably intended originally to make these holes at the top and bottom of each plate in succession, instead of at the sides; for, here and there, there are blank spaces in the writing, which can only have been left with this object.

The inscription purports to record that, in Śaka 310 (A.D. 388-9), the king Satyâśraya

bestowed the village of Pippalanagara upon Nāgasvāmidikshita and other Brāhmanas.

With the exception that Satyâśraya is said to be of the lineage of the god Viṣṇu and to belong to those who were of the Mānavya *gôtra*, there is nothing in this inscription to specify precisely who he was. But there can be no doubt that the person intended is the Western Chalukya king Satyâśraya I, or Pulikêśi II, and that the word omitted in line 8 after *pavitrikṛita-śirasān* was *Chalukyānām*, followed by some such expression as *anvayê jātāḥ*, or *kulam=alaṅkarishpuḥ*.

The grant is of course a forgery, for the following reasons:—1, If it is admitted that the king intended is Pulikêśi II, the date given is earlier by more than two hundred years than his real date.—2, Whether this be admitted or not, it cannot be disputed that this grant purports to be a Chalukya grant; and no member of that dynasty, anterior to Pulikêśi II, had the title of Satyâśraya.—3, The characters are so totally unlike in detail those of the Western Chalukya alphabet or of the Old-Canarese alphabet, that I cannot place them, even as a corrupt specimen, in the same class with either of those two alphabets. I am not quite certain how to classify them; but I am inclined to concur in an opinion expressed to me by Mr. Veṅkaṭ Raṅgô Katti, that they are a mediæval form of some Beṅgālī alphabet, which must have been derived originally from the same source as the Chalukya alphabet.—4, In all the early inscriptions which follow the regular established style, the date comes at the end of the genealogy and immediately after the words introducing the details of the grant, and is expressed by *°śatêṣu Śaka-varshêṣhe=atîêṣu*. There are a few special exceptions to the use of these particular words, which it is not necessary to give here. But the earliest instance in which the date is expressed by *Śaka-nṛipa-kāl-âtîta-saṁvatsara-śaty*, (as is here the case, in the first line); or by *Śaka-nṛipa-kāl-âtîta-saṁvatsara*, (the word *śata* being omitted as superfluous), are respectively the Râshṭrakûṭa tablet at Nandwâdige dated Śaka 722, and the Râshṭrakûṭa grant of Gôvinda III. dated Śaka

726, both of which I have had occasion to notice for another purpose at *Indian Antiquary*, Vol. VII, p. 210.—5, Though the text runs in line 2 as if the month, the bright or dark fortnight, the lunar *tithi*, and the day of the week, were specified, yet these details are not mentioned, but only the year is given.—6, The figure 3, as given on the third plate, is not made up of three lines one above the other, as it would be if it were a genuine early numeral; but it is the modern form of 3, which cannot have been introduced earlier than the eleventh or twelfth century A.D.—7, None of the Chalukya grants repeat the king's name at the end, by way of a signature, as is done in

the last line of this grant.—8, The emblems on the seal are not the Chalukya emblems, and they occur on the seal of no Chalukya grant.—And finally, 9, No early grant that I know of has, as this grant has, the seal sliding by a small ring of its own on the large ring on which the plates are strung. The only other instances of this known to me are some comparatively very modern Vijayanagara grants in Sir Walter Elliot's possession. In all the early grants, of whatever dynasty, "these seals," as Dr. Burnell writes¹, "are cast on the ring by which the plates are held together, and which thus has the form of a huge signet ring."

Transcription.

First plate.

[¹] Svasti	Śaka-uripa-kāl-ātita-samvatsara-śatōshu	tri(tri)shu	daś-ōttarē
[²] shv=asyā[m*]	samvatsara-māsa-paksha-divasa-pūrvvâyân ² =tithau		paramabha-
[³] tāraka-mahārāj-ādhirāja-paramōśvara-Vishṇuva[m*]	sōdabhava-pa-		
[⁴] [rama ³]bhāgavata-paramabrahmaṇya(uyō)			Mān avya-sagōtrānām
[⁵] Mātri ⁴ -gaṇ-ādhiśthitānā[m*]			Sahāṇaka-sa mara-samā(ma)rji-
[⁶] ta-yaśō ⁵ -rāsi-sampriddhānā[m*]			rājasūy-āśv amēdha-paundarī-
[⁷] ka-bahusuvarṇa-vājapāy-ādi-mahākṛta-maun-āva-			

Second plate; first side.

[⁸] bhṛitha-pavitri(tri)krīta-sira'sām ⁶			Nṛiga-Nahusha-Di.
[⁹] lipa-Sagara-Bhagīrath-ādi-chirantana-bhūbhṛich-chari-			
[¹⁰] t-ā ⁷ nukṛiti-prakāśit-āśōsha-viśva[m*]	bharā-bhār-ōdvahana-		
[¹¹] sa ¹⁰ marthaḥ		Tēn=āsātatarām	vilōkya sakalām saṁsā-
[¹²] ra-chakra-sthitiṇ		jaṁtu(tū)nām	kari-karṇa-tāla-chapalā(lā)m=a(ā)-
[¹³] lā(lō)ka(kya)	bhākshy-āyusham		sūktāni gru(śru)ti-sambhavāni

Second plate; second side.

[¹⁴] cha	vachāmsy=śkarṇa(rṇya)	lōka ¹¹ -dvayō		dharmmē	dāna-pha-
[¹⁵] la[m*]	cha śāsvatam=ih=ēty=ō(ō)vaṁ	krītō	niśchayaḥ		Yathā kada-
[¹⁶] li-prakāṇḍa-vad=a-sārah	sa[m*]sārah		sarit-tīr-a(ā)vasthita-tara(ru)-		
[¹⁷] [dha ¹²]rmmāṇi	śurīrāṇi		kusuma-ramaṇyāni		yauva-
[¹⁸] nāni		taḍit-taralāḥ	saṁpadāḥ(ḍaḥ)		daṁd(ḍ)-ō(ā)hata-bhūjaṁga-
[¹⁹] bhaṁgi-kuṭilāḥ	khalu	vidhi-vyāpārāḥ(rah)		Tathā	cha

¹ South-Indian Palaeography, Second Edition, p. 107.

² The māsa, paksha, divasa, and tithi, however, are not specified.

³ These two letters, rama, were completely cut out in making the ring-hole.

⁴ Here, and in some other places, the Anusvāra is a clearly made circle. In śirasān, l. 8, and other places, it is denoted sometimes by a round dot, and sometimes by an elongated dot.

⁵ The upper parts of these two letters, mātri, were cut out in making the ring-hole.

⁶ These plates have also been examined by Pandit Bhagawānlāl Indrajī. His reading here is Sahāṇaka-samara-bhānāvāpta-yaśi; but he only suggests the letters vāpta as 'probable', the passage being very indistinct. I think the original really has samarjita, for samarjita, though

the word is not a common one.

⁷ A blank space, equal to three letters, intervenes between ra and sām.

⁸ The context is imperfect, through the omission of the name of the dynasty. We must supply Chalukyanām, followed by anvaḥ jātaḥ, or kulam-ālanikarishnuḥ, or some such expression,—or vāśē sambhātāḥ, as the Pandit suggests.

⁹ The lower part of this letter, tā, was cut out in making the ring-hole.

¹⁰ The upper part of this letter, sa, was cut out at the same time.

¹¹ A blank space, equal to two letters, intervenes between ka and dva.

¹² This letter, dha, was almost entirely cut out in making the ring-hole.

Third plate ; first side.

[²⁰]	Śālmali-tūla-vipāka ¹⁵ -kalpāḥ				paramārtha-vi-
[²¹]	rasā[ḥ*]	prā(pri)ti-dharmō(rmāḥ)		Ēvaṁ	sarvvam=ani(bhi)samākalyaya
[²²]	ma ¹⁶ hārāj-ādhirāja-srīmat-Satyāśrayadēvaḥ				satvarī(ram=ā)-
[²³]	h=ai ¹⁵ va	sāmanā-vishayapatikān=astu		vidita[m*]	yathā
[²⁴]	Āyāsa-śa[ta*]-labdhasya	prāṇēbhyō=pi		gari(ri)yasaḥ	gati-
[²⁵]	r=ēk=aiva	vittasya	dānam=anyā	vipattayaḥ	Atō mayā

Third plate ; second side.

[²⁶]	nija-blaj-ārjitam		chatu ¹⁸ r-āghātā(ta)-viśuddham		yajana-yā-
[²⁷]	jan-ādi-shaṭ-karma-niratā[y=ā*]	gnihōra(tra)-charu-purōḍās-āti-			
[²⁸]	thi-pūjāya		vēda-vēdāṅga-pāragāya		Yajuh-śākhinō
[²⁹]	[ya ¹⁷]sya	Valabhī-mahāsthānō		mahājanōna	Brahm-ā-
[³⁰]	thō(rthō)	labdhaḥ		tōna	mah-ātman=ātīsayita-sarvva-
[³¹]	janōna	An ¹⁸ ūchā ¹⁹ n-ānvayēna		dvijātinā	

Fourth plate ; first side.

[³²]	Kātyāyana-gôtrāya	Nāga[svā*]mī ²⁰ -dikshī(shi)ta		Śrīdhara-di(dī)kshi-
[³³]	ta Vāmanasvāmī ²¹	Nārāyaṇa	Dōvārya(?)	() Gōvi-
[³⁴]	ndasvāmī ê(?)tā(tēbhyō)	Durāyābhajyā ²²	²³	-Payōshñī-saṅgamō
[³⁵]	sū ²⁴ rya-grahāṇa-parvvaṇi	svaya[m*]	pādaḥ	prakshā-
[³⁶]	lya satilōdakēna	vidhinā	Pippala-nagarain	
[³⁷]	ā-chamdr-ārka[m*]	yāvat=pratipādita[m*]	Ma(ya)sy=āghātāḥ	

Fourth plate ; second side.

[³⁸]	Pūrvvataḥ	Kapilakuṇḍa(?)	Bhīmadā-sandhi ²⁵ (ndhi)-vātah		Dakṣiṇatō(tah)
[³⁹]	Katturā-parvataḥ	Sōlnadā-nadī	Śa(?)ksha(?)rā-dā(vā)taḥ	*	Paśchi-
[⁴⁰]	matō	Gadāda ²⁶ -hra(?)daḥ(?)	Va(?)yalachchhi(?)sadhīśa(sandhiś=cha?)		Uttaratō
[⁴¹]	Dha(?) ²⁷ chhcha(chchha)vabhā-parvataḥ		Japya(?)bha)khēdī-nadī(dī)		Su(?)gāhalā-
[⁴²]	tadāgaṇi		Tath=āsy=ānyayō	Lōhanagar-ādi(di)ni	sthunā-
[⁴³]	nā ²⁸ ni	shaḍ-vim(?)śatā(ti)		tath=āgrahārāṇi	chatur-ā(a)śīti
[⁴⁴]	tau(ttā)ni	Atō	mad-vya(vai)śyair=anyair=vvā	pratipālaniyāni	[*]

Fifth plate.

[⁴⁵]	Mā(ā)gāmi-rāja-dāyō=shta-śataim ²⁹		niyū(?) ³⁰ pakāṇām		matōnyasāhi-
[⁴⁶]	yu ³¹ bala-mathana-tā(?)pya(?)	Tath=ātra	bal-āyatanā(nō?)	Vāsantādityaḥ	tath=ā- ³²
[⁴⁷]	dha(dhi)kṛita-Vi(vi)rōśvarā(rah)	pratipālaniyā	Vyāsās=[ch]=āt[r]a		Vi(vim)dhy-
	ātavi(vi)-				

¹⁵ A blank space, equal to two letters, intervenes between this *ka* and the *ka* of *kalpāḥ*.

¹⁶ The lower part of this letter, *ma*, was cut out in making the ring-hole.

¹⁷ The upper part of this letter, *hai*, was cut out at the same time.

¹⁸ This letter, *lu*, was at first omitted, and then was inserted above the line; it is very small and faint.

¹⁹ This letter, *yo*, was entirely cut out in making the ring-hole.

²⁰ The Pandit considers that the reading may be either *Anyuchā* or *Shanuchā*. The first letter is so nondescript that it may be anything whatever. The second letter is certainly *nā*. The third syllable, *chā*, is a mistake for *chē*, if the first letter is *a*.

²¹ A blank space, equal to two letters, intervenes between *chō* and *nā*.

²² The Pandit reads *Nāgavīra*, but I do not agree with him here. There is a blank space, apparently from a flaw in the copper, between *ga* and *mi*, where I supply *svā*.

²³ The Pandit reads *Āmēśvasvāmī*.

²⁴ The *yā* is clear; but the upper part of the letter is partially effaced and is very doubtful. The Pandit reads *Dārāadyā*.

²⁵ This mark of punctuation is superfluous.

²⁶ Part of the *s* was cut out in making the ring-hole.

²⁷ This letter, which I read as *ndhi* for *ndhi*, is a very nondescript one. The Pandit suggests either *Bhīmadā-sandhiśāḥ* or *Nimadā-sandhiśāḥ*.

²⁸ The Pandit reads *dhāḥ*.

²⁹ Part of this letter, *dha*, and perhaps the whole of another letter before it, was cut out in making the ring-hole. The Pandit reads *Va(?)chchhavalā-parvataḥ*.

³⁰ This repetition of the letter *nā* is superfluous.

³¹ A blank space, equal to one letter, intervenes between *tau* and *ni*.

³² The Pandit reads *ryū*, or *rvū*. But there is no *r* over the letter, and it certainly is not *rvū*.

³³ This word is unintelligible; but I cannot suggest anything else.

³⁴ This mark of punctuation should be before *tath=ā*.

[⁸³] shv=a⁸³-tôyâsu śushka-kôtara-vâsinah | Kṛishṇa-sarppâ hi(?) jâyantê bhû-
 [⁸⁴] [mi⁸⁴]-dân-âpahârakâh | (||) ⁸⁵ yatnâd=raksha-
 Yudhishthi-
 [⁸⁶] ra | mahi[m*] mahimatâm śrêshṭha dâna(nâch=) śrê(=chhrê)yô=nupâlana[m*] || Likhi-
 [⁸⁷] tam=êtan=mayâ sândhivigrahika-pa(?)mâ⁸⁸ . . .d-Divākara-sutê
 [⁸⁹] na Nâgêśvarêti || Śrî-Satyâśrayadêvasya ||

ARCHÆOLOGICAL NOTES.

BY M. J. WALHOUSE, LATE M.C.S.

(Continued from p. 153.)

No. XXVI.—*Irdhi-Pâda*.

It is not uncommon in Sanskrit literature, especially when the lives and virtues of renowned sages or ascetics are recounted, to find it told of such personages that they could transport themselves from place to place in some mysterious magical way in a moment. That large section of Hindu literature which deals with magic and the occult arts, such as the *Tantras*, the *Black Veda*, &c., too much neglected by European scholars, often refers to this secret power. The *Kalai-jñâna* system for example is copious on the subject.¹ The vastly complicated scheme of Buddhist rites and asceticism holds forth this power as the crown and goal possibly arrived at by the continuous observance of prescribed ceremonies and an inflexibly followed course of moral action. The entrance to this course was through the rite called *kasina*, of which there were ten kinds,² consisting principally of modes of persisting in rigid unbroken meditation till the state called *samâdhi* was attained. *Samâdhi* is described as that which keeps the thoughts together, like the moisture which causes grains of sand to adhere and form a ball; through this the illumination termed *nimitta* could be reached; fourteen excessively complicated modes of exercising the ten *kasinas* with this end are specified, and after all had been successfully accomplished, the power of *Irdhi* might be acquired, though not infallibly. *Irdhi*³ is a miraculous power distinguishing a *Rahât*, one who has passed the Four Paths, and will

at death attain *Nirvâṇa*. Its special characteristic is the power of instant locomotion and flight through the air from place to place, hence it is called *Irdhi-pâda*, i. e. the Divine Foot, on account of the assistance it renders to those possessing it. Both Brahmanical and Buddhist books, when alluding to this power, always speak of it as something familiar and well-known. Fah-Hian, the Chinese pilgrim to India in the 4th century, observes in a matter-of-course way as though it were nothing unusual that "*Rahâts continually fly*," and again, "the men of that country frequently see persons come flying to the temple, (some rock-temple,) the religious men occupying the upper chambers are constantly on the wing."—(Beal's *Travels of Fah Hian*.) People to-day are staggered at the accounts of "mediums" floating out of windows or being transported in a moment from one quarter of London to another, but flights of holy men fluttering, like pigeons, about the high chamber-cells of rock-temple would make even a sturdy spiritualist pause. Cells high up on sheer rocky faces have provoked speculation as to how they were tenanted. Fah Hian's statement may suggest some hint. The same pilgrim at Dardu in Northern India saw an image of wood, 94 feet high, representing Maitreya Bodhisattwa, "the Buddha that is yet to be," to obtain the size and appearance of which a sculptor was "by the power of *Irdhi*," three times transported up to the Tushita heaven, the Fourth Sphere, wherein rest all births yet to come; a strange and weird idea!

⁸³ The lower part of this letter, *shv*, was cut out in making the ring-hole.

⁸⁴ This letter, was entirely cut out at the same time.

⁸⁵ It is almost impossible to say what these eight letters are. The Pandit suggests *svi-jânâni dâvatânâni cha*. The usual reading is *svi-dattânî parâdattânî vâ*.

⁸⁶ One letter is altogether unintelligible here. The Pandit reads *vigrahik-Amâtyâra (?) Divākara*.

¹ "Flying through the air" is expressly enumerated

amongst the wonderful acts which could be performed by means of *dhâranî*, or occult Indian charms. It was also one of the sorceries ascribed to Simon Magus.

² See Spence Hardy's *East Monach*, pp. 252 fig.

³ "*Iddhi* (or *Irdhi*), prosperity, power, majesty, supernatural power, miraculous faculty. There are 10 *iddhis*, such as the power of passing through the air, of taking any form, of creating or causing to appear anything required. *Iddhi* is the peculiar attribute of the Arâhas," Prof. Childers's *Pali Dictionary*.

Buddhist books describe the power of *Irdhi** as a miraculous energy of the purified will gradually prepared by the long and difficult processes previously mentioned, as the potter gradually prepares and tempers his clay for any vessel he designs. Even after the exercise of all the *kasinas* it was exceedingly difficult to acquire, unless they had been also practised in former times. "To him who has not exercised *kasina* in former ages its accomplishment is most difficult. Amongst those who have not so exercised it scarcely one out of a hundred or a thousand succeeds in its acquisition. Even after accomplishing the exercises and attaining the *nimitta*-illumination, it is most difficult to acquire the power of *irdhi*."⁵ When at last reached, it could be used by a simple energy of the will, as is thus explicitly set forth in the *Milindaprasna*, where Milinda, the king of Sâgal, asks the great Buddhist sage Nâgasêna⁶ :—

"Can any one who has the fleshly body of a man pass instantly to other continents, or to the Uturukuru, or to the Dôwa and Brahmâlôkas?"

Nâgasêna: "It is possible for one who has a body composed of the four elements to visit the places you have named."

Milinda: "In what way can this be done?"

Nâgasêna: "Can you, at your will, leap from the ground, say, to the height of a span or a cubit?"

Milinda: "With ease I can leap eight cubits high."

Nâgasêna: "How do you do this?"

Milinda: "I determine to leap; through this determination my body becomes as it were buoyant, and I rise from the ground."

Nâgasêna: "Just so the priest who has the power of *Irdhi* determines to go to such a place; by the determination of his mind, his body becomes as it were imponderous, and he is enabled thereby to pass through the air."

Other ascetics possessed similar powers. Old Ibn Batûta of Fez, who travelled from Morocco to China in A. D. 1324-54, relates: "I was once in the presence of the Emperor of Hindustan where two Jogees wrapped up in a cloak, with their heads covered (for they take out all their hair

with powder) came in. The Emperor caressed them, and said, pointing to me, 'This is a stranger: show him what he has never seen.' They said, 'We will.' One of them then assumed the form of a cube, and arose from the earth, and in this (*cubic*) shape he occupied a place in the air over our heads. I was so much astonished and terrified at this that I fainted and fell to the earth. The Emperor then ordered me some medicine, on tasting which I recovered and sat up, the cubic figure still remaining in the air, just as it had been." (Lee's *Translation*, p. 161.) Ibn Batûta, a learned and devout Moslem, would have had no object in inventing such an account, especially when the power belonged to a hostile faith. These were Jogis, a class always distinguished for magical power. Apollonius of Tyana and his companion Damis, who took notes of his travels, affirm that at the Hill of the Wise Men,⁷ they saw Brahmans who walked in the air, not to excite wonder, for they hated ostentation, but in imitation of, and in service to, the sun. (Philostratus, *Vit Apol. Tyam.* III. 15.)

But Moslem ascetism, no less than Hindu, is no stranger to this mystic faculty. Muhammad was caught up into Paradise; and it is recorded by a contemporary of the famous Jellâld-dîn,⁸ the founder of the order of Whirling Dervishes, born A. D. 1207, that when a boy and playing with other children, when they proposed to jump from one house-top to another, he replied that such sport was only fit for cats, but that human beings, if they felt any power in their souls, ought to fly heavenwards with him. Saying so he disappeared from their sight, and on their raising a lamentation he shortly re-appeared with the hue of his countenance changed and his eyes altered, and said, "As I spoke I was suddenly taken up and shown the miracles of the upper world, but when your wailings ascended I was again deposited here." The whirling dance of the ecstatic Dervish is an inferior sort of *Irdhi*, strong enough to burst the bounds of sense and perception, but unable to dissolve the resistance of matter; differences and degrees fade and expire, and the Universal

* The Buddhist references to *Irdhi* are taken from the works of the Rev. S. Beal and Spence Hardy.

⁵ Sp. Hardy, *East. Monach.* p. 262.

⁶ Id. p. 286.

⁷ Ἀπὸ τῆς γῆς ἐς πέντε δύν—two cubits from the ground, no great height, but—ce n'est que le premier pouce qui coûte.—Priault's *Apollonius*, p. 35.

⁸ See *Indian Antiquary*, vol. IV, p. 294.

Love becomes apparent as he whirls and sings
the song of Sayyid Nîmat-ullah of Kuliştân:—

“Spin the ball! I reel, I burn,
Nor head from foot can I discern,
Nor my heart from love of mine,
Nor the wine-cup from the wine.
All my doing, all my leaving,
Reaches not to my perceiving,
I'm all-knowing, yet unknowing,
Stand not, pause not, in my going!
Ask me not, as Muftis can,
Still to ponder the Kurân.
Well I love the meaning sweet—
I tread the book beneath my feet.
Higher blaze and yet more high
Till all differences die!
What are Moslems? What are Giaours?
All are Love's and all are ours.
Firm to Heaven my bosom clings,
Heedless of inferior things:
Down on earth there underfoot
What men chatter know I not.”

It is not only in the East, however, that holy men and founders of religious orders have claimed to show that spiritual exaltation can dissolve the inertia and resistance of matter. The annals of the Roman Catholic Church contain the evidence of eye-witnesses that SS. Philip Neri, Ignatius Loyola, Dominic, Teresa, and several others, when in prayer and ecstatic adoration, were at times lifted up from the ground and suspended in air without support for longer or shorter periods. Protestants at once laugh such accounts to scorn, or satisfy themselves with suggesting explanations more or less ingenious, but others declare that there is the same evidence for them as that which is ordinarily held sufficient for occurrences in the lives of historical personages generally.⁹ This *irdhi* power, on which we have been discoursing, is not confined to the civilized nations of the East or West. Forms of it are reported to exist amongst widely separated barbarous tribes. The Abbé Movillot affirms of the Esquimaux in Greenland that their *angâkoks*, or priests, sometimes rise from the ground and pass through the roofs above them. Similar powers are reported of the *Tokunga*, or New Zealand priests, and said to exist in the Sandwich Islands and amongst the natives in Australia. I have

also heard of forms of it in South Canara. What is to be thought of this strange belief so contrary to the laws of inertia and gravity, and scientifically so incomprehensible and, abstractedly, impossible, but which is yet said to have prevailed so widely in countries far separated in space and time? The only reply can be that people have related what they believed to be facts. Even now in this most practical and sceptical age, in London, Paris, and New York, men have been startled to hear in spiritualist circles assertions of “levitation,” or an *irdhi*-power, by which certain persons are floated up to ceilings, or even transported instantaneously from one room to another in a distant quarter of the town. Persons who assert they have witnessed such things are pelted with outcries of ‘trickery,’ ‘imposture,’ ‘incapacity to observe,’ victims of ‘unconscious cerebration,’ and the like, and leaders of science and people of enlightened minds do not conceal their contempt. Into this contest we do not desire to enter.

In connection with the foregoing subject a few closing remarks may perhaps be ventured here. Immense advances have been made in the study and knowledge of ancient and modern Hindu literature. We know more of the mythologies, religions, histories, genealogies, arts, and architecture of India than the natives themselves. Languages long obsolete, and inscriptions graven in forgotten characters, have been unlocked. But there is one wide region of Hindu thought and literature in which we have hardly set foot, and that is their astrological and occult sciences and rites. We complain that we are out of sympathy with the Hindu mind, that we know it only officially and externally, and cannot reach the inner life and thought of the people. One reason is the supercilious contempt with which the English, more than others, regard that astrological and mystical lore before which the entire native mind, throughout the length and breadth of India, crouches in absolute subjection. The educated official, versed in Mill and Macanlay, no less than the simple ryot; but the former whilst perhaps discussing questions of European science and philosophy with his English Master, will never allude to those beliefs and practices by which

⁹ One of the lately recovered fragments of the spurious Gospel according to the Hebrews runs, “just now my

mother the Holy Spirit took me by one of my hairs and bore me up on to the great mountain Tabor.”

his daily life is regulated, for he instinctively feels that he would meet with nothing but derision for such follies and absurdities. None the less his everyday conduct and domestic concerns are directed by the astrological Brahman, without whose permission he dares take no step or undertake anything of moment. It is unfortunate that the prepossessions of Englishmen in general so completely deter them from seeking any acquaintance with the occult sciences and customs of the Hindus,

for in them rests one of the keys which unlock the popular mind and disclose its controlling influences. These are so despotic, varied, and intricate, so entwined with every act and relation of life, that some adequate knowledge of and insight into them are most needful alike for legislators and administrators, teachers and missionaries, who too often work in the dark without suspecting the hidden cause of much of their difficulties and want of success.¹⁰

THE EIGHTEEN SCHOOLS OF BUDDHISM.

BY REV. S. BEAL, B.A.

One of the most interesting but intricate questions that can occupy the attention of the Buddhist student is the history of the eighteen sects into which the Buddhist Church was at an early date separated. A clear account of the causes that led to the schisms and the several tenets held by the separatists was, in the opinion of the late Mr. Spence Hardy, one of the great *desiderata* in the history of this religion. The Chinese and Tibetan books contain much valuable information on these points. Among other works in the northern copy of the *Tripitaka*, common in the monasteries of China and Japan, is one which contains three translations from Sanskrit of the treatise, written by Vasumitra, on the eighteen schools. This Vasumitra¹ was one of the Buddhist patriarchs who lived probably about the time of Kanishka, that is, as far as we know at present with any certainty, about 42 B. C.² His aim was evidently to reconcile the differences that existed in traditions, customs, and acknowledged scriptures; and it was probably under his auspices, or by his influence, that the Great Council was held that rearranged and revised the Buddhist Canon as it is known in the North. There are three translations of this treatise into Chinese; the first is anonymous, and is most obscure. The second is by Chin-ti, of the Tsin dynasty. The third is by Hiwen Tshang, of the Tang dynasty. It would be rash to attempt a translation

of these tracts into English without aid or direction—nor would the present writer have undertaken such a task—but, unfortunately, there is a parallel translation from the Tibetan in Vassilief's *History of Buddhism* (Second Supplement, p. 222). This translation by the learned writer named above was prepared after careful comparison of the Tibetan text with the three Chinese versions. The result is no doubt an accurate, though most obscure, reproduction of the work by Vasumitra. It may perhaps be useful to attempt an independent version of the three Chinese translations. Not that we can hope to render all plain, but with the purpose of inducing scholars in China to look into this matter, and endeavour to throw some light on the subject by comparing these translations and working independently in the production of others. The matter may appear of little consequence to some, and needless labour to others, but in the presence of facts, which are daily coming to our knowledge, it becomes almost the duty of those who are interested in the religious development of the Eastern mind, not only in India, but in other countries more or less affected by Indian speculations, to search out the causes and the character of that development, and so connect it with the religious movements which occurred elsewhere about the same time. We proceed to give part of the translation by the anonymous Chinese writer, and which stands first in the Buddhist Canon.³

¹⁰ I have reason to think that the late Prof. H. H. Wilson, a scholar of profound learning, and also of great caution and reserve, had gone deeper into these subjects than perhaps any other European Orientalist.

¹ *Ind. Ant.* vol. IV, p. 363.

² By some he is placed rather later.—*En. I. A.*

³ This translation is denoted as C by Vassilief.

An Account of the Eighteen Principal Schools of Buddhism, from the original Treatise of Vasumitra, translated into Chinese by three separate authors.

1. A treatise on the eighteen schools (translator's name unknown).

In the 15th section of the latter volume of the work known as the Queries of Manjuśrī (*Manjusripāṭipicchā*), the subject being "The division into schools." [It is thus written:]

At this time Manjuśrī questioned Buddha thus: "World-honoured! Explain, I pray you, what will be the different schools into which your followers will be separated in the future after your *Nirvāṇa*, and from what original division these schools will be formed?"

Buddha answered Manjuśrī thus: "There will be twelve schools among my followers hereafter, in which (the separate interpretation of) my law will be preserved in the world. These schools will be the repositories of the diversified fruits of my Scriptures (*pīṭakas*), without priority or inferiority: just as the taste of sea-water is everywhere the same—or as the twelve sons of one man all honest and true, so will be the exposition of my doctrine advocated by these schools. Manjuśrī! the two original germs of these separate schools will be found in the rendering of my doctrine by the Mahāyāna and the Prajñāpāramitā systems. The Śrāvakas, Pratyeka Buddhas, and different Buddhas (*i.e.*, the doctrine which teaches these three degrees of religious advancement) will come from the Prajñāpāramitā. Manjuśrī! as earth, water, fire, wind and space compose the material and visible universe, so the Mahāyāna and the Prajñāpāramitā compose the material of the system in which these different degrees of Śrāvakas, Pratyeka-Buddhas, and Buddhas are entertained."

Manjuśrī asked Buddha this question:

"World-honoured! and by what names will these schools be known?"

Buddha replied: "The two schools first formed will be the Mahāsāṅghikās* and the Pi-li⁵ (Sthaviras). Within a hundred years after my *Nirvāṇa* a school will be formed called 'Yeb-wu-in'⁶ [Ekabhyohārikās (Burnouf, tom. I, p. 357), or Ekavyahārikās (according to Vassilief's *Buddhism*, p. 227, n.)]. Again, within a hundred years from the formation of this school, another will be formed called 'Ko-kiu-li'⁷ [Kukkuḥlikās]. Within a hundred years from this another school will arise called 'To-man'⁸ (Bāhusrutiyas). Within a hundred years from this there will be another school formed, called 'Che-tai-ho'⁹ [Chaitiyavādās]. Within another hundred years a school will arise called 'Eastern Mountain'¹⁰ [Pūrvaśailās]. Within a hundred years another school will arise from this called 'Northern Mountain'¹¹ [Uttaraśailās]. These seven schools come from the Mahāsāṅghikās, and including the original Saṅgha, or congregation, they are classified as eight schools.

"From the Sthaviras were formed eleven schools. Within a hundred years from the origin of the above school, there arose another, called 'Yeh-tsai-wu-in'¹² (Sarvāstivādās). Within a hundred years from this school proceeded another, called 'Yun-shan'¹³ (Hainavātās). Within a hundred years from this school another will arise, called 'Vātsīputriyas'¹⁴ (sons of the calf). Within a hundred years after this another school called 'Dharmottariyas'¹⁵. Within a hundred years from this another called 'Bhadrāyāniyas'¹⁶. Within a hundred years from this school will come another called 'Yih-tsai-sho-kwei'¹⁷ (Sammāliyas). Within a hundred years another school will arise from this, called 'Jing-shan'¹⁸ (Jungle-hill, *i.e.*, Shāṇḍagarika). Within a hundred years after this arose another school called 'Tai-puh-ho-ki'¹⁹

* This word means the great congregation, composed of young and old alike, the same as the school of "various and miscellaneous Moral Rules."—[Ch. Ed.]

⁵ This word means the congregation of old men only, it is the same as that which acknowledges the authority of the (*original*) Vinaya only.

⁶ So called because they agreed in the main with the Mahāsāṅghikas.—[Ch. Ed.]

⁷ From the name of the master who formed it.—[Ch. Ed.]

⁸ So called from the "famous wisdom" of its founder.—[Ch. Ed.]

⁹ So called from the locality in which the founder lived.

¹⁰ So called from the locality in which the founder lived.

¹¹ Likewise from the abode of the founder.

¹² So called because the founder of the school held the

positive existence of all things in the three worlds.—[Ch. Ed.]

¹³ So called from the abode of the founder.

¹⁴ From the name of the founder.

¹⁵ From the name of the founder.

¹⁶ From the name of the founder.

¹⁷ So called from the great esteem in which the master was held among men.

¹⁸ So called from the character of the place where the founder lived. The name in Sanskrit however means "of six towns," and so in Tibetan; see Vassilief, p. 231.—J. B.

¹⁹ So called because the founder of this school was, when a child, cast into a well by his mother, and when his father sent to recover his body he was found uninjured.

(Mahîśāsakas). Within a hundred years from this arose the school called 'Fan'²⁰ (Dharmaguptâs). Within a hundred years another school arose called 'Ka-hi-pi'²¹ (Kâśyapiyas). Within a hundred years from this another school arose named 'Sicou-to-lo-ku'²² (Samkautikâs or Sautrântikâs). The above are the eleven schools derived from the Sthavîras, and including their mother-school, comprise twelve distinct branches."

Buddha spoke the following *gâthas* :—

"The school of the Mahâsâṅghikas
Will divide into seven parts,
The Sthavîras into eleven,
This is what we term the twelve schools,²³
The eighteen including the two original,
All these will arise from the Mahâyâna,
Which admits of neither affirmation or contradiction.
Now I say that in future time will appear,
The miscellaneous writings of the Master Kumârajiva
After the cessation (*nirvâṇa*) of the true Law,
Just one hundred years;
And by these various productions
The true Law will be gradually destroyed,
Everyone forming his own views,
Founding their opinions on heterodox sects,
Despising that which ought to be honoured.
A rebellious and discontented tone will arise
But now the *Sûtras* alone are the ground
On which to build the doctrine of Buddha,
Relying on the former truths.
Seeking a foundation on this solid basis,
Is like in the multitude of sand particles
Seeking for the true gold.
Thus have I heard former sages,
Who appear like suns among men."

"One hundred and sixteen years after the *Nirvâṇa* of Buddha (*in a*) city called 'I-ta-fuh,' (*I* for *Pa*, therefore equivalent to *Pa-ta-fuh*, i.e., Pâtaliputra) there shall be a king called 'A-yu' (Aśoka) who shall gather (as in a square) the whole of Jambudwîpa as his empire. In his time the division of the great congregation into schools shall begin. There shall arise a Bhikṣu called 'Neng' (able), and another called 'Yin-un' (Nidâna), and another called 'To-man' (Bâhuśrutîya ?)—these shall assert the necessity

of teaching five propositions as a basis for religious instruction. The five points are these :—

Profit and increase from others.

Ignorance.

Doubt.

Words according to the religious formula.

To obtain reason.

"It was from a consideration of these questions that the first two schools arose, to wit, the Mahâsâṅghikâs and the Sthavîras.²⁴

"In the middle of the century (*following*) the Mahâsâṅghikâs other schools arose as follows :—(1) 'Yih-shwo' [Ekavyavaharikâs], (2) 'Chu-shai-kan-shwo' [Lokottaravâdins], (3) 'Kiu-ku' [Gokulikas or Kukutikas]. Again, in the middle of a century or so after the Mahâsâṅghikas will originate other schools, called 'Shi-chi-lun.'²⁵

"Again, in the middle of the two hundred years, the heretical followers of the Mahâdêva, taking on themselves the vows of religious ascetics, fixed their abode in Mount Chaitiya. Again, from the Mahâsâṅghikas arose three other schools, viz. Che-tika, 'Huh-pi-lo' (Apara), and Uttaraśaîla. Thus from the Mahâsâṅghika arose nine schools, viz. (1) Mahâsâṅghikas, (2) Ekavyavaharika, (3) Lokottaravâdin, (4) Gokulika, (5) Bâhuśrutîya, (6) Shi-chi, (7) Yan-ka, (8) Ho-lo, (9) Uttaraśaîla.

"In the middle of the three hundred years from the Sthavîra school, arose from controversies connected with the Canon of the *Abhidharma*, different schools, as follows : (1) Sarvâstivâdin, also called Hetuvâda, (2) Maimavatas. In the middle of the three hundred years again there arose another school called Vâtsîputrîyâs, from this school sprang another, called Dharmagupta (or Dharmotariyas), another called Bhadrâyaniyas, and again, another called Mi-li (where *li* is evidently a mistake for *ti*), otherwise named Sammiti (Sammattîyas), another school called the school of the six cities (Shannagarikas). Again, in the three hundredth year, the Sarvâstivâdins produced another school, viz., Mahîśāsakas, from which sprang the Dharmaguptas (so called from the Master of the school, whose name

²⁰ The founder's name.

²¹ The founder's name.

²² The founder rested his deductions on the *Sûtras*.

²³ That is the twelve schools that spring from the Mahâsthavîras.

²⁴ In Chinese, "high-seat."

²⁵ I cannot explain this title at present.—S. B.

was In-chi-lin).²⁰ Again, in this three hundredth year, another school sprang from the Sarvāstivādins, called Yan-li-sha (Varsha), likewise named Kāśyapīyas. In the four hundredth year from the Sarvāstivādins sprang another school called Seng-kai-lin-to (Samkrānti), so called from the name of its founder Ycō-to-lo (Uttara), this school was also known as Sautrāntika.

Thus, from the school of the Mahāsthaviras branched off twelve schools, viz. (1) Mahāsthaviras, (2) Haimavatas, (3) Sarvāstivādins, (4) Vātsīputrīyās, (5) Dharmottarīyas, (6) Bhadrāyanīyas, (7) Sammatīyas, (8) The school of six Cities, (9) Mahīśāsikas, (10) Dharmaguptas, (11) Kāśyapīyas; (12) Sautrāntikas."

We will now proceed to speak of the distinguishing tents of these various schools, both to their radical differences and also those held²⁷ in common.

The following schools, Mahasāṅghikas, Ekavyāvāhārikas, Lokottaras, Kukkuṭikas, hold the views we are about to mention. They all say that the traditions respecting the Biddhas having been born into the world (*as men*) are incorrect—that the law is Tathāgata, and the only one in the world. They all say that the (*system of religion known as*) 'turning the Wheel of the Law' is at an end. They say that "things exist," "relationships exist," "truth exists." They say that Tathāgata is infinitely extended, immeasurably glorious, eternal in duration, that to his power of recollection (*nim, smṛiti*), his power of faith (*sādhābhāva*), his experience of joy, and his life, there is no end; he sleeps not, he speaks, asks, reflects not; they say that his existence is ever one and uniform (*one heart*), that all things born may obtain deliverance by having his instruction, that in his essential existence (*one heart, ekachitta*) Tathāgata comprehends all subjects (*laws*) in a moment by his own wisdom.

FOLKLORE IN THE PANJAB.

NOTES COLLECTED BY MRS. F. A. STEEL. WITH ANNOTATIONS BY LIEUT. R. C. TEMPLE, B.S.C., F.R.G.S., M.R.A.S., &c.

(Continued from p. 282.)

NO. 5.—FOLK-FALE.

Baingan Bādshāhzādī.—*Princess Aubergine*.¹

Once upon a time there lived a poor Brahman and his wife, so poor that they very often did not know where to turn for a meal.

One day in the jāngal he saw a Baingan plant. He dug it up, planted it by his cottage door, and watered it. It grew wonderfully, and by and bye bore one large baingan fruit.

At last a day came when there was absolutely nothing in the house to eat. So the Brahman said—Wife, pick the baingan, and get it ready for dinner. Then the Brāhmaṇī took a knife and cut the baingan fruit off: as she did so she thought she heard a sort of moan come from the tree. However she sat down, and began to peel the baingan, when she heard a tiny voice, say quite distinctly "Take care please! oh do take care! peel more gently, or the knife will run into me." The Brāhmaṇī was terribly perplexed, but she peeled as gently as she could, and when she got through the

rind, lo! out stepped the most beautiful little princess you ever saw.

The poor couple had no children, so they were delighted, and cherished her as their own, giving her the name of Princess Aubergine.

Now a king lived close by who had a beautiful wife and seven young sons. By chance a slave girl from the palace went into the Brahman's hut to ask for fire, and saw the beautiful Aubergine. So she went home, and said to her mistress, "Oh, in a hovel yonder, lives a princess so beautiful that if my lord the king clapped eyes on her for a moment, you would soon be forgotten." This put the queen, who was a sorceress, into a fearful rage, and she set about in her mind, to lay a trap for the beautiful Princess Aubergine. If she could only get her into the palace, she felt sure she could manage to destroy her. So she sent a message to say she had heard much of Princess Aubergine's beauty, and would like to see it for herself.

Now the princess was vain of her beauty, so

²⁰ *Vide* Vassilief, p. 232 n. 5.

²⁷ So I would translate "Chung-kan."

¹ بینگن بادشاہزادی *Baingan Bādshāhzādī*—Princess Aubergine. *Baingan*, also *baigan* and *bēgan*, and

Bhaṇṭā is the egg-plant, in French *aubergine*: scientific name—*Solanum melongena*. It is usually called *Brinjāl* by Europeans in India. This story abounds in various forms in the Panjāb; the version here given was taken from an old woman at Kasūr near Lāhōr, of Pūrbiā origin.—R. C. T.

she, nothing loth, went to the palace. The queen pretended to be wonder-struck, and said, "Now you must never leave me. You are only fit to live in a palace. You are my sister henceforth." So the Princess Aubergine, nothing loth, stayed, and they exchanged veils and became sisters.*

But the queen saw at a glance that Princess Aubergine was no human being^a but a fairy. Therefore she laid strong spells upon her while she slept, and asked "Now tell me true, in what thing does your life lie?" Then the Princess, spell-bound, answered: "In the life of your eldest son. Kill him and I too will die." So the wicked queen went next day to where her young son was sleeping, and killed him with her own hand. Then she sent the slave girl to Princess Aubergine's apartments to see if she too were dead, but the girl returned, saying "She is alive and well, reading her *Kalâm-ul-lah*."^b

Then the wicked queen was greatly incensed, and laid stronger spells on the princess, asking her again—"Now tell me true, in what thing does your life lie?" And she answered, "In the life of your second son. Kill him, and I too shall die." So the queen next day killed her second son with her own hands, and sent the slave girl to see if Princess Aubergine was also dead. But the slave girl returned, saying "She is alive and well, reading her *Kalâm-ul-lah*." Then the queen was enraged and threw stronger spells on the poor princess, and this time when the queen asked, "Now tell me true, in what thing does your life lie?" she answered "In the life of your third son," and so it happened every day, till all the queen's seven young sons were killed.

Then the queen summoned up all her art, and laid such strong spells on the Princess Aubergine that she could no longer resist them, but when the queen asked, "Now tell me true in what thing does your life lie?" she sobbed and mourned—"In a river far from here, lives a fish red and green. Inside the fish you'll find a bumble bee, inside the bumble bee there is a

tiny box, and in that box is the 'nine lākḥ' necklace.^c Put it on and I shall die."

So when the king returned from hunting, and came to visit the queen, she began to sob and cry.

"What is the matter, my queen?" asked he.

"Oh my life is wasted. I had better die," sobbed she.

"Not so," answered he, "tell me what it is you want."

Then she told him her life was bitter to her unless she possessed the "nine-lākḥ necklace."

"But where is it to be found," said he.

So she said: "In a river far from here lives a fish. In the fish is a bumble bee, in the bee a box, and inside the box the nine lākḥ necklace."

Now the king was kind to his wife, and grieved sincerely for the loss of his seven young sons, who, the queen said, had died suddenly of an infectious disease, and being anxious to comfort her, he ordered all the fishermen in the kingdom to fish for the queen's red fish. And when it was caught he had it opened, and sure enough inside was the bumble bee, and inside the bee was the box, and inside the box the nine-lākḥ necklace. So the queen put it on and was happy.

Now when Princess Aubergine had told her secret, she knew her life was gone, so she returned sadly to the Brahman's hut. She told the kind old people she would soon die, and begged them not to burn or bury her body. "This is what you must do," she said, "dress me in my finest clothes, scatter flowers on my bed, and carry me to the wildest jangal. Place the bed on the ground, and build a mud wall round it so high that no one can see over. And leave me there."

So when she died (which she did at the very moment the wicked queen put on the nine-lākḥ necklace) the old Brahmans did what Princess Aubergine had told them. Then the queen sent the slave girl to the Brahman's house to see if Aubergine were really dead and buried. She returned saying "she is dead, but not burnt or buried; they have carried her out to the jangal, and built a mud wall round her bed

^a Amongst the women of the Panjāb a formal exchange of veils and drinking milk together from one cup is the common way of swearing friendship.—F. A. S.

^b آدم زاد *Ādamzād*, descended from Adam (آدم) human.—R. C. T.

قرآن *kalām-ul-lah*, that is God's word, the قرآن. This is another proof of the mixture of Hinduism and Muhammadanism in the Panjāb among the lower

classes before noticed, for here we have a girl brought up in a Brahman's house reading the *Qur'ān*!—R. C. T.

نولکھا ہار *Nau-lakkā hār*, the nine lākḥ necklace, this fabulous necklace of nine lākḥs of rupees appears to have no particular story attached to it, and is used in a vague way as we would use the "philosopher's stone." The necklace is well known in the Panjāb and occurs in many of the folk tales.—R. C. T.

and there she lies beautiful as the moon." The queen was not satisfied, but she could do no more.

Now the king grieved for his fine young sons, and every day to try and forget his grief he went out hunting. Then said the queen, "Oh my lord, hunt if it pleases you to the east, and to the west, and to the south, but towards the north do not hunt or evil may befall you." This she said for fear he should find the dead Princess Aubergine who lay towards the north. Now one day the king hunted to the east and the west and the south, but no game was to be found, so, without thinking of what the queen had said, he wandered alone to the northwards. Soon he saw a curious high enclosure with no door, and he wondered what it was; he climbed over, and lo! there on a bed decked with flowers lay the Princess Aubergine beautiful as the moon, or lovelier than any living woman. He could not believe she was dead, he became so enamoured of her beauty that he stayed beside her all day, praying and beseeching her to open her eyes. At night he returned to the palace, but with the dawning he took his bow, saying he was going out hunting alone, and ran to Aubergine. So he passed day after day kneeling by her bed, weeping and beseeching her to rise. Now after nine months had gone by, he one day found the most beautiful little boy imaginable lying by the side of the dead Aubergine. He was astonished, but taking the infant in his arms, he cared for it all day, and at night gave it back to its dead mother. After some time it began to talk, and one day the king said to it "Is your mother always dead?" Then the child answered "No! at night she is alive, and cares for me as you do in the day." Then the king bid the child ask his mother what made her die. The next day the boy answered "It is the nine-lakh necklace which the queen wears. At night she takes it off, and hangs it up beside her. Then my mother becomes alive again, but dies when the queen puts on the necklace next morning." At this the king was puzzled, and said, "Ask your mother to-night whose son you are."

Next day the boy answered "Mother bids

me say I am your son, sent to console you for the loss of the seven fair sons the queen foully murdered for the sake of Princess Aubergine." Then the king was very wroth, and said to the boy, "Ask your mother to-night how I am to recover the necklace from the wicked queen, and punish her."

Next day the boy said—"Mother says I am the person to take the necklace from the queen, only do you carry me to the palace to-night." So the king carried his little son back to the palace, and told all the courtiers that the child was his heir. Then the queen became mad with jealousy, especially when she thought of her own seven dead sons, and she determined to poison the child. So she prepared some beautiful poisoned sweetmeats, and caressed the boy, saying, "Here my son, eat these." But the child said "No, I will not eat them unless you let me play with that beautiful necklace you wear round your neck."

The queen was determined to poison the child, and seeing no other way of inducing him to eat the sweetmeats, she gave him the necklace; no sooner had he got it than he fled away so fast that no one could catch him. He ran to where the Princess Aubergine lay dead, and threw it round her neck; she immediately became alive again, lovelier than ever. Then the king came and asked her to go to the palace as his wife, but she said—"I will never come until the wicked queen is dead, she would only murder me and my son. This is what you must do. Dig a deep ditch on the threshold of the door, fill it with scorpions and snakes, fling the wicked queen into it, and bury her alive. Then I will walk over her grave and be your wife."

So the king had the ditch dug and filled with scorpions and snakes. Then he went to his wife, and said, "Come and see something wonderful;" but she suspecting a trick would not come. Then they seized and bound her and flung her into the ditch amongst the scorpions and snakes, and covered her over with earth. Then Princess Aubergine and her son walked over the grave, and lived happily ever after.

WESTERN CHALUKYA GRANT OF AMBERA.

At p. 96 of Vol. VIII is given a transcription and translation of a grant of Ambera. By an oversight the facsimiles of the plates were not

lithographed in time for that volume. They are now given in the accompanying plate for purposes of palæographic comparison.—ED.

116.

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
 इति श्रीमद्भगवद्गीतायां अर्जुनसंवादे
 श्रीकृष्ण उवाच ॥ धर्मक्षेत्रे कुरुक्षेत्रे
 समवेता युयुत्सवः मामकाः
 पाण्डवाश्चैव किमकुर्वत संजय ॥
 १ ॥

117.

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
 इति श्रीमद्भगवद्गीतायां अर्जुनसंवादे
 श्रीकृष्ण उवाच ॥ धर्मक्षेत्रे कुरुक्षेत्रे
 समवेता युयुत्सवः मामकाः
 पाण्डवाश्चैव किमकुर्वत संजय ॥
 २ ॥

THE KĀSIKĀ.

BY PROFESSOR F. MAX MÜLLER, OXFORD.

The publication of this ancient commentary* on Pāṇini's grammar has long formed a *desideratum* of Sanskrit scholarship, and it reflected great credit on the editors and publishers of the *Pandit* that they resolved on bringing out this text in the numbers of their journal. We are surprised that their journal, which contained so many valuable articles and *éditiones principes* of Sanskrit texts, should have met with so little support in India and Europe that it had to be discontinued. It may be said that the Sanskrit texts were not always edited according to the strictest rules of European criticism, and that some of them hardly deserved to be drawn from the shelves of native libraries. Nevertheless, the *Pandit* was a truly useful journal, welcome to all Sanskrit scholars, and its discontinuance is deeply regretted by those who have the progress of Sanskrit scholarship at heart.

The text of the *Kāśikā* was one of the last works published in the *Pandit*, and it has since been issued by itself in two volumes. There are few grammatical works which have been edited with greater care than has been bestowed on the *Kāśikā* by Pandit Bālaśāstri; and he deserves the thanks of all scholars in India and Europe who look on a right understanding of Pāṇini as the only safe foundation of Sanskrit scholarship. Perhaps the best return we can make to him is a slight contribution towards fixing the date of this important grammar, the authors of which have been referred by different writers to dates varying from the seventh to the fourteenth centuries A.D.

Prof. Boehtlingk, in the Introduction to his edition of Pāṇini's *Grammar* (p. liv.), referred the *Kāśikā Vṛtti* to about the eighth century, on the supposition that Vāmana, the author of the *Kāśikā*, could be proved to be the same as the Vāmana who is mentioned in the Chronicle of Kaśmīra (iv. 496). The evidence on which that careful scholar relied was as follows:—Kahlaṇa Pandit, the author of the *Rājataranginī*, is evidently anxious to do full justice to Jayāpīḍa, who, after the battle of Pushkalaetra, recovered the throne of his father, and became a patron of literature. He mentions, therefore, in full detail his exertions for the restoration of grammatical studies in Kaśmīra, and particularly the interest he took in a new edition, as we should call it, of Patañjali's *Mahābhāṣya*. He then passes on to give the names of other learned men living at his Court, such as Kashira (author of *Dhātutaranginī* according

to Bühler), Damodaragupta, Manoratha, Śaṅkhadatta, Chātaka, Saudhimat, and Vāmana. This Vāmana was supposed to be the author of the *Kāśikā*. But if this Vāmana had been the author of the *Kāśikā Vṛtti*—that is to say, of a complete commentary on Pāṇini's *Grammar*—would not Kahlaṇa have mentioned him as connected with the revival of grammatical learning in Kaśmīra, instead of putting his name casually at the end of a string of other names?

It ought to be stated that Prof. Boehtlingk has himself surrendered this conjecture. There is no better foundation for another conjecture, first started by Wilson (*Asiat. Res.* vol. XV, p. 55), that the Vāmana here mentioned at the Court of Jayāpīḍa was the author of a set of poetical *Sūtras* and of a *Vṛtti* or gloss upon them. The untenability of that view has been fully shown by Dr. Cappeller in the Introduction to his edition of Vāmana's *Kāvyaḍāṅkīra-vṛtti* (Jena, 1875). Vāmana, the author both of the text and of the gloss of this work, quotes Śādraka, the author of the *Mṛicchhakatikā*; Kālidāsa, the author of the *Śakuntalā*, *Urvaśī*, *Mālavikā*, *Meghadūtā*, *Kumārāsambhava*, and *Raghuvamśa*; Amar, Bhavabhūti, Māgha, the *Hariprabodha*, the *Nāmāḍā*, *Kāmandakanīti*, *Viśākhila*, and Kavirāja. Now if this Kavirāja is intended for the author of the *Rāghavapāṇḍavīya*, this would be sufficient to place Vāmana at least after 1000 A.D., while Jayāpīḍa, his supposed patron, died in 776 A.D.

After having assigned to Vāmana, the author of the *Kāvyaḍāṅkīra*, his date in the twelfth century, Dr. Cappeller proceeds to identify this Vāmana with Vāmana, the author of the *Kāśikā Vṛtti*. His arguments, however, are hardly convincing; he relies chiefly on a statement of Bālaśāstri, in the Introduction to his edition of the *Kāśikā*, where that scholar speaks of a third Vāmana, a poet, who wrote the *Lokottaralalitā*, in Mahārāshtra, and places him in Śaka 1595, i.e., 1673 A.D., adding that the grammarian Vāmana lived 500 years earlier, i.e., 1173 A.D. If Prof. Weber states that Bālaśāstri assigns the grammarian Vāmana to the thirteenth century (*Hist. of Sansk. Lit.* p. 226) this must refer to some other paper which has escaped my notice. Bālaśāstri, however, gives no evidence in support of his statement, nor does he, so far as I am aware, ever hint at Vāmana, the grammarian, being the same as Vāmana, the rhetorician.

* This paper appeared in *The Academy* of Sept. 25, and Oct. 8, 1890, and is reproduced here as revised by the Author.—Ed.

* *Kāśikā, a Commentary on Pāṇini's Grammatical*

Aphorisms, by Pandit Vāmana and Jayāditya. Edited by Pandit Bālaśāstri, Professor of Hindu Law in the Sanskrit College, Benares. (Benares, 1876, 1878.)

Prof. Goldstücker, in a similar manner—that is, without producing sufficient evidence—referred Vāmana, the grammarian, to the same recent period as the *Siddhanta-Kaumudī*, Nāgeśa, Puruṣottama, and other grammarians (Goldstücker, *Pāṇini*, p. 89)—therefore to a period later than the thirteenth century.

Before we try to fix the date of Vāmana, the author of the *Kāśikā Vṛtti*, it will be necessary to determine, first, whether he was the only author of that book. Colebrooke, *Sanskrit Grammar*, p. ix) spoke of the *Kāśikā* as the work of Jayāditya, or Vāmana Jayāditya. Bālaśāstrin, the editor of the *Kāśikā*, thought likewise at first that Vāmana and Jayāditya, who are mentioned as the authors, were one and the same person (*Paṇḍit*, June 1878, p. 20, l. 9). He found, however, afterwards that Bhaṭṭojidīkshita, the author of the *Siddhanta-Kaumudī*, clearly distinguishes between the opinions of Jayāditya and Vāmana (*Sūtra* v. 4, 42; ed. Tarkavāchuspati, vol. I, p. 727); and he might have learnt the same from Prof. Aufrecht's excellent edition of the *Unādi Sūtras* (Prof. p. xv; *Sūtra* i. 52). Bālaśāstrin afterwards assigned the first, second, fifth, and sixth books to Jayāditya, the rest to Vāmana, while in an ancient MS. of the *Kāśikā*, discovered by Dr. Bühler in Kāśmīr (*Journal of the Bombay Branch of the R. A. S.*, 1877, p. 72), the first four *adhyāyas* are ascribed to Jayāditya, the last four to Vāmana. (See also Kielhorn, *Kāṭyāyana and Patañjali*, p. 12, note.) The evidence is therefore decidedly in favour of Vāmana and Jayāditya being two different persons and joint authors of the *Kāśikā*. The next question is, can we determine their date, or at least the date of one of them?

In the Preface to the sixth volume of my edition of the *Rig-Veda* (p. xxix.), I endeavoured to show that the statement made by Bhaṭṭojidīkshita in the *Sāhitya-kustubha*, and by the author of the *Manoramt*, viz., that Vāmana, whose fame had been eclipsed by Vopadeva, had been brought forward again by Mādhava, was so far confirmed by the commentary on the *Rig-Veda*, that Vopadeva is nowhere quoted by Mādhava, while Vāmana is quoted at least once in the commentary on the *Rig-Veda*, and more frequently in Sāyana's *Dhātuvṛtti*. Bālaśāstrin concluded rightly from that verse that Vāmana must be older than Mādhava, 1350 A.D., and older than Vopadeva, twelfth century. I added that Sāyana quotes both Haradatta, the author of the *Padamanjarī*, an exposition of the *Kāśikā*, and Nyāsakara, i.e., Jinendra, the author of the *Nyāsa* or *Kāśikā-vṛtti-pāñjikā*. This last book is likewise quoted by the author of a commentary called the *Kāvya-karmadhenu*, probably the work of Vopadeva,

so that the interval between the authors of the *Kāśikā* and those who could quote from commentaries on their works must be extended accordingly.

This was the state of uncertainty in which the date of the *Kāśikā* had to be left. "It must be earlier than the twelfth century" (Burnell, *Aindra School of Sanskrit Grammarians*, p. 92); "it is not a modern work" (Bühler, *loc. cit.*, p. 73). Such were the last utterances of two of the most competent judges.

One other argument in favour of the comparatively early date of Vāmana and Jayāditya should not be passed over. It was produced by Bālaśāstrin, who showed that both were evidently Jains, or, what is the same with him, Bauddhas. Like the *Amarakośa*, the *Kāśikā* begins without any invocation or exposition of the character of the book, a custom always observed by orthodox writers. Secondly, the authors of the *Kāśikā* actually alter the text of Pāṇini, which no orthodox Brāhman would venture to do. In *Sūtra* iv. 2, 43, they insert *sahāya*, writing *grāmajana-bandhasahāyebhyas tal* instead of Pāṇini's *grāmajana-bandhubhyas tal*. Thirdly, they quote instances referring to Buddhist literature, which, again, no respectable writer would do. When giving an instance of the use of the verb *nī*, in the *Ātmanepada*, meaning "to be honoured," they say, "Chārva is honoured in the Lokāyata school." This Chārva (Chārvaśaka ?) is said to be a name of Buddha, and means here a Buddhist teacher, who is honoured in the Lokāyata school. An orthodox writer would have quoted authorities from orthodox, never from nihilistic, schools. And Bālaśāstrin adds that there were other distinguished grammarians too at that time who were Jains—for instance, the author of the *Nyāsa*, Jinendra-buddhi—but that their works were afterwards eclipsed by those of orthodox grammarians, such as Bhaṭṭojidīkshita, Haridīkshita, Nāgeśabhaṭṭa, &c.

After thus having established two points—viz., that Vāmana and Jayāditya were joint authors of the *Kāśikā*, and that they were Jains or Bauddhas, we return to the question as to their probable age. Much light on Indian chronology has been received, as is well known, from Chinese writers, whether from translators of Sanskrit texts, or from travellers, such as Fa-Hian, Sung-yun, Hiwen-Thsang, and others. Meeting in Mr. Beal's *Catalogue of the Buddhist Tripitaka* (p. 94) with the title of a work called *Nan-hae-ki-lwei-choven*, being "Records concerning Visits and Returns to the Southern Seas," I consulted Mr. Kasawara on the contents of the work. He informed me that it was written by I-tsing, one of the best-known Chinese pilgrims, who left Guanchau, in China, in the eleventh lunar month

of the year 671 A.D., arrived at Tāmralipti, in India, after a long voyage, in the second month of 673, and started from that place for Nālanda in the fifth month of the same year. After the lapse of some years, he returned to Tāmralipti, and sailed to Si-ri-fa-sai, in the Southern Sea countries.

It seems that he wrote his book, "The Accounts of Buddhist Practices sent, being entrusted to one who returns to China, from the Southern Sea Countries," in Si-ri-fa-sai, for he generally compares the practices of India with those of the Southern Sea countries. His work consists of two volumes, containing four books and forty chapters. Though he does not mention how long he was in India, yet, as he refers to the usurper Queen, Tsak-tin-mo-hau, whose date is 690, we see that he must then have been absent from China twenty years, and have spent eighteen years in India. We may gather, in fact, from remarks occurring in his work that he was born about 635, that he left China in 671, arrived at Tāmralipti in 673, and was still absent in 690, at the time of the usurpation of Queen Tsak-tin-mo-hau. That usurpation lasted till 705, when the Tang dynasty was restored. It is stated elsewhere that I-tsing died in 713, 79 years old, and that he had returned to China in 695.

In the thirty-fourth chapter of his work I-tsing treats of learning in the West, and chiefly of grammatical science, the *Śabdavidyā*, one of the five *vidyās* or sciences. He gives the name *Vyākaraṇa*, grammar, and then proceeds to speak of five works, generally called grammar in India.

1. The first is called elementary *siddhānta*, and begins with *siddhirastu*. It was originally taught by Mahēśvara, and is learnt by heart by children when they are six years old. They learn it in six months.

Most likely this refers to the *Śiva Sūtras*, granted by the favour of Mahēśvara. But, from the description given, this *siddhānta* must have contained much more than the fourteen *Śiva Sūtras*. "There are forty-nine letters," I-tsing writes, "the compounds of which are divided into eighteen sections, and of which altogether more than 10,000 words are formed. These words are arranged in 300 ślokas, of thirty-two syllables each."

II. The second grammatical work is called *Sūtra*, the foundation of all grammatical science. It is the work of Pāṇini, and contains 1,000 ślokas. He was inspired by Mahēśvara, and is said to have been endowed with three eyes. Children begin to learn it when they are eight years old, and learn it in eight months.

III. *Dhātu*. This consists of 1,000 ślokas,

and treats of grammatical roots. Evidently a *Dhātupāṭha*.

IV. Three so-called *Khilas*:—(1) *Aṣṭadhātu*, consisting of 1,000 ślokas (on declension and conjugation); (2) *Man-cha*, consisting of 1,000 ślokas (on Kṛit suffixes ?); (3) *Upādī*, consisting of 1,000 ślokas (on Upādī suffixes).

Boys of ten years learn these parts of grammar, and finish them after three years.

Without dwelling on some difficult questions connected with these *Khilas*—which are rightly rendered by "uncultivated pieces of land"—we proceed at once to No. V., which is called *Vṛtti Sūtra*, a commentary on the foregoing *Sūtra*. We are told that "it is the best among the many commentaries. It contains 18,000 ślokas, citing the words of the *Sūtra*, and explaining intricate matters very clearly. It exposes the laws of the universe and the precepts of Heaven and man. Boys of fifteen begin to study this commentary, and understand it completely in five years. This commentary is the work of the learned Jayāditya, who was endowed with great ability. His literary talent was so excellent that he understood matters of literature hearing them once, and did not require to be told twice. He revered the three venerable ones, and performed all religious duties. Since his death it is nearly thirty years."

If we take the lowest date for I-tsing's work, viz., 690 A.D. (because he mentions the usurpation which took place in that year), he would have been four years, as he says, in Si-ri-fa-sai, and thirteen in India, when he wrote the thirty-fourth chapter of his work; and there is no reason why he should not have known, and, if he cared, have been able to ascertain the exact date, of the death of the author of one of the most famous grammars of that time, moreover a grammar which he recommends all true students, coming from China to India, to learn by heart. On the whole, his description of that grammar agrees well with the *Kāśikā Vṛtti*, and it is almost impossible to imagine that he should have fixed by accident or fraud on the real name of one of the authors of that grammar, Jayāditya. Unless the whole of I-tsing's work be shown to be a spurious compilation, we are justified in assuming that he knew a commentary on Pāṇini's *Sūtras* by Jayāditya, and that he believed Jayāditya to have died not later than 660 A.D.

I do not wish to disguise some difficulties connected with I-tsing's accounts of grammatical literature in India. After having mentioned the five principal works on grammar, he mentions what he calls a commentary on the *Vṛtti Sūtra*, in 24,000 ślokas. The title seems to be *Juni* or *Chuni*, and the author's name Patañjali. This,

therefore, could only be Patañjali's *Mahābhāṣya*, which may, in a certain sense, be called a commentary on the *Kāśikā Vṛtti*, because it is a fuller commentary on the same text. But why should it be called *Juni*? Is this possibly a name connected with Gonikā, the mother of Patañjali, who calls himself Gonikā-putra (Goldstücker, *Pāṇini*, p. 235), or with Gonarda, his supposed birth-place, from which he takes the name of Gonardiya? (Goldstücker, *loc. cit.*, pp. 235, 236).

Equally difficult is the next statement, viz., that he knew a commentary on the *Juni* by Bhartṛihari, in 25,000 ślokas. He calls it Bhartṛihari-discourse.³ Is this meant for Bhartṛihari's *Kīrikas*? It cannot be meant for the *Vākyapadīya*, because that is described afterwards. I-tsing speaks of Bhartṛihari as a Buddhist. After stating that this work of his in 25,000 ślokas treats of the principles of human affairs and of grammar, and also gives a history of the rise and decline of many families, he adds that Bhartṛihari "was familiarly acquainted with the principles of the doctrine of 'only mind,' and a student of logic. His name and virtues were very famous throughout the five divisions of India and every neighbouring country. He believed deeply in the Three Ratnas, and meditated on the Two Śānyas. He was a follower of the excellent religion, and belonged to the priestly order. But overcome by worldly desires, he became seven times a priest, and seven times returned to the laity. He was a contemporary

of Dharmapāla, and forty years had elapsed since his death."

The next work mentioned is "the *Vākya*-discourse, in seven hundred ślokas and seven thousand words, treating of observation and inference, according to the scriptures." As it is likewise a grammatical work, we can hardly be wrong in taking it to be Bhartṛihari's *Vākyapadīya*.

The last grammatical work defies, as yet, all identification. It is called *Pīna*, or *Pīnya*, or *Pida*, or *Vīna*. It contains three thousand ślokas by Bhartṛihari and fourteen thousand in prose by Dharmapāla. It fathoms the deep secrets of heaven and earth, and treats of the philosophy of man (*Vinaya*?).

This must suffice for the present, but I hope that the work of this Chinese traveller which has helped us to fix the date of the *Kāśikā* will soon be rendered generally accessible by a translation which is now being prepared by Mr. Kasawara, and which will throw an unexpected light, not only on the life of the Buddhists in the famous colleges of Nālanda and Balabhi, but likewise on Buddhism as established at that time in the "islands of the Southern Sea." It was in one of these islands that I-tsing spent a number of years and composed his works on the manners of the Buddhists on the continent and on the islands, and it is important to observe that those islands of the Southern Sea do not include the island of Ceylon.

MISCELLANEA.

SOLAR ECLIPSE OF FEB. 10, 780 A.D.

This eclipse, referred to *ante* p. 254, as possibly being that mentioned in the Morvi plate (vol. II, p. 258), has been computed by a correspondent with the following results. The eclipse was an annular one (the sun's semidiameter being 16' 9" and the moon's 14' 54"·7), and was central at noon in Arabia, a little to the south-west of the Persian Gulf, lat. 24° 45' N. long. 49° 11' E.¹

Greenwich mean time	h.	m.	a.m.	Long.	Lat.
Partial beginning	5	33	1	9° 38' E.	4° 52' S.
Central eclipse began	6	49	6	6 27 W.	7 57 N.
" middle	8	28	2	42 33 E.	17 22 N.
		8	58	2 48 52 E.	24 24 N.
		9	28	2 57 8 E.	33 21 N.
		9	58	2 75 1 E.	46 45 N.
" ended	10	6	8	101 20 E.	56 32 N.
Partial ended	11	23	2	89 51 E.	44 42 N.

The line thus traced passes close to El Katif on

the Persian Gulf, Shiraz, Yezd, Meshd, Merv, and Bokhara, and the eclipse would be central in Arabia, Persia, and Turkistan, while it would be seen as a large partial eclipse in the Panjāb and Western India.

In long. 71° E. lat. 23° N. it began at 0h. 55m. P.M. (local time), the greatest obscuration was at 2h. 21m. P.M. when the magnitude was 0·509 of the sun's diameter, and the end of the partial eclipse was at 3h. 47m. P.M. J. B.

NATIVE HISTORIES OF INDIAN STATES.

Sir Salar Jung has furnished to the Government of India a list of 224 historical MSS.² of which copies are found at Haidarabad, and of which transcripts are procurable for Prof. Dowson's supplementary volumes to Sir H. M. Elliot's *Historians of India*, in which he is to give the history of the Musalman dynasties of the Dekhan.

³ Dr. Bühler informs me that fragments of Bhartṛihari's commentary on the *Mahābhāṣya* exist in the Royal Library at Berlin and in the Dekhan.

¹ The statement in note 2 p. 254 is in error owing to

the ecliptic conjunction having been used by mistake for the conjunction in R. A.

² February 23, 1880; see Allen's *Indian Mail*, Oct. 20.

In doing so, Sir Salar Jung requests, in return, to be furnished with a list of all similar works obtained elsewhere by Prof. Dowson, and of all the Oriental historical works which are found in the libraries of the different Native States in India, and in European collections.

This is a step in the right direction to revive an interest in the past history and local annals of Native States, which we hope may be followed up by all of them. Much has been done by Government for the cataloguing and transcription of rare Sanskrit MSS., and the results have been important and valuable. May we not hope that an effort will also be made to catalogue the valuable libraries of Persian and Arabic works in India, and to secure copies of the most important and least known historical MSS.?

AN APPARITION SEEN BY THE SUPREME COUNCIL OF INDIA.

The Rev. Bouchier Wrey Savile has issued a second edition of his valuable and interesting book on "Apparitions," (published by Longmans and Co.) and in it he gives fresh instances of the seeing of spontaneous apparitions by persons not Spiritualists. In the preface he says:—

"I am indebted to the kindness of George Sparkes, Esq., of Bromley, Kent, for the following very singular incident connected with that eminent statesman, Warren Hastings, who together with Lord Clive and the recently deceased Lord Lawrence have done more than any other of our great men to found and preserve to our descendants the finest empire in British India, which the world has ever seen. Mr. Sparkes informs me that one evening, when his great-uncle, Joseph Cater, Esq., then secretary to Warren Hastings, was sitting with the Supreme Council of India in the Council Chamber of Calcutta, Mr. Shakespeare, one of the members, suddenly looked up, exclaiming, "Good God, there is my father!" The whole Council then saw a figure of an unknown person glide through the chamber into another room which had no outlet, and disappear. What particularly attracted the attention of the Council was the fact that the figure appeared with a hat of unusual shape, commonly known in our day by the name of "chimney-pot." The Governor-General was so struck with the occurrence that he ordered a minute to be made of the matter, and placed in the record-chest; and where it may possibly still remain. In course of time a ship from England arrived, bringing the news of the death of Mr. Shakespeare's father; and likewise a cargo of "chimney-pot hats," the first ever brought to India.

NOTES AND QUERIES.

2. PROPER NAMES (*ante*, p. 229).—In addition to the *Note* on Proper Names by Mr. G. A. Grierson in the *Ind. Antiquary*, *ante* p. 141, I beg to state that the following names are given in the Canarese country of Maisûr, to children born after the death of two or more immediately preceding, and if not already published, the information may be of interest:—

Tippiâ—from *tippé*, meaning dung-hill,—a coincidence with "Gobardhan" in vogue in similar cases in Bengal.

Giriappâ—from *giri*, a mountain.

Gundappâ—from *gundû*, a rock.

Kalliâ—from *kallâ*, a stone.

Kadlappâ—from *kadlâ*, wilderness, jungle, or forest.

Kappia or Kappannâ—from *kappâ*, blackness.

Besides this, parents and relatives call children also by affectionate terms which in course of time are generally adopted instead of their proper names. Such terms are—

Chikiâ—from *chikâ*, small.

Puttiâ or Puttu Râo—from *puttâ*, small.

Appannâ or Appâ Râo—from *appâ*, father.

Thummiâ—from *thummi*, younger brother.

Anniâ or Annappâ—from *annâ*, elder brother.

Sannappâ—from *sannâ*, small.

Dodlappâ or Doddannâ—from *dodlâ*, large.

I may add that these names are also made use of by females, when their husbands go by the same names as the children, and which they are precluded from uttering.

7. Chowkâ.—For long, my attention has been drawn to the custom of *Chowkâ* so rigidly observed by the Hindustani or Northern Brâhman and they thus explain its signification. In preparing his meals the Brâhman cleans the ground (or gets it cleaned), draws lines to form an oblong or square figure wherein he must place every article of food to be cooked, including firewood, and when he bathes and has once got into the *Chowkâ*, he is not to come out of it until he has finished his meals; but if he is compelled to come out, the food is given away to a *Sûdra*, and everything is prepared afresh.

They say it is a custom corresponding with *Svayamûka* in Sanskrit, but the Brâhman in this country take this term to mean "to prepare his own meals," and *Chowkâ* does not occur in their observances. I shall be glad if any up-country or Bengal Brâhman will kindly enlighten me (one of them) as to the full object and observances of *Chowkâ*.

M. R. TIVÂRI.

Chikmaglur, 22nd July 1880.

ASIATIC SOCIETIES.

Journal of the American Oriental Society, Vol. X, No. II. — Besides an interesting account, by Mr. J. H. Hall, of a collection of some thirty Cypriote inscriptions belonging to the Metropolitan Museum of Art at New York, with facsimiles of these documents, this number contains two papers of considerable importance to Sanskrit scholars. Professor John Avery, of Iowa College, in his 'Contributions to the History of Verb-inflection in Sanskrit,' proposes to furnish a general view of the development of the system of verbal inflection in Sanskrit, based on a critical analysis and tabulated statement of the verbal forms of representative works of the three successive periods of Sanskrit literature, viz., the Vedic, the Brâhmanic, and the classical periods. The works selected for the purpose are the *Rigveda*, the *Aitareya-Brahmana*, and the *Nala* and *Bhagavadgītā*. The verbal forms quoted from these works include 18,216 from the *Rik*, 7,159 from the *Brâhmana*, and 2716 from the two remaining works. The current arrangement of the present stems or 'special tenses' in ten classes has rightly been discarded and a more scientific one has been adopted; viz., I, Simple Root class (2nd Indian class); II, Reduplicating class (3rd Indian); III, Nasal classes (5th, 8th, 9th, and 7th Classes); and IV, the *a*-classes (1st, 6th and 4th Indian classes). The tenth Indian class has been thrown together with the causatives. The author also gives a list of the roots found in these works.

A still more elaborate paper is the one by Professor C. B. Lanman of Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, which contains a 'Statistical Account of Noun-Inflection in the Veda.' The nominal forms (not including, however, the infinitives, the verbal absolutes, and the pronominal forms) of the *Rigveda* are here put together and scientifically classified in the most complete and exhaustive manner. The nominal bases or stems are grouped by the author in three classes, viz. 1, Stems whose suffixes end in vowels; 2, Suffixless stems (including however, for convenience sake, some bases formed with such suffixes as *-aj*, *-ij*, *-it*, *-ut*, *-vat*); and 3, stems whose suffixes end in *-t*, *-n* and *-s*. The nominal forms, brought together under these groups, and further classified according to the respective suffixes as well as to gender, number and case, amount to upwards of 96,000. In additional notes, interesting inferences are drawn from these results in regard to the relative ages of different Vedic texts and the different *Maṇḍalas* of the *Rigveda*, on the whole bearing out the views on these points prevalent among Sanskrit scholars. The authors of these papers deserve the heartiest thanks of all

Sanskrit and linguistic students for the able manner in which they have carried out their laborious researches.

The *Journal of the Bengal Asiatic Society* No. 2, 1880, opens with a long paper by Dr. A. F. Rudolph Hoernle containing a collection of Hindi Roots with remarks on their derivation and classification. The collection was originally intended for the author's *Comparative Grammar of the Gaudian Languages*, and the introductory remarks to this paper give the substance of the chapter on 'Roots' (pp 159-179) of that work. The root of *dekh* has been a subject of controversy; in Kāśmīrī it is *deshun*, Gipsy *dikdva*, and Singhalese *dikanava*. Childers derived the Pali *dakkhati* from the Sanskrit *drakshyati* the future from the root *drīś*, of which the present is not in use; and he shewed that in earlier Pāli writings it is always used in a future tense, and only in later times as a present. Pischel supports the views of Childers; but Weber controverts them and derives *dekkh* from the desiderative *didrakshate*. P. and S. Goldschmidt explain it as a denominative from the past participle *drīṣṭa*. Dr. Hoernle agrees with Childers and Beames that the original form *ḍakkh* was, in course of time, changed to *dekh* in order to assimilate it to another very common root *pekḥ*, also meaning "see." From the transitive pair of roots *pekḥ* and *dekh*, another similarly assimilated pair *pikḥ* and *dikḥ* are derived with meanings generally intransitive "be seen," "appear." Two instances of a similar process of derivation from the future base of a Sanskrit root are adduced in O. Hindi *nakh* or *nañkh* "destroy," or "throw away," Skr. *nañkshya* (fut. of *naś*); and O. Hindi *krakḥh* "draw," and H. *khech*, *khaich* or *kheich* "draw," Skr. *krakshya* (fut. of *krīṣ*). The Sanskrit future *nañkshyati* would be Pr. *nañkhaī* or *nakkhaī*, whence in Hindi *nañkhai* or *nakkhai* with a present meaning, while it is to be noted that the sense of the root has become transitive.

The Sanskrit root *prekḥ* "see," becomes *pekḥh* or *pecheh* in Prākṛit; the Skr. fut. base *drakshya* "will see," becomes *dakkha* or *dachchha* in Prākṛit, and similarly the Skr. fut. base *krakshya* or *karkshya* in Prākṛit would become *kakkha* or *kachehha*; and the Sanskrit compound future base *ḍakarkshya* (*i + krīṣ*) would become *dakkha* or *dachchha*. With the insertion of the usual euphonic *y*, the latter would become *dyachehhaī* or (with the not unusual nasalization instead of the reduplication) *dyañchhaī*; and, on Childers' theory, this might be used as a present, equivalent to Skr. *kārṣhati*. Hemachandra in his Grammar (iv. 187) gives *dyañchhaī*, *ayañchhaī*, *ḍiñchhaī* as equivalents of

karshati: Hindi has *aiñchai* or *eiñchai*. Now the root *krish* would yield a Prākṛit from *kachchhai* or *kañchhai*, which, in Hindi, by transferring the lost aspiration of *chh* to *k* and by assimilation to *aiñchai* and *eiñchai*, would result in the modern forms *khaiñchai* or *kheñchai* and *khaichai* or *khechhai*.

The lists of Roots are arranged in two parts, the first contains the primary, and the second consists of secondary roots.

The second paper is on some Coins supplementary to Thomas's *Chronicles of the Pathan Kings*, by C. J. Rodgers, and gives an account of about forty previously undescribed coins with two plates.

The third and last paper is a memorandum on Coins of the Sunga dynasty by H. Rivett-Carnac, C.I.E., supplementary to Mr. Carlleyle's paper in the previous number, with 3 plates representing 29 of these coins, belonging to Bhānumitra, Agnimitra, Bhūmimitra, Phāgunimitra, Bhadrāghosha, Sūryamitra, and Indramitra. Those of Agnimitra seem to be the most plentiful. Bhadrāghosha, Mr. Rivett-Carnac suggests, may be the Ghoshavasū whom Wilford supposes to be interpolated as the father or predecessor of Vikrama, and the Bhūmimitra of the Paurāṇik lists of the Kāṇva dynasty may be the same as the king of that name on his coins.

The corresponding part on "Physical Science" is chiefly occupied by a paper on the Water-supplies of Calcutta. We wonder the many students of Physical science in its various branches have not established for themselves a separate journal. Many of them can have as little interest in Hindi roots, and Sanskrit literature, as the Orientalist has in Calcutta Water-supply or *Höhomöia Roepstorffii*. Were those interested in Geography, and the Natural and Physical sciences all over India to form a Society (it might still continue in connexion with the Bengal Asiatic) with branches in Bombay, Madras, Ceylon, Panjab, and the North-West, each with an energetic Secretary, it might do immense service to science. The branches would help and incite one another to work, and instead of several ill-supported journals, they would be able to publish regularly one really good one, representing the work of all, and forming a medium of communication between them. Each local secretary would be a sort of joint editor under the guidance of the working editor, who would be responsible for the printing and publication. A journal of the kind is needed, and would be supported by numbers who care little for the contents of Part I of the *Journal of the A. S. of Bengal*, and who are not members of that Society. An Indian journal of Physical and Natural Science is a want that might and ought to be supplied.

In the *Journal Asiatique* for August-September 1880, M. Harlez gives his sixth and last article on the sources of Zoroastrianism. The paper, like those that have preceded it, is scholarly, searching, and satisfactory. His conclusions are supported by the most cogent reasoning, while he shows that the early Zoroastrian writings contain no trace of a knowledge of a resurrection of the body,—*frashokereti*, which some authors have translated in that sense, meaning properly 'the act producing immortality,'—he points out that they do speak of immortality itself and the restoration of the world at the end of time; and that, while there are points of apparent resemblance between some Zoroastrian doctrines and certain leading tenets in the Jewish religion, the resemblances only help to shew how independent and essentially different were the views of the early Magians and the Hebrews. The real though imperfect monotheism of the Zoroastrians differed in kind and in its place in the system from the absolute monotheism of the Jews, which was the very principle of the constitution of their system; creation *ex nihilo* was the necessary result of the fundamental principle of the Jews, whilst by the Iranians it was attributed to other spirits besides Ahuramazda; and Satan, M. Harlez shews, has a very subordinate place in the one system to that of Anromainyus in the other. The whole paper deserves to be translated into English.

M. Gattegny gives a translation, from the Armenian, of an Elegy on the sufferings of Armenia and the martyrdom of St. Vahan of Koghthēn. M. Senart follows with a continuation of his study of the inscriptions of Piyādisi, giving the fourth and fifth edicts, accompanied by a copy of General Cunningham's two plates of the Kapur-di-giri inscription. To the miscellany, M. Imbault-Huart contributes translations from the Chinese of apologies, anecdotes, bon-mots, tales, maxims, and aphorisms. The part concludes with a notice of the *Annales auctore Abu Jafar Mohammed ibn Jarir at-Tabari*, published under the supervision of M. de Goeje.

The *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society* for Oct. 1880 commences with a long paper (pp. 435-541) on the early history of Tibet by Dr. S. W. Bushell of Peking, being a literal translation from the official histories of the T'ang dynasty which was founded in 618 A.D. The annals contained in this paper cover the period from 634 to 866, and give us the names of the Tibetan sovereigns in their Chinese forms, differing considerably from those in the lists of Georgius, Schmidt, Csoma de Korös, and E. Schlagentweit. The following is the list,—

- A.D. 634. Ch'itsungluntsan sent the first mission to China.
 650. Ch'ilipapu, his grandson.
 679. Ch'inushsilung, his son, aged 8.
 705. Ch'lisotsan, son, aged 7, killed on an expedition against Nepâl and India.
 — Ch'ilisulunglichtsan, Khri-srang-lde-btsan.
 755. Sohsilunglichtsan, son.
 — Ch'ilitsan reigning in 780.
 797. Tsuchihchien, eldest son.
 798. — second son of Ch'ilitsan, died 804.
 816. K'olik'otsu, who reigned under the title of Yi'ni.
 838. Tamo (Dharma) brother of K'olik'otsu.
 842. Ch'ilihu, a nephew of the consort of Tamo; civil war.
 849. Shang-k'ungjê, declared himself tsamp'u; killed by the Uighur Turks, 866.

In an appendix, Dr. Bushell gives rubbings and a restoration of an inscription from a stone monument in front of a large temple in Lhasa, dated in the 2nd year of the Ch'ang-k'ing period (822), and engraved both in Tibetan and Chinese.

Mr. G. Le Strange contributes 'Notes' on some unedited coins from a collection he made in Persia in 1877-1879. Among them is a triboles of Seleukos Nikator, on the reverse of which is a horse drinking or feeding in front of Zeus's feet. A drachm of Seleukos III (he thinks), bears on

the exergue of the reverse the letters ΕΦ; and on the reverse of a drachm of Antiokhos III, Great, above the head of the seated Apollo, are the letters ΜΕΝ.

In Khorasan he obtained three copper coins of Sanabares, on which he reads—BACIAEYC CANABAPHC, instead of *Σαβαβας*. Among about seventy Parthian drachms, is one, apparently of one of the satraps of Mithridates I; on the obv. is a head to the right (usually to the left), bearded and bound by a tiara; on the rev. is the usual king seated on a stool, and round him is written ΒΑΣΙΛΕΥΣ ΜΕΤΑΛΟΥ ΑΡΣΑΚΟΥ. On a drachm of Artabanos II. (like pl. ii, No. 13 *Numism. Orient. : Parthian Coins*), the inscription reads—*βασιλεως μεγαλου Αρσακου θεοπατρον υκατορος*.

Among dinars and dirhems of the Khalifate he found a much-clipped dinar of Al-Mutawwakel, dated A. H. 237 and minted at Sana'a; also a rare dinar of Beni Aghlab of A. H. 296; and a unique dinar of Al Hasan ben al Kase'm the Alide. At Tehran he bought a dinar which proves to be a well executed forgery, dated A.H. 314, coined at 'Ani, and bearing on the reverse—

ابوالعباس بن المقتدر بالله امير المؤمنين

Among others are three dinars of Nuh ibn Nasr, the Samanide Amir, of A. H. 331, 333 and 337, all differing; a beautiful dinar of Majd al Daulah Buyeh of 398, coined at Muhammadiyah; and a dirhem of A. H. 131 coined at Ash-Shamiyeh.

The third and last paper consists of three Pali suttas on the Buddhist *Nirodha* and 'the Noble eightfold Path,' by Dr. O. Frankfurter.

BOOK NOTICES.

DIE KIRCHE DER THOMASCHRISTEN. Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte der Orientalischen Kirchen, von Dr. W. Hermann. (Gütersloeh, 1877.)

MEDIEVAL MISSIONS (Duff Missionary Lectures—First Series) by Thomas Smith, D.D. (Edinburgh: T. and T. Clark, 1880.)

The Syrian Church of Malabar has often attracted attention, and has been the subject of numerous papers and even of separate volumes.¹ In the first of the works named above Dr. Hermann has done good service by going over the whole ground again, and collecting into a volume of 792 pages all the information available on so interesting a subject, and presenting a detailed history of these Christians from the earliest times till the present day.

The vexed question of the origin of the Church

and the visit of the Apostle Thomas is discussed afresh with all the evidences from Syriac and other sources, some of which will be new to the English reader. For example, from Dr. Land's *Anecdota Syriaca* (vol. I, p. 123) he derives this:—“In the year 52 of our Lord Jesus Christ the lord Thomas came into India and arrived at Mailapur. Here he preached the gospel to many whom he made disciples and baptized in the name of the Father and Son and Holy Spirit. Thence he set out and travelled in Malabar, where he reached Moljokare.² He preached also to the people of this district and there set up an altar to the Lord, to whom moreover he gave two presbyters. From that he went to Kutkayet,³ where he founded

¹ E. g. Geddes's *History of the Church of Malabar*, 1694; La Croze, *Hist. du Christianisme des Indes*, 1723; Hough, *Hist. of Christianity in India*, 1839, vols. I and II; Raulinus, *Hist. Eccl. Malab.* 1745; Lee's *Brief History*; and Whitehouse's *Lingerings of Light*, 1873; J. W. Etheridge, *The Syrian Churches*. London: 1846; see

als III, p. 30
Jour. R. As. Soc. vol. I, p. 175; Lassen, *Ind. Alt.* Bd. II, S. 1119.

² Elsewhere spelt Maljomkare: this is Malankara, a small island in the lagoon S. E. from Kodungalur.—Ed.

³ Evidently Kōṭakāyal.

a church, as he also did at Irappeli* and Gukamaglam⁵ and Nernam⁶ and Tirubokut. Next he returned to Mailapur, where he was stabbed with a spear by the unbelievers" (p. 43).

Dr. Smith in the 7th lecture of his popular little volume has made large use of Dr. Germann's work, and gives the following version of another quotation from the same source (vol. I, p. 124)⁷:—"Afterwards, when 160 truly Christian families of Malabar Christians were so long without presbyters and leaders, a dissention arose among them, for what cause I know not, but some of them renounced the orthodox faith, and others did not. Those who renounced it were 96 families, and those who retained it were 64. At the same time a vision appeared by night to the metropolitan of Edessa, who arose in the morning and went to the Catholicus of the East, and told him of the vision which he had seen, and when the Catholicus had heard it, he sent messengers to all the churches and monasteries and cities of the diocese, and convoked an assembly. And when many flocks had met, with their bishops, and with merchants belonging to them, he told them what the bishop had seen, and related to them his words. Then one of them arose, viz., a merchant, whose name was Thomas of Jerusalem, who answered, saying, 'I have ere now heard from foreign places and travellers a report about Malabar and India.' When the patriarch heard this answer, he rose from his seat, went to Thomas, embraced him lovingly, and thus addressed him, 'I entreat thee my very dear son, to go to Malabar, to visit the inhabitants of the country, and to bring me back word as to what has befallen them.' Therefore Thomas of Jerusalem set out for Malabar, and coming to Maljomkare he saw the Thomas-Christians; and they were mutually pleased, the Christians telling him of the state of their affairs, which when Thomas had heard, he gave them courage and exhorted them with kind words, and straightway he embarked and returned into his country. On his return he went to the patriarch, and said to him, 'Lo! I have seen with my eyes the Thomas-Christians, and we have spoken together with mutual satisfaction, and I left them hopeful and returned.' The patriarch answered, 'Although I am ready to lay down my life for them, I ask you to be pleased to point out what these my children would have me do for them.' Then he stated to the patriarch what the

Malabarian brethren desired. Therefore, not long after, yea in these very days, with the help of the adorable God, and by order of the patriarch of the East, Thomas of Jerusalem, the merchant went forth again, and with him the bishop who had seen the vision, and at the same time presbyters and deacons, and also men and women, young men and maidens, from Jerusalem and Bagdad and Nineveh, and they entered into a ship and set sail for Malabar, and arrived at Maljomkare the year of the Lord 345."

Germann devotes the 2nd chapter of his work to Pantænus and his mission; the 3rd to Theophilus and this Thomas of Jerusalem; the 4th to the Manicheans and their connection with Malabar; the 5th to Kosmas Indicopleustes and his mission in 529 A.D.; another to the Jews in India, &c.;—and the early history is brought down, in the first division of the work, to the close of the middle ages. The second division (pp. 315—770) gives a careful history of the church from 1498 to 1875, and is followed by a useful chronological table, of which we give the first portion:—

b.c. 150. Hindus visit the valley of the Euphrates.

„ 31. Alexandria taken by the Romans, a centre of communication.

„ 20. Nikolaus of Damascus met with an Indian embassy at Daphne of Antioch.

„ 30 to A.D. 14. Embassies of the Tamil Pandyas of Madurai and of the ruler of Malabar, to Augustus.⁸

—⁹ Hippalus discovers the south-west monsoon.

?? Jewish trading colonies in India. The Black Jews. The Beni-Israel.¹⁰

A.D. 40. King Yndopherres in the Indus valley.

cir. 52. The Apostle Thomas in India.

55. King Gondopherres or Gundaphorus.

41—54. Claudius receives an embassy from Ceylon.¹¹

? Brahman pilgrims to the Island of the Whites (śvetadvīpa).

cir. 69. Emigrant white Jews build Mahādeva-pattanam (Kōlāṅgālār).

„ 200. Pantænus in north-western India.

„ 233. Request of the Edessans for the transfer of the bones of the apostle Thomas from India.

— Thomas the Manichean sent to India: he returns back.

* Yerapalli near Eddapalli, N. E. from Cochin. — Ed.

⁵ Probably the modern Kothamangalam in Cochin, or possibly Kajoukanbalam at Udiampūr. — Ed.

⁶ The modern Nernnam S. W. of Cochin called Naranam by Menezes, and Nernote by DuPerron. — Ed.

⁷ Germann, pp. 84-5, in Smith's *Med. Miss.* pp. 255, 256; Mar. Gabriel in the account given to the Rev. J. C. Visscher, a Dutch chaplain, *Letters from Malbar* (1743) makes a similar statement; see Whitehouse's *Ling. of*

Light, p. 61n.

⁸ This seems to be a mistake; Strabo speaks of only one embassy from one king, Pandion (XV, i. 4); and Florus says it was four years on the road (*Hist. Rom.* IV, 12); see Priaux's *Apoll. of Tynt.* p. 68. — Ed.

⁹ Probably about A. D. 47; conf. *Ind. Ant.* vol. VIII, p. 338. — Ed.

¹⁰ See *Ind. Ant.* vol. III, p. 322.

¹¹ Priaux (*u. s.* p. 99) indicates 43-47 A.D.

- cir. 302. Controversy on religion between Hindus and Christians in the Euphrates valley.
- „ 325. John Bishop of Persia and Greater India at Nicæa.
- „ 340. Theophilus of Diu visits the congregations of the Indian continent.
- „ 345. Thomas of Jerusalem takes a Christian colony to India. The first native Indian bishop Joseph or Italocho (Abatalla) of Edessa.
- „ 354. Theophilus of Diu banished and employed beyond the Roman territories.
- A.D. 360. Theophilus condemned by the Arians at Constantinople.
- „ 361-363. Embassy to Diva and Serendiva under Julian.
- „ 364-378. Valens, at the Tomb of Thomas in Edessa.
- In the 4th century, privileges to Rabban Joseph. Rule of the Anjuvannam.
- ? 369. Jewish colony to Majorca.
- ? 489. New Jewish emigration to Kodāngalur.
- Quarrel of the White and Black Jews in the 5th century.
- „ 498. Synod of Seleucia, the Persian church under Babœus separates from the orthodox church.
- „ 500. Temptation and apostacy through Mānikjavāchaka.
- „ 508. Syriac version of the Bible. The Philoxenian version for the Jacobites.
- Privileges granted to Ravi Korttan. Rule of Manigramam.
- „ 520. Kosmas Indikopleustes returns from India.
- „ 550. The bones of the apostle Thomas brought to the great church in Edessa.
- „ 550. Attempt of the sect to get the Indians to have a Monophysite bishop.
- „ 570. Bud Periodeutes visits the Indian Christians.
- „ 580. Theodorus visits the cloister and church of the Apostle Thomas in India.
- „ 636. The Nestorian Patriarch Jesubabus Gadalensis sends missionaries to India and China.
- 650-660. Simeon of Persia sets himself free of Seleucia and neglects the Indians.
- cir. 690. Embassy of the Indian Christians to the Julianist Bishop Theodorus at Alexandria.
- „ 750. Persian Christians at Mailapur. The Pahlavi Cross.¹²
- A.D. 778. Before this year the Indians had an independent Metropolitan.
- „ 780. Repeated schisms of the Persians of the Patriarchate of Seleucia.
- „ 800. The Patriarch Timotheus sends Bishop Thomas to the Indians.
- In the ninth century, Persians at Kot-tayam. Pahlavi Cross.
- „ 823. Separation between the Christians at Kodāngalur.
- „ 825. Era of Quilon. Marvān Sapor Iáo obtains a grant to the Tarisapalli. Sapor and Peroz.¹³
- „ 841. Shortly before this year the Arab merchants (Sulaiman) visit Beit-tuma—House of Thomas.
- „ 878. Massacre of foreigners in Kanfu in China.
- „ 883. Mission from Alfred the Great to the Thomas Christians.
- „ 980. A Nestorian missionary sent through India to China.
- „ 1122. John of India (?) at Rome.
- „ 1143. The Catholicos of Romagyri in India, mentioned by Nilos Doxapatrios.¹⁴
- „ 1154. Edrisi mentions Jews, Christians, and Muhammadans in India.
- „ 1173. Somewhat before this year, Benjamin of Tudela at Gincala (Kodāngalur).¹⁵
- „ 1222. Establishment of the Pilgrim societies.
- „ 1274. Kazwini mentions Jews and Christians at Saimur.
- „ 1288. The Indian governor occupies the building of the Church of St. Thomas. Mailapur a place of pilgrimage.
- „ 1290. Marco Polo's first visit to India.
- „ 1291. Theodosius Doria and the brothers Vivaldi seek a sea-route to India.
- 1291-1292. John of Montecorvino in India. Nikolaus of Pistoja killed.
- Marco Polo's return through India.
- cir. 1300. Haitho Armenus on the decline of the Indian Christians.
- A.D. 1307. John of Montecorvino, first bishop of Cambalik; seven suffragan bishops appointed.
- „ 1308. Andreas of Perugia and Peregrinus pass through India to China.
- „ 1310. Menentillus of Spoleto in Upper India and Santo Tomeo.
- „ 1320. Four Franciscans martyred at Thānā.
- 1320-23. Missionary visit of Jordanus to Thānā, Supārā, and Bharoch.
- 1324-25. Odoricus of Pordenone and James of Ireland in India, Mandeville.

¹² See Burnell's *S. Ind. Palæog.* 2nd ed. p. 57; and *Ind. Ant.* vol. III, p. 311 ff.

¹³ Land, *Anc. Syria*, t. I., p. 125, Visscher, *Letters from Malabar* (Madras, 1862), p. 107.—Ed.

¹⁴ Conf. Weber in *Ind. Ant.*, vol. III, p. 49.—Ed.

¹⁵ Wilson, *Jands of the Bible*, vol. II, p. 678; Gildesmeister, *Script. Arab.* p. 185.—Ed.

- A.D. 1328. Jordanus consecrated as Bishop of Colombo, *i.e.* Quilon.
- " 1330. Bishop Jordanus a second time in India. Prince of the Nascarines (Nazarites).
- " 1332. The preaching friars restored by the Pope and organized.
- In the 14th century Antonius and Thomas in India.
- 1348-50. John of Marignola in India.
- cir. 1370. Cessation of the Romish missions to China and India.
- Bakkaraya of Vijyanagar grants land to the Church of St. Thomas at Mailapur.
- " 1440. Nicolo di Conti at Mailapur.
- " 1455. Quarrels between the Christians and Muhammadans at Mailapur.
- " 1464. Pius II. renews the missionary society for India.
- " 1487. Pedro de Cavilhao as Portuguese Ambassador in India.
- " 1490. George and Joseph of the Thomas Christians sent to the Patriarch. Mar Thomas and John sent to India with Joseph.
- " 1492. Joseph accompanies Thomas back to Mesopotamia.
- " 1493. Joseph returns back to India.
- " 1497. Vasco da Gama sails for India in July.
- " 1498. In April finds Thomas Christians (?) at Melinda.
- 1490-1503. Purushottama of Orissa overruns the Carnatic to Conjeveram, destroys Mailapur before 1500.

This work is by far the fullest yet written on the subject, and forms a valuable contribution to our knowledge.

The seventh lecture in Dr. Smith's little volume is a popular résumé of the history of the same sect, and of the missions to India in the middle ages, in which he has made use of Dr. Germann's work; his sixth lecture is on the missions to Central Asia and China: the others relate to the more notable missionaries and their work in Europe and Africa. They are addressed to the general reader, and are vigorously written.

CHINESE BUDDHISM: a volume of Sketches, Historical, Descriptive, and Critical, by Rev. Joseph Edkins, D.D. London: Trübner & Co. 1880.

This new volume of Trübner and Co.'s *Oriental Series* is one of considerable value, and, as the author remarks, "there is room for new information on the entrance, progress, and characteristics of Chinese belief in the religion founded by Śakyamuni." It is "the fruit of many years' studies. Some parts of it were written nearly twenty-five years ago; nearly all is the fruit of Chinese reading." And whilst Dr. Eitel of Hongkong and Mr. T. Watters have in this interval written on the same

subject, the author's mode of treatment is different from theirs, and in his revision he has had the advantage of studying their researches, whilst his stock of information has been steadily augmented. Exclusive of the indexes, &c. the body of the work contains 419 pages, and is divided into an introduction and 26 chapters: the first four are devoted to a life of Buddha; the 5th to the Patriarchs of the northern Buddhists; the 6th to the history of Buddhism in China; the 7th and 8th to Schools of Buddhism; the 9th to its moral system; the 10th to the 13th, to the Calendar, Hindu mythology, and Buddhist cosmogony; the 14th to the 16th, to Images, Monasteries, and Ceremonials; the 17th to the 19th, to Buddhist Literature, with specimens; the 20th, to the effect of Buddhism on the Sung philosophy; the 21st, to the Wind and Water superstition; the 22nd, to Buddhist phraseology in relation to Christian teaching; the 23rd, is a notice of the Wu-wei-kian, a reformed Buddhist sect originated about 270 years ago; the 24th, is on the popular aspects of Buddhism and Tāoism; the 25th, on Sanskrit words in Chinese literature; and the 26th, on some of the Books and papers which have been published in Europe on Chinese Buddhism. These subjects are not all treated with equal fulness and accuracy, but on most of them there is more or less of new information drawn from original sources. In the 5th Chapter (pp. 60-86) the information respecting the Patriarchs is much fuller than we have met with elsewhere. As Mr. Beal has given a list (*ante* p. 148), compiled chiefly from Tārānātha, and Mr. Edkins's differs in some names, and gives long details about many of them we extract here, for purposes of comparison, little more than the bare list, completing it from Régnier's and Lassen's (*Ind. Alt.* Bd. II, 2nd ed. S. 1200ff):—

1. Kāśyapa, a Brahman: to him, the Chinese allege, was entrusted the deposit of esoteric doctrine, the symbol of which, communicated orally without books, is the *svastika*. He taught for 20 years.

2. Ānanda, the son of Śuklodana, the uncle of Buddha. According to Tārānātha, he presided 40 years.

3. Śāṅghavāsu, Śāṅgakavāsa, or Śāṅgavāsika of Rājagṛha. Some say he was a Vaiśya born at Mathurā. He went to Manda mountain and thence to Kipin (Kandahar), propagating the doctrines of Buddhism, about 80 years before the conquests of Alexander.

4. Upagupta was a native of the Mathurā country. Some say he was a Śūdra

5. Drikata or Dhritaka, a native of Central India, was given by his father to Upagupta as a disciple, and received to the vows at 20 years old.

6. *Michaka* or *Kala* was born in Southern India. By Eitel he is called *Mikkaka*, and in the *San-kiun-qi-su*, *Misuchaka*.

7. *Vasumitra*, who is omitted by Edkins's authority¹ (see *ante*, p. 14) also. He was president of the synod under *Kanishka* of *Kāśmir* B.C. 153 (?).

8. *Buddhanandi*, a native of Northern India.

9. *Buddhamitra*, converted the king and a *Nirgrantha*.

10. *Pārśva*,

11. *Punayaja* or *Punyayaśas*—the *Fu-na-ya-shi* of *Wong-puh*.

12. *Aśvagosha* or *Ma-ming*, born at *Banāras*, but taught chiefly at *Pāṭaliputra*. In his time the king of the *Getae* led an army to besiege *Pāṭaliputra*, but was bought off, and got *Ma-ming*, Buddha's rice-bowl, and a cock that would not drink water having insects in it. The king of the *Getae* was afterwards attacked by the *Parthians* but defeated them.

13. *Kapimāra* spread the Buddhist religion in Southern India. *Rémusat* (*Mémoires Asiat.* tom. I, p. 122) calls him *Kabimāra*.

14. *Nāgārjuna* or *Lung-shu*, born of a Brahman family in Southern India (*Ind. Ant.* vol. IV, p. 141), was one of the most prolific writers of the *Mahāyāna* school.

15. *Kanadeva*, a native of South India, murdered by the disciple of an opponent. This is the *Āryadeva* of other lists (*Ind. Ant.* vol. IV, p. 142); Lassen calls him *Kanadeva*, and a *Vaiśya*.

16. *Rāhulata* or *Rāgurata*, a native of *Kapila*.

17. *Sanghanandi* of *Śrāvasti*, the son of a king.

18. *Saṅkayasheta* or *Gayaśāta*, a native of northern India. He died B.C. 13; Julien (*Mémoires sur les Cont. Occid.* tom. II, p. 346) places this B.C. 74.

19. *Kumārada* or *Kumārata*, died A.D. 23.

20. *Jayata*, born in northern India, died 74 A.D.²

21. *Vasubhanda*, generally made contemporary with *Prāḍitya* the son of *Vikramāditya* (*Ind. Ant.* vol. IV, p. 142 ff.); he died A.D. 175.²

22. *Manura* or *Manorata*,—was well skilled in the analysis of alphabetic sounds, and was recommended by a learned Buddhist, named *Yaja*, to proceed to Western and Southern India to teach Buddhism; and Dr. Edkins thinks he would aid in giving alphabets to the *Tamil* and

other languages. He found Western India under the control of king *Toda*. He afterwards went "to the kingdom of the Indian *Getae*, who, retreating westward before the *Hsiung-nu*, B.C. 180, conquered the *Panjab* and *Kāśmir* in A.D. 126. *Manura* taught in Western India and *Ferghana* in the third century A.D. He is the author of the *Vibhūṣha Śāstra*." Others place his death in 167 A.D.

23. *Haklena* or *Padmaratna* of the country of the *Getae* (*Yue-ti*—*Kandahar* ?); he went to Central India and died 209 A.D.

24. *Singhalaputra*, a native of Central India, the *Āryasinha* of other accounts (*Rémusat*, *ut. sup.* p. 124; and Lassen; see also *ante* p. 149). He went to *Ki-pin* (*Kābul* ?) where he was beheaded by the king. Lassen places his death between 249 and 283 A.D. Some terminate the list of great teachers here.

25. *Bāsiasita* or *Nāśāsata*, a *Brāhman* and native of *Kandahar*; he travelled in Central and Southern India, and died A.D. 328.

26. *Putnomita* or *Punyamitra*, a *Kshatriya* of Southern India. He visited Eastern India, and died A.D. 338.

27. *Prajñātara*, a native of Central India, who travelled in the south and instructed *Bodhidharma* the second son of the king. He ascended the funeral pile A.D. 457.

28. *Bodhidharma* left Southern India for China in A.D. 526, where he died (see *ante* p. 149).

This last was the founder of the contemplative school in which the distinction of virtue and vice is lost. The teaching of this school, so prevalent in China, says Dr. Edkins, "has failed to produce high morality among its votaries. The mass of the people have gained from Buddhism the notion of a future retribution, but what is the use of this when the promised state beyond death consists merely of a clumsy fiction? The metempsychosis, administered by a moral fate, has only provided them with a convenient means for charging their sinfulness and their misfortunes on a former life. What virtue the people have among them is due to the Confucian system. Buddhism has added to it only idolatry, and a false view of the future state, but has not contributed to make the people more virtuous" (p. 290). "Though the Buddhists have good precepts they are very much neglected even in the teaching." Its moral code "is feebleness itself compared with the Confucianist."

The educated Chinese despise the popular development of Buddhism, "as consisting of image worship and procuring for money the protection

¹ The *Fo-tsu-t'ung-ki*, which, to fill up the vacancy, mentions *Madhyantika*, a disciple of *Ananda* who converted *Kāśmir*.

² The 20th and 21st are omitted by the *Fo-tsu-t'ung-ki* followed by Dr. Edkins.

of powerful unseen beings." The "Sacred Edict" cites the judgment pronounced by Chu Hi, the philosopher and critic of the Sung dynasty, saying, "that the Buddhists care nothing for heaven or earth, or anything that goes on around them, but attend exclusively each to his single mind. They are then condemned for fabricating groundless tales of future happiness and misery. They are charged with doing this only for gain, and encouraging for the same object the large gatherings of the country population at the temples ostensibly to burn incense, but really to practise the worst forms of mischief" (p. 152).

Such being the Chinese opinion of Buddhism, we can easily understand that the intellectual vigour once connected with it is now dead, past all hope of resurrection.

The work of Dr. Edkins will be found replete with information respecting the history and tenets of Buddhism in its northern form of development. It is somewhat defective in parts, from the incompleteness of the author's knowledge of Southern Buddhism: but this will hardly mislead the reader.

LES RELIGIONS ET LES LANGUES DE L'INDE ANGLAISE, par Robert Cust (Bibliothèque Orientale Elzévirienne; Paris: E. Leroux, 1880).

A SKETCH OF THE MODERN LANGUAGES OF THE EAST INDIES, accompanied by two language-maps. By Robert N. Cust. (London: Trübner & Co. 1878.)

Mr. Cust is an old Indian of superabundant energy if not of accurate scholarship. The first of the works before us is a tersely written little volume, not very learned, but pleasant reading for any spare hour. The author's long residence in India has given him opportunities, of which he has availed himself, to become acquainted with the present popular religious beliefs of the country, and the first part of the volume contains many remarks and suggestions founded on personal observation that thoroughly deserve the attention even of advanced students of the history of the development of the religious sects in India, while the book as a whole will supply a good deal of information in a popular form. It is not, however, in all cases so accurate as references to the latest authorities might have enabled the author to make it.

The chapter or section on the languages is of the most popular character, and reads like a magazine article. There is no index, and the only two foot-notes in the book, one at the beginning of each part, give an undigested list of books and authors on the subject of each; had these lists been given in more detail they would have been useful to the student in looking for what he might want. Among the authorities on page 2 is "Tree and Serpent Worship, by John Fergusson:"—we do not know "John." References might also have been given either as foot-notes, or at the end of each part to the authorities for important state-

ments, and to fuller discussions on points of interest which there was not room to do more than refer to in so small a volume.

The volume of Trübner's Oriental Series on the Modern Languages has already been some time before the public. It is a much more ambitious performance, but like the other its value is much less than it might have been from the want of references. The subject is much too large to be discussed satisfactorily in a volume of 200 pages, inclusive of seven appendices; and the class of students to which a book on the languages of India would be really useful, want not merely a brief general outline of the various families of languages and their branches and subordinate dialects such as could be compressed into a volume like this, but also full details of the literature of the subject as connected with each branch and dialect. This is all the more needed as many statements in this volume seem much in want of verification or correction.

We can only afford a short specimen of Mr. Cust's style and mode of dealing with the separate languages. After giving the boundaries of the Marāṭhi language, according to the late Dr. John Wilson, he says (p. 58):—"Of this language there is a Dictionary by Molesworth and Candy with a Preface by Wilson. Of ordinary Primers there are many, and in 1868, a Student's Manual has been published by a native of India anonymously, based on scientific principles, and with a Preface of importance. . . . Although it possesses 20,000 words, it has admitted a great many loan-words from Arabic, Persian, as well as Sanskrit. No inscriptions are found in it. The Orthography is unfixed. It is described as copious without order, energetic without rule, and with no fixed standard of classical purity. . . . The dialects of the tableland are opposed to those of the coast below the Ghāts or the Kōṅkan. I have tried in vain to get precision. . . . The tableland round Poona is the centre of the Deśi, and to the South is the Dakhīni. The Dialect of Nāgpuri is separate." The Kōṅkani of the Goa territory, he adds, "known as Goadesi or Gomantaki, is illustrated by a large Literature formed by the Jesuits, consisting of a Grammar in Portuguese, and a Christian religious book called *Purdy*, the work of a Jesuit named Esteva, said to have been Stephens, an Englishman."

Now this might all be retailed table-talk: the high character of Molesworth and Candy's Dictionaries ought to have been indicated; some of the best of the many Marāṭhi Grammars in English, and Krishna Śāstri Godbole's excellent scientific one in Marāṭhi, might at least have been named; some of the statements following are incorrect and others sadly want "precision," while the vagueness of the information about Father Thomas Esteva († 1619)¹ is hardly creditable to the author.

¹ See *Ind. Ant.* vol. VII, p. 117.

We are glad to see a first attempt at a handbook on so interesting a subject, and hope it may yet be recast and thoroughly corrected so as to be a trustworthy guide to the student, supplying him with a basis of fact, and directing him to the best information on all details, while mapping out clearly the many blanks that have to be filled up by future labourers.

THE COMMENTARIES OF THE GREAT AFONSO DALBOQUERQUE, second Viceroy of India, translated from the Portuguese edition of 1774, with Notes and an Introduction by W. de Gray Birch, F.R.S.L. vols. 1-3. London: Printed for the Hakluyt Society, 1875-80.

The volumes of the Hakluyt Society, established for the purpose of printing rare or unpublished voyages and travels, are not offered for sale in the usual way, and it may not be out of place here to inform our readers that the volumes issued for the year are only obtainable by subscribers who pay a guinea per annum in advance.¹ Usually two volumes are published annually, so that since 1848, sixty-two have been issued, including such valuable works for Indian readers as the *Marvels described by Friar Jordannus*; *Travels of Ludovico di Varthema*; Yule's *Cathay and the Way Thither*; the *Three Voyages of Vasco da Gama*, &c.

The *Commentaries of the Great Afonso Dalboquerque* were compiled by his natural son, from the despatches forwarded to the king D. Manoel, and were first published in 1557. A second edition corrected and augmented by the author was issued in 1576²; and the third, which is the text here translated, was prepared by Nicolao Pagliarini and published in 1774, containing several original despatches and letters written by the hero himself. It was intended that the translation should have been contained in three volumes, but a fourth will be required to complete it, as the third, which was to have contained Parts III and IV of the Portuguese edition, only brings us down to the end of Part III, which concludes with the departure of Afonso Dalboquerque for the Straits of the Red Sea in pursuance of his intention of conquering Ormuz and establishing Portuguese rule in that part of Persia.

The translator prefaces each volume with lengthy and most valuable introductions on the character of Dalboquerque, the foundation, rise, progress, and decay of the Portuguese empire in India, and bibliographical information in reference to the Indian cycle of Portuguese Literature.³ And the volumes are illustrated with valuable facsimiles of old maps and portraits from MSS. in the British Museum.

"There is no doubt," says Mr. Birch, "that whoever will give some time and attention to the learning of Portuguese will find his labour amply requited by the advantages to be derived from the great mass of literature which the language

possesses." And we heartily join with him in the desire to see more translations of standard Portuguese historical works. "The true position of the English rule in India," he adds, "and the traditions it maintains, the point of view from which it is looked upon by the native races, can never be rightly understood until a better knowledge is acquired concerning the first impressions conveyed to, and made upon, the minds of the inhabitants by the first European nation which effected any extensive settlement among them. This fact alone, one out of many quite as pertinent which might be adduced, ought to convince us of the importance of examining the classical literary productions of a nation justly celebrated at all times for enterprise and military prowess."

We trust the publication of the concluding volume of these most instructive *Commentaries* will not be long delayed. They form a very valuable addition to the history of the Western Coast of India. Any attempt to analyse their contents would occupy more space than we can spare: we must refer readers to the book itself.

KAUMUDĪ-MANOTSŪPA, by Rāmachandra Bhikṣī Gaudikar and Kāśināth Pāṇḍarang Parab. (Parts 1-6.) Bombay, Nirṇayashikhar Press.

This publication, when complete, is to contain the text of the *Siddhanta-Kaumudī* with a Marāṭhī commentary by the first named editor; further Pāṇini's *Sūtras* printed continuously, and a second time with the *anuvṛttis* (or words supplied from preceding *sūtras*) compiled by the same scholar; the *Gāṇa* and *Dhātupāṭhas*, the *Pāṇinīya Śikṣā* and the *Unādisūtras* ascribed to Śākatāyana (edited, with Uj्ज्वालādatta's commentary, by Aufrecht); Śāntanava's *Phitsūtras* (edited by Kielhorn); Kātyāyana's *Vārttikas*; and indexes by Kāśināth. Though all these works are already accessible to scholars in one form or another, it will no doubt be useful to native students to have them combined in a cheap and handy volume. Most of the works mentioned are already finished, and a few more parts will complete the compilation. In the place of the first reprint of Pāṇini's *Sūtras*, the editors would have done better to have given them in alphabetical order, with the *Vārttikas* in smaller type, worked into the same list. In the *Gāṇa-pāṭha*, various readings are given in brackets after the respective words; but the list is apparently a mere reprint of the one contained in Boehtlingk's edition of Pāṇini, a semblance of originality being effected by the rather ingenious expedient of an interchango of Boehtlingk's various readings with his respective *gāṇa*-words. Though we are far from imputing to the editors any intention to mislead others in this respect, we think it would have been as well if they had explained the nature of this part of their publication. E.

¹ The Society's agent is Mr. Richards, 37 Great Queen Street, Lincoln's Inn Fields, London.

² This was translated into French by J. Marnef, Paris, 1579.

INDEX.

	PAGE		PAGE		PAGE
Abdagases, k.*...258-260, 262, 263		Aindra grammarians	80	<i>amātya</i> , o.	35, 239
<i>abdhijā</i>	173	Aira insc.	62	Ambarnāth insc.	43, 45
Abhā Salamewan, k.	271	Aishin Giyoro	214	Ambērā, W. Chal. (grant of)...	304
Abhaya, k.9, 10		<i>aiga</i> , <i>ayya</i>	38	American Oriental Society ...	310
<i>Abhidharma</i>	301	Aiyapadēva, k.	36	Ammamāyambudha, k.	36
Abu'l-ghāzi	91, 93, 240, 242	<i>aiyyār</i>	266	Amman Kovil	117
Abu Sayyid.....	90	Aja, g.180, 191		Amôghavarsha, Rāsh.	45
<i>achūtrya</i>	174, 175	Ajantā Caves	230	Amśumān	180
<i>achūtabhāṭappravēśya</i> .124, 175n, 239		Ajātasattu, Ajātasātru, k...84, 149		Amśuvarma, Nē.169-172	
Achyutarāya, Vi.	50	Ajita Kōśakambala	162	Amyntas, k.257, 258	
<i>adabhra</i>	127n	<i>ajñapti</i>	101	Anaikal, t.	48
<i>adāya</i>	239	'Akaßapos	259, 262	Ānanda.....102, 103, 148, 315	
<i>adhahśālā-pāñchalīka</i>	171	Ākhandala	48	Anantadēva, Śil.37-41	
<i>adhīrāja</i>	127, 128,	<i>akiriyāddā</i>	159	Anantapāla, Śil.37, 40-43, 46	
130, 131, 133, 134, 175, 176, 183,		<i>akshayanīcī</i>	167	<i>anattamanavāchā</i>	162
191, 193, 294, 295		A-ku-la.....	23	<i>āpatti</i>	101
Adi's wife,—Folklore.....	2	Alakananda, r.	17n	An-ba-khai.....243-247, 274	
Āditya, g.	238	A-la-na-shun	20	Andhra, co.	98
Ādityasēna, k.	181	A-la-wei	23	Andhra coins	61f
Ādityavarmā, Chō.	49	Albirūnī	44, 45	Āndhras.....61, 98, 135	
Admetus	290	Alexander the Great...69, 255, 256		Andreas of Perugia	314
Adolf's <i>Rigveda</i> , &c.	251	Al-Hasan ben al Kāsem	312	Androchus	58
Adondai, Chō.	49	A-li-in	24	<i>angākoks</i>	298
<i>Æthiopika</i> of Heliodorus ... 51, 52		Alkestis	290	<i>āngas</i>	288-9
Agastya	73, 85	'Αλλόβοι, Alobhiya	122 & n	<i>anigukta</i> , o.	35
Agathokleia, q.	257	Al-Mutawwakel	312	Anjvannum	314
Agathokles, k.256-7, 259		<i>ābhāi</i>	118	Ānroumainyus	311
Agenor	255	<i>Allan Defter</i>	90, 95	Ānar	229
Aghojin Bughurul	94	Altan Khān	241-2	Anālkides, k.256-253	
Aghori beggars	278	<i>Allan Topchi</i> 89, 91, 92, 94, 276-278		Antigonos, k.	255
<i>agnihōtra</i>	295	<i>Allindische Leben</i> , v. H. Zimmer 53		Antimakhos, k.256-259	
Agnimitra, k.253, 311		<i>alabidha</i>	122n	Antiochos Soter, k.255, 256	
<i>agratra</i>	175, 295	Alun Goa..... 92, 94, 95, 213, 214,		• „ 11. Theos	287
<i>ahastaprakshēpanīya</i>	239	217, 218		„ 111. Megus	312
Ahavāgra, v.	143	Alutgalwihāra.....	12	Antukkār, v.102, 103	
Ahichchhatra t.	252	Amadagāmini, k.	11	Anumitra, k.	253
<i>ahimsā</i>	160	Amara	80, 81	Anundoran Borooah's <i>Eng.</i>	
Ahir	52, 76	<i>Amarakāsha</i>	306	and <i>Sansk. Dictionary</i>	251
Ahuramazda, g...139, 266-268, 311		Amaramalla, Nē.	188	Anurādhapura	9
Āi-hien-chi (Prabhajñānapriya) 24		Amarāvati ...64, 70, 135-137, 139,		Anus.....	54
Aihole, v.	80	140, 251		<i>anusaneydna</i>	287
„ tablets.....	74, 75, 96, 99	Amarn	305	Araoki	213
Ai Khān.....90, 91		Amaryawa	269	Apara	301

Abbreviations :—

<p>Andh.—Andhra dynasty.</p> <p>c.—city.</p> <p>ca.—caste.</p> <p>Chō.—Chōla.</p> <p>co.—country.</p> <p>d.—district.</p> <p>E. Chal.—Eastern Chalukya.</p>	<p>Early Chal.—Early Chalukya.</p> <p>g.—god, goddess, or supernatural</p> <p>k.—king.</p> <p>[being.</p> <p>l. m.—land-measure.</p> <p>mt.—mountain.</p> <p>Nē.—Nēpāla.</p> <p>o.—official.</p>	<p>Pall.—Pallava.</p> <p>q.—queen.</p> <p>r.—river.</p> <p>Rāsh.—Rāshtrakūṭa.</p> <p>s.—sect.</p> <p>Śil.—Śilāhāra.</p> <p>Śin.—Śinda.</p>	<p>t.—town.</p> <p>tr.—tribe.</p> <p>v.—village.</p> <p>Va.—Valabhi.</p> <p>Vi.—Vijayanagara.</p> <p>W. Chal.—Western Chalukya</p> <p>W. Chāl.—Western Chālukya</p>
---	--	--	---

- Aparāditya, Śil.36, 39, 42-46
 Aparājita, Śil.36, 39, 40
 aparigraha 160
 Aparokṣadambhātī 60
 Apastambha-sūtra 103
 Āpīśali80, 81
 Apollodotos, k.257-8
 Apollonius of Tyana 297
 Apollophanes, k.257-8
 apparition 309
 Apsaras, g. 252
 Arabian Nights 58
 Arabian Poetry 229
 Ārādhi beggars 279
 Arak271, 273
 aramane (palace)..... 96
 Aranyaka 122
 Araxes r. 291
 Archaeological Notes...71, 150, 296
 Archaeological Survey Reports,
 vol. IX 253
 Arechendron Pērumāl, k 78
 Āria Pērumāl, k. 78
 Ariana 287
 Arikōsari, Śil..... 36, 39-41
 Arishtakarmān, k 62
 Arishtanōmi 163
 Arjuna 36
 Arkhebios, k.....257-8
 Armuti, g.84, 85
 Arms, Indian 230
 Arsakes, k.255, 258-9
 Artabanus II. 312
 Artemidoros, k. 257-8
 ārya 295
 Āryadēva or Kanadēva ... 149, 316
 Āryas, Aryan race.....54, 229
 Āryasinha or Singhalaputra.. 316
 Asu 150
 Asumañjasa, k. 180
 A-se-na 93
 Ashem-oghas, g.....232
 Ashtadhātū 307
 'Asi (Parthians) 18
 Asiatic Societies. 230, 251-253, 310
 „ (R.) Society 230, 311
 Asiatique (Société) 232, 311
 Asōka 301
 „ insc.159, 232
 „ tooth-seal..... 86
 Aspavarma 259
 Aspendus, c. 66
 Asśalāyana Suttam..... 252
 'Asrēia 51
 asṭeya 160
 Astrakhan 263
 Āśvagoshā or Ma-ming ... 149, 316
 avamēdha...124, 127, 130, 133, 140,
 294
 atañin 13
 Atharva-Vēda199, 200
 āthaya 269
 atilhi..... 295
 Ātrēya gōtra131, 132
 Attivarmā, Pall.....99, 102, 103
 'Aubergine, Princess' 302f
 Aufrecht, Prof. 306
 Augustus 313
 Ādharmazd266-268
 Aulus Gellius 58
 Avadana 51
 Avalambana Sūtra85, 86
 Avalōkitēśvara, g. ...115 169, 192
 Āvān-bandād266-268
 Avery, Prof. J. 310
 āyāye 142
 āyuktaka, o. 239
 Aynmitra, k.
 Āyushmān 187
 ayyas..... 46
 Ayyāvoḷe, c. 96
 Azes, k.258-9
 Azilises, k. 259
 Baaridai.....217, 219
 Baber, k. 231
 Bādāmi tablets.....75, 99, 100, 255
 Bādāvi, t. 75
 Badu-deva 140
 Bāgudage97, 99
 Bagharitai218-220
 Bāhrām Gōshnasp266-268
 Bāhrām-panāh.....266, 267
 Bahrein antiquities 230
 Bāhuśrutīya school..... 300, 301
 bahusvarāya 294
 Baikal lake 215
 'Baingan Bādshāhzādi' 302f
 Bajārg-ātār266-268
 Bakhsars 60
 Baktrā 255
 Baku, t.109-111
 Balabhāta..... 238
 Balabhi or Valabhi..... 308
 Balāditya, k. 149
 Bāla-Gōpāla 187
 Balantine's *Midnight Marches*. 109
 Bālasāstrin 305, 306
 Bāldrabōdhana82, 83
 Balavarmānaka-Vatapadra, v. 239
 Balegrāma, v.123-125
 Bālōnduśēkhara, Śiva, g. 129, 131,
 133
 Balhāras *45
 baliya 50n
 Ballāvali, v.....126, 128, 129
 Bālipatna, t. 38n
 Bāṇa 264
 Bāṇāsura, g. 180
 Banavāsi, t. 230
 Banavāsīpurādēśvara..... 43
 Bānddhar176, 192
 Banjāris150, 205n
 Bānugupta, k..... 254
 Bappa, Bappabhaṭṭāraka 167,
 170-176
 bardī 281
 Barātaka, v.238, 239
 Barelu 214
 Baregedēvanāyaka 99
 Bārgu Buriat, tr. 215
 Bargut, tr.215, 221, 240
 Barguzin steppe215, 221
 Barin Shuratu..... 217
 bark books 18n
 Bartan Baatur..... 274
 Basanta Rāja 80
 Basava 73
 Bāsiasita or Nāsāsata..... 149, 316
 Batn Khān 263
 Bāddhas..... 176, 192
 Bāddha Sainghas..... 237
 Bavian135n
 Bazodēo, k. 258
 Bēdē tribe.....92, 93, 214
 Beders 76
 Bēdētē Khān92, 94
 beggars and criers.....247f, 278f
 Begonteī.....213, 218
 Behār 189
 Beit-tuma, 'House of Thomas'. 314
 Belgaun-Turāḥhā, v. 123
 Belgeteī213, 214, 219
 Beluvāvi well75, 76
 Bengal Asiatic Society 310
 Bengali folklore 1-8
 Beni-Aglab 312
 Beni-Israel 313
 Benjamin of Tudela 314
 Bēr tree150n
 Berezin, k. 231
 Bergnigne's *Nāgdnanda* 263
 Beveridge's *History of India*.. 248
 Bhadrā, r. 17n
 Bhadraghōsha, k. 253, 311
 Bhadrakālī Ammā, g.118n
 Bhadrānaka, v.237, 239
 Bhadrāyāṇīya sect. ...300-302
 Bhagadatta 181
 Bhagadattarājakula 181

- Bhagavad Gītā*..... 236
 Bhagiratha48, 180
 Bhagwānlāl Indrajī38, 39
 Bhāgyadōvi..... 171
 Bhāilla, g. 137
 Bhairava, g. 116, 149
 Bhaktapurī, v..... 184
bhāṣādāgāra 35
 Bhāṣārkar, Prof. R. G. 123
 Bhānumitra, k. 253, 311
 Bharatas54, 55
 Bharatavarsha..... 98
Bhāratīyāya gōtra 101
 Bhārīhari 308
 Bhāskara Āchārya 17n
 Bhāṣārka, Va. 237, 238, 254
 Bhāṭgām, v..... 189
bhāṭṭāraka127, 128,
 131, 133, 134, 167, 168, 169, 170,
 171, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 183
 Bhāṭṭojī 81
 Bhāṭṭojī Dikshita 306
 Bhān Dāji, Dr. 265
 Bhavabhūti 305
 Bhavāni, g. 76, 191, 227
Bhāra sūtravācāra 38
 Bhillamma, k 36n
 Bhilsa 136, 137
 Bhīmasēna 194
 Bhīshma 36
Bhodiner89, 94
 Bhōgadēvi, Nē. 171
 Bhōgavarmā, Nē.171, 181
 Bhōjaulēva, Śil. 42
 Bhoṭṭas 189
bhṛta 238
 Bhuila, t. 253
bhāmichehhidramptya..... 175, 239
 Bhāmimitra, k. 253, 311
 Bhāpālasimha, Nē 188
 Bhṛpālēndramalla, Nē.191, 192
 Bhāti, Bhūto 116, 141
 Biddulph, Major..... 229
 Bigandet's *Legend of Gaudama* 234
 Bijjala, Sin. 97, 98
 Binpokuna inscrip..... 269
 Birbhām folklore 79
 Birch's *Commentaries of*
Afonso Dalboquerque 318
birudākarāma 40
birudāvāl..... 184
 Bōdhiharma 148, 149, 316
bōdhidruma 20
 Bōdhisattva 116, 234
 Boehtlingk, Prof. 305
 Bombay beggars247f, 278f
 "Boots" 203
 Bōpō Luchi 205f
 Borjigeti Mergen 94
 Borjig tr. 92
 Borocho 91
 Brahmā, g...103, 174, 180, 192, 295
brahmachārya 160
brahmadēya237-239
 Brāhmaṇ 180
 Brāhmaṇ beggars 249
 Brāhmaṇi duck 230
 Brahmaputra 231
 Bramilanaka, v. 237, 239
 Branchide.....63, 71
 Bretschneider, Dr.215, 216
 Brīhaspati 36n
brihatpatha 177
brinjāl 302n
buja 75
 Budanjar, Budantsar. 213, 217-219
 Budanjaz Doghlan 217
 Budantsar213, 217-219
 Buddha16, 92, 114, 115, 161,
 162, 195, 306
 Buddha Nīlkanṭh 168
 Buddhagoshā 288-9
Buddha Gayā by Rājēndralāla
 Mitra..... 113f, 112f, 226
 Buddhakīrtti, k..... 182
 Buddhmitra 316
 Buddhnanandi 316
Buddha-pada 138
 Buddha's hair and ears52, 53
 Buddhists176, 192
 Buddhist monks..... 176
 „ morality 317
 „ patriarchs148, 315f
 „ schools 290f
 „ scriptures 288
 „ symbols 135
 Budhagupta, k.253-5
 Budhāl Pēn, g. 110
 Bud Periodentes..... 314
 Budumuttāwa wihāra 13
 Bugāyāmī, v. 170
 Bühler, Dr. G. 251, 306
 building 'bee' 106
 Buka 217
 Bukka, k.200, 201
 Bukkarāya, Vij. 315
 Buktai217, 219
 Buku Khān 219
 Buku-tēgin 214
 'Balbul and cotton tree' 57
Bundelish MSS 28
 Bungmati, t.169, 192
 Burā Pēn, g. 140
 Burkhan, mt.92, 95, 215
Burma and its People by Capt.
 Forbes 87
 Burnell, Dr. A. C. 306
 Burtechino91-94
 canes..... 41
 Cappeller, Dr..... 305
 Caspian—Hindu shrine at ... 109
 Cendresette 203
 Ceylon inscriptions 8f, 268f
 Chaitanya 73
chaitya 183
 chaityas 67
 Chaityavādā sect 300
 Chakora Śālakarṇi (Āndh.) ... 63
chakra.....136, 139
chakramatani 187
Chakrapāni..... 163
chakravartin..... 35
 Chakravarti Rāja 136
 Chakshu, r. 17n
chalikya127, 130
chalukya.....124, 133
chalukya 97
 Chālukya capital..... 50
 Chālukyas, Early 100
 „ „ Western. 123, 125, 130,
 132, 293
 Chālukyas, Western50, 96, 97
 Chālukya-Vikramakāla 96
 Chālukya-Vikramavarsha.75, 96, 97
 Chāmpānir, Chāmpāvati. 221f, 223
 Chāmuṇḍa, or Chāvunḍa II.,
 Sin.97, 98
Chāṇukya..... 184
 Chanda, Sandikēśvara, g. ...119n,
 149, 150, 174 & n
chandana (sandal) 18
 Chandēśvara, g.174 & n
 Chandra, g. 290
 Chandra's *Grammar*80-84
 Chandrabhaṭa 238
 Chandragupta II, k. 254
 Chandraprabha 66
 Chandraśrī, k. 63
 Chandravarmā 172
 Chang-'an, t.15, 21
 Chāngu Nārāyaṇa, tem..... 163
 Chaontra mound 156
charu 295
 Chārva 306
 Chaṣṭana 62
chātābhāṭa, o124, 175n, 239
 Chātaka 305
chāturanto 68
chāturyāma 160
chaurādīharanika, o 239

- Chédi dynasty 255
 Cheges tr..... 240
 Chémuli, Chemulya or Chemuḍa, c.38, 44, & 44n, 46
 Chêra124, 125
 Chêra alphabet 100
 Chêraman Pêrumâl 78
chetukarihi 12
Chhadanta elephant 113
 Chhatra-Chayḍēśvara, g. 174
 Chhâyâ, g. 116
 Chhinnamastikâ-dêvi, 171
 Chhittarâja, Śil.37, 39-41
 Chidambaram117, 119
 Ch'ilihu, k. 312
 Ch'ilipapu, k. 312
 Ch'ilisulungliehtsan, k. 312
 Ch'ilitsan, k. 312
 'chimney-pot' hats 309
Chinese Buddhism by Dr. Edkins 315
 Chinese notices of India..... 14f
 Chinghiz Khân and his Ancestors...89, 92, 213f, 240f, 263, 274f
chûha 138
 Chunnâr, r. 48
 Chino, tr 241
 Ch'inushsilung, k. 312
chiptya 289
 Chitrakunṭha horse...123-126, 129, 130, 133
 Chitrakâthis 279
 Ch'itsungluntsan, k..... 312
 Choang-wang (Śilāditya) 19
 Chôḍas 287
 Chôlas, Choḍas...47-49, 124-5, 129, 131, 133, 287
chorkâ 309
 Christians of Malabar.....312-13
chôla 195
 Chulavagga 233
Chuni or *Juni*..... 307
 Chutuktus 160
 Cinderella 203
 Claudius, embassy from Ceylon, to 313
 Clouston's *Ancient Arabian Poetry* 229
 Coins—Ândhra 61
 „ Pathan 311
 „ from Persia 312
 „ of the Sunga or Mitra dynasty..... 252, 311
 Coleroon (Kolliḍam), r. 117
 Confucianism 316
 Copperplates, Chôla 47
 „ , Pallava.....100, 102
 Copperplates, Śilâhâra 33
 „ , Valabhi 237
 „ , West. Chalukya 123, 125, 130, 132, 293
 coral 18
 cotton 18
 cowries 18
 'crocodile king' 280
 crow and the sparrow 207
 Cunningham, Gen. A. 253
Cust's Religions et les langues de l'Inde Anglaise 317
 „ *Modern Languages of India* 317
 Cypriote inscriptions..... 310
 Cyrus, cylinder of 230
 Dadhikrâ 139
Dadistân-i-Dâwk 28
 Dai Gakf, g..... 203
 Dai Setzen 277-8
 Daitika or Dhritika 149, 315
 Dâji, Dr. Bhâu 265
 Dakshinakôli, v. 173
dakshinîśi 127, 131, 133
 Dakshinēśvara, g. 171
 Dalboquerque, Afonso 318
 Dalton, Col.105, 106
 Damant, G. H. 8n
 Damballa vihâra insc. 270
 Dambharashâh, k. 189
 Dâmôdaragupta 305
dân 249
dânasîla 13
danḍandya, o. 167
danḍas 159
 Daniell, W.52n, 107
 Dârdistân 229
 Dardu 296
 Darmesteter's *Vendidad* 290f
daśīparâdha..... 239
 Dasavâ 37n
 Dâsaratha 79, 180, 191
 Dâsas, Dasyus 54, 294
 Dates, in figures 35, 38
 „ , in numerical symbols.. 165, 167, 168, 170, 171, 175, 177, 178, 183, 239
 „ , in numerical words ..191, 192, 193
 „ , in words ...38, 129, 132, 294
 Dâthânâga 269
 Dattagalla, v. 143
 Dâulatâbâd 50
 Daurian, tr. 243
da sil, desoil..... 67
da kh 310
 Demalamâna insc. 270
 Demetrius, k.256, 257
 Dervishes 297
 Dêvagiri, c. 50
 Dêvaki 226-228
dêvakula.....101, 175, 239
devanapiya.....9, 270
 Dêvapaṭṭana, c.170, 184
 Dêvâpi 56
 devil-trees152n
 Dêwagiriya vihâra..... 12
dêvak 61n
dêvânapiya 9, 270
dhâbli 250
dhakkâ129, 131, 134
dhamma 288
 Dhanakacheka, c. 230
 Dhanēśa 238
 Dhânk plates 237
 Dharaka, k. 269
dharakas 138
dharakas195, 196, 296n
 Dharapaṭṭa, Va. 254
 Dharasēna I, Va. 239
 Dharasēna II, Va. 237, 254
 Dharasēna III, Va. 238
dharâśraya125n
dharma 248-250
dharmaçakra136, 137
dharmaḍāga, dharmadēya..... 239
 Dharmadêva, Nê.165, 181
dharmaḍhâtumayâla 116
 Dharmadhâtu-Vâgiśvara, g... 184
dharmaḍhikâra 171n
 Dharmāditya (Śilāditya I), Va. 237
 Dharmaguptas, sect 301, 302
 Dharmapâla 308
 Dharmaraksha 86
dharmaśâlî 194
 Dharmasîla Râja 3
 Dharmôttariyas, sect 300, 302
Dhâtu, Dhâtupâtha..... 307
Dhâtutarâgini 305
Dhâtueritti 306
 Dhêḍas 248
 Dhîmâl, trs 234
 Dhitika or Daitika 149
 Dhritaka or Dritika 149, 315
 Dhruvabhata, Va. 253-4
 Dhruvadêva, Nê.172, 173
 Dhruvamiṭra, k. 253
 Dhukot beggars 280
dhvajastambha 96
 Digambaras 159
Diḡha Nikâya 288
 Digum Dsaubo 91
dkshila129, 135, 295
 Dilipa, k.180, 191

Dindigal, t. 150
Dinnānāka, v. 237-239
Diodōtos, k. 255-257
Diomedes, k. 257-8
Dionysius, k. 257-8, 260
Dīpavanśa by Oldenberg 252
dirakht-fāzel 152
Diva, t. 314
Divirapati, o. 239
Divodāsa 55
Dobo Mergen. 94, 95, 213, 217, 218
dold 281
Dolādri 165
Dolapārvata 193
dōlayātrā 187
Dowson, Prof. J. 308, 309
Dowson's *Dictionary of Hindu Mythology* 31
drāṅgika, o. 239
Draviḷa, co. 98
Drikata or Dhritaka 149, 315
Drōṇa 36
Drōṇasimha, Va. 254
Druhyns 54
Dubun Bayan 94, 217
Duperron, Anquetil 265, 291
Durāyābhajyā, r. 295
Durban, tr. 94, 95, 245
dūtaka, o. 167, 168, 170, 172, 175, 177, 178, 238, 239
Duṭṭhagāmiṇi, k. 9, 10, 270
Dutum Menen 217, 220
ears, elongated 52, 53
Ebukēn 274
eclipse of 780, A.D. 308
Eclipses, solar 96, 295
Edessa 313-14
Edges of plates, not raised ... 101, 102, 293
" , raised ... 123, 125, 130, 132
'Edgheery' 51
Edkins's *Chinese Buddhism* ... 315
Edrisi 314
Ekabhyohārikās, sect. 300
ekachitta 302
Ekavyavahārikās, sect. ... 300, 301
Ekkaudi 141
Ekvera, Kārls 107, 109
Elakalavandlu 210
elephants 37
Elurā 118n
Emblems on seals 101, 102, 123, 130, 133, 239, 293
Epander, k. 257-8

'*emotárns* 203
Erakala language 210
Erakavila temp. 270
eras by J. Fergusson 231
Eriyāwa insc. 270
Eshmunāzar's tomb 232
Eskimo 298
Estevaō, Th. 317
ethical parallels 71f
Étagiri, c. 50, 51
Eudemus 255
Eukratides, k. 256, 257
Eumenes 255
Euthydemus, k. 256-257
Évāvadū 189
Fa-Hian 296, 306
'False dawn' 231
Fan—Brahmā 19
Fao-yu 23
fate of unbelievers 52
Fausböll's *Jittaka* 252
Fazlu'llah Rashid 89
Fergusson on 'Śaka and Samvat eras' 231
Fen-thu—Buddha 16
Fleischer, Dr. 252
flower-marriage 77
flying 296
folklore, Dinajpur 1-8
" from Birbhām 79f
" parallels 51, 299
" of the Panjāb ... 205f, 280f, 302f
Forbes's *British Burma* 87
fortnights—light and dark ... 250
frachokereti 311
Fravashis 232
friendship 29
Fu-nan—Siām 15-17
Gud 262, 263
gadyāna 75, 76
Gajabāhu Gāmiṇi, k. 11, 269
Gajana (Gazni?) 23
Galasno Malasne insc. 13
Galgamuwa insc. 12
Galiganātha, g. and tem. 74
Gallena wihāra insc. 9, 270
Galutisa 272, 274
Galwaewa wihāra 10, 12
Gamiṇi Abhaya, k. 9, 10, 269, 270
" Tisa, k. 270
Gaṇapātha 318
Ganapati, Gaṇeśa, g. 35, 150, 184, 194
Gaṇḍarāditya, Śil. 42
Gandha elephants 37

gandhakutā 142, 143
Gandhārā 20, 23
Gandharva, g. 116
Ganekande wihāra 10, 12
Gaṇeśa (see Gaṇapati) 1, 150
Gaṅgā, r. 129, 131, 134, 180, 193
Gaṅgadēva, k. 188
Gangai-kkōṇḍāpuram temple. 117-120, 252
Ganges—Heng-ho 15
Gangondaram tom. 119
Gāṅgul, v. 172
gaṇṭimattar, l. m. 76
Gardabhidēvi 116
Gāros 103-106, 150
Garuḍa 36, 37n, 74, 163 & n
Garuḍadhvaja—Viṣṇu, g. ... 48
Gātha Ahunavaiti 84
Gauḍa, co. 181
Gaudama (Legend of) 234
Gaudian roots 310
Gaudian Languages, Hoernle's *Comp. Gram. of* 232
Gaurian and Romance Languages 231
Gautama Indrabhūti 162
Gauvain (V.) *Vie ou Légende de Gaudama* 234
Gayā 135
Gayasāta or Saṅgkayaśata. 149, 316
gāyatri 32
Gazan Khān 89
Germana 122
Germann's *Kirche der Thomaſchristen* 312f
Geronta 70
Gesta Romanorum 58
Getae 316
Ghoshavasu, k. 311
ghuṭhī 205n
Giribāwa inscrip. 10
Girinā 272
Girnār insc. 286f
Girvāṇāyuddhavikrama-Shāh, k. 194
Gitā Pāñchalika 173
Goa 41
Gon Maral 92, 94
Gobardhan 141
God (names of) 230
Goggi, Śil. 36, 39
Go-je-nang (Gajana) 23
Gōkarna, v. 78
Gokulika sect. 301
gold 18
Golden Horde 263
Goldschmidt's *Rāvanavahā* ... 116

Goldstücker, Prof. 306, 308
 Goldstücker's *Literary Re-*
miniscences 204
 Gōma, k. 36
 Gomantaki dialect 317
 Gonnardīya ... 308
 Gon, q. 271
 Gond 140
 Gondophares, k. 258, 261-263, 313
 Gopikā 308
 Gopāla 181, 187
 Goparāshtra, d. 123-125
gopastān 291
 Gopichanda beggars 279
gūpura 117, 119
 Gōrakshā, Gorkhā 189, 194
 Gōraṅṭla plates 102
 Gosala 116
 Gosāla Makkhaliputta 161
 Gotamī, Gotamīputra, Āndh. 62, 63
gōtra, Ātrēya 131, 132
 „ „ Bhāradvāja ... 101, 131, 132
 „ „ Bhāratṭāyana 101
 „ „ Kāśyapa 103
 „ „ ? Kāśyapa 132
 „ „ Kātyāyana 295
 „ „ Kaṇḍīya. 131, 132, 134, 135
 „ „ Kausika 131, 132
 „ „ Mānavya 125, 128, 130,
 133, 294
 „ „ Maudgalya 131, 132
 „ „ Śālikāyana 101
 „ „ Vatsa 129
 „ „ ? Vatsa 129
 Gōvinda III, Rāsh. 246
Grammar of Chandra 80-84
grāmapati, o. 35
 Gridhrakūṭa, mt. 15
guggula-pīḍā 124, 125
 Guhasēna, J, Vu. 237, 238
 'Gunapadeya' plates 100
 Gundaphorus or Gondapher-
 res, *see* Gondaphares.
 Guntār 73
gupta 167, 168, 172, 173, 176
 Gupta 17
 „ characters 163, 168
 „ era 253-255
 Gārjara, co. 98
 „ characters 123
 Gurkhān 276, 277
 Habarane insc. 11
 Hachin 217, 220
 Haimavatās, sect. 300, 302
 Haitho Armenus 314
 Haithon 276

Hajāms 76
 Hakikat 248
 Haklena or Padmaratra. 149, 316
 Hakodate, t. 67
 Hāla, Āndh 62
 Hall, Dr. Fitzedward. 255
 Hambantota insc. 12
 Han dynasty 14, 16
 Hañjamana, c. 38, 44
 Hanumanta, g. 96
 Hanway, Jonas 109
 Hara, g 182
 Haradatta 306
 Harasimha, k. 183, 191
 Hari, g 165
 Haridāsa beggars 250
 Haridīkshita 306
 Harihara, k. 200, 201
 Hariharasimha, k. ... 187, 188, 191
Hariprabodha 305
 Harinātha, g. 237
 Harisimha, k. 187, 188, 191
 Hārītī 128, 130, 133
 Hārītī 125
haritīputra 121
 Harlez, M. on Zoroastrianism. 311
 Harsha, Harshavardhana, k. ... 125,
 128, 130, 133, 264
 Harshadēva, k. 181
 Harsha era 231
 Harun al Raschid 229
hastimalaka 25
 Hastin, k. 253
 Hastings, Warren 309
 Hāthigumphā rock 62
 Haug, Dr. M. 292
 Heirokles, k. 51
 Heliokles, k. 256, 257
 Heng-ho, Heng-shui (Ganges) 15, 17
 Hephaestus, g. 203
 Herakles 258
 Hernos, k. 259
 Hermaios, k. 257, 258
 Hetuvāda 301
 Hia-chou 24
Hilbert Lectures, Max Müller's. 29
 Hihila month 272, 273
 Hikulamba, v. 132
 Hillebrandt's *Neu- und Voll-*
mondsopfer 292
 Himālaya, mt. 15
 Himuta month 271
 Hīnayāna 53
 Hindu shrine on the Caspian . 109
 Hipa 270
 Hippalus 313
 Hippolytus 25n

Hippostratos, k. 257, 258
 Hirād Bāhrām 266, 267
 Hirālāl Bāsā 58
 Hiranyagarbha, — Brahṃā, g. 102-3
 Histories, native 308
 Hsiung-nu, tr 316
 Hodgson's *Essays* 234
 Hoi-neng-ta-szu 149
 Hoernle's *Compar. Gram. of the*
Gaudian Languages 232, 310
 Hoi-kho-ta-szu 149
 Holiyars 76
 Ho-lo sect 301
 Ho-long 21
 Holtzmann's *Apsaras* 252
hōma 189
homba 237, 238
 horse symbol 139
 Hosur, t. 48
 Hwang-jin-ta-szu 149
 Howorth's *History of the Mon-*
gols 263
 Huchchaya maṭha 96
 Ὑλοβίοι 122
Hung-tu-shi-lun 89
 Huus, White ... 231
 Huvishka, k. 154
 Hwan-ti 16
 Hwen Thsang 19, 254, 306
 Hyacinthe, Bituriski. 215, 219
 Hyumpri, v. 177
 Ibn-Batūta 297
 Ides, Isbrand 246
 idol found at Orenburg 290
 Ikshvāku 48, 180
 Il Khān 90, 91
 Inderam Perumāl 78
India (Modern) and the Indians
 by Dr. M. Williams 264
 India—Chinese notices of 14f
 Indian Arms 230
Indian Fairy Tales, by M.
 Stokes 57
 indecision, evils of 29
Indische Streifen, Weber 251
 Indo-Skythians 16n
 Indra, g. 36, 55, 115, 187
 Indramitra, k. 253, 311
 inflection of Sanskrit verbs
 and nouns 310
 Ingirimitiya insc. 271, 273
 Ingirimitiya insc. 13
 Inscriptions of Ambērā 304
 „ at Buddha Gayā. 143,
 144
 „ from Ceylon... 8, 268

- Inscriptions, Cypriote 310
 „ at Gaṅguikkōṇ-
 ḍapuram 118
 „ at Keu-yung-
 kwan 195
 „ from Lhasa 312
 „ from Nēpāl 163f
 „ Pahlavi at Kan-
 heri 265f
 „ of Piyadasi 282, 311
 „ from Rīwā 120
 „ of Śīlāditya I. ... 237
 „ of Van 232
 „ of Vīra Chōḷa ... 47
 „ in Wular lake ... 252
 Irapelī 313
 Irdhi-pāda 296f
 Irgene-kun 93, 94
 Iridige 131, 132
 Issikul 93
 Iśvaradatta, k. 260
 Iśvara *sanī* 96
 I-tsing 306-308
 Iviaka, Āndh 62
 Jagannātha, g. 138
 Jahis, g. 232
 Jāhnavi, r. 239
 Jainas 159, 306
 Jainendra, g. 80
 Jajiratai 217, 219
 Jalāl 248
 Jamāli 161
 Jambudvīpa 74
 Jamū'ul Tawārīkh 89
 Jamuka 219
 Jaṅgamas 73, 279
 Jannis 290
 Japanese Sanskrit texts 233
 Jarudhya, v. 143
 jāti 18
 Jātaka by Fausböhl 252
 Jīvīdān-būd 266-268
 Jayachandra II. 123
 Jayadēva, I, Nē 180
 Jayadēva II, Nē 176, 181
 Jayāditya 306, 307
 Jayanta 36
 Jayanta, k. 184
 Jayapiḍa 305
 Jayasimhavarman, Dharāśraya
 —Jayasimha II., W. Chal. ... 125
 jayāśraya 123
 Jayata 149, 316
 Jayavarmā, Ne 166, 167
 Jayēśvara, Nē. 167
 Jelaid, Jelair, tr. 240
 Jelālu'd-dīn 297
 Jerke Lin Gun 217, 241, 247
 Jesujabus Gadulensis 314
 Jetawanārāma 274
 Jewish grant 79
 Jews 78
 Jhañjha, Śil. 36
 Jīmūtakēta 35, 39
 Jīmūtavāhana 35, 37, 39, 46
 Jina, —Buddha 11
 Ji-nan, Tonquin 16
 Jinendra-buddhi 96
 Jishugupta, Nē. 172-174
 Jivarakshā, q. 184
 jōgis 297
 Jñātaputra 158-9
 John, bishop of Greater India. 314
 John of Montecorvino 314
 Jordanus, Friar 230, 314, 315
 Juchi Khān 263
 Juchi Khasar 278
 Julian 314
 Junī or Chunī 307, 308
 Junnar 43
 Jupiter's 12-year cycle 253
 Jurriats 219
 Jyōtimalla, Nē. 183, 184
 Kabachi Kuluk 217
 Kabimāra 149, 316
 Kābul 15
 Kābul Khān, Khubilai Khān. 240-
 244, 246, 247, 278
 Kadambas 43
 Kadaphes, k. 259
 kadapa, kadampan 75
 Kadigala insc. 12
 Kadphises, k. 259
 Kagurodhas, g. 232
 Kakhārs 76
 Kaidu Khān 240, 246-7
 Kaikāwa insc. 268-9
 Kailāsa, tem. 149
 Kailāsakōṭa 170, 172-3, 175
 Kākawanna Tisa, k. 10, 270
 Kukhyen language 232
 Kākinni 238
 Kukudha Kātyāyana 162
 Kala 149
 kaḷabhra, kaḷambhra 127n
 Kaḷabhras 129, 133
 kalaijñāna 296
 kalām-ul-lah 303
 kaḷanda 272-3
 kaḷasa 118
 Kāśāsoka, k. 149
 Kalhana Paṇḍit 305
 Kālidāsa 305
 Kalliope 257, 258
 kalpadruma 187
 Kalpa-sūtras 200
 Kalyāna, c. 51
 Kamandakanṭhi 305
 Kama-tithi 184
 kamayitha 269
 Kambojas 252
 kamēra, kavēra 127n
 Kamēra, co. 129, 131, 133
 Kampalannā, g. 150
 Kanadeva 149, 316
 Kanakhus 69
 Ka-na-kiū-je, Kanyakūbjā ... 23
 Kāñchi, c. 127, 129, 131, 133
 kāṇḍas of the *Bāḥyana* 32
 Kanerki, k. 156, 259
 Kang-mu 242
 Kāngra, Mahārājas and coins. 252
 Kanheri 52
 Kanheri inscriptions 39
 Kanheri Pahlavi insc. 265f
 Kanishka, k. ... 154, 231, 299, 316
 Kanna Pulayans 120
 Kanara, —Kṛishṇa, Rāsh. 74
 Kānpata, s. 53
 Kantalai, v. 274
 kañthachitra 124, 126
 Kānwa dynasty 317
 Kao-tsong 21
 Kao-fu (Kābul) 15
 Kapālēśvara, g. 123-125
 Kapardī I, Śil. 36, 39
 Kapardī II, Śil. 36, 39, 45, 46
 Kapila, t. 316
 Kapilavastu, t. 252
 Kapimāra or Kabimāra ... 149, 316
 Kapurdigiri insc. 284, 311
 Kara Khān 90, 91
 Karagol, r. 240
 kāraṇapūjā 167
 Kīrikas of Bhartṛhari 308
 Karikāla Chōḷa 49
 karīsha, l. m. 12, 269
 Kārle, Ekvera 107, 109
 karmasthāna 272
 Karṇa 36
 Karṇāta, co. 189
 Kārttikēya ... 36, 125, 128, 130, 133,
 149
 Karūr battle 231
 Kāsakṛitsna 80, 81
 Kashira 305
 Kāśī 99
 Kāśikā 305

- Lakshminārāyaṇa, Behār 189
 Lakshminīrisinḥa, k. 188-191
 Lalāmātī, q. 187
 Lalitapaṭṭana, c. ... 171n, 177, 184,
 189, 193
 Lalitatripurasundarī, q. 193-4
 Lamas 160
 Lambādīs 150
 Lambodara, k. 62
 Lamghan 23
 Lane's *Selections from the*
 Kur-ān 235
Languages of India, Cust. 317
 Laṅkā 37, 180
 Lanman, Prof. C. R. 310
 Lan-po, Lampu, Lamghan ... 23
 Laodikē, q. 257
 Lao-tsun 16
 Lāra 23
 Lava 191
lāvni 279
 legend, village..... 80
Legenda Aurea 261
 Lhasa insc. 312
 Liang dynasty..... 17
 Libyan languages 232
 Lichchhavi dynasty 180
 Lichchhavi-kula..... 169, 173
linga 54, 75, 167, 194
 Lingāyat beggars 278
 Lingdian ... 89
 Ling-tsicū-shan, Vulture mt. 15
 Lin-i, Tsiampa 15
 Li-te 24
 Lohanagara, c. 295
lōkumātī ... 124, 126, 130, 133
 Lohārs 76
 Lōkanātha, g. 192
 Lōkaprakāśa, k. 193
 Lōkāyatika 21n
 Lōkēśvara, g. 182, 192
Lōkōttaralālita 305
 Lōkōttaravādins, s. 301
 Lo-lo, Lāra 23
 Longnam 91
 Lōprii, v. 176
 lord of Death 209f
 Lysias, k. 257, 258

mā 172n
 Mabon's *Sketches* 107
machhai 141
 Madāris 248
 Mādhavāchārya ... 200, 306
 Mādhavji Sindia 108
 Mādri, Andh. 61
 Maodagama wihāra 13
 Magudha, co. 98, 181
 Māgudhī 232
Magavyakti 251
 Māgha 305
 Māh-aiyyār 266-268
Mahābhārata, Met. transl. from
 i. 3559; v. 12667 ... 29
 „ iii. 13747 f. 52
 „ v. 3317 29
 „ xii. 2033 f. 141
 „ xii. 3811 29
 „ xii. 4056 142
 „ xiii. 2194 87
Mahābhāshya 305, 308
 Mahāchīna, co. 194
 Mahādēva..... 301
 Mahādēva, g. 237, 239
 Mahādēvapattānam..... 79, 313
 Mahādēvayya 38, 41
mahājana 96, 295
 Mahākāla, g. 115
 Mahakala Haewa insc..... 270, 274
mahākratu 294
 Mahale Sena 13
mahāleka 273
 Mahāloma 149
 Mahāmalla (? Pall.) 100
mahāmaṇḍalēśvara 35, 97
mahāmaṇḍalika 35
mahāmārga 176
**mahāmārga, o.* 35
 Mahānā, r. 121
 Mahānāga..... 11
mahāpradhāna, o. 35
mahāpratihara, o. 167
mahārdja ... 101, 127, 128, 130, 131,
 133, 134, 167, 168, 171, 175,
 176, 183, 191, 193, 294, 295
mahāśubda..... 127, 129, 131, 134
mahāśamanta 35, 169
 Mahāsaṅghika, s. 300, 301
mahāsāndhivigrahika, o. ... 35, 132
mahāsaptama 131
 Mahāśena, k. 12
 Mahāsthavira, s..... 302
 Mahātyāga 149
Mahāyāga 233
 Mahāvira..... 84, 158, 161, 162
Mahāvāṇse 9-11, 13
mahāyājña 183
 Mahāyāna sect ... 53, 116, 234, 289,
 300, 301, 316
 Mahēndra, g. 103
 Mahēndramalla, k. 187, 188
 Mahēśvara, g. 125, 237, 307
 Māh-Fröbug 266-268
 Mahidēva, Nē. 181
 Mahinda..... 271-273
mahindī 281
 Mahindo 111. 274
 Mahindramalla, k. 191
 Mahipāla, k. 114
 Mahipāti 48
 Mahīśaka, s. 301-2
 Mahoragendra, g. 290
 Mahotsāha, g. 290
 Mailapur..... 312-315
 Mailāwa insc. 10
Mainok-i Kharīd 28
 Maitrakas 238
Maitrayāṇiya Sāṃhita 203
 Maitreya 296
 Māitri 224-226
 Majdal-Dauleh Buyeh 312
 Majjhima 269
 Makara 184
 Makhali Bayan 213
 Makka 99
 Makulāna wihāra 13
 Malabar 312-13
 Malankara 312n
 Majavur, v. 133-135
 Ma-la-wai 23
 Malaya 124, 125
 Malayālam..... 77, 78
Malavika und Agnimitra, Bol-
 lensen 251
malik-ul-maul 209
**Mālis*..... 76
 Maljomkarc, v. 312-313
mal..... 100, 184, 187, 188, 191, 193
 Mallum Pērumāl..... 78
Māpšapos 259, 262
 Ma-ming, Śvagosha..... 149, 316
**Māmāṇi, Śil.* 41, 45
 Mānadēva, Nē. ... 163, 165-167, 181
 Mānagriha, c. 167, 169, 173
 Mānasa lake..... 182
 Mānava..... 148
 Man-chu-shi-li, Mañjuśrī 22
 Manda Mt. 315
maṇḍalika 35
 Mandara 124, 125
 Mandevillo 314
 Mānēśvari, g. 184
 Maṇḍalīsa, Early Chal. 255
maṇḍi 280n
māṇi 75
 Manicheans 313
 Manigrāmam 314
 Manikjavāchaka 314
 Manikyāla 153, 154, 156
 Manjughosha, g. 115, 177

- Manjuśrī.....22, 115, 184, 300
 Manjuśripāriprichchā 300
 Mankharikula 181
 Mannārgudi..... 119
 Manoramā 306
 Manoratha 305
mantrin, o. 35
 Manu31, 48, 180
 Manu-Vaivasvata 191
 Manura or Manora..... 149, 316
mānya 76
Māra, g.143, 290
marachhvā 141
maramakkatayam.....77, 78
 Marāṭhī language 317
 Marāṭhī literature 59
 Marco Polo 314
 Mardān-shād 266-268
 Mār Eliyā on weights and
 measures 230
 Marīchi..... 48
 Mārphat 248
 marriage customs 105
 Mar Thomas 315
 Marvān Sapor Iāo 314
mśa, Āshādha129, 194
 „ , Āsvayuja 167
 „ , Bhādrapada194, 239
 „ , Chaitra 99
 „ , Jyēsthā165, 170, 171,
 177, 194
 „ , Kārttika ...96, 172, 183, 192
 „ , Māgha.38, 184, 191, 192, 193
 „ , Mārgaśīrsha.....96, 194
 „ , Pausa 178
 „ , Phālguna176, 187, 189
 „ , Pushya 96
 „ , Śrāvaṇa96, 168
 „ , Vaiśākha 171
 Massagetæ 139n
 Masū'di15n, 39n
 Matale 268
maṭha 187
 Mathurā, c. 114
mātrigaṇa 149, 184, 294
 Matsyōndranātha, tem.169n
 „ g.187, 192
matlar, l. m. 76
 Ma-twan-lin..... 14
 Maudgalya *gōtra*131, 132
 Maues, k. 259
 Maukhari race..... 181
maula 238
Māyā, Māyādevī...70, 114, 115, 227
 Mayilagastōṭa insc.270-1, 274
 Mediyāwa wihāra 12
 Megasthenēs 122
Megha Sūtra..... 231
 Moghasvāti, Āndh. 62
 Meghawaṇṇa Abhaya...11, 12, 269
 Mohemmed Salih 231
 Mehrkul, Mihirakula, k. 149
 Mei-mo-lo-shi-li, Mimaraśrī? 23
mēlemaṇṭapa 118
 Mēnakā, g. 77
 Menander, k.257, 258
 Menentillus of Spoleto 314
 Menen Tudun ...217, 220, 240, 241
 merchant who struck his
 mother 224
 Mēru, mt. ...17n, 98, 124, 125, 182
Metrical Translations from
Sanskrit by J. Muir, D.C.L. 235
 Meu-lun (?) 17
 mica 18
 Mihintale insc.11, 272, 274
 Mihirakula, k. 149
 Mikkaka, Michika or Kala ... 316
 Miletus 68
Milindapaṇṇa 297
 Mīna-Nārāyaṇa, g. 173
 Minanātha, g.115, 177
 Ming-ti16, 17
 Misuchaka or Michaka 316
 Mithilā 191
 „ custom..... 141
 Mithridates I. 312
 Mitra-aiyyār 266-268
 Mitra dynasty coins 252
Moāllakat 229
 model man 142
 Modgalāyana 114
 Mo-ho-ni, Mahāni?..... 24
 Mo-kie-tho, Magadha 15
 Moliero 51
 Monalun.....216, 217, 220, 221
 Mongol tribes 214
Mongols, Howorth's History of
the 263
 Morđtmann, zur Pehlevi Māntz-
kunda..... 252
 Moropant 60
 Morvi insc253-255, 308
 Mo-si-rang 22
Mṛichchhakatikā 305
mṛiga... 118n
 Mugulan 86
mukhārta, Abhijit..... 165
 Muir's (Sir W.) *Extracts from*
the Coran 235
 „ (Dr. J.) *Metrical Trans-*
lations 235
 Muktesvara 60
 Mukunda, g. 98
Mukundānanda Bhāṇa *60
 Mulagama insc. 10
 Mūlavāṭṭikā, v. 172
 Müller, F. Max 305
 Müller (F. Max) on *Sanskrit*
Texts 230, 233
 „ *Introduction to the Science*
of Religion 29
 „ *Hilbert Lectures*..... 29
 Multān 135
 Mummuni, Śil.37, 39, 41
 Mumurādēvi, g. 171
 Munda Pōrumāl 78
 Muṇḍāśpinkhalika, g. 174
 Muthaganad..... 78
 Mu-tho-sion, Mudhāsina?..... 23
Mythology, Dowson's Dictionary
of 31
 nāchh girls 18
 Nachin216, 221
 Na-fo-ti-a-la-na-shun, k. 20
 Nāga 137, 278
 „ figures..... 230
 „ people..... 152
 Nāgā beggars 278
Nāgānanda by Bergaigne..... 263
 Nāgapura, c.38, 44
 Nagarahāra 23
 Nāgarāja, g 115
nagarapati, o. 35
 Nāgārjuna or Lung-shu... 149, 316
 „ , Śil37, 39, 40
 Nāgasēna 297
 Nāgavardhana, W. Chal. ...123-125
 Nāgēsubhātṭa 306
 Nāginikā 28
 Nagolla wihāra 10
nahuta 147
naimittikapāṇi.....167n
 ✓*nakh* 310
nakshatra, Anurādhā..... 189
 „ , Ādra 187
 „ , Punarvasu.....184, 187
 „ , Rēvatī 191
 „ , Rōhini 165
 „ , Uttara-Phālguna. . 193
Nala and Damayanti 51
 Nālanda, Na-lan-tho, .23, 307, 308
 Na-lo-mi-pa-so-mei, Nārada-
 svāmin? 20
 Nāmaka plates 48
Nāmamālā 305
 Nambidaimurs 78
 Namburis..... 77
 names, proper141, 229, 309

- Nahdi, g.174, 177, 189, 193
Nang-go-lo-kia-lo, Nagarahāra 23
Nan-hae-ki-kwei-chouen..... 306
Nankow pass 195
Nānyadēva, k. 188
Narasimhavishṇu, Pall..... 100
Narasobba 74
Nārāyaṇa, g. ...101, 124, 125, 128,
130, 133
Nārāyaṇa, Behār 189
Narēndradēva, Nô. 181
Narēndramalla, k. 191
Narēsamalla, k. 189
Nāśāsata or Bāśāsata ... 149, 316
Nātaputta158, 162
Native Histories..... 308
nau-tākhut-har 303n
Nauvitaka-Vāsaidā 38
Nawaya month 272
Nāyars 79
necklace 303
nelectlu 50
Nēpāl tablets 163f
Nēpālā, co.20, 98, 191
Nēpālūda 129
Nēpālābhāshā 187
Nēpālā-samvat.....187, 189, 191,
192, 193
nepanna=nipphanā 13
Nernam, Naranam, v. 313
Nerūr, v. ... 126, 128, 129
" plates... 125, 130, 132, 255
Newman's version of the hymn
of Kleantes..... 236
Ngan-shih-nin Sūtra145
Nicolo di Conti 315
Nidāna 361
Nigantḥa Nātaputta 158
Nigantḥas, Nirgranthas..... 159,
160, 161
Nikias, k.257, 258
Nikolaus of Damascus 313
Nila.....48, 49
Nilos Doxapatrios 314
Niravadyapunyavallabha 132
Nirvāvaliya Suttam 84
Nirgranthas..... 159-161
Nirpaṇ plates 123
Niruas214, 219
nirvāṇa160, 161, 296, 312
nirvāṇahōma.....187
Nissankamalla, k. 274
nithala 269
Nivāri language 187
niyate 11
niyōgika, o. 35
niyukta, o. 35
Noiré, Prof. 30
Nokuz tr. 241
Notes and Queries ...52, 141, 229,
230, 250, 309
noun inflection 310
Novairi 276
Nṛsimha, k. 188
Nuh-ibn-Naṣr 312
numerals 101
numerical symbols101, 165,
167, 168, 170, 171, 175,
177, 178, 183, 184, 239
" words191, 192, 193
Numismatics, Musalman 232
Nyāsa ... 306
Nyāsakara, Jinendra 306
obo..... 276
Oboes 151
Odorieus of Pordenone 314
Odra 181
Oghuz Khān.....90, 91
Ogotai Khākan 214
Olana Ergükdeksen 91
Oldenberg's *Dipavansa*..... 252
" *Vinayapitakam* ... 233
Onon, r.92, 94
Ooerki, k. 259
Orenburg 290
Organum 93
Orthagnes, k. 259
Ostiaks 152
ox 15n
Oxus, r.17n, 231
Paapis 59
Pachymeres 276
pādābhakta 124
pādāchārin 2
pādānūdhyaṭa ...123, 124, 167, 169,
170, 171, 173, 174, 176, 239
pādānūgrikita ...169, 170, 171, 173,
174, 176
Pada-pāṭha of the *Maitrāyaṇi-*
ya Sūhita 203
pādivarta, l. m. 239
Pādhisena..... 38
Padma, t.146, 147
Padmāchala, mt. 184
Padmanjari 306
Padmapāni, g.115
Padmaratna or Haklena 316
Pāgumaka, v. 174
Pahlavi money 252
" inscriptions at Kaṇ-
heri265
Paippalādins 203
Pairikas, g. 232
Paiṭhāṇa, c.43, 44
Pakores, k.258, 259
paksha, bahula..... 239
" , krishṇa99, 192, 194
" , śuddha 96
" , śukla ...165, 167, 168, 170,
171, 172, 176, 177, 178,
183, 184, 187, 189, 191,
192, 193, 194
Palaipatmai..... 38n
Palestrina, La coupe de 232
Palibothra, c. 144
pāḍikvaja ...127-129, 131, 133, 134
palisavana..... 13
Palladius' *Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi*... 89
Pallas 89
Pallava alphabet 100
" grants.....99, 102
Pallavas ...49, 100, 101, 102, 129n
Pāñcha-jānah, Pāñcha-krish-
ṭayah..... 54
Pāñchāla 253
Pāñchālī 173
pāñchālīka...168, 171, 173, 174, 177
pāñchamahāśabdu 35
Pāñchasiddhāntikā of Varāha-
mihira 203
Pāñchatantra 58, 59
Pan-da-fa, Panjāb, r. 21
Pāṇḍi Pērumāl..... 78
Pāṇḍya Chōla 49
Pāṇḍya embassy..... 313
Pāṇḍyas 124, 125, 129, 131, 133, 287
Pāṇini ... 80, 81, 251, 305, 307, 318
Panjāb folklore.....205f, 280f, 302f
Panjābukt 266-268
Pantaleon, k. 256, 257
Pantaeus 313
Parachakrakāma, Nô. 181
Parakōsarichaturvêdimāṅga-
la, v.47, 48
Parakōsarivarmanā, Chô. 48
Parākrama Bāhu, I. 13, 274
paramabhaḥḍāraka ...174, 176, 183,
294
paramabhāgavata 294
paramabrahmaṇya 294
Paramakanda insc. 10
paramamāhēśvara ...124, 176, 183,
238, 239
paramēśvara.....127, 128, 130, 131,
133, 134, 183, 294
paramēśvaraśabdu ...127, 130, 133
pāramitā.....146, 147
Pārasika, co.129, 131, 133

- Paraśurāma 77, 99
 Pari-ki-dheri mounds 157
parikramā 149, 150
Pārsis 265, 268
Pārśva 160, 162, 163
Pārśvika or *Pārśva* 149, 316
Pārvatī, g. 149
pāśupati 174
Paśupati, g. ... 170-172, 174-176, 179-184, 189, 191
Paśupati, tem. 166 & n
Patahamulla insc. 10
Pātala 115
Pātāliputra, c. 301, 316
Patañjali 306, 308
paṭhana 269
pāṭhina, fishes 98
patiauhrd 205n
 Patriarchs, Buddhist 148-9, 315-16
Pattalaka, Andh. 62
paṭṭarājū 193
paṭṭi 103
Paṭumat, Andh. 62
paṇḍarika 294
Pauthier's Ecumen 16n
Pāvā, t. 158
Pāwagaḍh 221f
Payati thera 269
Payōshpi, r. 295
✓pekh 310
Perampalli, t. 78
Peregrinus 314
περικλυτός 204
Periyakadu wihāra insc. 269
Persia, *Notes of a Journey in* ... 231
Pērumāls 77-79
Pēshwā 108
Phāgunimitra, k. 253, 311
phalam 145
Philoxenes, k. 257, 258
Phrabāt 138
Phulmatī Rānī 58
 physical science 311
Pichehandiyāwa insc. 10
Pichel, Hemachandra's *Pāli Grammar* 252
pīḍhā 205n
Piduruwagala insc. 269
Pikhū, v. 174
Pina, *Pingya*, or *Pida* 308
Pimpalūr plates 293
pinḷaka 172n, 173
Pippalanagara, c. 295
Pischel's Assaḍṭyana Suttam ... 252
Pitakas 288
Pithon 255
Piadiysi insc. 232, 232, 311
Plato, k. 256, 257
Plavamga samvatsara 96
Pō, v. 174
Polekēśivallabha,—*Pulikēśi* II, W. Chal. 134
Po-lo-men 15
Polonnaruwa insc. 270-274
Ponāni, t. 78
Portuguese literature 318
Po-ssē, Persia 15, 23
Pothupatnam, t. 78
Prabhā, g. 116
prabhu, o. 38, 46
pradakshina 67, 149
pradeśika 237n
pradhāna, o. 35, 175, 176
Prāditya, k. 316
prajña 147
Prajñāpāramitā 300
Prajñātara 316
Prākṛit 101, 233, 237
Prakriyākaumudī 83
Pramathas, g. 182
Pramnae 122
Prāṇanāṭyaṇa, Behār. 189
Pranardana prānakauśika, g. ... 174
Pratāpamalla, k. 187-189, 191
Pratyekā Buddhas 300
Pravilasēna, k. 62
Prayogas 292
✓preksh 310
prithivīvallabha ... 127, 128, 130, 131, 133, 134
Prithivīdēvi 115
Prithvinārāyaṇa-Shāh, k. 194
Priti 184
 proper names 141, 229, 309
 prostitutes 77
Ptolemy Philadelphus 255
Pūjāgala insc. 11
pūjā 75
pukāṇa 12
pukharinī 121
Pulakēśivallabha,—*Pulikēśi* I, Early Chal. 128, 132
Pulakēśivallabha,—*Pulakēśi* II, W. Chal. 125
Pulaskati, Śil. 36, 39
Pulayans, tr. 120
Pulikēśi I, Early Chal. ... 126, 128, 130, 132
Pulikēśi II, W. Chal. 125, 128, 132, 293
Pulomārchis, Pndomāvi, Āndh. 63
Pulomat, Āndh. 63
Pu-lu-sha, Purushapura 22
Punarvasu 184
Punyamitra or *Putnomita* 149, 316
Punyayaśas or *Punayaja* 316
Parāna Kāśyapa 162
purāṇakumbha 118
Pārbiā 209
Pārī, c. 38, 44
Pārnabhadra 143
Pārna Mantra Bhāgavata 60
Pārṇotsanga, Andh. 62
purādēśa 295
purāḍita 35
Pārus 54
Purushottama 306, 315
Purvaśnilā, s. 300
Pushkaletra 305
Pushmilānaka, v. ... 237, 238, 239
Pushpamitra, k. 253
Pushpapura, c. 180
Putnamitta, or *Punyamitra* ... 149
puṇalāra 269
puṇal 196

Quilon 315

Rādihā 193
Rafais 248
 rag bushes 150
Rāghavapīṇḍariya 305
Raghu 48, 180, 188, 191
Raghukula 183
Ragurata or *Rāhulata* ... 149, 316
Rājagṛiha, t. 114
rājaguru 194
rājākṛtya 239
rājakula 176, 177
Rājalladēvi, q. 184
Rājamatī, q., Nē. 188, 189
Rājāṅgane insc. 13
Rājanya 54
rājapursha, o. 35
rājaputra 35, 168, 175
Rājarāja Chōla 50n
rājashūda 238
rājasthya 294
Rājatarāṅginī 264, 305
Rājendralāla Mitra 226f, 230
Rājendralāla Mitra's Buddha Gayā 113f, 142f
Rājendravikrama-Shāh, k. ... 194
Rājyamatī, q., Nē. 181
Rājyavati, q., Nē. 165
Rakkho 273
Rākshasas 116
Rāma 36, 188, 191
Rāmachandra 83
Rāmalinga, g. 96, 99

- Rāmānujāchārya..... 49
 Rāmasiṁha, k. 188
 Rāmātrītha Yati 25
 Rāmdyaṇa 32
 Rāmbhā 77
 Rānabāhādur-Shāh, k. 194
 Rānjabala, k. 259, 262n
 Rāsonanagara, c. 126, 128, 129
 Rashidu'd-dīn 276
 Rashidu'd-dīn's *Jami-ul-Tawd-riki* 89, 91, 93, 94, 276
rāshtra 38, 124
 Rāshṭrakūṭas 45, 74
rāshtrapati, o. 35
rāśi, Yugma 184
 Rāśin, v. 126
 Ratnas, three 308
 Ratnamalla, k. 188, 191
 Rāvāṇa 180
Rāvaṇavaha by Goldschmidt.. 116
 Ravi 48
 Ravigupta 167
 Ravi Korttan 314
 Ravikula 191
 Rawlinson, Sir H., on a Cylinder of Cyrus..... 230
rdyapitāmaha 35
 Rāyavāra, c. 38, 44
 Redhouse on "The most commonly names" 230
Religions of India, by Cust ... 317
 Resht, t. 109
 reverence 72
 Riddhilakshmi, q. Nē. ... 191, 192
 Ridiwihāra 11
 Rishabha 163
 Rishibhāṭṭa 38
 Rishis..... 54-56, 104
 Rōhini 165
 Romagyri 314
 Romance and Gaurian Language 231
 Roth's *Sanskrit Dictionary* ... 251
 Rowlandson's *Qui Hi* 109
 Rubruquis 276-7
 Rudra, g. 150
 Rudra Śāh, k. 260
 Rukam insc. 10
rupā 53
 Rāpamatī, q., Nē..... 188, 189
 Ruru..... 290
 Ruwanwaeli dāgoba insc..... 11

Śabdakausubha 306
Śabdavidyā 307
sabhōga 128, 134
sadhama 269
sadhanyahiranyādēya..... 239
 Safis 248
sagathaya..... 269
sagat..... 280n
 Sāgul, t. 297
 Saganandi 149
 Sagara, 48, 125, 130, 132, 135, 180, 296
 Sāgāri, v. 154
sagōtra, 124, 126, 128, 130, 131, 133, 294
 Sahamyapura, v. 126, 128, 129
 Sāhasamamalla 274
 Sāhasānka, Śil. 36
 Sāi..... 15
 Saimur 39n
 Sain Tegin 243
 St. Vahan..... 311
Śaiva Parikrand..... 149
śaka, śakra 193
 Śaka dates..... 38, 74, 96, 129, 132, 294
Śakanripakḍa 35, 293, 294
 Śākatāyana 80
Śakavarsha 100, 128, 131
śākhā, Yajurveda 295
sākhās 200
 Śakra, g. 70, 195, 196
 Śākrarāja 146
 Śāktas 192, 247
 Śaktisiṁha, k. 188
 Śākya Buddha, Śākyamuni ... 148, 315
 Śākyamuni Burkhan..... 92
 Śākyas 15
 Śālanākāyana *gātra* 101
Śālika figures 160n
 Śāli Kumāra 9
śalilapārvaka 124
 Sallet's *Nachfolger Alexanders* 255
san 239
sandhi 296
 Samancans 21
 Samānōdāhaka, v. 174
śamanta 295
 Samārkand 231
śamastabhuvandśraya..... 128, 129n, 131, 134
 Śambhu, g. 36, 98, 103
sancl 279
sanjha 176
 Śānikantikās, s. 301
 Sammatīya, s. 300-302
 Śānikara, g. 191, 192
 Śānikarādēva, Nē. 165, 181
śānikramāṇa, uttarāyana..... 75, 96
 Śāmrāj 56
 Śāmsārādēvi, q., Nē. 184
sanvat... 35, 165, 167, 168, 170, 171, 172, 175, 177, 180, 184, 186, 188, 191
sanivatsara, Bhāva 38
 " , Lāvāra 96
 " , Nala 96
 " , Plavāṅga 96
 " , Prajāpati 74
 " , Prajōtpatti 99
 " , Śukla 74
 " , Vilambi 75
 " , Virōdhi 99
 " , Vishu 96
 " , Yuva..... 96
 Sanabarus, Sanabarēs, k..... 259, 260, 262, 312
 Śāṇākavāsa or Śāṇavāsika 148, 315
saṇāgi 75
 Sānchi 70, 136, 137
sanchit 281
 Sandhigero tank 75, 76
 Sandhimat 305
sandhivigrahādāhikṛita, o. 239
sāndhivigrahika, o..... 35, 132, 295
 Sandikēsvara, Chandēsvara ... 119n
 San-fo-tsi, Sumatra 23
 Sanga Bo, k. 271-274
 Saṅkayaśata or Gayaśata ... 316
 Sang-lin-ta-szu 149
 Śāṅnavāsa, see Śāṇakavāsa.
 Sāṅjaya Belāṭṭhaputta 162
 Śānkara, g. 191
 Śānkaraāchārya 25, 27, 60
 Śāṅkarādēva, Nē. ... 165, 166, 181
 Śāṅkhachāṭṭa 36
 Śāṅkhadatta 305
 Sankshoba, k..... 253
 Sanskrit Text fund 252
 Sanskrit texts in Japan 233
 Sanyāsīs 72
 Sapor I. 259
saptalōkamātri ... 124, 126, 130, 133
 Saralavāna, v. 176
 Sarasvatī, g. 239
 Sargurs 248
śarīra..... 22
śarman 103
 Śarpilā 28
sarvabāhuparihāra 128, 134
sarvadaṇḍandayaka 167
 Sarvanātha, k..... 253
 Sarvāstivādās, s. 300-302
 Sassanian coins 252
 Śāstribāva beggars 250
śāsvata Kosha 203
 Śātakarṇi, Andh. 62-64

- Śatarudra, r. 194
 Satdhārā 171
 sati 108
 satilōdaka 295
 Satiyaputra 287
 Satyamitra, k. 253
 Satyāśraya, Kirttivarmā I.,
 Early Chal. 123-124
 ,, Pulikōśi I, Early
 Chal. 126
 ,, Pulikōśi II, W.
 Chal. 128, 130, 132-134, 293
 satyāśraya 123, 124
 saulkika, o. 239
 Saunaka 121
 Sauras 65n, 135
 Śauraseni 232
 Saurāshtra 135
 Sautrāntikās, s. 301-2
 savatābhūtapratyaya 239
 savayītha 269
 Savile, Rev. B. W. 309
 Saviṭri 115, 135
 sawanaga 13
 Sawanaka year 269, 271
 Sayamitra, k. 253
 Sāyana on the *Atharva Veda*.
 199-201
 śayanapāṭā 187
 Schelling 30
 Schiefner, Prof. 111
 schools of Buddhism 299f
 seals of plates ... 101, 102, 123, 130,
 132, 237, 293
 Segelena wihāra 13
 Seleukos Kallinikos, k. 255
 ,, Nikator, k. 255, 312
 Selinga, r. 214, 240
 śēna 167, 168, 181, 194
 Sena III. 274
 Senart, E. 311
 Serai, t. 263
 Serendiva 314
 serpent-worship 137
 Śeṣha, g. 181
 Setṭhināyaka 273
 Setubandha 116
 'Seven Pagodas' 99, 118-120
 seven precious things 24
 shaddarsana 183
 Shāha 198
 Shāh-ki-dheri 155
 Shamsi Tabriz 27
 Shānūgarika, s. 300, 301
 Sharikat 218
 śhaṭkarman 295
 Sha-za-thi 91
 Sheibani Khān 231
 She-wei, Śrāvasti 17
 Shi-chi, s. 301
 Shi-hu, Danapāla? 22, 23
 Shi-kieu-ma, Śrikumāra? ... 20
 Shin-tu, India 14-16
 Shōla Pērumāl, k. 78
 Si-cheü, Turfan 24
Sicilvanische Märchen 51
siddhānta 18n
Siddhānta Kaumudī ... 82, 83, 306
 Siddhiṇṣinṣhainalla, k. ... 184, 187,
 189, 193
siddhirastu 307
 Si-fan, Tibetans 19
 Siḥvar grant 123
 Sijut tr. 241
 Sikhānāyaka 273
 Śikhara, Śriṅga 189
 śilādhīdsana 194
 Śilāditya, Harshavardhana ... 19, 20
 Śilāditya I, Va. 237, 238, 239, 253
 Śilāhāras 33f
śilāpravāsa 194
 Śilāra, Śilāra 33, 35, 37, 39
 Silver-white woman 145
 Simhala 129, 131, 133
 Simhanātha Lōkēśvara 115
 Simhapratāpa-Shāh, k. 194
 Simhavishṇu, Pall. 100
 Simpson, W. 52, 53
 Simylla 44
 Sindas 97
 Sindh 17, 143
 Singhalaputra or Āryasimha. . 316
 Siūtha kings 260
 ,, coins 64
 Sin-hu, Prāṇayapāla? 24
 Sin-thao, Sindh 17
 Śipraka, k. 62
 Sirigula, v. 271-2
 Siri Sanga Bo, k. 13, 271-274
 Siriyādēvi, Sin. 97-99
 śisnadēva 54
 Sitā, r. 17n
 Śitalā Māyī, g. 76
 śitavana, *śitavana 147, 148
 Sitsi 149
 Sin-ō-shan, Himālayas 15
 Śiva, g. ... 1, 35, 36, 117, 123, 125n,
 143n, 194, 237
 Śivadēva, Nē. ... 169, 174-177, 181
 Śivadēśvara, g. 175
 Śivaghochi 91
 Śivālayas 149
 Śivasimha, k. 187, 188, 191
 Śivaskandha Śātakarṇi, Andh. 63
 Śivāśrī Śātakarṇi, Andh. 63
 Śiva Śāstras 307
 Śivasvati, Andh. 63
 Sivi Jātaka 145
 Si-yu, Western Countries ... 18, 22
 skandhāvāra 128, 238
 Skandavarmā, Pall. 101
 Smara 238
 smṛiti 238
 sūdnayātrā 187
 Sogdiana 69, 255
 Sohsilungliehtsan, k. 312
 Solvyn, B. 107
 Sōmanātha, g. 40
 Somanātha tem. 43, 45
 sōmasūtra 150
 Sōmōśvara, k. 37, 40, 45
 Sōmēśvara I., Trailōkyamalla,
 W. Chāl. 96
 Sommonasodom, Buddha 213
 Sophytes 256
 sōtpadyandnavisṭika 239
 Spalirisus, k. 259
 Spalyris, k. 259
 sparrow and the crow 207f
 speech—harsh 29
 Spitama 291
 śriddhabala 302
 śramaṇas 122, 162, 287
 Śrāvasti, t. 145, 148, 195
 Śrauta Sūtra 292
 śrēṇā 238
 śrēshṭkin 38
 Śrī, g. 165, 239
 śrībiruddhikarṇama 40
 Śrī-(I)pta, k. 254
 Śrī-Harsha 124, 125
 ,, , samvat 170 to 178
 Śrīharsha 181
 śrīkarāṇa, o. 35
 Śrīnga Rishi 79
 śrīnga, śikhara 189
 Śrīngapur 79
 Śrīnivāsa, k. 192, 193
 Ssanang Setzen 89, 92, 94
 Stasanor 255
 Sthānaka, c. 38, 41, 42, 44
 Sthānu, g. 182
 sthavira 53, 300, 301
 Sthitimalla, k. 184
 Stokes (M.) *Fairy Tales* 57
 Stone tablets, Nēpāl 163f
 ,, , Pallava 99
 ,, , Sinda 97
 ,, , Western Chā-
 lukya 50, 96
 Strabo 122, 144

- Sttato, k.**257, 258
stābi or vimāna 118
Subarah, Supārā..... 44n, 314
Sudanu, k. 149
Sudarśana 149
Sudās 55
sudi 251
Śādra54, 56
Śādraka 305
sugar..... 18
Sugata, Buddha 181
sugataśāsana..... 181
Suhan, r. 154
Sui dynasty..... 18
sukhasaṃkathāvinōda50, 98
Sukhāvati Rāṇi 4
Sukhāvati, t. 233
Sukhātutāyāna..... 233
śukla-pakṣa..... 251
Sulaiman, Arab merchant ... 314
Sulasā, Sulasudata 28
Su-la-ta, Surāshṭra 23
Sumāṅgala Vildsnā 288
Sumati 163
Sumatra 23
Sun, g. 137
Sundara Śātakarṇi, Andh. ... 62
Suṅga coins..... 252, 311
Sung philosophy 315
śūnā 160
Sūnyas, two 308
supṭṭṣhi 143
Supārā, t.44n, 46, 314
Supushpa, k. 189
Surabhōgēśvara, g. 171
Surakhani, v. 110
Śūrasēna 171
Surjong, g. 104
surmā 205
Sūrpāraka, c.38, 44n, 46, 314
Sūrya, g. ...115, 116, 135, 180, 191
Sūryamalla, k.188, 191
Sūrya-maṅgala 135n
Sūryamitra, k. 253
Sūryavardha 184, 188, 191
Suśarman, k. 62
Susarma (Chandra, k.)..... 252
sūtra, Āpastambha 103
Sūtra (Buddhist) 195
Suttapīṭaka233, 288-9
suvarṇanagarādābhya 35
svahasta 239
svānā129, 132, 135, 174, 295
Svarṇavati, v. 194
svastika65, 67, 136, 138-140
Svayambhu, g. 184
Svayamīpāka..... 309
syādvāda 162
symbols, Buddhist 135
Syrian Christians78, 312
Tabari 152
'tabu'152n
Tacitus 53
Tagara, c.37, 43, 44
Tagiri, Ētagiri..... 50
Ta-hia, Baktria14-16
Tai-yuen-fu 151n
Tajiks 240
Takht-i Bahi insc. 258
Tala, c. 44
tālavriksha 18n
Tamatsuk..... 94
Tambapaṇṇaka, Tambapaṇṇi 142, 287
Tambapaṇṇi Nuwara..... 9
Tamiḷ inscriptions 13
Tammana Nuwara 9
Tamo, k. 312
Tāmradanta-Bodhisattva 24
Tāmrālipti 307
Tāmraparut, r. 9
tāmrakāsana 35
Tan-che-ho-wi..... 213
tanḍulaparvata 187
Tanḍu Pulayans 120
Tāṇḍya Brāhmaṇa 55
T'ang dynasty19, 311
Tanjore tem. 117
Tānthikontha, v.102, 103
Tanwas, r. 121
Taoism 315
Tao-tin-ta-szu 149
Tao-yuen 99
Ti-pu -le 20
Tārādēvi, g. 115
Tārakārati, g.129, 131, 133
Tārānātha 149, 315
Tarikat 248
Tariśapalli 314
Tartars 243
Ta-shi, Arabs21, 24
Tathāgata 302
Ta-thsin, Roman empire 18
Teda, k. 316
Teggina-Irappa tem. 99
Tējakauṭha 42
Telanga beggars..... 250
Telephos, k.257, 258
Telirājā beggars 280
Temujin275, 277-8
***Tenkhū, v.** 175
Terkutai Khiriltuk274-5
Thag 205n
Thai-tsong.....19, 22
Thai-tsu 22
thakkura 124
Thambu, v. 172
Thānā,38, 41, 42, 44-46
Thāna martyrs 314
Thang dynasty19, 311
Theodorus, Bishop 314
Theophilos, k.257, 258
Theophilos of Diva313-14
Theodosios, Doria 314
thera 269
Thien-Chu, India14f
Thomas, the Apostle261, 262, 312, 314
Thomas of Jerusalem313-14
Thomas, Bishop 314
Thomas Christians.....312f
Thsu 16
Tibet19, 20, 311-12
tilamaka172n, 173, 175, 177
Tilottamā..... 77
Timkofski's Travels 215, 276
Timoulla 44
Tirhut names 141
Tirthakas..... 158, 162
Tirthamkaras 53, 66
Tiruvalluvar..... 71, 72, 196
Tisa, k. 9-12
Tisāddēwi 269
Tishyarakshitā, q. 86
Tissamahārāma insc. 11
tohyuga 298
tithi, aṣṭamī 239
„ , bidige 96
„ , chatrthī..... 96
„ , daśamī170, 171, 176, 187, 194
„ , dvādaśī 96
„ , dvitīyā172, 192, 193
„ , ēkādaśī 96
„ , navamī183, 194
„ , pañcāmī192, 194
„ , paurnamāsī 129
„ , pratipadā.....38, 99, 165, 167
„ , sapṭamī96, 168, 191
„ , shashthī 189
„ , trayōdaśī177, 184
„ , tritīyā178, 194
tolls 46
Tonḍamaṇḍala, co. 49
Tonḍaināḍu 100
Tong-ya-pu-sa 24
Tonigala insc.10, 270
Tonquin 16, 18
tooth-seal of Aśoka 86
tōrana 192

- 'toty' 76
 Trailokyamalla, W. Châl. 96
 trairājya 127, 131, 133
 Tribhuvanamalla, —Vikramā-
 ditya VI, W. Châl. 50
 Tribhuvanāśraya, Nāgavar-
 dhana, W. Châl. 123
 tribhuvandśraya 125n
 Trigartta 252
 Trimurti tem. 152
 Trinacria 66
 Triparadeisos 255
 Tripitaka 289, 299
 Tripura, Tripurōśvara, g. 180, 181,
 193
 triśhla 138
 Tritsus 54, 55
 Tryaksha, —Śiva, g. 180
 Tsak-tin-mo-hau, q. 307
 tsanp'u 312
 Tsan-ta-lo, Chandra 23
 Tsin dynasty 16
 Tsin-she-hwang-te .. 19n
 Tsong-ling Mts 15
 Tsuehiehchien, k. 312
 Tu-fan, Tibet 20, 21
 Tughrul..... 276-7
 'Tu-kiu, Turks 93
 tuldpurusha 191
 Tulunād 78
 Tulu Pērumāl, k. 78
 Tumbolis 76
 Tumena..... 217
 Tüנגgoli, r..... 95, 218, 219
 tuntuine 279
 Turki language 253
 Turks 93
 Turvaśas 54
 Tusabuka-Chikkero, v. 99
 Tushita heaven 296
 tyāgajagajjhampa 85

 U or Wu dynasty 16
 udagra 127n
 Uda, U-ta, Odra 21
 Uḍa Tisa monastery 271
 Uḍaiyar Śrī Rājendra Devar.. 120
 Udayadēva, Nē. 171, 181
 Udayagiri insc..... 62
 Uddandapura, v. 143
 udrāma 124, 125, 339
 Udyāna 23
 Uighurs 93, 214, 276
 Uirad tribes..... 95
 Ujjain coins 136, 138
 U-jen-ni, Ujjayani 23
 ukhlā 206n
 Uljaitu 89, 90
 Uṇādi Sātras 306, 307, 318
 upādhyāya..... 187, 194
 Upagupta 149
 Upāngas 84
 Upanishads 31, 251
 uparikara 125, 239
 upasaka 9
 Upatissa Nuwara 9
 Upēndra, g. 131, 132
 Uriangkut 213n
 Urtagan tr. 241
 Uruwela 9
 Urvast 77
 Usbegs 263
 Ushus, g. 135
 ushṇṭsha 195
 Usiun Turks 93
 U-sun 19
 utgubughul 240
 U-tien-nang, Uḍyāna 23
 utpadyamānaviśhṭika 239
 Uttama Chōla, k. 49
 uttardpatha, 124, 127, 130, 131, 133
 Uttaraśailās, s. 300, 301
 utthidnapṭjā 187
 Uzbeg epos 231
 vadya-paksha 251
 Vāgiśvari..... 115
 Vahan of Kogthēn 311
 Vaidu beggars 279
 Vaidyaka, v..... 175
 Vaishnavas 173
 Vaiśravaṇa, g..... 290
 Vaiśvadipa 225
 Vaiśya 54
 vājapēya 294
 Vājins 140
 Vajjadadēva I, Śil. 36
 Vajjadadēva II, Śil. 36, 39
 Vajrapāṇi g. 115
 Vajrasuchi Upanishad 25
 Vakēśvara, c. 102, 103
 Vākyapadīya 308
 Valabhi, c. 238, 295
 Valabhi, kings..... 237
 „, era 254
 Valabhīpradvārahombavdsakāt 237
 Valēs 314
 Valipavana, t. 38
 vallabha..... 125, 127, 130, 132, 134
 Vallabharāja 143
 Valuvam Pērumāl, k..... 78
 Vāman 60
 Vāmāna 306-6
 Vambery, M. 252
 Vamsiga-Biṭṭu 74
 vān..... 196
 Vānam Pērumāl, k..... 78
 Vanavāst, c. 128, 130, 133
 Vāni or Māmvaṇi, Śil. 41
 Vāpiyaka cave..... 121
 vāra, Ādi 96
 „, Āditya..... 96
 „, Bṛihaspati 187, 189
 „, Budha..... 96
 „, Guru 194
 „, Pāshan 184
 „, Ravi..... 191
 „, Śāsadhara 193
 „, Sōma 96, 194
 „, Śukra 96
 Varāha Mihira..... 16n, 115, 203
 varākalānchhana. 124, 126, 130, 133
 Varānasi 148
 varāngi 196
 varman..... 101, 124, 125, 127, 130,
 134, 167, 169 to 172, 181
 Vārta 171n
 Vārta Vibhuvarmā 171
 Vasantadēva, Vasantasōna, Nē. 167,
 181
 Vasco da Gama 315
 Vashṭi, q..... 61n
 Vasishṭha, Andh. 55
 Vāsithī, q. 63
 Vassilief, M. 289, 299
 Vasubandhu 149, 316
 Vāsudēva beggars 280
 Vasudhārā, g..... 115
 Vāsuki, g. 182
 Vasumitra..... 299, 300, 316
 vasupatrapādmāsudriśa..... 189
 Vāṭapadra, v. 237, 238, 239
 Vātāpi (Bādāmi), c. 100, 123
 Vatpuvanna, Śil. 36, 39
 Vatsadēvi, Ne. 181, 182
 Vatsarāja 128, 129, 131, 134
 Vātsiputriyās, s. 300-302
 vēda, Rig 103
 „, Sāma 103
 „, Yajur 103
 vēddīgas..... 48, 132
 vēdas..... 48, 54, 130, 132 135,
 139, 180
 vēlūkula 38n
 velimandapa 118
 Vēmana 72, 73
 Vendidad, by Darmesteter ... 290f
 verb inflection..... 310
 Vēsālī, t. 233

- Vibhāṇḍaka Rishi** 79
Vibhāṣha Śāstra 290
Vidhātā 48
Vidyāranya 202
Vidyādhara 290
Vidyātirtha-Mahāśvara 202
Vigatpurī grant 123
Vihāra Bohār 189
vihāra 176
Vijaya, k. 63
Vijayabuddhavarṃā, Pall. 100-103
Vijayadēva, Nē. 177, 178
Vijayāditya,—Vi.-Satyāśraya,
W. Chal. 126, 130, 132, 133, 134
Vijayamitra, k. 253
Vijayanandivarṃā, Pall. 101
Vijaya Pērumāl, k. 78
Vijayārkadēva, Śil. 41, 42
vijayardjya 174
vijayardjyasamvatsara 128, 131
Vijayārkadēva, Śil. 41
vijayāskandhavadāra 128, 238
Vijayāskandavarṃā, Pall. ... 101
Vikrama, Sin. 97, 98, 311, 316
Vikramadēva Chōla 50n
Vikramāditya 16n
Vikramāditya I, Vi.-Satyā-
śraya, W. Chal. ... 128, 132, 134
Vikramāditya II,—Vi.-Satyā-
śraya, W. Chal. 135
Vikramāditya VI, W. Chal. 50, 51
Vikrama-Kāla 96
Vikrama-Śaka 194
Vikramasōna, Nē. 168, 170
Vikrama-Varsba 75, 96, 99
Vikukshi 180
Vilīge, r. 129
vin 172n
Vimalasri 23n
vināna 117-119
vin 196
Vina, Pina, or Pida 308
Vinayapitṭakam by Dr. H. Ol-
denberg 233
Vinayāditya,—Vi.-Satyāśraya,
W. Chal. 127, 129, 131-134
vinīyuktaka, o. 239
Vira-Chōla, Chō. 48, 49
Vīradēva, Chō. 49
vīrāga 96
Vīranārtanda, Chō. 49
Vīranāśraya, Bohār 189
Vīranāśraya, Chō. 49
Vīrapāṇḍya, Chō. 49
Vīrapāṇḍya, Chō. 49, 119, 120
Vīra Śaivas 73
Vīraśekhara, Chō. 50
Vīravikrama, Chō. 50
virintreiyānūlagam 198
Viruka 226
Viś 56, 57
Viśākhila 305
Visdelou, M. 92, 216
vishaya 35, 124
vishayapati, o. 35, 295
vishayas 38
Vishṇu, g. 124, 132, 134, 191,
 193, 293, 294
Vishṇu, Pall. 100
Vishṇugōpavarṃā, Pall. 99
Vishṇugupta, Yuvarāja, Nē. 172
Vishṇuvamśa 294
Vishṇupadas 138
vishṭi 239
viśumbha 196
Viśvagaśva, Nē. 180
Viśvāmītra 55
Vitukhatṭā, v. 238, 239
Vivasvat 48
Vokkalēri grant 126, 127n
Vologeses I. 260
Vonones, k. 258, 259
Vopadeva 80, 306
Vṛindāvana 141
Vṛisha 143
Vṛishadēva, Nē. 165, 181
Vṛitti Śāstra 307
Vyāsa 124, 125, 128, 130, 132
Waddhamāna 12
Wadurag, v. 272-3
Wahaba 11
Wajiraggo 273
Wajirtai 218, 219
Wales, J. (the painter) 52, 107
Wanjārā 205n
wapisara 11
Wassiljew, M. 289
Waṭṭagāmini, k. 11, 270
Weber, Prof. A. 226, 251, 252,
 292, 305
Wei dynasty 16, 18
weights and measures by
Mar Eliya 230
Wen-ti 17
Wesawasika, v. 269
Westergaard, Prof. 28
wheel symbol 135
Wijaya 9
Wijitapura 9
Williams (M.) Modern India 264
Wilson, Dr. J. 265
Wirandagoda insc. 9
wisara 11
'withershius' 68
woolly hair 52
Wu or U dynasty 16n
Wular lake insc. 252
'Wullawul' 126
Wu-ti 17
Wu-wei-kian 315
Xerxes 69, 162
Yadus 54
Yailia Pērumāl 78
Yājñasrī, Āndh. 63
Yājñavalkya 42, 140
Yakdessugala insc. 13
Yaksha 146, 226
Yakshamalla, k. 184, 188, 191
yāli 118
Ya-lo-u-te A-je-ni-fo 24
yāma 160
Yama, g. 181
Yamālavāpī 238
Yamunā, g. 115
Yamunā, r. 129, 131, 134
Yang-kie-küang-lo 22
Yang-ti l. 18
Yan-ka s. 301
yantras 138
Yupahu insc. 12
Yusodā 227
Yāttagiri, Étagiri 51
yathāsambadhyanānaka 239
Yātus 232
'yavishtha 203
Yayādharmamalla, k. 184
Yazdākad 266, 267
Yazdān-pānak 266-268
year Purodara Sawanaka 269
„ Sawanaka 271
'Yedageery' 51
Yeko Nidūn 94, 95
Yen-ki (Kharashar) 22
Ye-po. 17
Yerakala language 210
Yessugei 244, 274, 277
Yēttagiri, t. 50
Ying 16
Yndopheres, k. 258-262, 313
Yōga, Āyushmān 187
„, Harshaṇa 189
„, Pṛīti 184
„, Siddhi 191
„, Śāla 193
Yōgachāra 85
Yōgumatī, q. 192, 193
Yōganarēndramalla, k. 193
Yogi-māra cave 269

INDEX.

Yonas	252	yuvamahārāja	101	Zanza, Śil.	36, 39
Yrkodes, k.	259, 260	yuvardja	171, 172, 178, 184	Zarathushtra	291, 292
Yuan-ch'ao-pi-shi ...	89, 90, 92-94, 213, 275-278	Yuei-chi'	15-17	Zaynu'l 'Aabidin, k.	252
Yuan-shi ...	89, 215, 216, 274, 276-7	Yuen-tu (India)	15	Zeionises, k.	259
Yudhishṭhira	36, 176	Yuē-ti	316	Zendavesta	265, 290
Yuei-'ai (Chandrapriya)	17	Yü-thien, Khotan	22	Zoilos, k.	257, 258
Yuta	287n	Zâd-sparham	266-268	Zoroastrism	232, 311
				Zud	92

ADDITIONAL ERRATA.

- p. 218, l. 2, for *Alung Goa* read *Alun Goa*.
p. 218, l. 4, for *Begontiei* read *Begontei*.
p. 315a, l. 12, for *Bakkaraya* read *Bukkarāya*

